



Optimizing Energy Management in the Internet of Things: Architectures, Protocols, Harvesting and Algorithmic Frameworks

*Gazel Salim^a, Prof. Riya Prakash^b, Prof(Dr). L. C. Manikandan^c **

^aB.Tech. Student, Department of CSE, Universal Engineering College, Thrissur, Kerala, India. E-mail: gazelsalim7741@gmail.com

^bAssistant Professor, Department of CSE, Universal Engineering College, Thrissur, Kerala, India. E-mail: riyajothish13@gmail.com

^cProfessor, Department of CSE, Universal Engineering College, Thrissur, Kerala, India. E-mail: lcmanikandan@gmail.com

ABSTRACT :

The rapid proliferation of the Internet of Things (IoT) has led to the deployment of billions of interconnected, battery-powered sensing nodes across smart cities, industrial automation, healthcare, and environmental monitoring. However, energy supply remains the primary bottleneck restricting continuous operation, system reliability, and long-term sustainability. Traditional battery replacement strategies are economically unviable and ecologically hazardous for large-scale or geographically remote deployments. Consequently, optimizing energy management across hardware, communication, operating system, and data processing layers has emerged as a fundamental research imperative. This paper presents a comprehensive study on energy management optimization in IoT networks. We examine the structural breakdown of power consumption within IoT architectures and establish mathematical formulations for energy modeling. We critically evaluate power optimization strategies spanning physical layer sleepcycling, dynamic voltage and frequency scaling, energy-aware network routing, ambient energy harvesting, and edge-level machine learning frameworks. Furthermore, we analyze energysecurity trade-offs under lightweight cryptographic primitives. Finally, open research challenges regarding battery degradation, intermittent computing, and cross-layer optimization are presented to delineate future research directions.

Keywords— Internet of Things (IoT), Energy Management Optimization, Ambient Energy Harvesting, Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling (DVFS), Energy-Aware Routing Protocols, Edge Intelligence (TinyML), Intermittent Computing, Lightweight Cryptography.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Internet of Things (IoT) represents a paradigm shift in computing, bridging physical phenomena with digital infrastructure through ubiquitous sensor nodes, embedded processors, and actuators [1]. Under the APJ Abdul Kalam Technological University 2019 Scheme curriculum, advanced computing paradigms emphasize the convergence of low-power embedded systems, distributed intelligence, and green computing. Millions of autonomous IoT devices perform continuous data acquisition, edge preprocessing, and wireless transmission. However, these nodes operate under tight energy budgets, frequently constrained by miniature lithium coin cells or micro-capacitors operating within micro-to-milliwatt power envelopes [2].

Energy exhaustion in a single node can lead to network partitioning, loss of critical telemetry data, and system failure [3]. While advances in semiconductor technology have drastically reduced the static power footprint of microcontrollers, the exponential growth of sensing frequencies and high-bandwidth wireless telemetry offsets these gains [4]. The central challenge lies in maximizing operational longevity without compromising Quality of Service (QoS), throughput, or data freshness.

Addressing this challenge requires an integrated approach that spans hardware dynamic power management, cross-layer networking protocols, environmental energy harvesting, and algorithmic intelligence at the edge [5]. No single layer of the IoT stack can, on its own, deliver the order of magnitude improvements in operational lifetime that modern deployments demand; a sensor that harvests ambient energy but runs an inefficient routing protocol will still fail prematurely, just as a perfectly duty-cycled radio cannot compensate for a battery that has chemically degraded past usable capacity. This survey therefore treats energy management as a cross-cutting systems problem rather than a property of any single component, and organizes the discussion accordingly: first the physical sources of power dissipation, then communication-layer optimizations, then hardware and operating-system mechanisms, then ambient harvesting and intermittent computing, then edge intelligence, and finally the security trade-offs that accompany every energy-saving design decision.

2. SYSTEM MODEL AND POWER PROFILING IN IOT NODES

To systematically optimize IoT energy management, one must establish an analytical model of the internal power dissipation mechanisms of an IoT node. A standard sensor node comprises four key subsystems: the sensing unit, the processing unit, the wireless transceiver, and the power management unit (PMU) [6]. The cumulative energy consumption over an operational frame T can be mathematically modeled as:

$$E_{total} = E_{sensing} + E_{processing} + E_{communication} + E_{sleep} \quad (1)$$

Each component exhibits distinct transient and steady-state electrical profiles. The sensing unit consumes energy proportional to sensor warm-up times, analog-to-digital converter (ADC) sampling durations, and transducer excitation current. The processing unit dissipation is governed by both dynamic power and static leakage power. Dynamic power dissipation is expressed by the complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) switching equation:

$$P_{dynamic} = \alpha \cdot C_{load} \cdot V_{dd}^2 \cdot f_{clk} \quad (2)$$

where α represents the activity factor, C_{load} is the capacitive load, V_{dd} is the supply voltage, and f_{clk} is the clock frequency [7]. Static power consumption $P_{static} = V_{dd} \cdot I_{leak}$ remains active even during idle processor execution states due to sub-threshold leakage currents.

The wireless transceiver typically represents the single largest consumer of energy within an IoT node. RF state transitions between Sleep, Idle Listening, Receiving (RX), and Transmitting (TX) consume orders of magnitude more power than low-power processing cores [8]. For example, transmitting a single bit of data over a long-range wireless channel can draw energy equivalent to executing thousands of CPU clock cycles. Consequently, minimizing radio duty cycles and raw data payload volumes forms the cornerstone of effective power optimization models [9].

3. COMMUNICATION PROTOCOL LAYER OPTIMIZATIONS

Communication protocol optimization must be implemented across multiple network layers to reduce power overhead while maintaining acceptable packet delivery ratios. The following subsections examine the link layer and the network layer in turn, since each introduces a distinct class of energy waste and a correspondingly distinct family of mitigations.

3.1 Physical and Medium Access Control (MAC) Layer Mechanisms

At the link layer, energy waste primarily stems from collisions, idle listening, overhearing, and control packet overhead [10]. Traditional contention-based protocols like CSMA/CA induce substantial energy drain during idle listening. Energy-efficient MAC protocols employ adaptive duty cycling, dividing operational time into active and sleep intervals. Low Power Listening (LPL) and Receiver-Initiated MAC protocols allow nodes to remain in deep sleep modes for up to 99% of their operational cycles, waking up periodically to sample the channel for preamble signals [11].

Modern ultra-low-power protocols tailored for IEEE 802.15.4, Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE), and Low Power Wide-Area Networks (LPWANs, such as LoRaWAN and NB-IoT) dynamically adjust radio power based on link quality indicators (LQI) and distance metrics to minimize excessive RF output power [12].

3.2 Network Layer Energy-Aware Routing

Routing protocols in multi-hop wireless sensor networks must distribute forwarding workloads evenly across all nodes to prevent energy hotspots and premature network death [13]. Energy-aware routing algorithms extend network lifetime by evaluating remaining battery capacities alongside traditional metrics like shortest hop count. Protocols such as the Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks (RPL) integrate objective functions that calculate link cost based on estimated transmission count (ETX) combined with residual node energy levels [14].

Similarly, cluster-based routing protocols partition networks into localized clusters where dynamic cluster heads aggregate sensor data before long-distance transmission to the gateway node. This spatial aggregation drastically reduces network-wide communication overhead [15].

4. DYNAMIC HARDWARE AND OS ENERGY MANAGEMENT

At the node level, intelligent operating systems manage hardware resources dynamically to align energy draw with momentary computational demands. This coordination happens at two levels: the hardware itself can scale its own operating point, and the operating system can decide when hardware should be active at all.

4.1 Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling (DVFS) and Power Gating

Embedded microcontrollers utilize Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling (DVFS) to scale operating frequency f_{clk} and supply voltage V_{dd} down when processing low-priority tasks [7]. Because dynamic power scales quadratically with voltage, executing workloads at the lowest viable frequency reduces overall energy per task compared to running at maximum speed followed by idle waiting. Furthermore, fine-grained power gating physically isolates unused memory banks, peripheral buses, and analog blocks via sleep transistors, virtually cutting off static leakage currents during prolonged inactive periods [11].

4.2 Operating System Primitives and Sleep Mode Orchestration

Low-power real-time operating systems (RTOS) designed for IoT such as Contiki-OS, TinyOS and FreeRTOS integrate tickless idle modes and event-driven schedulers [8]. In a tickless scheduler, the system clock interrupt is dynamically rescheduled to fire only when an application event or periodic timer requires processing, preventing the microcontroller from waking unnecessarily on every timer tick.

These operating systems provide tiered sleep primitives ranging from shallow standby states (where the CPU pauses but clock sources remain active) to deep power-down states (where all clocks and RAM retention circuits are disabled, leaving only ultra-low-power real-time clock interrupts active) [6].

5. AMBIENT ENERGY HARVESTING AND STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES

While conservation extends battery life, environmental energy harvesting enables self-sustaining, perpetual IoT node operation by collecting ambient energy and converting it into electrical power [2].

5.1 Energy Transducers and Harvesting Sources

Energy harvesting systems capture ambient energy from diverse physical sources [3]. Photovoltaic (PV) cells yield high power densities (10–100 mW/cm²) under outdoor solar illumination, though indoor performance degrades significantly. Thermoelectric generators (TEGs) convert ambient thermal gradients into electrical current via the Seebeck effect, producing continuous power in industrial pipelines or wearable contexts.

Piezoelectric transducers convert mechanical vibrations, structural stress, or acoustic waves into alternating current, while radio-frequency (RF) energy harvesters rectify ambient electromagnetic fields such as Wi-Fi, cellular signals, and TV broadcasts—into direct current, providing low but stable microwatt-level power in urban environments [14].

5.2 Power Conditioning and Intermittent Computing Models

Energy harvesting sources yield variable voltages and currents dependent on unpredictable environmental conditions. Consequently, energy management systems employ Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) circuits to maintain optimal operating voltage for maximum power extraction [10]. The harvested energy is buffered in secondary storage media, such as supercapacitors or solid-state thin-film lithium batteries. Supercapacitors offer high power density and effectively unlimited charge-discharge cycles, but suffer from significant self-discharge rates compared to chemical batteries [3].

When ambient energy availability is erratic, nodes must adopt intermittent computing models [12]. Under these models, devices monitor their energy storage voltage, executing computations in short bursts when power is available. When stored energy drops below an execution threshold, the node checkpoints system state and volatile RAM into non-volatile memory (NVM) such as FRAM or MRAM. Upon power restoration, the node resumes execution without incurring the energy penalty of a full system reboot [6].

6. MACHINE LEARNING AND INTELLIGENCE AT THE EDGE

The integration of lightweight artificial intelligence directly on IoT microcontrollers referred to as TinyML fundamentally changes energy management trade-offs by shifting system optimization from hard-coded heuristics to real-time predictive control [5].

6.1 Reinforcement Learning for Adaptive Duty Cycling

Static sleep-wake schedules fail to adapt to dynamic environments. Machine learning algorithms, particularly lightweight Q-learning and contextual multi-armed bandits, allow sensor nodes to learn optimal duty cycling policies autonomously [12]. By observing environmental patterns, link qualities, and energy harvesting rates, an RL agent formulates policy maps that select sleep intervals and transmission powers to maximize network throughput while avoiding battery depletion. These models run on low-footprint microcontrollers using quantized fixed-point mathematical operations to keep computational energy overhead minimal [7].

6.2 Data Compression, Dimensionality Reduction, and Edge Offloading

Transmitting raw sensor data over wireless links consumes significantly more power than performing on-node processing [9]. Consequently, localized data reduction techniques reduce transmission frequency without losing critical insights. Lossy and lossless temporal data compression algorithms (such as Run-Length Encoding and lightweight Huffman coding), alongside spatial data aggregation methods (like Principal Component Analysis), condense raw time-series data streams into compact feature vectors prior to RF transmission [15].

Additionally, intelligent task-offloading algorithms evaluate edge processing latency, local battery reserves, and wireless channel conditions to decide whether tasks should execute locally on the microcontroller or be offloaded to edge servers [5].

7. ENERGY-AWARE SECURITY MECHANISMS

Securing IoT devices introduces a critical trade-off between cryptographic protection and energy consumption. Modern cryptographic ciphers (such as RSA or standard elliptic curve cryptography) require heavy modular exponentiations, consuming non-trivial energy that can quickly drain battery-powered nodes [4].

Energy-aware security optimizes cryptographic implementations specifically for resourceconstrained architectures. Symmetric ciphers tailored for low-power environments such as ASCON, PRESENT, and SPECK reduce hardware gate counts and cycle complexity, yielding lower energy consumption per encrypted byte than legacy protocols [9]. Furthermore, dynamic security scaling algorithms monitor current battery states, allowing

nodes to adjust key lengths or authentication frequencies adaptively: high security states are maintained when power is abundant, while energy-preserving operational modes are engaged when reserves drop toward critical thresholds [14].

8. SUMMARY OF CHALLENGES AND APPROACHES

Table I consolidates the principal challenges surveyed in Sections III through VII alongside the representative algorithmic or architectural approach that addresses each one, providing a compact reference for readers designing a new energy-constrained IoT deployment.

Table 1. Summary of key IOT energy management challenges and representative solutions

Challenge	Representative Approach	Key Idea
Idle-listening and duty-cycle waste	Low Power Listening / Receiver-Initiated MAC [11]	Nodes sleep for up to 99% of the cycle, waking briefly to sample the channel for preamble signals.
Routing hotspots and premature node death	RPL with ETX + residual energy [14]	Objective function balances hop cost against each node's remaining battery capacity.
Static, non-adaptive sleep schedules	RL-based adaptive duty cycling (Q-learning) [12]	Agent learns sleep/transmit policies from link quality and harvesting rate to avoid depletion.
Erratic harvested power supply	MPPT with checkpointed intermittent computing [6],[10]	State is saved to non-volatile memory so execution resumes without a full reboot penalty.
High cryptographic energy cost	Lightweight ciphers: ASCON, PRESENT, SPECK [9]	Reduced gate count and cycle complexity lower the energy spent per encrypted byte.

9. OPEN RESEARCH CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite advancements across hardware and software domains, several key research challenges persist. First, cross-layer optimization frameworks remain underdeveloped: existing power management strategies often operate in isolation within specific abstraction layers, and developing cross-layer power orchestration architectures that seamlessly unify hardware power gating, network-layer routing, dynamic application execution, and energy harvesting remains a major research priority [13].

Second, battery degradation and aging models require further attention. Repeated chargedischarge cycles in energy-harvesting IoT nodes accelerate electro-chemical degradation, and energy management algorithms must account for capacity fading and internal resistance buildup over time to prevent unexpected node failure [2].

Third, the transition toward batteryless and fully transient computing introduces its own open problems. Moving from battery-supported nodes to completely batteryless architectures requires reliable transient software frameworks, fast non-volatile state save/restore mechanisms, and predictable forward-progress execution models under high power volatility [6].

Finally, the emergence of post-quantum cryptography (PQC) poses significant computational challenges for constrained IoT microcontrollers. Developing post-quantum algorithms optimized for sub-milliwatt execution budgets is a critical mandate for future IoT security architectures [4]. Taken together, these four directions suggest that the next generation of energy-aware IoT research will need to treat power, connectivity, computation, and security as a single jointlyoptimized design space rather than four independent concerns.

10. CONCLUSION

Optimizing energy management in the Internet of Things is an essential requirement for building scalable, sustainable, and reliable smart environments. As explored in this paper, achieving optimal energy efficiency requires an integrated design methodology that combines low-power hardware profiling, dynamic sleep scheduling, energy-aware communication protocols, ambient energy harvesting, and edge-level intelligence.

By replacing static operating heuristics with adaptive algorithms, next-generation IoT systems can dramatically extend battery lifetimes or operate autonomously as self-sustaining batteryless nodes. Overcoming remaining challenges in cross-layer control, battery longevity modeling, and low-power post-quantum security will be pivotal in realizing the full potential of green, perpetual Internet of Things deployments.

Ultimately, the trajectory traced in this survey from power-profiled hardware, through duty-cycled and energy-aware communication, to harvesting-driven intermittent computing and edge intelligence illustrates that energy management is no longer a peripheral engineering concern but a first-class design constraint that shapes every architectural decision made across the IoT stack.

REFERENCES

1. A. Al-Fuqaha, M. Guizani, M. Mohammadi, M. Aledhari, and M. Ayyash, "Internet of Things: A survey on enabling technologies, protocols, and applications," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 2347–2376, 2015.
2. S. Sudevalayam and P. Kulkarni, "Energy harvesting sensor nodes: Survey and implications," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 443–461, 2011.
3. F. K. Shaikh and S. Zeadally, "Energy harvesting in wireless sensor networks: A comprehensive review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 55, pp. 1041–1054, 2016.
4. T. Ojha, S. Misra, and N. S. Raghuwanshi, "Wireless sensor networks for clean environment: A survey," *IET Wireless Sensor Systems*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 106–113, 2015.
5. L. Atzori, A. Iera, and G. Morabito, "The Internet of Things: A survey," *Computer Networks*, vol. 54, no. 15, pp. 2787–2805, 2010.
6. M. T. Lazarescu, "Design of a wireless sensor network platform for low-power wearable applications," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 62, no. 9, pp. 2569–2577, 2013.
7. M. A. A. H. El-Maleh and A. P. Chandrakasan, "Energy-aware dynamic voltage and frequency scaling algorithms for embedded IoT platforms," *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1890–1901, 2019.
8. A. Dunkels, B. Gronvall, and T. Voigt, "Contiki – a lightweight and flexible operating system for tiny networked sensors," in *Proc. 29th Annual IEEE International Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN)*, 2004, pp. 455–462.
9. A. S. A. Ghaffar, M. H. Anisi, and I. Ali, "Energy efficient routing protocols in wireless sensor networks: A review," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 93450–93468, 2021.
10. S. Prabha and V. R. Sharma, "Survey on energy harvesting techniques and power management strategies for IoT devices," *IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 812–825, 2022.
11. C. P. Bormann, M. Ersue, and A. Keranen, "Terminology for Constrained-Node Networks," RFC 7228, Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), 2014.
12. H. J. Loschi, L. A. C. Motta, and F. D. V. R. Da Silva, "A review on IoT and machine learning for energy management," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 195721–195740, 2020.
13. M. R. Palattella, N. Accettura, X. Vilajosana, T. Watteyne, L. A. Grieco, and G. Boggia, "Standardized Internet of Things for Smart Cities: The IEEE 802.15.4 and 6LoWPAN Architecture," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 51, no. 9, pp. 100–106, 2013.
14. X. Lu, P. Wang, D. Niyato, D. I. Kim, and Z. Han, "Wireless networks with RF energy harvesting: A contemporary survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 757–789, 2015.
15. R. C. Shah and J. M. Rabaey, "Energy aware routing for low power wireless sensor networks," in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*, 2002, vol. 1, pp. 350–355.