WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal Homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

A Study of DTA Preparation, Invoice Verification and Documentation Practices with Special Reference to SEZ Compliance

Shravani Pandit Thite[1], Dr. Sujata Deshmukh[2]

[1]Master of Business Administration, School of Business, Indira University, Tathawade, Pune – 411033
On-the-Job Training Research Paper
[2]Mentor, School of Business, Indira University, Tathawade, Pune – 411033

ABSTRACT

This study examines DTA (Domestic Tariff Area) preparation, invoice verification and documentation practices with special reference to Special Economic Zone (SEZ) compliance. It is based on the On-the-Job Training (OJT) undertaken by the author at Moon SEZ & Management Consultants LLP from 22 June 2026 to 22 August 2026 as a Finance Intern, with exposure to DTA preparation (DTAP), invoice and material-detail verification, HSN/SAC checking, Excel-based record management, SEZ Online portal checking and request-ID/tracker updates. A descriptive, practice-based methodology is used, drawing on workplace observation, assigned tasks and process documentation. No client-specific records or confidential financial details are reproduced. The study explains how completeness and consistency of invoice data, correct classification, orderly preparation of working files and careful portal-status tracking support reliable compliance workflows. The analysis is qualitative because a verified, anonymised numerical activity register was not available for this paper. The study recommends standard checklists, version control, clear status tracking, review of exceptions and continued attention to confidentiality. It concludes that DTA-related documentation is not merely administrative: it supports traceability, timely processing and the quality of compliance submissions.

Keywords: DTA Preparation; DTAP; Invoice Verification; HSN/SAC; SEZ Compliance; Documentation; Excel; SEZ Online; Record Management

1. Introduction

Special Economic Zones (SEZs) operate within a defined regulatory framework intended to support trade and economic activity. Transactions involving an SEZ unit and the Domestic Tariff Area (DTA) require careful handling of supporting documents and applicable procedural requirements. In this environment, the quality of invoice information and supporting records influences the preparation, review and traceability of compliance-related submissions.

This paper is based on practical exposure gained during an OJT at Moon SEZ & Management Consultants LLP from 22 June 2026 to 22 August 2026. The author worked as a Finance Intern with exposure to DTA preparation, invoice verification, checking HSN/SAC and material details, maintaining records in Excel, checking information on the SEZ Online portal and updating internal tracking information. The paper documents these learning experiences in an academic format and does not claim responsibility for decisions or filings beyond the tasks assigned.

1.1 Research Problem

DTA-related preparation may involve information drawn from invoices, item descriptions, classification codes and supporting records. Missing details, inconsistent descriptions or incomplete documentation can lead to avoidable queries, rework or delays. The practical problem considered in this study is how invoice verification and organised documentation support the consistency and traceability of DTA preparation in an SEZ-compliance setting.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

To understand the purpose and workflow of DTA preparation in the context of SEZ compliance.

To examine the role of invoice verification and checking of HSN/SAC codes and material details.

To study how Excel-based records and internal trackers support documentation and follow-up.

To understand the role of SEZ Online portal checks and request-status tracking in the workflow.

To identify practical controls and recommend improvements in accuracy, completeness and traceability.

1.3 Scope of the Study

The study covers the author's practical OJT exposure to DTA preparation, invoice verification, HSN/SAC and material-detail checks, Excel record management, SEZ Online portal checking and tracker/request-ID updates. It focuses on process understanding and documentation practices. It does not reproduce client data, confidential invoices, portal credentials, request identifiers or sensitive transaction values. It does not present itself as a legal opinion or a comprehensive review of all SEZ procedures.

2. Review of Literature and Conceptual Background

The conceptual basis of this study is the relationship between documentation quality, internal controls and regulatory compliance. In accounting and business processes, source documents provide the evidence from which information is checked, classified and entered into working records. Internal-control concepts emphasise clear procedures, review, exception handling and traceable evidence. These ideas are relevant to DTA preparation because the work depends on the consistency of information across invoices, supporting records, working sheets and portal-related status information.

2.1 DTA and SEZ Compliance Context

The SEZ framework establishes procedures for authorised operations and transactions involving SEZ units. In practice, a trainee supporting DTA-related work needs to understand the workflow prescribed by the organisation and follow the applicable instructions provided by authorised personnel. The present study concentrates on operational documentation and does not attempt to interpret specific legal provisions or determine tax treatment for individual transactions.

2.2 Invoice Verification and Classification

Invoice verification is a key quality-control step. Common fields for checking may include supplier and recipient details, invoice number and date, item or service description, quantity, value, applicable tax information and HSN/SAC code where relevant. The precise fields required depend on the document type and process instructions. Classification codes and descriptions should be checked against the available source information and escalated for clarification when uncertain rather than guessed.

2.3 Digital Records and Human Review

Excel worksheets and online portals can help organise records, retrieve information and monitor the progress of repeated tasks. However, digital tools do not independently guarantee accuracy. Incorrect source information can be transferred into a worksheet or portal unless a person checks it. A reliable workflow therefore combines structured templates with review, version control, controlled access and a clear process for resolving exceptions.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

The study follows a descriptive, practice-based design. It documents the nature of the processes encountered during the OJT and interprets their purpose from a process-control perspective. It is not an experimental study and does not measure the performance of the organisation.

3.2 Sources of Information

The primary basis is the author's OJT experience, including assigned work, observation of routine processes and exposure to working records and portal checks. Conceptual context is supported by publicly available institutional information concerning SEZs, GST and classification/documentation practices. The paper distinguishes observed areas of exposure from broader process recommendations.

3.3 Method of Analysis

A qualitative workflow analysis is used. Activities are grouped into document receipt and review, invoice and classification checks, working-sheet preparation, portal/status checking, and record retention or follow-up. The analysis describes the control purpose of each stage. No exact counts, percentages or productivity claims are made because a verified anonymised activity register was not supplied for this research paper.

3.4 Confidentiality and Ethical Considerations

Client and business information is confidential. Accordingly, this paper excludes identifiable client details, invoice copies, financial amounts, login credentials, portal screenshots and unique request IDs. Examples are described generically and are intended only to explain the workflow. Any future quantitative analysis should use approved, anonymised data and the organisation's permission.

4. Practical DTA Preparation Workflow

The workflow can be represented as: Supporting Documents → Initial Completeness Check → Invoice and Item Verification → HSN/SAC and Material-Detail Check → DTA Working Preparation → Review and Clarification → SEZ Online Portal/Status Check → Tracker Update and Record Retention. The exact sequence may vary according to the case and the organisation's approved procedure.

4.1 Document Collection and Initial Review

The first stage is to identify the documents required for the assigned case and check whether the information provided is sufficiently complete for processing. Missing or unclear information should be recorded for follow-up. Early completeness checks can reduce the likelihood that an incomplete file moves through later stages and requires correction.

4.2 Invoice Verification

Invoice verification involves checking key particulars against the source document and related working information. This may include invoice number and date, party details, description, quantity, value and relevant tax fields. Where two documents contain inconsistent descriptions or values, the difference should be flagged for review. The purpose is to establish consistency, not to make unsupported assumptions.

4.3 HSN/SAC and Material-Detail Checks

HSN/SAC and material details help describe and classify goods or services. The OJT provided exposure to checking codes and descriptions as part of the preparation process. Such checks require attention to the actual item/service description and the source records. If the available information does not support a clear match, the issue should be referred to the responsible reviewer.

4.4 DTA Preparation (DTAP)

DTA preparation brings together relevant details from the supporting documents into a structured working format for further review and processing. A consistent layout helps the reviewer locate the source information and identify missing fields. Before a working file is treated as ready, key details should be checked for completeness and consistency with the source documents and the current process instructions.

4.5 Excel-Based Record Management

Excel was used for organising and maintaining working information. Structured columns, consistent naming conventions, filters and clearly marked status fields can support retrieval and reduce duplication. Care is required when copying data, changing formulas or updating an existing file. Maintaining a controlled master file and recording changes can help avoid conflicting versions.

4.6 SEZ Online Portal Checking and Tracking

Portal-related exposure included checking information/status and updating internal tracking details, including request-ID-related information where applicable. A portal check should be recorded accurately and linked to the appropriate internal case record. The status should not be assumed from an earlier check; when a case remains pending or the portal information is unclear, the matter should be flagged for follow-up through the authorised process.

5. Documentation and Compliance Controls

5.1 Completeness and Consistency

Documentation should allow a reviewer to understand which source records were used and whether the key fields were checked. Consistency between invoice details, material descriptions, classification codes and the working file is an important control. A simple checklist can help ensure that routine fields are not missed.

5.2 Exception Handling

Exceptions include missing fields, unclear item descriptions, mismatched values, doubtful classification codes, duplicate records or an unexpected portal status. Such items should be logged and referred to the appropriate person. Recording the issue, responsible reviewer and resolution status supports follow-up and prevents unresolved questions from being silently carried forward.

5.3 Tracker Maintenance

An internal tracker can provide a consolidated view of cases received, under preparation, awaiting clarification, under review, submitted or pending further action. The status labels should be consistent and updated from verified information. A tracker supports coordination but should not replace the underlying source documents or the official portal record.

5.4 Record Retention and Access

Working files and supporting documents should be stored in the designated location using consistent names and appropriate access controls. Only authorised personnel should access confidential records. Older versions should be managed according to the organisation's policy so that the team can identify the correct and current file.

6. Qualitative Analysis and Interpretation

The analysis is organised around the main activity areas observed during the OJT. It describes the process purpose and the risk that a basic control is intended to reduce. Because no verified anonymised numerical register was available, the findings are qualitative rather than statistical.

Activity area	**Control focus**	**Practical significance**
Invoice verification	Completeness and agreement with source documents	Reduces the chance of missing or inconsistent particulars moving into later preparation.
HSN/SAC and material details	Description-to-code consistency; escalation of uncertainty	Supports clear classification and helps identify cases needing reviewer input.
DTA working preparation	Accurate transfer of information into a structured format	Makes the file easier to review and supports consistent processing.
Excel record management	Standard fields, version control and careful updates	Improves retrieval and helps avoid conflicting or duplicated working records.
SEZ Online/status checks	Verified status and correct tracker updates	Supports follow-up and provides visibility over pending actions.
Documentation and retention	Traceability, controlled access and organised storage	Allows authorised reviewers to understand the work performed without exposing information unnecessarily.

Table 1 – Qualitative summary of OJT activity areas and their control purpose

6.1 Interpretation of the Workflow

The workflow suggests that DTA preparation depends on the quality of information available at the beginning of the process. If invoice particulars or material descriptions are incomplete, later preparation may require repeated clarification. A consistent first-level check can identify such gaps before the file progresses.

6.2 Importance of Cross-Checking

Invoice details, HSN/SAC information and working-sheet fields are connected. Checking each item in isolation may not reveal a mismatch between the source description and the prepared record. Cross-checking across the relevant documents can therefore strengthen consistency. Any unresolved classification or procedural question should be reviewed by an authorised person.

6.3 Role of Digital Tracking

Excel trackers and portal checks support visibility, but only when updates are timely and based on verified information. Inaccurate status labels can create confusion about whether an item is pending, submitted or awaiting action. A clear status vocabulary and a defined responsibility for updates can improve coordination.

6.4 Limits of the Analysis

The analysis is based on practical exposure and does not use a complete transaction-level dataset. It cannot establish error rates, average processing time, productivity levels or organisation-wide performance. The findings should be read as process observations and recommendations, not as audited results.

7. Discussion

The OJT experience illustrates that DTA preparation, invoice verification and documentation are related activities rather than separate clerical tasks. Invoice checks establish whether key information is present and consistent; classification checks help ensure that item details are represented appropriately; working sheets organise the information; and portal/status checks support follow-up. Documentation connects these stages by retaining a record of what was checked and what remains unresolved.

A second implication is that the quality of a process depends on both standardisation and judgement. Standard templates and checklists can make routine work more consistent, while human review is necessary for unusual descriptions, unclear codes or mismatched records. The appropriate response to uncertainty is to seek clarification rather than infer a value or classification.

The experience also demonstrates the value of process visibility. When a case has a clear status and its pending action is recorded, it is easier to coordinate work and avoid overlooked follow-ups. This is especially useful when multiple cases are in different stages. However, a tracker is only useful if it is maintained accurately and reconciled with the authoritative record.

7.1 Implications for Finance Practice

Finance trainees benefit from understanding how source documents feed into compliance preparation and review.

Standard checklists can improve consistency across repeated invoice-verification tasks.

Excel files and trackers should be structured, controlled and updated from verified information.

Questions relating to classification or procedure should be escalated instead of resolved through unsupported assumptions.

Confidentiality and controlled access should be treated as part of good documentation practice.

8. SWOT Analysis

8.1 Strengths

Practical exposure to DTA preparation and SEZ-related documentation.

Experience checking invoice particulars, HSN/SAC codes and material details.

Exposure to Excel-based records, portal checking and status tracking.

8.2 Weaknesses

The OJT period was limited in duration.

Confidentiality restrictions limited the use of detailed case information in academic analysis.

The study does not include verified numerical measures of error rates or processing time.

8.3 Opportunities

Further development of Excel, data validation and document-control skills.

Use of standard operating procedures and checklists for recurring tasks.

Future anonymised analysis of processing time, pending cases and correction reasons.

8.4 Threats

Incomplete or inconsistent source documents.

Changes in applicable procedures or compliance requirements.

Data-entry mistakes, duplicate versions or inaccurate status updates.

Confidentiality and information-security risks.

9. Recommendations

Use a standard invoice-verification checklist covering the fields required for the relevant workflow.

Maintain a consistent template for DTA working preparation and ensure key fields can be traced to source documents.

Create a defined process for flagging missing information, mismatches and uncertain HSN/SAC or material descriptions.

Use standard status labels in internal trackers and update them only after checking reliable information.

Apply version control and consistent file-naming conventions to Excel sheets and supporting records.

Carry out a reviewer check before a file proceeds to the next stage, in line with the organisation's process.

Limit access to client records and avoid copying sensitive details into unauthorised personal files or academic material.

Provide periodic training on process updates, documentation standards, portal use and common verification errors.

10. Limitations of the Study

The study covers a limited OJT period from 22 June 2026 to 22 August 2026.

It is based on practical exposure and process observation rather than a complete quantitative dataset.

Client confidentiality prevents inclusion of identifiable invoices, request IDs, transaction values and portal records.

The paper does not evaluate the organisation's overall compliance performance or establish causal relationships.

The scope is limited to the tasks and processes encountered by the author and does not represent every SEZ-related service.

11. Process Efficiency Framework

A practical framework for improving process consistency can be organised into five stages: input quality, verification, preparation, status control and documentation. This framework is intended as a process-improvement guide rather than a performance rating.

11.1 Input Quality

Check that the source documents needed for the assigned case are available and readable. Record missing information and seek clarification through the approved channel.

11.2 Verification Quality

Check relevant invoice fields and compare material descriptions and HSN/SAC details with the available supporting information. Keep a clear record of exceptions and reviewer decisions.

11.3 Preparation Quality

Transfer information into the approved DTA working format carefully. Use consistent fields and perform a final comparison with the source documents before forwarding the file for review.

11.4 Status and Follow-up

Use defined tracker statuses to show the current position and next action. Update the record after a verified portal check or instruction, and assign follow-up responsibility where required.

11.5 Suggested Indicators for Future Research

If approved anonymised data becomes available, future analysis could consider the number of cases received and completed, cases awaiting clarification, cases returned for correction, average processing time and the share completed within the target timeline. These indicators are proposed for future study only; no such figures are claimed in the present paper.

12. Future Scope of the Study

Future research could use an approved anonymised register to compare the number and types of DTA-related cases handled over time. It could also examine recurring reasons for clarification, the frequency of incomplete documentation and the effect of standard checklists on rework. A before-and-after study of a revised template may help evaluate whether standardisation improves completeness.

Another area for further study is the use of digital validation tools to identify blank fields, duplicate invoice numbers or inconsistent formats. Any automation should be assessed alongside review controls, access restrictions and data privacy. A broader study across multiple SEZ service providers could compare documentation practices, subject to permission and appropriate anonymisation.

13. Managerial Implications

The study indicates that teams supporting DTA preparation can benefit from clear responsibility at each stage, standardised templates and a consistent exception-handling process. A simple workflow helps staff understand when a case is ready for preparation, when it needs clarification and when it can move to review. This can improve visibility without replacing professional judgement.

13.1 Standardisation of Repetitive Work

Repeated invoice checks can be supported by a checklist that identifies required fields and common mismatch types. Standardisation makes routine review more repeatable while allowing unusual cases to be escalated.

13.2 Review and Accountability

The file should show what was checked, what remains unresolved and who is expected to take the next action. Clear review points reduce the risk that incomplete information moves forward without attention.

13.3 Training Implications

Training for interns can follow the process sequence: understand the source document, verify invoice details, check material/classification information, prepare the working sheet, confirm status and maintain documentation. This connects individual tasks with the wider compliance workflow.

13.4 Confidentiality and Data Governance

Client confidentiality should be incorporated into file handling, tracker access and academic reporting. Aggregated process observations can be useful without reproducing client-level documents or sensitive identifiers.

14. Conclusion

The study concludes that DTA preparation, invoice verification and documentation practices are important components of a reliable SEZ-compliance workflow. The OJT at Moon SEZ & Management Consultants LLP provided practical exposure to DTA preparation, invoice and material-detail checks, HSN/SAC verification, Excel-based record management, SEZ Online portal checking and tracker updates. These activities highlighted the importance of completeness, consistency, traceability and timely follow-up.

The principal learning is that compliance preparation depends on both accurate source information and a disciplined review process. Checklists, standard templates, controlled versions, clear tracker statuses and escalation of unresolved issues can support more consistent work. Since the study does not use a verified numerical dataset, its findings remain descriptive. Future research can extend the analysis using approved anonymised records while maintaining confidentiality.

Acknowledgements

The author gratefully acknowledges Moon SEZ & Management Consultants LLP for providing the OJT opportunity and practical exposure to DTA preparation, invoice verification and SEZ-related documentation processes. The author also acknowledges Dr. Sujata Deshmukh and the School of Business, Indira University, for academic guidance and support.

Appendix A. Illustrative Verification Checklist

Confirm that the required source documents are available and readable.

Check invoice number, date and party details against the source document.

Review item/service description, quantity and value for consistency.

Check HSN/SAC and material details against available supporting information.

Record missing information or mismatches and seek clarification.

Verify that the working sheet reflects the source documents accurately.

Check portal/status information before updating the internal tracker.

Save the file in the approved location using the prescribed naming and access rules.

References

Government of India, Department of Commerce. (n.d.). Special Economic Zones in India. https://sezindia.gov.in/

Goods and Services Tax Network. (n.d.). GST Portal. https://www.gst.gov.in/

Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs. (n.d.). Customs and indirect tax information. https://www.cbic.gov.in/

Directorate General of Foreign Trade. (n.d.). Foreign Trade information and services. https://www.dgft.gov.in/

Moon SEZ & Management Consultants LLP. (n.d.). Organisation and service information. Public-facing information and OJT process exposure.