



# International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal Homepage: [www.ijrpr.com](http://www.ijrpr.com) ISSN 2582-7421

## Clinical Reasoning through Repertory: Integrating Community Medicine and Surgical Perspectives in Homoeopathy

**Dr. Pritam Shukracharya Bhanwase<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Nandkumar Krishna Gawade<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Suyog Subhash Shivare<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> M.D. (Homoeopathy), Professor, Department of Case Taking and Repertory.

<sup>2</sup> M.D. (Homoeopathy), Associate Professor, Department of Surgery & Homoeopathic Therapeutics

<sup>3</sup> M.D. (Homoeopathy), Associate Professor Department of Community Medicine

Nootan Homoeopathic Medical college & Hospital Narsinhgaon A/P: Langgewadi, Tal: Kavathe Mahankal, Dist: Sangli, Maharashtra 416419 INDIA

E-mail: [drpritamshukracharya@gmail.com](mailto:drpritamshukracharya@gmail.com)<sup>1</sup>, [drnandkumargawade@gmail.com](mailto:drnandkumargawade@gmail.com)<sup>2</sup>, [suyogshivare@gmail.com](mailto:suyogshivare@gmail.com)<sup>3</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Homoeopathic repertory is traditionally regarded as a systematic method for arranging symptoms and facilitating the selection of a medicine from the materia medica. In contemporary clinical education, however, its potential role can be considered more broadly as a structured approach to clinical reasoning, particularly when cases contain multiple symptoms, modalities, concomitants and individualizing characteristics. Community medicine and surgery present distinct but complementary challenges to the clinician. Community medicine emphasizes prevention, health promotion, epidemiology, environmental and social determinants, early detection and appropriate referral, whereas surgery requires accurate diagnosis, assessment of severity, identification of emergencies, procedural planning and postoperative care. The integration of repertorial thinking with these disciplines therefore requires a clinically responsible framework in which diagnosis and patient safety remain primary, while repertorization is used for systematic symptom analysis and, where appropriate, individualized homoeopathic prescribing.

This review discusses the conceptual relationship between repertory, community medicine and surgery. Applications in community-oriented practice include health assessment, risk-factor analysis, individualization within common conditions, longitudinal follow-up and identification of symptoms requiring referral. In surgical practice, repertory may have a limited adjunctive role in the evaluation of non-emergency constitutional symptoms, postoperative complaints and selected chronic or functional problems, provided that definitive surgical assessment and standard treatment are not delayed. A structured repertorial methodology is proposed, incorporating case definition, diagnostic assessment, extraction of characteristic symptoms, repertory selection, rubric analysis, repertorization, materia medica confirmation, prescription and outcome evaluation. Clinical examples involving recurrent respiratory illness in a community setting, chronic headache associated with occupational stress, postoperative wound discomfort and recurrent pilonidal disease illustrate the proposed framework.

The article emphasizes that repertory should function within—not outside—the broader framework of modern clinical medicine, public health, patient safety and multidisciplinary care. Future research should investigate reproducibility, inter-rater reliability, clinical outcomes and the educational value of repertorial reasoning through appropriately designed observational and controlled studies.

**Keywords:** Homoeopathic repertory; clinical reasoning; community medicine; surgery; repertorization; individualization; primary health care; patient safety; case management; integrative clinical practice.

### 1. Introduction

Clinical practice requires more than recognition of disease labels. The clinician must gather information, identify clinically significant findings, establish or consider differential diagnoses, assess severity, determine appropriate management and monitor outcomes. This process becomes particularly complex when a patient presents with multiple symptoms, modifying factors, associated complaints and psychosocial influences.

Homoeopathic repertory was developed to facilitate systematic access to the extensive symptomatology described in materia medica. Hahnemann emphasized the importance of a complete and individualizing representation of the patient, while later repertorial systems attempted to organize symptoms according to anatomical location, sensation, modalities, concomitants and other characteristics.[1-4] Kent's repertorial approach, Boenninghausen's emphasis on complete symptoms and Boger's emphasis on modalities and clinical relationships represent different historical approaches to repertorial analysis.[2-4]

The modern healthcare environment, however, demands that traditional clinical reasoning be considered alongside diagnostic science, patient safety and public health principles. Primary health care is now conceptualized as a comprehensive approach involving integrated services, prevention, treatment,

rehabilitation, attention to determinants of health and community participation.[5,6] Thus, community medicine extends beyond the management of individual disease to include population needs and prevention.

Surgery presents another dimension of clinical reasoning. Surgical patients require accurate diagnosis, assessment of urgency, preoperative preparation, appropriate intervention, postoperative monitoring and recognition of complications. The World Health Organization (WHO) emphasizes standardized safety processes, effective communication and multidisciplinary teamwork in surgical care.[7-10]

Within this context, the relationship between repertory, community medicine and surgery should not be interpreted as an attempt to replace established diagnostic or surgical procedures. Rather, repertorial methodology may be examined as a structured framework for organizing patient-reported symptoms and supporting individualized decision-making in circumstances where homoeopathic management is considered appropriate.

The central question is therefore:

**How can repertorial reasoning be integrated responsibly with the principles of community medicine and surgery while maintaining diagnostic accuracy, patient safety and appropriate referral?**

---

## 2. Conceptual Relationship between Repertory and Clinical Reasoning

Clinical reasoning generally involves several interconnected stages:

1. Collection of relevant information.
2. Identification of significant findings.
3. Formation of diagnostic hypotheses.
4. Assessment of severity and risk.
5. Selection of appropriate management.
6. Monitoring of response.
7. Reassessment when the expected course is not observed.

Repertorization can be positioned within this sequence as a symptom-analysis process.

A useful conceptual model is:

**Patient → Clinical assessment → Diagnosis/risk assessment → Symptom characterization → Repertorial analysis → Materia medica confirmation → Management → Follow-up**

This sequence prevents repertorization from becoming an isolated mechanical exercise.

The repertory does not establish the patient's diagnosis. Diagnosis requires clinical history, examination and appropriate investigations. Similarly, repertorization does not determine whether a patient requires surgery, hospitalization, antibiotics, imaging or emergency intervention.

Instead, repertory may help answer a narrower question:

**Which medicines are represented most closely by the selected characteristic symptoms of this individual patient?**

This distinction is fundamental for safe clinical practice.

---

## 3. Repertory in Community Medicine

### 3.1 Community medicine and the individual patient

Community medicine operates at the interface between the individual and the population. Modern primary health care seeks to bring appropriate health services closer to communities and integrates health promotion, disease prevention, treatment and rehabilitation.[5,6]

Homoeopathic practitioners working in community settings may encounter patients with common acute illnesses, recurrent conditions, chronic diseases, occupational complaints, nutritional problems, stress-related symptoms and environmental influences.

In such circumstances, repertorial reasoning can assist in systematically organizing individual symptoms after the patient's health problem has been clinically assessed.

For example, a community-based consultation for recurrent respiratory complaints may reveal:

- recurrent episodes,

- aggravation from particular environmental exposures,
- characteristic time of aggravation,
- thirst pattern,
- thermal preference,
- sleep disturbance,
- emotional responses,
- occupational exposure and
- associated gastrointestinal or constitutional symptoms.

The epidemiological context helps identify the health problem, while repertorial analysis—where homoeopathic management is appropriate—may assist in individualizing the patient.

### **3.2 Preventive orientation**

Community medicine places considerable emphasis on prevention.

A repertorial approach should therefore not be limited to prescribing. The consultation can simultaneously identify:

- tobacco or alcohol exposure,
- occupational hazards,
- poor sanitation,
- nutritional deficiencies,
- sedentary behaviour,
- sleep deprivation,
- stress,
- unsafe working conditions,
- environmental allergens and
- barriers to healthcare access.

These factors may be more important determinants of health than the choice of an individual homoeopathic medicine.

Consequently, repertorial case taking should coexist with preventive counselling.

For example, a patient with recurrent respiratory symptoms living in a poorly ventilated environment should not simply be repertorized. The clinician should identify environmental risk factors, advise appropriate preventive measures and evaluate whether medical investigation is required.

### **3.3 Community screening and early recognition**

Population-level screening cannot be replaced by repertorization. Screening programmes depend upon validated methods, diagnostic thresholds and referral pathways.

Nevertheless, repertorially trained clinicians may develop greater attention to symptom characteristics during individual consultations.

For example, a patient attending a community clinic for "indigestion" may report:

- progressive dysphagia,
- unexplained weight loss,
- persistent vomiting,
- gastrointestinal bleeding or
- severe anaemia.

These findings should immediately alter the clinical pathway. They are not merely repertorial symptoms; they may represent warning signs requiring investigation and referral.

Thus, repertorial case taking can potentially strengthen clinical observation, but it must operate within an established system of screening and referral.

### **3.4 Health education and individualized counselling**

Community medicine recognizes the importance of health literacy and patient participation. The WHO primary health care framework emphasizes empowering individuals, families and communities to participate in their health.[5]

The homoeopathic consultation can therefore be used to reinforce:

- healthy dietary practices,
- physical activity,
- adequate sleep,
- vaccination,
- personal hygiene,
- occupational safety,
- mental-health awareness,
- appropriate medication adherence and
- timely medical consultation.

The repertory should not distract the clinician from these broader determinants of health.

---

## **4. Repertorial Methodology in Community-Oriented Practice**

A practical community-based repertorial model may include the following steps.

### **Step 1: Define the clinical problem**

Establish why the patient is seeking care and whether the condition is suitable for primary-level management.

### **Step 2: Assess severity**

Identify emergency features, red flags and conditions requiring referral.

### **Step 3: Establish the clinical diagnosis or differential diagnosis**

History, examination and investigations should be used where indicated.

### **Step 4: Identify the individualizing symptoms**

These may include:

- location,
- sensation,
- modalities,
- concomitants,
- causative factors,
- chronology,
- peculiar symptoms,
- mental/emotional characteristics and
- constitutional characteristics.

### **Step 5: Select the repertory**

The choice should depend upon the nature of the case and the repertory's structure and scope.[2-4]

### **Step 6: Construct meaningful rubrics**

Rubrics should represent the patient's actual symptoms as closely as possible rather than being selected merely because they contain a preferred medicine.

**Step 7: Repertorize**

The repertorization process should identify a manageable group of candidate medicines.

**Step 8: Confirm through materia medica**

Repertory results are hypotheses, not final prescriptions. The candidate medicine should be verified against the materia medica.

**Step 9: Integrate with community management**

Lifestyle, environmental, preventive and referral requirements should be addressed.

**Step 10: Follow up systematically**

The outcome should be documented using predefined clinical parameters whenever possible.

---

**5. Repertory and Surgical Practice**
**5.1 The special nature of surgical cases**

Surgical conditions differ substantially from many chronic medical complaints. Acute abdomen, intestinal obstruction, perforation, severe trauma, strangulated hernia, acute appendicitis, ectopic pregnancy, severe burns, major haemorrhage and many other conditions can become life-threatening.

Therefore, the first principle in repertorial consideration of a surgical case must be:

**Recognition of the need for definitive surgical or emergency management takes precedence over repertorization.**

WHO's surgical-safety framework emphasizes correct-patient identification, safe anaesthesia, prevention of infection, management of blood loss, avoidance of medication-related harm, specimen identification and effective communication.[7-10]

A repertorial approach should therefore complement, rather than interfere with, these processes.

**5.2 Preoperative context**

In selected non-emergency settings, repertorial case analysis may be used as an academic exercise or as part of individualized complementary care, but it cannot replace:

- surgical diagnosis,
- imaging,
- laboratory investigations,
- anaesthetic assessment,
- informed consent,
- risk stratification or
- operative planning.

The clinical team must establish whether surgery is indicated and determine the appropriate procedure.

**5.3 Postoperative care**

Postoperative symptoms require particularly careful assessment.

Pain, fever, vomiting, wound discharge, abdominal distension, respiratory difficulty, altered consciousness or haemodynamic instability may indicate complications.

Such symptoms must first be evaluated clinically.

Only after serious postoperative complications have been excluded may an appropriately trained practitioner consider individualized complementary management of selected minor or chronic complaints.

**5.4 Chronic surgical and surgical-adjacent conditions**

There are conditions in which surgery may not be immediately required or where management involves observation, lifestyle measures, conservative treatment and/or elective intervention.

Examples include selected cases of:

- recurrent uncomplicated piles,
- chronic fissure symptoms,
- non-acute musculoskeletal complaints,
- recurrent superficial skin problems,
- uncomplicated postoperative constitutional complaints and
- certain chronic functional symptoms associated with surgical disorders.

Even in these situations, the clinician must recognize when conservative management has failed or when the disease has progressed.

---

## 6. Repertorial Analysis of Surgical Symptoms

Surgical symptoms can be analyzed using the classical dimensions of:

### Location

The anatomical site should be clearly established.

### Sensation

Pain may be described as burning, stitching, tearing, throbbing, cramping, cutting, pressing or other sensations.

### Modalities

The practitioner should determine whether symptoms are aggravated or relieved by:

- movement,
- rest,
- pressure,
- position,
- heat,
- cold,
- food,
- time of day,
- touch or
- other circumstances.

### Concomitants

Associated symptoms can sometimes provide important individualizing information.

### Causation

Trauma, operation, emotional stress, dietary changes, occupational exposure and other preceding factors should be documented.

### Chronology

The sequence of symptoms is particularly important in surgical cases because postoperative or post-traumatic timing can have diagnostic significance.

---

## 7. A Structured Repertorial Framework

The following model may be useful for teaching postgraduate students:

**Clinical Diagnosis → Severity Assessment → Surgical/Referral Decision → Case Analysis → Characteristic Symptoms → Rubric Selection → Repertorization → Materia Medica Verification → Individualized Management → Follow-up**

This can be represented as a seven-domain framework:

| Domain            | Key question                                                |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Clinical          | What is the diagnosis?                                      |
| Safety            | Is there an emergency or red flag?                          |
| Surgical          | Is procedural intervention required?                        |
| Individualization | What makes this patient's presentation distinctive?         |
| Repertorial       | Which rubrics represent the characteristic symptoms?        |
| Therapeutic       | Does the repertorial result correspond with materia medica? |
| Outcome           | Has the patient objectively improved?                       |

This approach may help prevent two common errors: **mechanical repertorization without clinical diagnosis** and **clinical diagnosis without adequate individualization**.

## 8. Clinical Examples

### Example 1: Recurrent respiratory complaints in a community setting

A 35-year-old school teacher presents with recurrent episodes of cough and nasal symptoms. The complaints occur repeatedly during periods of dust exposure. The patient also reports disturbed sleep and fatigue.

#### Community assessment

The clinician evaluates:

- occupational exposure,
- environmental conditions,
- smoking exposure,
- allergic history,
- asthma symptoms,
- recurrent infection,
- vaccination status and
- need for further investigation.

#### Repertorial analysis

After establishing that no urgent respiratory condition is present, the characteristic symptoms can be structured according to causation, modalities, respiratory symptoms and associated complaints.

The repertory may then be used to identify candidate medicines.

#### Community intervention

Environmental control, reduction of occupational exposure, adequate ventilation and appropriate medical evaluation remain essential.

The example demonstrates that repertorization should occur **within** comprehensive primary care rather than independently of it.

### Example 2: Chronic headache in an occupational setting

A 42-year-old office worker reports recurrent headaches associated with prolonged computer work. The headaches are accompanied by irritability and sleep disturbance.

Before repertorization, the clinician should assess:

- blood pressure,
- visual symptoms,

- neurological signs,
- medication use,
- migraine characteristics,
- sleep,
- caffeine intake and
- occupational ergonomics.

If the headache pattern is clinically suitable for primary-level management, characteristic symptoms may be repertorized.

The case illustrates the importance of distinguishing a symptom-analysis exercise from a diagnostic evaluation.

### **Example 3: Postoperative wound discomfort**

A patient presents several days after minor surgery with local discomfort.

The first step is not repertorization.

The clinician should evaluate:

- temperature,
- wound appearance,
- erythema,
- swelling,
- discharge,
- wound separation,
- systemic symptoms and
- pain progression.

If infection, bleeding, dehiscence or another complication is suspected, immediate surgical review is required.

Only after appropriate clinical evaluation has excluded significant complications can complementary symptom-based management be considered.

This example demonstrates the central principle of **safety before repertorization**.

### **Example 4: Recurrent pilonidal symptoms**

A young adult reports recurrent discomfort and intermittent swelling in the sacrococcygeal region.

Clinical examination should establish whether an abscess, sinus tract or other surgical pathology is present.

If acute abscess formation is identified, appropriate surgical management must not be delayed.

For recurrent non-acute symptoms, the case can be documented with attention to:

- local symptoms,
- discharge,
- pain characteristics,
- aggravating factors,
- causation,
- recurrence pattern and
- constitutional features.

The repertory may assist in organizing these symptoms, but definitive surgical evaluation remains necessary.

---

## **9. Repertory as a Tool for Teaching Clinical Reasoning**

The educational value of repertory may extend beyond prescription.

Postgraduate students can be taught to distinguish:

**Disease diagnosis → Clinical problem → Patient symptom → Characteristic symptom → Repertorial rubric → Remedy hypothesis → Materia medica confirmation**

This sequence encourages students to ask:

- What is the disease?
- What is dangerous about this presentation?
- What requires referral?
- Which symptoms are common?
- Which symptoms are characteristic?
- Which symptoms are reliable?
- Which rubric best represents the patient's language?
- Does the repertorial result make materia-medica sense?
- What objective outcome will demonstrate improvement?

Such questioning may promote a more disciplined approach to repertorization.

---

## 10. Limitations of Repertorial Integration

Several limitations should be recognized.

### 10.1 Subjectivity of symptom interpretation

The transformation of patient language into repertory terminology involves clinician judgment. Different practitioners may choose different rubrics.

### 10.2 Variation between repertories

Different repertories contain different structures, rubrics and remedy coverage. Consequently, repertorization may produce different rankings depending on the repertory selected.[2-4]

### 10.3 Risk of mechanical prescribing

A numerical repertorial score should not automatically determine the prescription.

### 10.4 Diagnostic limitations

Repertory cannot replace laboratory testing, imaging, pathology or specialist assessment.

### 10.5 Surgical safety

In surgical cases, delay in appropriate treatment can result in serious harm. The WHO Surgical Safety Checklist demonstrates the importance of standardized safety processes, communication and teamwork in surgical care.[7-10]

### 10.6 Evidence limitations

Although homoeopathy has a substantial historical literature, the evidence base for individual repertorial methods and many specific clinical applications remains heterogeneous. Rigorous research is therefore needed before strong claims regarding clinical effectiveness can be made.

---

## 11. Future Research Directions

Research on repertorial integration should move beyond anecdotal case reports.

Potential research questions include:

1. **Inter-rater reliability of rubric selection**

2. **Reproducibility of repertorization**
3. **Comparison of different repertories for identical cases**
4. **Educational effectiveness of structured repertorial training**
5. **Decision-making accuracy among postgraduate students**
6. **Time required for different repertorial approaches**
7. **Patient-reported outcome measures**
8. **Quality-of-life outcomes**
9. **Longitudinal observational studies**
10. **Integration of repertorial software with standardized clinical documentation**

Digital repertory systems may provide opportunities for structured data collection, but automation should not be confused with clinical reasoning. A computer can calculate repertorial relationships; it cannot independently determine whether a patient has an acute abdomen, postoperative infection or another potentially life-threatening condition.

---

## 12. Discussion

The integration of repertory with community medicine and surgery presents an opportunity to reconsider repertorization as part of a broader clinical reasoning framework.

In community medicine, the greatest value of an integrated approach may lie in combining individualization with prevention. The clinician encounters the patient not only as a collection of symptoms but also as a person living within a social, occupational and environmental context.

Primary health care itself is designed around integrated, person-centred services and attention to the determinants of health.[5,6] Repertorial case taking can potentially complement this approach by ensuring that individual symptoms are systematically documented.

Surgical practice requires an even stronger emphasis on boundaries. Surgery is a high-risk clinical domain in which delays can be dangerous. WHO's surgical-safety programme demonstrates the importance of structured processes before anaesthesia, before incision and before the patient leaves the operating room.[7-10] The 19-item Surgical Safety Checklist was developed specifically to reduce preventable errors and improve teamwork and communication.

Therefore, the role of repertory in surgery should be conceptualized as **adjunctive and selective**, not substitutive.

The most appropriate model is multidisciplinary:

**Community assessment + clinical diagnosis + appropriate referral + evidence-based treatment + individualized symptom assessment + repertorial analysis where appropriate + longitudinal follow-up**

Such a model avoids false dichotomies between "individualization" and "modern medicine."

An important educational implication is that repertory teaching should increasingly emphasize clinical reasoning rather than memorization of rubrics. Students should learn why a rubric is selected, what information it represents and how it relates to the patient's complete clinical picture.

The future of repertorial education may therefore depend upon integration with:

- clinical diagnosis,
- epidemiology,
- pathology,
- pharmacology,
- surgery,
- public health,
- evidence-based medicine,
- patient safety and
- clinical research methodology.

### 13. Proposed Integrated Clinical Model

A practical model can be summarized as follows:

#### Phase I – Community/Clinical Assessment

Patient presentation → History → Examination → Risk assessment → Diagnosis/differential diagnosis

↓

#### Phase II – Safety Assessment

Red flags → Emergency recognition → Referral/specialist consultation

↓

#### Phase III – Individualization

Location → Sensation → Modalities → Concomitants → Causation → Chronology → Constitutional features

↓

#### Phase IV – Repertorial Analysis

Repertory selection → Rubric construction → Repertorization → Candidate medicines

↓

#### Phase V – Materia Medica Verification

Clinical correspondence → Characteristic symptoms → Remedy differentiation

↓

#### Phase VI – Integrated Management

Appropriate treatment + preventive measures + referral + patient education

↓

#### Phase VII – Follow-up

Objective outcome → Symptom change → Functional improvement → Reassessment

This model places repertory at an appropriate point within the overall clinical process.

### 14. Conclusion

Repertory has traditionally served as an important instrument for organizing the symptomatology required for individualized homoeopathic prescribing. Its potential relevance to community medicine and surgery lies less in expanding its scope indiscriminately and more in integrating repertorial reasoning with responsible clinical practice.

In community medicine, repertorial case analysis can potentially complement individualized assessment, health education, preventive counselling and longitudinal patient care. In surgical practice, its role is necessarily more restricted and must remain subordinate to accurate diagnosis, emergency recognition, specialist assessment, appropriate intervention and postoperative safety.

The most defensible contemporary model is therefore not "repertory versus clinical medicine," but **repertory within clinical medicine**.

A clinically meaningful repertorial approach should begin with diagnosis and safety, identify characteristic individual symptoms, use appropriate repertorial methodology, confirm the result through materia medica and evaluate outcomes systematically. In surgical cases, referral and definitive treatment must never be delayed for repertorization.

Future research should focus on the reproducibility of repertorial analysis, standardized symptom documentation, educational outcomes and clinically meaningful patient outcomes. Such work could help determine the precise contribution of repertorial reasoning within modern homoeopathic education and multidisciplinary healthcare.

Ultimately, the value of repertory should be judged not by the complexity of its terminology or the numerical ranking produced by software, but by whether it contributes to **systematic observation, thoughtful clinical reasoning, individualized care, appropriate referral and safer patient management**.

---

## References

1. Hahnemann S. *Organon of Medicine*. 6th ed. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2002.
2. Kent JT. *Repertory of the Homoeopathic Materia Medica*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2007.
3. Boenninghausen C von. *Boenninghausen's Therapeutic Pocket Book for Homoeopathic Physicians*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2000.
4. Boger CM. *Boger Boenninghausen's Characteristics and Repertory*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2000.
5. World Health Organization, UNICEF. *A vision for primary health care in the 21st century: towards universal health coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018.
6. World Health Organization. *Implementing the Primary Health Care Approach: A Primer*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2024.
7. World Health Organization. *WHO Guidelines for Safe Surgery 2009: Safe Surgery Saves Lives*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009.
8. Haynes AB, Weiser TG, Berry WR, Lipsitz SR, Breizat AH, Dellinger EP, et al. A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360(5):491-499.
9. World Health Organization. *WHO Surgical Safety Checklist and Implementation Manual*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009.
10. World Health Organization. *Safe Surgery Saves Lives: Frequently Asked Questions*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.
11. Starfield B. *Primary Care: Balancing Health Needs, Services, and Technology*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998.
12. World Health Organization. *Declaration of Alma-Ata*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1978.
13. World Health Organization. *Declaration of Astana*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018.
14. World Health Organization. *Primary Health Care*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2025.
15. World Health Organization. *Quality of Care: A Process for Making Strategic Choices in Health Systems*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006.
16. Sackett DL, Rosenberg WMC, Gray JAM, Haynes RB, Richardson WS. Evidence based medicine: what it is and what it isn't. *BMJ*. 1996;312(7023):71-72.
17. Guyatt GH, Cairns J, Churchill D, Cook D, Haynes B, Hirsh J, et al. Evidence-based medicine: a new approach to teaching the practice of medicine. *JAMA*. 1992;268(17):2420-2425.
18. Bate P, Mendel P, Robert G. *Organizing for Quality: The Improvement Journeys of Leading Hospitals in Europe and the United States*. Oxford: Radcliffe Publishing; 2008.
19. Reason J. Human error: models and management. *BMJ*. 2000;320(7237):768-770.
20. Pronovost P, Needham D, Berenholtz S, Sinopoli D, Chu H, Cosgrove S, et al. An intervention to decrease catheter-related bloodstream infections in the ICU. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355(26):2725-2732.
21. Donabedian A. The quality of care: how can it be assessed? *JAMA*. 1988;260(12):1743-1748.
22. Greenhalgh T. *How to Read a Paper: The Basics of Evidence-Based Medicine*. 6th ed. Hoboken: Wiley-Blackwell; 2019.
23. Sackett DL, Straus SE, Richardson WS, Rosenberg W, Haynes RB. *Evidence-Based Medicine: How to Practice and Teach EBM*. 2nd ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2000.
24. Hahnemann S. *The Chronic Diseases, Their Peculiar Nature and Their Homoeopathic Cure*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2002.
25. Allen TF. *The Encyclopedia of Pure Materia Medica*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2005.
26. Clarke JH. *A Dictionary of Practical Materia Medica*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2000.
27. Nash EB. *Leaders in Homoeopathic Therapeutics*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2003.
28. Boericke W. *Pocket Manual of Homoeopathic Materia Medica*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2007.
29. Murphy R. *Lotus Materia Medica*. 3rd ed. Boca Raton: Lotus Health Institute; 2007.
30. Scholten J. *Wonderful Plants*. Utrecht: Stichting Alonnisos; 2013.
31. Vithoulkas G. *The Science of Homoeopathy*. New York: Grove Press; 1980.
32. Tyler ML. *Drug Pictures*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2001.

- 
33. Close S. *The Genius of Homoeopathy: Lectures and Essays on Homoeopathic Philosophy*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2003.
  34. Roberts HA. *The Principles and Art of Cure by Homoeopathy*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2005.
  35. Kent JT. *Lectures on Homoeopathic Materia Medica*. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2007.
  36. World Health Organization. *WHO Primary Care Checklist*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2025.
  37. World Health Organization. *WHO Clinical Checklists: Primary Care, Emergency Care and Surgical Safety*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2025.
  38. World Health Organization. *Safe Surgery: Tools and Resources*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2026.