



Telehealth in Modern Clinical Practice: Opportunities, Barriers, and Future Trajectories

Himasvi M. M.¹, Prathvi M. V.², A. R. Shabaraya³

¹ PharmD Student, Srinivas College of Pharmacy, Valachil, post Farangipete, Mangalore, Karnataka, India

² Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Srinivas College of Pharmacy, Valachil, post Farangipete, Mangalore, Karnataka, India

³ Principal and Director, Srinivas College of Pharmacy, Valachil, post Farangipete, Mangalore, Karnataka, India

(himasvimm2001@gmail.com)

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.07.0926.3015>

ABSTRACT

Telehealth has transformed healthcare delivery by expanding clinical services across geographical and institutional boundaries using telecommunications and digital infrastructure. Applications span teleconsultation, remote patient monitoring (RPM), telepsychiatry, teledermatology, telepharmacy, digital therapeutics, and virtual multidisciplinary care. While operational before the COVID-19 pandemic, crisis conditions substantially accelerated adoption and demonstrated telehealth's capacity to maintain care continuity. Evidence confirms that telehealth broadens medical access—particularly for rural and remote populations—reduces travel times, streamlines chronic disease management, and enhances patient convenience.

However, implementation faces substantial operational barriers, including infrastructure deficits, digital inequity, privacy and cybersecurity vulnerabilities, regulatory uncertainties, clinician burden, and the inability to conduct physical examinations. Because telehealth is not uniformly effective across all clinical conditions, the optimal delivery framework relies on integrated hybrid care models that combine virtual and in-person consultations. In India, the 2020 Telemedicine Practice Guidelines provided a formal regulatory base, though infrastructure, training, and sociocultural barriers persist. Future progress will depend on artificial intelligence, wearable biosensors, interoperable electronic health records, and personalized clinical decision support. Sustainable expansion requires evidence-based regulation, equitable funding, robust security, and targeted strategies to prevent digital exclusion.

Keywords: Telehealth; Telemedicine; Digital Health; Virtual Care; Remote Patient Monitoring; Teleconsultation; Artificial Intelligence; Healthcare Access; Digital Health Equity.

1. Introduction

Healthcare delivery has undergone a major transformation driven by rapid advancements in information and communication technologies. Telehealth represents one of the most significant developments within this shift, enabling clinicians to consult, monitor, educate, and manage patients remotely. While "telemedicine" primarily denotes remote clinical care, "telehealth" encompasses a broader scope, including clinical, educational, administrative, and public health functions supported by telecommunications.

Though telephonic advice and remote diagnostic reporting have existed for decades, modern advances in broadband connectivity, smartphones, cloud platforms, wearable devices, electronic health records (EHRs), and artificial intelligence (AI) have expanded its clinical scope. The COVID-19 pandemic marked a turning point, forcing health systems worldwide to rapidly scale virtual services to maintain care continuity while minimizing infection risks. For example, NYU Langone Health observed an increase in virtual urgent-care visits from approximately 102 to over 800 per day during early pandemic surges, mirroring rapid scaling globally.

International health authorities, including the World Health Organization (WHO), recognize digital health as a core mechanism for strengthening health systems. Guidance documents such as the WHO Consolidated Telemedicine Implementation Guide and the Global Strategy on Digital Health emphasize that successful implementation requires robust governance, workforce preparation, interoperability, data security, and financial sustainability. Nevertheless, telehealth is not universally applicable. Certain clinical conditions strictly require physical examination, diagnostic procedures, or acute emergency interventions, while unequal digital access threatens to exacerbate existing healthcare disparities. This manuscript provides a comprehensive synthesis of the opportunities, implementation barriers, specialized clinical applications, ethical parameters, regulatory frameworks (with an emphasis on India), and technological trajectories shaping telehealth today.

2. Evolution and Pandemic Impact

The trajectory of telehealth can be classified into four evolutionary stages:

1. **Stage 1 (Early Telecommunications):** Reliance on basic telephony and initial point-to-point data transmission between separated healthcare professionals.
2. **Stage 2 (Video and Internet-Based Care):** Integration of real-time video consultations, electronic health transmission, and remote specialist advice.
3. **Stage 3 (Mobile and Platform-Based Care):** Expansion through smartphones, mobile health applications, cloud infrastructure, and dedicated digital platforms.
4. **Stage 4 (Intelligent and Connected Care):** The current paradigm integrating wearable sensors, remote patient monitoring (RPM), interoperable EHRs, predictive analytics, AI, and digital therapeutics.

The COVID-19 pandemic abruptly shifted telehealth from an elective alternative to a central pillar of health delivery. Monaghesh and Hajizadeh highlighted its role in preserving essential health services, while Wosik et al. documented the rapid restructuring of health system infrastructure to support virtual workflows. Mann et al. demonstrated a 683% increase in daily virtual urgent-care visits during peak pandemic periods. However, this rapid scale-up also highlighted structural inequities; individuals without high-speed internet, digital literacy, private environment spaces, or language-appropriate tools faced significant barriers to entry.

3. Major Clinical Opportunities and Specialized Applications

3.1 Access, Continuity, and Chronic Disease Management

Telehealth's core advantage lies in overcoming geographical barriers to provide timely primary, specialist, and follow-up care to underserved populations. A systematic review on health-service equity emphasized that while telehealth addresses critical dimensions of access, availability, and affordability, addressing persistent gaps in digital literacy and infrastructure remains essential. Beyond initial access, telehealth facilitates continuity of care through structured post-discharge tracking, medication reviews, lab result discussions, and ongoing behavioral counseling.

It is particularly effective in managing chronic conditions—such as hypertension, diabetes, heart failure, and chronic respiratory diseases—that demand frequent monitoring. Flodgren et al. (Cochrane Review) established that interactive telemedicine yields clinical outcomes comparable to conventional care for conditions like heart failure and diabetes (specifically regarding glycemic control). Bitar and Alismail similarly underscored its utility in maintaining management during systemic healthcare disruptions.

3.2 Patient Satisfaction and Experience

Reductions in travel time, transportation costs, waiting room delays, and missed work contribute to high patient satisfaction scores. Systematic reviews by Kruse et al. and Chagas et al. confirmed overall positive patient experiences with virtual visits. However, Chagas et al. noted widespread heterogeneity in measurement tools across studies, emphasizing the need for standardized, validated outcome instruments.

3.3 Domain-Specific Applications

Telehealth has expanded across multiple clinical domains with distinct operative frameworks:

1. **Telepsychiatry:** Psychiatric care relies heavily on longitudinal assessment, history taking, and communication, making it uniquely suited for digital delivery. Systematic reviews indicate high diagnostic reliability, strong clinical effectiveness, improved compliance, and reduced social stigma, provided adequate security and platforms are maintained. However, acute behavioral emergencies, active suicidality, or severe psychosis still require direct, in-person management.
2. **Teledermatology:** Visual store-and-forward and real-time imaging allow remote dermatological evaluations. A meta-analysis of 44 studies demonstrated a pooled diagnostic agreement of 68.9% ($\kappa = 0.67$) between teledermatology and face-to-face evaluations, with diagnostic concordance rising significantly when assessments were conducted by qualified dermatologists or aided by high-resolution dermoscopy.
3. **Telepharmacy:** Pharmacists increasingly leverage remote platforms for medication counseling, reconciliation, adherence tracking, and pharmacovigilance. Systematic reviews show that telepharmacy mitigates regional pharmacist shortages, though additional standardized safety research is warranted.
4. **Remote Patient Monitoring (RPM):** RPM expands care beyond periodic video visits by utilizing connected hardware to track vital signs continuously.

Table 1. Remote Patient Monitoring (RPM) Parameters and Applications

Physiological Parameter	Primary Clinical Application
Blood Pressure	Management of essential hypertension
Blood Glucose	Optimization of diabetes care
Heart Rate / ECG	Detection of arrhythmias and cardiac tracking
Oxygen Saturation	Monitoring chronic respiratory diseases
Body Weight	Decompensation tracking in heart failure
Activity / Sleep	Rehabilitation tracking and sleep disorder evaluation

4. Barriers, Limitations, and Ethical Challenges

4.1 Operational and Digital Barriers

The primary obstacle to widespread implementation remains the digital divide, defined by unequal access to broadband networks, compatible hardware, software interfaces, and reliable power grids. Technical impediments—including low bandwidth, device incompatibility, and system outages—disproportionately impact low-resource settings. Furthermore, limited digital literacy among elderly individuals, socioeconomically disadvantaged groups, and non-native language speakers creates additional usage obstacles.

4.2 Clinical Limitations and Provider Burden

Virtual consultations cannot replicate comprehensive physical examinations, such as detailed neurological evaluations, cardiac auscultation, or abdominal palpation. Over-reliance on remote assessments can lead to diagnostic uncertainty or delayed interventions during acute deteriorations. From the clinician perspective, umbrella reviews highlight challenges such as increased administrative/documentation demands, platform fatigue, liability fears, and insufficient formal training in remote communication.

4.3 Economic, Ethical, and Security Risks

While telehealth can reduce patient travel costs and emergency department visits, overall system-wide cost-effectiveness depends on managing infrastructure overhead, cybersecurity maintenance, software licensing, and technical support costs. Ethically, telehealth services must adhere to established bioethical principles: autonomy (informed consent regarding platform risks), beneficence and non-maleficence (escalating to in-person care when safety requires it), justice (mitigating systemic exclusion), and confidentiality (mitigating data breach risks as outlined by Houser et al. and Watzlaf et al.).

5. Telehealth in India: Regulations and Challenges

India presents a major opportunity for digital health given its vast population, geographic disparities in specialist availability, and rapidly expanding mobile infrastructure. In March 2020, the official Telemedicine Practice Guidelines were released, establishing a formal legal framework for Registered Medical Practitioners (RMPs). These guidelines defined protocol standards for patient identification, practitioner identification, explicit consent, permissible communication channels, documentation, and specific prescribing restrictions (e.g., prohibited medications).

Recent systematic reviews by Venkataraman et al. and Sharma et al. evaluated implementation outcomes across India, identifying key facilitators (cost savings, time efficiency, improved access) and persistent barriers (data privacy gaps, connectivity deficits, provider ICT resistance, and lack of structured medical school training). Expanding telehealth across India requires sustainable funding models, multilingual software interfaces, robust privacy protections, and integrated referral networks.

6. Technological Trajectories and the Hybrid Care Paradigm

6.1 Emerging Technologies

1. Artificial Intelligence (AI): AI algorithms are increasingly applied to clinical triage, automated preliminary history taking, medical imaging interpretation, diagnostic decision support, and administrative workflow automation. However, risks related to algorithmic bias, "black box" opacity, automation bias, and liability demand careful clinical oversight.

2. **Wearables and IoT:** Connected consumer devices (e.g., smartwatches, continuous glucose monitors) transition care from episodic clinic encounters to continuous data-driven tracking.
3. **Interoperability and EHRs:** Standardized data exchange protocols (e.g., HL7/FHIR) are required to connect remote platforms, laboratory software, pharmacy systems, and primary health records. Unconnected silos undermine long-term care continuity.
4. **Digital Therapeutics (DTx):** Software-driven, evidence-based interventions are increasingly prescribed alongside conventional clinical management.

6.2 The Hybrid Model

Rather than replacing conventional clinical medicine, telehealth is evolving into a hybrid healthcare model. Virtual delivery handles routine follow-ups, medication adjustments, lifestyle counseling, mental health visits, and test interpretation. Physical encounters remain essential for hands-on physical exams, invasive diagnostic procedures, surgical interventions, and complex or acute clinical presentations.

7. Strategic Recommendations for Sustainable Implementation

Table 2. Domain-Specific Strategies for Telehealth Sustainability

Implementation Domain	Recommended Strategy
Infrastructure & Access	Expand public broadband networks and provide multi-language, accessible platform design.
Clinical Governance	Establish standard clinical protocols defining when virtual care is appropriate versus when physical escalation is required.
Workforce Education	Integrate formal telehealth and digital health competencies into undergraduate and postgraduate medical curricula.
Privacy & Security	Mandate end-to-end encryption, multi-factor authentication, secure data storage, and audit logs.
Reimbursement & Legal	Align virtual reimbursement rates with standard clinical fees and clarify cross-jurisdictional liability.
Interoperability	Enforce unified data standards across all EHR, RPM, and digital pharmacy platforms.
AI Integration	Maintain human-in-the-loop validation, algorithm transparency audits, and continuous safety monitoring.

8. Strengths and Limitations of Current Evidence

Current literature provides robust evidence drawn from randomized trials, large meta-analyses, and real-world evaluations. However, several methodological limitations persist:

1. High heterogeneity among interventions (e.g., conflating basic phone calls, asynchronous teledermatology, and live video consultations).
2. Over-reliance on non-standardized, non-validated patient satisfaction measurement instruments.
3. Confounding data drawn primarily from the COVID-19 pandemic period, when normal clinical operations were suspended.
4. A relative lack of long-term prospective trials assessing cost-effectiveness and health outcomes over time.

9. Conclusion

Telehealth has shifted from an emerging technological alternative to a structural component of modern healthcare delivery. Its clinical value is evident in improved access, enhanced chronic disease management, efficient specialist delivery, and patient convenience. Nevertheless, digital care cannot replace hands-on physical examination and face-to-face care across all medical disciplines. Sustainable, high-quality care relies on an integrated hybrid model that balances virtual platforms with traditional clinic-based care. Overcoming current digital equity, infrastructure, cybersecurity, and regulatory challenges will ensure that telehealth serves as a safe, effective, and accessible component of global healthcare systems.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest relevant to this content.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

1. World Health Organization. Global strategy on digital health 2020–2025. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.
2. Tuckson RV, Edmunds M, Hodgkins ML. Telehealth. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(16):1585-1592. doi:10.1056/NEJMSr1503323.
3. Ekeland AG, Bowes A, Flottorp S. Effectiveness of telemedicine: a systematic review of reviews. *Int J Med Inform*. 2010;79(11):736-771. doi:10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2010.08.006.
4. Mann DM, Chen J, Chunara R, Testa PA, Nov O. COVID-19 transforms health care through telemedicine: evidence from the field. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2020;27(7):1132-1135. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaa072.
5. Hollander JE, Carr BG. Virtually perfect? Telemedicine for Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(18):1679-1681. doi:10.1056/NEJMp2003539.
6. Monaghesh E, Hajizadeh A. The role of telehealth during COVID-19 outbreak: a systematic review based on current evidence. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20:1193. doi:10.1186/s12889-020-09301-4.
7. World Health Organization. Consolidated telemedicine implementation guide. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022. ISBN:978-92-4-005918-4.
8. World Health Organization. Global strategy on digital health 2020–2027. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2025. ISBN:978-92-4-011687-0.
9. Kuziemyk C, et al. Telehealth as a means of enabling health equity. *Yearb Med Inform*. 2022;31(1):183-189.
10. Addressing health service equity through telehealth: a systematic review of reviews. [Systematic review]. 2025.
11. Totten AM, Womack DM, Eden KB, McDonagh MS, Griffin JC, Grusing S, Hersh WR. Telehealth: mapping the evidence for patient outcomes from systematic reviews. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2016. AHRQ Publication No.16-EHC034-EF.
12. Monaghesh E, Hajizadeh A. The role of telehealth during COVID-19 outbreak: a systematic review based on current evidence. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20:1193. doi:10.1186/s12889-020-09301-4.
13. Wosik J, Fudim M, Cameron B, et al. Telehealth transformation: COVID-19 and the rise of virtual care. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2020;27(6):957-962. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaa067.
14. Cunha AS, Pedro AR, Cordeiro JV. Facilitators of and barriers to accessing hospital medical specialty telemedicine consultations during the COVID-19 pandemic: systematic review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2023;25:e44188. doi:10.2196/44188.
15. Bitar H, Alismail S. The role of eHealth, telehealth, and telemedicine for chronic disease patients during COVID-19 pandemic: a rapid systematic review. *Digit Health*. 2021;7:20552076211009396. doi:10.1177/20552076211009396.
16. Flodgren G, Rachas A, Farmer AJ, Inzitari M, Shepperd S. Interactive telemedicine: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015;(9):CD002098. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002098.pub2.
17. Kruse CS, Krowski N, Rodriguez B, et al. Telehealth and patient satisfaction: a systematic review and narrative analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(8):e016242. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016242.
18. Chagas MEV, Jacovas VC, Moreira TC, et al. Are we adequately measuring patient satisfaction with telemedicine? A systematic review with a meta-analysis. *Telemed J E Health*. 2024;30(6):1522-1538. doi:10.1089/tmj.2023.0530.
19. The effectiveness of telepsychiatry: thematic review. *BJPsych Bull*. 2022. doi:10.1192/bjb.2021.115.
20. Bourkas AN, Barone N, Bourkas MEC, et al. Diagnostic reliability in teledermatology: a systematic review and a meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2023;13(8):e068207. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-068207.
21. Diagnostic accuracy of teledermatology for skin diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. [Systematic review]. 2026. PMID:42663398.
22. Dat TV, Tu VL, Quan NK, et al. Telepharmacy: a systematic review of field application, benefits, limitations, and applicability during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Telemed J E Health*. 2023;29(2):209-221. doi:10.1089/tmj.2021.0575.
23. Pathak S, Blanchard CM, Moreton E, Urlick BY. A systematic review of the effect of telepharmacy services in the community pharmacy setting on care quality and patient safety. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2021;32(2):737-750. doi:10.1353/hpu.2021.0102.
24. Chong RLK, Chan ASE, Chua CMS, Lai YF. Telehealth interventions in pharmacy practice: systematic review of reviews and recommendations. *J Med Internet Res*. 2025;27:e57129. doi:10.2196/57129.
25. Houser SH, Flite CA, Foster SL. Privacy and security risk factors related to telehealth services: a systematic review. *Perspect Health Inf Manag*. 2023;20(1):1b.
26. Watzlaf VJM, Zhou L, Dealmeida DR, Hartman LM. A systematic review of research studies examining telehealth privacy and security practices used by healthcare providers. *Int J Telerehabil*. 2017;9(2):39-59. doi:10.5195/ijt.2017.6231.

-
27. Implementation barriers and facilitators of remote monitoring, remote consultation and digital care platforms through the eyes of healthcare professionals: a review of reviews. [Umbrella review]. 2024.
28. Dinakaran D, Manjunatha N, Kumar CN, Math SB. Telemedicine practice guidelines of India, 2020: implications and challenges. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2021;63(1):97-101. doi:10.4103/psychiatry.IndianJPsychiatry_476_20.
29. Venkataraman A, Fatma N, Edirippulige S, Ramamohan V. Facilitators and barriers for telemedicine systems in India from multiple stakeholder perspectives and settings: a systematic review. *Telemed J E Health*. 2024;30(5):1341-1356. doi:10.1089/tmj.2023.0297.
30. Sharma S, et al. Barriers and facilitators for the use of telehealth by healthcare providers in India—a systematic review. [Systematic review]. 2024. PMID:39642108.
31. Dinakaran D, Basavarajappa C, Manjunatha N, Kumar CN, Math SB. Telemedicine practice guidelines and telepsychiatry operational guidelines, India—a commentary. *Indian J Psychol Med*. 2020;42(5 Suppl):1S-3S. doi:10.1177/0253717620958382.
32. DeNicola N, Grossman D, Marko K, et al. Telehealth interventions to improve obstetric and gynecologic health outcomes: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;135(2):371-382. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000003646.
33. De Guzman KR, Gavanescu D, Smith AC, Snoswell C. Economic evaluations of telepharmacy services in non-cancer settings: a systematic review. *Res Social Adm Pharm*. 2024;20(3):246-254. doi:10.1016/j.sapharm.2024.01.002.
34. Kruse CS, Molina-Nava A, Kapoor Y, Anerobi C, Maddukuri H. Analyzing the effect of telemedicine on domains of quality through facilitators and barriers to adoption: systematic review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2023;25:e43601. doi:10.2196/43601.
35. Venkatesh U, Aravind GP, Velmurugan AA. Telemedicine practice guidelines in India: global implications in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. *World Med Health Policy*. 2022;14(3):589-599. doi:10.1002/wmh3.497.