



International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Gandhian Political Ethics and the Crisis of Values in Contemporary Politics in India

Dr. NALIVELUGU SUNILKUMAR

Researcher Dept of Political Science Acharya Nagarjuna University

ABSTRACT :

Politics is fundamentally concerned with the exercise of power, the organisation of collective life and the pursuit of public goals. Yet political institutions cannot function effectively without ethical principles that regulate the use of power and public authority. Mahatma Gandhi developed a distinctive conception of political ethics in which politics was inseparable from morality, truth, non-violence, self-discipline, public service and responsibility. His political philosophy challenged the conventional separation between moral life and political action and insisted that ethical means were essential to legitimate political ends. In contemporary India, debates concerning political values increasingly involve questions of accountability, corruption, public trust, political polarisation, misuse of power, materialism and the weakening of public responsibility. This theoretical paper examines Gandhian political ethics as a framework for understanding these challenges. It discusses the principles of truth, non-violence, purity of means and ends, Swaraj, trusteeship, simplicity and ethical leadership. The paper argues that Gandhian thought does not provide a mechanical political programme for contemporary India; rather, it offers an ethical framework through which democratic politics can be critically examined. Its continuing relevance lies in the emphasis on responsible power, moral self-restraint, dialogue, public service and the dignity of every individual.

Keywords: Mahatma Gandhi, political ethics, truth, non-violence, Swaraj, democracy, political values, public responsibility, India.

1. Introduction

Politics is not merely a process of acquiring and exercising power. It is also a means through which societies define collective priorities, distribute public resources and establish relationships between citizens and institutions. Democracy therefore requires more than constitutional rules and electoral procedures. It requires political values that encourage responsibility, accountability, tolerance, justice and respect for human dignity.

Mahatma Gandhi occupies a distinctive position in the history of political thought because he placed ethics at the centre of political action. For Gandhi, politics could not be separated from moral life. Truth and non-violence were not simply personal virtues but principles that could guide social and political action. The Gandhi Heritage Portal identifies truth, non-violence, Satyagraha, equality and related principles as central themes in Gandhi's life and thought, while also providing access to his collected writings and major texts.

The contemporary political environment raises questions about the relationship between power and values. Democratic politics inevitably involves competition, disagreement and conflicting interests. The problem arises when political competition becomes detached from ethical responsibility. Concerns relating to corruption, political opportunism, excessive dependence on money and power, intolerance, declining public trust and the treatment of political opponents as enemies can be examined through the broader question of political ethics.

Gandhian political thought provides an important theoretical lens for this discussion. Gandhi did not view politics as an independent sphere in which ordinary moral standards could be suspended. His approach required individuals and political actors to examine both their objectives and the methods used to achieve them. His philosophy of Satyagraha, for example, connected truth with disciplined non-violent action rather than with the coercive acquisition of power.

This paper therefore examines Gandhian political ethics and its relevance to the crisis of values in contemporary Indian politics. It is a conceptual and theoretical study based primarily on Gandhi's writings and relevant scholarly interpretations.

2. Understanding Gandhian Political Ethics

Gandhian political ethics may be understood as an approach to politics in which moral principles regulate political objectives, methods and relationships. Gandhi's political thought developed through his experiments with truth, social movements and struggles against injustice. His political philosophy cannot be reduced to a single principle because truth, non-violence, Satyagraha, Swaraj, self-discipline, service and responsibility are interconnected.

The Gandhi Heritage Portal provides access to Gandhi's major works, including *Hind Swaraj*, *Satyagraha in South Africa*, *The Story of My Experiments with Truth* and *Constructive Programme*. These writings demonstrate that Gandhi's political ideas emerged from a combination of philosophical reflection and practical experience.

At the centre of Gandhian ethics is the conviction that political action must be morally accountable. Power should not become an instrument for personal enrichment or domination. Instead, political authority should be exercised as a form of public responsibility.

Gandhian ethics therefore raises three interconnected questions:

1. **What is the moral purpose of political action?**
2. **What methods are legitimate in pursuing political objectives?**
3. **How should individuals exercise power over others?**

These questions remain significant because democratic institutions can formally exist while political behaviour may still be guided by narrow self-interest.

3. Truth as the Foundation of Political Ethics

Truth occupies a central position in Gandhi's political philosophy. Gandhi's conception of truth was not merely a requirement to avoid factual falsehood. It involved an ethical commitment to sincerity, honesty and moral consistency.

For Gandhi, politics without truth could become manipulation. Political communication, public promises and leadership therefore carried ethical responsibilities. A political actor who deliberately creates deception for personal or political advantage weakens the relationship between citizens and democratic institutions.

The Gandhi Heritage Portal preserves Gandhi's writings in the *Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi*, which provide a substantial primary-source basis for studying his understanding of truth and political conduct.

Truth also required humility. Gandhi did not regard personal opinion as automatically identical with absolute truth. This created space for dialogue and correction. In a plural democratic society, such an approach is particularly significant. Democracy depends upon disagreement, but disagreement becomes destructive when political actors deny the legitimacy of every alternative viewpoint.

A Gandhian understanding of truth therefore suggests that democratic political culture should encourage:

- honesty in public communication;
- transparency in public affairs;
- willingness to acknowledge mistakes;
- respect for opposing viewpoints;
- consistency between public principles and political conduct.

Truth, in this sense, is both a personal discipline and a public political value.

4. Non-Violence and Democratic Politics

Non-violence, or *Ahimsa*, is another fundamental element of Gandhian political ethics. Gandhi transformed non-violence from a personal moral principle into a method of collective political action. Satyagraha represented a disciplined form of resistance based upon truth and non-violence.

The significance of non-violence extends beyond the absence of physical violence. It involves an ethical attitude toward political opponents. Political disagreement should not automatically lead to hatred, humiliation or dehumanisation.

Scholarly work on Gandhian political ethics has emphasised that Gandhi's rejection of violence was connected not only with moral considerations but also with his conception of political conflict and democratic values.

In contemporary democratic politics, the Gandhian approach can be interpreted as an argument for peaceful political disagreement. Elections, legislative debate, public protest and civil society activism can all involve intense disagreement without requiring the destruction of social relationships.

Non-violence therefore has a wider political meaning: it encourages restraint, dialogue and respect for human dignity even in situations of serious disagreement.

5. Purity of Means and Ends

One of Gandhi's most important contributions to political ethics is his insistence on the relationship between means and ends. Political actors frequently justify questionable methods by claiming that their ultimate objective is desirable. Gandhi challenged this separation.

From a Gandhian perspective, an ethical objective cannot be consistently achieved through unethical methods. The method itself shapes the political culture and social relationships that emerge from political action.

This principle has considerable theoretical significance. If dishonesty, intimidation or manipulation becomes normalised as a political method, the resulting political culture may reproduce those practices even after the immediate political objective has been achieved.

Gandhian political ethics therefore demands consistency between:

ethical objective → ethical method → ethical political relationship.

Research on Gandhi's political ethics has similarly examined the relationship between non-violent methods and the ends sought through political action.

The principle does not imply that political conflict can be eliminated. Rather, it proposes that conflict should be conducted through morally responsible methods.

6. Swaraj and Responsible Citizenship

Swaraj is frequently translated as self-rule, but Gandhi's conception was broader than political independence from colonial rule. It involved self-discipline and the capacity of individuals and communities to govern themselves responsibly.

Political freedom without moral self-control could become another form of domination. Consequently, Swaraj requires both institutional freedom and ethical citizenship.

This idea is important for contemporary democracy. Democratic institutions cannot function effectively if citizens and leaders understand freedom only as the ability to pursue private interests. Freedom also involves responsibility toward others and toward the wider community.

Gandhian Swaraj therefore connects:

- individual self-discipline;
- community responsibility;
- political participation;
- decentralisation;
- social cooperation;
- moral autonomy.

The concept provides a theoretical basis for understanding democracy not merely as a system of government but as a culture of responsible citizenship.

7. Ethical Leadership and Public Service

Gandhi's understanding of political leadership differed from a purely power-centred model. Leadership was associated with service, sacrifice, discipline and responsibility.

The leader, in this conception, should not treat political office primarily as an instrument for personal status or material advantage. Political authority carries obligations toward society.

This idea is particularly relevant to discussions of public trust. When citizens perceive political leadership as distant from ordinary social concerns, democratic legitimacy can be weakened. Gandhian ethics instead emphasises proximity to people, simplicity and service.

Gandhi's political writings repeatedly connected moral character with public action. His journals and writings, including *Young India*, *Harijan* and *Navajivan*, constitute important primary sources for studying these ideas. The Gandhi Heritage Portal provides access to these publications.

Ethical leadership does not mean that political leaders must be perfect. Gandhi's own political philosophy was grounded in continuous experimentation and self-correction. Rather, it requires political actors to accept responsibility for the consequences of their actions and to subject power to moral discipline.

8. The Crisis of Values in Contemporary Indian Politics

The phrase "crisis of values" should not be understood as claiming that every aspect of contemporary Indian politics is unethical. Indian democracy contains strong constitutional institutions, electoral participation, civil society organisations, public debate and multiple forms of political representation.

The expression instead refers to tensions that arise when political competition conflicts with principles of public responsibility.

Several dimensions can be identified theoretically.

8.1 Power and Political Opportunism

Political competition can encourage short-term calculations. When immediate political advantage becomes more important than consistent principles, ideological commitments may become secondary to tactical considerations.

A Gandhian perspective would question whether political action remains ethically legitimate when principles are treated merely as instruments of convenience.

8.2 Money and Material Interests

The increasing importance of material resources in political competition raises questions about equality and access. Gandhi's emphasis on simplicity and trusteeship provides a contrasting ethical framework in which wealth carries social responsibility rather than merely private entitlement.

8.3 Decline of Public Trust

Democracy depends significantly upon public confidence in institutions and representatives. When citizens perceive a gap between political promises and political behaviour, trust can be weakened.

Truth as a Gandhian political principle provides a conceptual framework for addressing this gap. Political communication should not merely persuade; it should carry an obligation toward honesty and responsibility.

8.4 Political Polarisation

Democratic disagreement is normal. However, intense polarisation can turn political opponents into social enemies. Gandhian non-violence suggests an alternative model in which disagreement remains possible without abandoning respect for the dignity of opponents.

8.5 Ethics and Public Administration

Gandhian political ethics also has implications for public administration. Public officials exercise authority on behalf of citizens. Therefore, accountability, impartiality, integrity and service should be considered essential components of administrative ethics.

The Gandhian emphasis on service provides a conceptual basis for understanding public administration as a form of social responsibility rather than merely bureaucratic authority.

9. Gandhian Ethics and Contemporary Democratic Challenges

Gandhian political ethics should not be treated as a ready-made solution to every contemporary political problem. India today differs substantially from the political and social environment in which Gandhi developed his ideas. Modern democracy involves mass media, digital communication, complex economies, professional political organisations and large-scale administrative institutions.

Nevertheless, Gandhi's principles can be interpreted as ethical standards rather than rigid political instructions.

- **Truth** can encourage responsible political communication.
- **Non-violence** can encourage peaceful democratic disagreement.
- **Satyagraha** can provide a framework for principled resistance to injustice.
- **Swaraj** can encourage responsible citizenship and decentralised participation.
- **Trusteeship** can encourage social responsibility in the use of economic resources.
- **Simplicity** can challenge excessive materialism in public life.
- **Service** can redefine political leadership as public responsibility.

A contemporary scholarly study of Gandhi's moral writings similarly argues that truth and non-violence remained central to Gandhi's moral and social vision while considering their significance for changing India.

The continuing relevance of Gandhian ethics therefore lies less in reproducing Gandhi's historical political methods exactly and more in using his principles to critically examine the ethical foundations of democratic politics.

10. Limitations of Gandhian Political Ethics

A balanced theoretical analysis must also recognise the limitations involved in applying Gandhian ethics to contemporary politics.

First, Gandhi's ideal of personal moral discipline is demanding and may be difficult to institutionalise. Modern democratic systems cannot depend entirely

upon the personal morality of political leaders.

Second, non-violent methods may face difficulties in situations involving severe coercion or organised violence. Scholarly analysis of Gandhi's approach has itself examined the complexities and exceptions surrounding his rejection of violence.

Third, contemporary political institutions operate within complex economic and technological environments that Gandhi could not have anticipated.

Fourth, concepts such as trusteeship require further institutional interpretation if they are to address modern questions of economic inequality, corporate power and public accountability.

These limitations do not necessarily eliminate the value of Gandhian ethics. They indicate that Gandhi should be read critically rather than mechanically.

11. Conclusion

Gandhian political ethics represents an important contribution to the relationship between morality and politics. Gandhi challenged the assumption that political success could justify unethical methods. His emphasis on truth, non-violence, Satyagraha, Swaraj, self-discipline, service and responsibility established an ethical framework in which political power was expected to remain accountable to moral principles.

The contemporary crisis of political values in India can therefore be examined through the Gandhian distinction between power and responsible public action. Political institutions alone cannot guarantee ethical politics. Democratic values also depend upon the conduct of citizens, political leaders, public officials and civil society.

Gandhian political ethics does not offer a simple formula for resolving contemporary political problems. Its significance is instead philosophical and normative: it asks political actors to consider not only what they seek to achieve but also how they pursue it. It places truth above manipulation, non-violence above coercion, service above self-interest and responsibility above unrestricted power.

The continuing relevance of Gandhi consequently lies in the ethical questions his political philosophy raises. In a democratic society, politics requires competition, but competition need not eliminate ethical responsibility. Political disagreement is unavoidable, but it need not destroy respect. Power is necessary for governance, but power requires restraint and accountability.

Thus, the Gandhian approach can be understood as an ethical framework for strengthening democratic political culture. Its contemporary value lies not in romanticising the past but in encouraging renewed reflection on the relationship between political power, public responsibility and moral values.

REFERENCES

1. Gandhi, M. K. (1909). *Hind Swaraj or Indian Home Rule*. Navajivan Publishing House.
2. Gandhi, M. K. (1927). *The Story of My Experiments with Truth*. Navajivan Publishing House.
3. Gandhi, M. K. (1945). *Constructive Programme: Its Meaning and Place*. Navajivan Publishing House.
4. Gandhi, M. K. (1958–1994). *The Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi*. Publications Division, Government of India.
5. Gandhi Heritage Portal. (n.d.). *The Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi*. Sabarmati Ashram Preservation and Memorial Trust.
6. Gandhi Heritage Portal. (n.d.). *Journals by Gandhiji*. Sabarmati Ashram Preservation and Memorial Trust.
7. Khare, R. S. (2021). M. K. Gandhi, our moral action compass: His selected guiding communications for the changing India. *Journal of Human Values*, 27(1), 7–25.
8. Kumar, C. B. (2024). Gandhi and election: An exploration. *Indian Historical Review*, 51(1), 85–100.
9. Livingston, A. (2018). Fidelity to truth: Gandhi and the genealogy of civil disobedience. *Political Theory*, 46(4), 511–536.
10. Pontara, G. (1965). The rejection of violence in Gandhian ethics of conflict resolution. *Journal of Peace Research*, 2(3).