WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

ASSAM UNDER WATER: A CRISIS BEYOND THE MONSOON *An Analysis of Natural Hazards, Public Spending, and Administrative Accountability Introduction: The Dual Nature of the River*

RITSHA BARMAN

INDRAPRASTHA COLLEGE FOR WOMEN, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

ABSTRACT :

Every year, seasonal monsoon inundation severely disrupts socioeconomic life across the Brahmaputra river basin in Assam, India. While natural geographical factors—such as intense precipitation, high sediment loads, tectonic instability, and dynamic alluvial morphology—render the region inherently hazard-prone, the magnitude of human and economic devastation highlights critical questions regarding public administration, structural maintenance, and fiscal governance. This paper examines the systemic interplay between natural hydrological hazards and state administrative frameworks. It evaluates the limitations of traditional structural engineering interventions, such as embankments and revetments, and analyzes policy follow-through, public expenditure tracking, and audit mechanisms. By distinguishing unavoidable hydrometeorological events from institutional gaps, the study proposes a multidimensional strategy focused on lifecycle monitoring, granular early warning dissemination, inter-departmental synergy, and risk-informed spatial planning to foster sustainable long-term flood resilience

Keywords: Assam Floods, Public Administration,Disaster Management, Structural Engineering, Governance, Risk Mitigation.

Introduction: The Dual Nature of the River

Every year, with the arrival of the monsoon in Assam, the Brahmaputra and its tributaries become a source of both life and profound uncertainty. The river network sustains vital fisheries, agriculture, inland navigation, and the everyday livelihoods of millions. Yet, during periods of prolonged and intense rainfall, that same system inundates villages, submerges standing crops, disrupts basic human activities, washes away roads, and displaces thousands of families.

In many areas, the devastation extends beyond seasonal waterlogging to severe riverbank erosion, which steadily consumes prime agricultural tracts and entire settlements. For vulnerable riparian communities, the threat of losing hearth and home is not confined to the monsoon months—it is an enduring, year-round reality.

On 19 July 2026, a cloudburst in the Mon district of neighbouring Nagaland triggered an abrupt surge across hill-fed tributaries, inundating Upper Assam districts including Sivasagar, Charaideo, Jorhat, and Golaghat before moving downstream.

Floods are an established feature of Assam's physical geography: heavy monsoon precipitation, tectonic instability, fragile catchment basins, and immense sediment loads make the valley naturally susceptible to inundation and course changes. It would be unrealistic to claim that government interventions could completely eliminate flood risks. However, the chronic recurrence of widespread devastation raises an inescapable question: If seasonal floods are an expected geographic reality, why do their socioeconomic consequences remain so catastrophic?

Literature Review

Academic literature on disaster governance emphasizes the distinction between natural hazards and administrative vulnerabilities. In flood management, reliance on structural interventions—predominantly earthen embankments and anti-erosion revetments—has historically formed the core of state strategy. However, contemporary studies demonstrate that fixed structural approaches often fail when applied to unstable, braided river systems without accounting for dynamic river morphology.

As highlighted in the study by Hazarika and Borgohain Baruah (2025), titled Saga of Flood Management Strategies in Assam: A Critical Evaluation, conventional reliance on fixed engineering solutions frequently breaks down when dynamic river morphology and shifting hydrological baselines are ignored. While properly engineered embankments provide localized protection, earthen structures degrade under continuous scouring and hydraulic pressure. Without rigorous preventative maintenance, these structures can create a false sense of security while leaving adjacent or downstream stretches highly vulnerable during structural breach events.

Furthermore, policy evaluations highlight that high-level administrative strategies, such as the Assam State Disaster Management Plan (2022–2030), establish necessary guidelines for risk mitigation and hazard-resilient infrastructure. However, institutional literature underlines a persistent gap between macro-level policy formulation and operational readiness at sub-divisional, block, and gaon panchayat levels.

Methodology

This paper adopts a qualitative analytical framework, combining policy analysis, administrative evaluation, and case study examination. The methodology evaluates institutional performance by analyzing the operational alignment between state disaster management policy frameworks and ground-level execution.

The evaluation framework centers on key structural, policy, and fiscal performance metrics:

Structural Integrity & Operational Oversight: Assessing pre-monsoon inspection frequency, preventative maintenance budgeting versus new project construction, scheme prioritization criteria, and public transparency regarding engineering logs.

Policy Implementation: Examining the translation of the Assam State Disaster Management Plan (2022–2030) guidelines into functional preparedness at local administrative tiers.

Fiscal Accountability & Audit Analysis: Analyzing public expenditure, sanction-to-delivery timelines, asset durability, and post-project performance evaluations. The study utilizes compliance audit models, such as the Comptroller & Auditor General (CAG) of India's 2024 compliance audit of flood management along the Ranganadi River in Lakhimpur district, as empirical reference points for identifying systemic institutional gaps.

Hazard vs. Governance Failure

Governments cannot dictate regional rainfall patterns or freeze a dynamic river's natural bed. Public authorities can, however, determine how severely a hazard translates into human suffering through:

Precise early warning and meteorological dissemination.

Pre-monsoon disaster preparedness.

Transparent fiscal allocation and high-standard engineering works.

Equitable, timely relief and rehabilitation.

A clear distinction must be drawn between an inevitable natural hazard and an avoidable governance failure. While natural hydrology triggers high river stages, public policy directly dictates the speed of institutional response, the integrity of flood protections, and the capacity of displaced families to rebuild. Consequently, Assam's flood crisis must be evaluated not merely as an environmental challenge, but as a critical test of public administration.

The Limits of Structural Fixes

For decades, flood management in Assam has centred heavily on structural interventions, primarily earthen embankments and anti-erosion revetments. Yet structural works cannot single-handedly neutralize the behaviour of an unstable, braided river system.

As highlighted in the 2025 study by Hazarika and Borgohain Baruah, Saga of Flood Management Strategies in Assam: A Critical Evaluation, conventional reliance on fixed engineering approaches frequently fails when dynamic river morphology and shifting hydrological baselines are ignored.

Embankments can provide substantial local security when engineered properly and kept in sound repair. Over time, however, earthen structures degrade under scouring and hydraulic pressure, demanding constant surveillance and structural maintenance. The mere presence of an embankment often creates a false sense of security while leaving downstream or neighbouring stretches vulnerable during breach events.

Evaluating the state's structural policy requires asking key operational questions:

1. *Inspection Frequency*: How systematically are vulnerable river dykes inspected and reinforced before peak monsoon discharge?
2. *Maintenance Budgeting*: Are ongoing repair allocations adequate, or does funding favour new construction over preventative upkeep?
3. *Project Prioritization*: On what criteria are flood mitigation schemes selected and funded?
4. *Public Transparency*: Are project timelines, repair logs, contractor liabilities, and expenditure details openly published?

The responsibility of the state encompasses more than constructing concrete or earthen walls. It requires rigorous lifelong maintenance, inter-agency coordination, and candid public risk communication regarding what structural measures can—and cannot—protect against.

Policy Frameworks and Administrative Follow-Through

Emergency relief remains indispensable when communities lose access to food, potable water, sanitation, and shelter. However, emergency distribution represents only the final layer of disaster response.

Assam's State Disaster Management Plan (2022–2030) outlines comprehensive guidelines for risk mitigation, district-level coordination, and hazard-resilient infrastructure. The critical governance hurdle is whether high-level policy commitments translate into functional operational readiness at the sub-divisional, block, and gaon panchayat levels. Disaster management is not merely an engineering specialty or a logistical drill for emergency personnel; it represents deliberate decisions regarding capital allocation, administrative vigilance, and the equitable distribution of state resources.

Public Expenditure, Auditing, and Accountability

The integrity of flood governance rests on the relationship between public spending and measurable ground-level outcomes. Significant state and central funds are mobilized annually for anti-erosion projects, embankment maintenance, automated telemetry stations, and post-flood relief. Genuine accountability demands that citizens can verify what was sanctioned, how funds were spent, what assets were built, and how well those structures

performed under peak water loads.

A relevant precedent is found in the Comptroller & Auditor General (CAG) of India's 2024 compliance audit concerning flood management along the Ranganadi River in Lakhimpur district. Independent audits offer documented assessments of engineering execution, procurement procedures, delays, and fiscal variances.

***A transparent evaluation of public flood expenditure should address*:**

Were works executed and certified within designated seasonal timelines, prior to the monsoon surge?

Were structures maintained and surveyed after completion?

Did the intervention effectively shield the intended population and land area?

What legal or institutional mechanisms exist to penalize negligence or rectify defects highlighted by audits?

Publishing detailed project tracking, inspection schedules, and expenditure data empowers citizens and civic bodies to hold implementing departments accountable. It also protects honest administrators by clearly separating unpreventable hydro meteorological shocks from bureaucratic lapses, substandard construction, or oversight failures. Dynamic alluvial rivers present genuine engineering difficulties, but accountability does not mean expecting zero operational delays; it requires documenting those delays honestly, clarifying institutional responsibility, and enacting corrective measures.

Reorienting the Strategy

Flood control infrastructure must be judged by its functional performance and the actual protection it delivers—not by the monetary volume of funds disbursed or the number of foundation stones laid.

***Key pillars for strengthening flood governance in Assam include*:**

Lifecycle Monitoring: Moving from emergency breach-plugging to continuous, institutionalized maintenance and independent structural audits.

Granular Early Warning: Translating meteorological forecasts into localized, vernacular alerts that reach riverside settlements with adequate lead time.

Inter-Departmental Synergy: Eliminating silos between the Water Resources Department, Revenue and Disaster Management, Agriculture, and rural planning agencies.

***Risk-Informed Development**:* Integrating scientific flood hazard zoning and land use mapping into infrastructure planning, road design, and rural construction.

Assam's crisis cannot be reduced to a binary debate between natural inevitability and state culpability. The region's river systems pose environmental hazards that no government can fully erase. Nonetheless, strategic planning, rigorous infrastructure audits, open fiscal accounting, and equitable rehabilitation can dramatically reduce loss of life and livelihood. By evaluating public commitments against documented ground realities, flood management can move beyond perpetual emergency relief toward accountable, long-term resilience.

DISCUSSION

Shifting the Paradigm: From Reactive Relief to Anticipatory Governance

The traditional administrative approach to flood management in Assam has long operated on a reactive cycle: emergency fund disbursement, post-disaster relief distribution, and temporary breach-plugging. While immediate relief remains essential for supplying food, clean water, and temporary shelter, this cycle treats chronic structural vulnerabilities as sudden, isolated anomalies. An effective administrative framework requires transitioning toward anticipatory governance—where institutional mechanisms proactively evaluate risks, enforce strict pre-monsoon preparedness codes, and allocate budgetary resources toward preventative maintenance rather than repetitive post-disaster reconstruction.

Institutional Bottlenecks in Multi-Agency Coordination

A core challenge highlighted by recurring flood devastation is the persistence of administrative silos among state departments. Effective disaster management cannot be handled solely by the Water Resources Department or the Revenue and Disaster Management Department in isolation. Comprehensive risk mitigation demands continuous, institutionalized coordination with agricultural extension services, rural planning bodies, and meteorological agencies. When departmental jurisdictions remain fragmented, early meteorological warnings fail to translate into localized evacuation protocols, and agricultural rehabilitation plans lag behind physical infrastructure repairs. Overcoming these institutional disconnects is vital for synchronized disaster response at the sub-divisional, block, and gaon panchayat levels.

Conclusion

Ultimately, transforming Assam's chronic flood management from a cycle of recurring crisis into an era of proactive resilience requires a fundamental shift in administrative mindset. Viewing the Brahmaputra's seasonal fury solely as an unpredictable natural calamity absolves the state of its core governance responsibilities, whereas framing it strictly as an administrative failure ignores the formidable hydro-morphological realities of a dynamic river system. Bridging this divide demands robust accountability mechanisms that link fiscal expenditures directly to verified, ground-level engineering and disaster preparedness. By anchoring future policy in four vital pillars—rigorous lifecycle monitoring of infrastructure, granular early warning systems tailored for vulnerable riparian communities, cross-sector inter-departmental synergy, and scientifically grounded risk-informed spatial planning—Assam can move beyond perpetual emergency relief. Through transparent governance, rigorous structural audits, and equitable rehabilitation, public administration can successfully protect both the lives and livelihoods of millions residing along the Brahmaputra basin

REFERENCES :

1. 1.Government of Assam. (2022). Assam State Disaster Management Plan (2022–2030). Revenue & Disaster Management Department, Guwahati, India.
2. 2.Comptroller and Auditor General of India. (2024). Compliance Audit Report on Flood Management and Anti-Erosion Measures along the Ranganadi River in Lakhimpur District. CAG, New Delhi, India.
3. 3.Hazarika, N., & Borgohain Baruah, P. (2025). Saga of Flood Management Strategies in Assam: A Critical Evaluation. Journal of Hydrological Research and River Basin Governance, 18(2), 145–162.