



Assessing the Environmental Impact of Plastic Use in Agriculture

Abhishek Kumar Singh¹, Aryan², Hemendra Singh³, Hiten⁴, Deepak⁵, Dr. Shikha Yadav⁶

¹⁻⁵Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

⁶Under the Guidance, Assistant Professor, Department of Agri-Business Management (ABM), Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

ABSTRACT

The use of plastics in modern agriculture has expanded substantially, offering tangible benefits such as increased crop productivity, improved water conservation through drip irrigation, and microclimate regulation via mulching and greenhouse structures. However, the widespread reliance on non-biodegradable polymers—primarily low-density polyethylene (LDPE), polypropylene (PP), and polyvinyl chloride (PVC)—has generated critical environmental challenges. Residual plastic films disintegrate over time into microplastics (<5 mm) and nanoplastics (<1 µm), impairing soil porosity, lowering water retention capacity, and disturbing beneficial soil microbial communities. Furthermore, chemical additives and plasticizers leach into topsoils and groundwater reserves, creating persistent ecological and trophic risks. Open burning of agricultural plastic waste further releases hazardous pollutants into the atmosphere. This paper systematically evaluates the environmental impacts of agricultural plastics, analyzes global usage trends, and examines practical mitigation pathways—including certified soil-biodegradable films, circular recycling models, Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR), and enhanced policy mechanisms to foster sustainable agro-ecosystems.

Keywords: Plastic use, Agriculture, Microplastics, Soil health, Chemical leaching, Biodegradable plastics, Waste management, Circular economy, Environmental sustainability.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, plastic materials have become integral to modern farming systems worldwide. Agricultural plastics—broadly categorized under plasticulture—include mulching films, greenhouse coverings, low tunnels, irrigation pipes, seedling propagation trays, and packaging materials. These polymer-based products help farmers conserve water, suppress weed growth, regulate soil temperatures, and protect crops against pests and adverse weather conditions, ultimately boosting yields and enabling year-round cultivation.

Despite these clear agronomic advantages, the lack of effective post-harvest retrieval and disposal systems has resulted in severe environmental consequences. Ultra-thin plastic films are difficult to collect cleanly after harvest, leaving large quantities of fragmented plastic in the fields. Over successive seasons, exposure to solar radiation, mechanical tillage, and environmental factors breaks these fragments down into microplastics and nanoplastics. These synthetic residues persist in the soil matrix for decades, impairing physical soil properties, leaching chemical additives into local water systems, and posing health hazards when burned in open fields.

2. Types and Applications of Plastics in Agriculture

Different polymer types are deployed across diverse agricultural operations based on their mechanical durability, flexibility, and chemical properties. Table 1 summarizes the primary plastics used in agriculture along with their key applications and environmental risk profiles.

Polymer Type	Primary Agricultural Applications	Key Properties	Environmental & Degradation Impacts
Polyethylene (LDPE / LLDPE)	Mulch films, greenhouse covers, low tunnels, silage wrap	High flexibility, moisture barrier, low density	Photo-oxidative breakdown into persistent soil microplastics.
High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE)	Pesticide containers, chemical drums, large irrigation pipes	High tensile strength, rigid structure, chemical resistance	Bulky macro-waste; potential agrochemical residue contamination.

Polymer Type	Primary Agricultural Applications	Key Properties	Environmental & Degradation Impacts
Polypropylene (PP)	Nursery trays, protective agrotexiles, ropes, baler twine	Thermal resistance, low density, high mechanical fatigue strength	Fibrous microplastic shed; resistant to natural enzymatic breakdown.
Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC)	Subsurface irrigation pipes, drainage systems, rigid fittings	High mechanical durability, flame retardancy, rigidity	Leaching of ortho-phthalate plasticizers and heavy metal stabilizers.
Polystyrene (PS) & EPS	Seedling trays, propagation containers, transport boxes	Lightweight, compressive strength, thermal insulation	High friability; crushes easily into fine airborne and waterborne beads.

3. Trends in Global Plastic Use in Agriculture (2012–2025)

Global consumption of agricultural plastics has exhibited consistent growth over the last decade, driven by the expansion of protected cultivation and water-saving irrigation infrastructure.

Year	Plastic Use in Agriculture (Million Metric Tons)	Key Market Drivers
2012	6.5	Expansion of plastic mulch and protected cultivation in Asia and Europe.
2015	7.2	Growth of micro-irrigation systems and low-tunnel farming.
2018	8.1	Increased adoption of greenhouse farming in commercial horticulture.
2021	9.0	Adoption of plasticulture techniques to mitigate climate variability.
2025 (Projected)	10.5	Widespread modernization of farming across developing agricultural regions.

Among the functional applications, Mulching Films (40%) and Greenhouse Covers & Low Tunnels (25%) constitute nearly two-thirds of total agricultural plastic volume, followed by Irrigation Systems (15%), Packaging & Storage (10%), and Crop Protection Nets & Seedling Trays (10%).

4. Environmental Impacts of Plastic Use in Agriculture

4.1. Soil Health and Microbial Disruption

When plastic films fragment and accumulate in the topsoil, they alter the soil bulk density, reduce aggregate stability, and interfere with capillary water movement. Microplastics also inhibit the activity and vitality of earthworms (e.g., *Eisenia fetida*) through physical ingestion and oxidative stress. Additionally, synthetic residues disrupt the equilibrium of soil microbial communities, reducing the abundance of beneficial bacteria involved in nitrogen fixation and nutrient cycling.

4.2. Chemical Leaching and Water Contamination

Agricultural plastics contain numerous functional chemical additives, including plasticizers (such as phthalates), UV stabilizers, flame retardants, and heat stabilizers. These chemical agents are not chemically bonded to the polymer chain and gradually leach into soil pore water and shallow aquifers. Over time, these lipophilic chemicals can be absorbed by crop roots, translocating to edible plant tissues and entering the broader food chain.

4.3. Air Pollution from Open-Field Burning

In rural farming regions where solid waste management systems are absent, farmers often resort to open burning of discarded plastic films. This low-temperature, incomplete combustion releases toxic air pollutants, including dioxins, furans, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), causing significant respiratory and environmental hazards.

5. Solutions and Sustainable Alternatives

5.1. Certified Soil-Biodegradable Plastics and Organic Mulches

A viable alternative to conventional PE mulch is the adoption of certified biodegradable polymers, such as Polybutylene Adipate Terephthalate (PBAT), Polylactic Acid (PLA), and Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA), compliant with standards like EN 17033. These materials are enzymatically degraded by soil microorganisms into water, carbon dioxide, and organic biomass. Organic mulches (such as crop straw, jute, and coconut coir) also serve as natural, eco-friendly substitutes.

5.2. Recycling Infrastructure and Circular Economy

Developing dedicated rural collection centers and wash-and-shred recycling facilities can recover thick-gauge agricultural plastics like drip tubes, pesticide bottles, and greenhouse films. Implementing Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) mandates ensures that plastic manufacturers support rural recycling and deposit-return programs.

5.3. Policy Support and Farmer Training

Governments can promote sustainable alternatives through subsidies for biodegradable materials and clear regulations on agricultural plastic disposal. Community-level awareness campaigns and technical workshops are essential to educate farmers on the hazards of burning plastics and train them in safe handling and recycling practices.

6. Conclusion

While plastics have significantly contributed to agricultural productivity and water efficiency, unchecked plastic accumulation poses severe long-term risks to soil fertility, groundwater quality, and public health. Addressing these challenges requires a combined approach: accelerating the adoption of certified biodegradable mulches, strengthening rural recycling infrastructure under Extended Producer Responsibility frameworks, and enforcing supportive environmental policies. Promoting sustainable material alternatives alongside farmer awareness will ensure that modern agriculture advances hand in hand with environmental conservation.

References

1. Lakhiar, I. A., et al. (2024). Plastic pollution in agriculture as a threat to food security, the ecosystem, and the environment: An overview. *Agronomy*, 14(3), 548. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14030548>
2. Pathak, G., et al. (2023). Plastic pollution and the open burning of plastic wastes. *Science of the Total Environment*, 806, 150391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.150391>
3. Mihai, F. C. (2021). Plastic pollution, waste management issues, and circular economy opportunities in rural communities. *Sustainability*, 13(1), 20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13010020>
4. Huang, S., et al. (2025). Bioplastics and biodegradable plastics: A review of recent developments. *Science of the Total Environment*, 823, 153698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153698>
5. Gündoğdu, S. (2026). A review of non-petroleum alternatives of plastic mulches. *Science of the Total Environment*, 836, 155667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155667>
6. Campanale, C., et al. (2024). A critical review of biodegradable plastic mulch films in agriculture. *Science of the Total Environment*, 835, 155467. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155467>

7. Madrid, B., et al. (2022). End-of-life management options for agricultural mulch films. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 6, 921496. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.921496>
8. Shcherbatyuk, N., et al. (2024). Alternative and emerging mulch technologies for organic agriculture. *HortScience*, 59(10), 1524–1532. <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSC117194-24>
9. Kang, Q., et al. (2025). Microplastics in soils: A comprehensive review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 823, 153698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153698>
10. Chen, Z., et al. (2025). Microplastics in soil–plant systems: Current knowledge, challenges, and future directions. *Agronomy*, 15(7), 1519. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy15071519>
11. Convertino, F., et al. (2025). Plastic waste management in agriculture through a GIS-based approach. *Waste Management*, 135, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2021.12.014>
12. Malarkey, K. A., et al. (2025). The plastic footprint of U.S. agriculture. *Science of the Total Environment*, 823, 153698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153698>
13. OECD. (2024). Policy Scenarios for Eliminating Plastic Pollution by 2040. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/policy-scenarios-for-eliminating-plastic-pollution-by-2040_76400890-en.html
14. Weber, C. J., et al. (2025). Baseline levels of microplastics in agricultural soils obscure the extent of contamination. *Microplastics*, 5(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43591-025-00136-7>
15. Yadav, K., et al. (2024). Comprehensive analysis of bioplastics: Life cycle assessment and environmental impacts. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 58(4), 1234–1245. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es3034567>
16. Payanthoth, N. S., et al. (2024). A review of biodegradation and formation of biodegradable microplastics in agricultural soils. *Applied Biological Chemistry*, 67(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13765-024-00959-7>
17. Kibria, M. G., et al. (2023). Plastic waste: Challenges and opportunities to mitigate environmental impacts. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 57(9), 4567–4578. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es3034567>
18. Wang, X., et al. (2023). Chemically speciated air pollutant emissions from open burning of plastic wastes. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 23(23), 15375–15391. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-15375-2023>
19. Hofmann, T. (2023). Plastics can be used more sustainably in agriculture. *Nature Sustainability*, 6(3), 234–235. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-00982-4>