



International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Abandoned quarries and mining pits in Tamil Nadu: An empirical study of public safety, environmental impact, government accountability and legal compliance

*V SURIYA RAJ**

LLM student, Crescent School of Law, B.S. Abdur Rahman Crescent Institute of Science & Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT :

Abandoned quarries and mining pits are an under-examined legacy of Tamil Nadu's construction-driven economy. Rough-stone, gravel, earth and sand excavations have been worked across the State for decades, and many have been left open, deep and water-filled once leases expired or were cancelled. This article examines such sites through four lenses: public safety, environmental impact, government accountability and legal compliance. It adopts a doctrinal and secondary-data empirical design that combines a content analysis of court and tribunal proceedings, a compilation of documented accidents and enforcement actions reported between 2021 and 2024, and a review of statutory duties under the Mines Act 1952, the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act 1957, the Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules 1959 and environmental legislation. The findings suggest that (i) fatalities at abandoned pits are mostly drowning incidents involving young people at unfenced sites despite warning boards; (ii) pit lakes, spoil heaps and blasting alter groundwater, biodiversity and local air and noise conditions, yet are rarely monitored after closure; (iii) no consolidated, publicly accessible register of abandoned pits appears to exist; and (iv) closure, fencing and restoration duties are weakly enforced. The article proposes a risk-based pit inventory, an orphan-pit restoration fund, binding fencing and signage standards, and a shift from complaint-driven to audit-driven enforcement.

Keywords: abandoned quarry; mining pit; Tamil Nadu; public safety; mine closure; environmental law; government accountability; legal compliance

Introduction

Tamil Nadu is among the most heavily built-up States in India, and its roads, housing, ports and industrial estates are fed by a large minor-mineral sector

. Rough stone, blue metal, gravel, earth and river sand are extracted under the Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 (TNMMCR) and administered by the Department of Geology and Mining. Press reports indicate how dense this activity can be in a single district: Tirunelveli alone has been described as having roughly one hundred large and three hundred small quarries (SANDRP, 2023). Statewide, the number of leases, both active and lapsed, runs into the thousands.

Extraction does not end with the last truckload. A quarry that is not closed in a planned manner leaves behind a vertical-walled excavation that may be tens of metres deep, unstable benches, loose overburden and, in a State with a bimodal monsoon, a pit that gradually fills with water. These are the sites commonly called abandoned quarries or mining pits. They sit near villages, schools, temples, highways and agricultural land, and in the public imagination they are often mistaken for ponds or picnic spots. The result is a recurring pattern of deaths that are reported briefly and then forgotten. In May 2024, for instance, three engineering students drowned in a quarry at Keerapakkam in Chengalpattu that, according to the District Fire Officer, had been abandoned for more than ten years; the students reportedly ignored warning boards, and the officer called for a boundary wall and greater public awareness (Ashraf, 2024).

The problem is not unique to India. Comparable drowning's in flooded abandoned quarries have been reported in KwaZulu-Natal and the Eastern Cape in South Africa, where families and communities have asked the authorities to close or secure the pits (Times LIVE, 2023; Daily Maverick, 2023). What is distinctive about Tamil Nadu is the intersection of a dense quarrying economy, a monsoon-driven water regime, high population density near sites and a regulatory framework in which responsibility for the post-closure phase is diffuse.

This article asks a simple question: when a quarry stops operating, who is responsible for the hazard it leaves behind, and is the law being applied? It examines four dimensions, namely public safety, environmental impact, government accountability and legal compliance, using publicly available reports, court records and media documentation. It argues that the dominant failure is not an absence of law but an absence of an inventory, an owner and a budget for orphaned pits.

Review of literature and background

1.1. Pit lakes and post-mining landscapes

International literature treats flooded pits as a recognizable class of post-mining landform. Castendyk and Early (2009) describe mine pit lakes as water bodies whose chemistry, stratification and long-term behaviour depend on rock type, hydrology and the timing of closure. Younger, Banwart and Hedin (2002) show that mine water, whether acidic or not, can persist as a management liability long after extraction. Bell and Donnelly (2006) catalogue the environmental consequences of surface mining, including slope instability, subsidence, dust, noise, vibration and groundwater disturbance. Hudson-Edwards (2016) argues that mine wastes are among the most important sources of long-term environmental risk, and that the cost of remediation is generally higher when planning for closure is left to the end.

Research on land use after mining stresses that a closed site is not necessarily a dead site. Kivinen (2017) finds that closed mines are sometimes re-used for recreation, habitat or water storage, but often remain in a state of neglect where no actor holds clear responsibility. Worrall et al. (2009) propose a sustainability criteria and indicators framework for legacy mine land, which treats safety, ecological function and community benefit as distinct performance tests. Hard-rock granite and charnockite quarries, which dominate in Tamil Nadu, differ from coal or metal mines because the rock is generally not acid-generating. Their principal hazards are therefore physical (depth, walls, water) and hydrological, rather than geochemical.

1.2. Drowning as a public health problem

The World Health Organization estimates that drowning causes hundreds of thousands of deaths each year worldwide, with the burden concentrated in low- and middle-income countries and among children and young adults (WHO, 2024). In India, the National Crime Records Bureau reports drowning as a significant category within accidental deaths, with deaths running into the tens of thousands every year (NCRB, annual). Abandoned quarries form only a fraction of this figure, but they are distinctive because the hazard is man-made, localised, identifiable and therefore preventable.

1.3. Indian legal and policy scholarship

Indian environmental jurisprudence has developed strong doctrines of absolute liability, the polluter pays principle and the precautionary principle, which are directly relevant to post-closure harm (Mehta, 1987; Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum, 1996; Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action, 1996). The Supreme Court has also recognized the right to a pollution-free environment as part of the right to life under Article 21 (Subhash Kumar, 1991). In the specific context of minor minerals, the Court held that mining leases, even those below five hectares, require environmental clearance and a proper mining plan (Deepak Kumar, 2012), and in the context of illegal mining it emphasized the State's duty to enforce lease conditions and recover the cost of damage (Common Cause, 2017). However, the literature rarely addresses the specific question of orphaned pits, namely pits for which no solvent lessee remains.

Objectives, research questions and methodology

1.4. Objectives

The study has four objectives: (a) to describe the nature and apparent scale of the problem of abandoned quarries and mining pits in Tamil Nadu; (b) to identify the safety and environmental risks associated with such sites; (c) to assess the accountability of the departments and authorities that regulate quarrying; and (d) to evaluate the extent of legal compliance and recommend reforms.

1.5. Research design

The study is doctrinal-cum-empirical and relies entirely on secondary data. No primary survey was administered. The empirical component consists of three exercises. First, a documentary content analysis was carried out on orders and proceedings of the Madras High Court, the Supreme Court and the National Green Tribunal (Southern Zone) that deal with quarrying, closure and enforcement. Second, an incident compilation was made of accidents and enforcement actions reported in news media and civil society compilations between 2021 and 2024. Third, a compliance gap analysis was made by setting statutory obligations against the position reported in the first two sources.

1.6. Data sources

The principal sources are the proceedings of the National Green Tribunal in a suo motu case (O.A. No. 69 of 2021 (SZ)) initiated after a landslide at a stone quarry in Uthiramerur; the SANDRP compilation of mining deaths and violence in South India between April 2022 and February 2023; reports in The News Minute, News Click and DT Next; the orders of the Madurai Bench of the Madras High Court on mining; and statutory texts. International press reports are used only for comparison.

1.7. Limitations

Three limitations should be noted. First, there is no consolidated public database of abandoned pits in Tamil Nadu, and drowning statistics are not routinely disaggregated by site type. Figures presented in this article are therefore indicative and should be read as orders of magnitude, not audited counts. Second, press reporting is biased towards fatal and multi-victim incidents, so near misses and injuries are under-represented. Third, the study does not include field measurements of water quality or slope stability. The conclusions should therefore be treated as a documented, evidence-based starting point for primary field research, for example by the Department of Geology and Mining, district disaster management authorities or university research teams.

Legal and regulatory framework

1.8. Mines and minerals legislation

Mining in India is governed by the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 (MMDR Act). Section 15 of the Act empowers State Governments to frame rules for minor minerals, and Tamil Nadu has done so through the TNMMCR 1959. These Rules regulate quarry leases and permits, royalty, lease conditions, security deposit, transport and restoration. The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Illegal Mining, Transportation and Storage of Minerals and Mineral Dealers Rules, 2011 supplement them by controlling movement and storage of minerals and penalizing unauthorized extraction (Live Law, 2017). Offences and penalties for unauthorized mining are provided in the MMDR Act, and the State has the power to recover the value of illegally extracted mineral.

The Mines Act, 1952 and the regulations made under it, in particular the Metalliferous Mines Regulations, 1961, regulate worker safety, the appointment of qualified managers and the securing of excavations. Operating quarries fall within this regime, and the regulations contemplate the fencing and securing of workings, including after cessation of operations. The Mines Act is administered by the Directorate General of Mines Safety, whereas the lease itself is administered by the State, which creates a dual regime that the study found to be a source of divided responsibility.

1.9. Mine closure obligations

The central safeguard against orphaned pits is the mine closure plan. For major minerals, the Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017 and the Indian Bureau of Mines guidelines require progressive and final closure plans backed by financial assurance (Indian Bureau of Mines, 2009). For minor minerals, the TNMMCR and the conditions attached to each environmental clearance carry the closure obligation, which typically includes backfilling, slope stabilization, fencing, plantation and, where a pit is to be retained as a water body, safe slope profiles and signage. The security deposit is intended to fund restoration if the lessee defaults. In practice, the adequacy and release of such deposits are not transparently reported.

1.10. Environmental law

Quarrying is subject to the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and the Environment Impact Assessment Notification, 2006, as amended. After Deepak Kumar (2012), even small leases require environmental clearance, and the clearance conditions commonly include mine closure measures. The National Green Tribunal Act, 2010 gives the Tribunal power to award relief, compensation and restoration, including on its own motion, and to apply the principle of no-fault liability for environmental harm. The Tribunal's suo motu action in O.A. No. 69 of 2021 (SZ) after a landslide at a quarry in Uthiramerur shows that the Tribunal is willing to treat a single accident as a systemic failure and to call upon the Chief Secretary, the Department of Geology and Mining, the Pollution Control Board and the District Collector to explain their roles (NGT, 2021).

1.11. Constitutional and criminal liability

Article 21 of the Constitution protects life and personal liberty, and Articles 48A and 51A(g) impose duties on the State and citizens to protect the environment. Death caused by a negligent act may attract liability under Section 106 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and acts endangering life or personal safety are covered by its provisions on rash or negligent conduct. In tort, the rule in *Rylands v. Fletcher* (1868), as expanded in India into absolute liability in *M.C. Mehta* (1987), supports the argument that a person who brings onto land and maintains a hazardous excavation should be liable for resulting harm without proof of negligence. The Disaster Management Act, 2005 provides an additional platform for district-level hazard identification and mitigation.

Findings: extent and nature of the problem

1.12. Profile of the sector

Table 1 summarizes the indicative profile of the problem as it emerges from the secondary sources. The figures are approximate and are intended to convey scale, not to serve as a census.

Table 1 - Indicative profile of quarrying and abandoned pits in Tamil Nadu (approximate, compiled from secondary sources).

Parameter	Indicative position	Basis
Regulating authority	Department of Geology and Mining (leases); Directorate General of Mines Safety (worker safety); TNPCB and SEIAA/DEIAA (environment)	TNMMCR 1959; Mines Act 1952; EIA Notification 2006
Principal minerals	Rough stone, blue metal, gravel, earth, sand	Departmental and press reports
Density in a high-activity district	About 100 large and 300 small quarries (Tirunelveli)	SANDRP, 2023
Depth of workings	From a few tens of metres to roughly 100 m (about 300 ft) in deep operations	SANDRP, 2023, citing press reports
Public register of abandoned pits	None identified in the public domain	Absence found in the study
Typical post-closure state	Open pit, steep walls, seasonal or permanent water, unfenced or partly fenced	Incident reports; NGT record

1.13. Documented incidents and enforcement actions

Table 2 compiles incidents and enforcement actions that were documented in the sources and that bear directly on the safety and compliance of active and abandoned quarries. The sample is small and purposive, and it should be read as illustrative of patterns.

Table 2 - Documented incidents and enforcement actions, 2021-2024.

Site / district	Year	Hazard type	Reported facts and regulatory response
Uthiramerur, Kancheepuram	2021	Landslide at stone quarry	One person killed; the NGT took suo motu cognisance (O.A. 69 of 2021 (SZ)) and impleaded State authorities (NGT, 2021)
Adaneri, Tirunelveli	2022	Rock fall during operation	Six workers trapped; fatalities reported after a prolonged rescue; quarry had been permitted to extract 4.5 lakh cubic metres over five years; state-wide inspections announced (SANDRP, 2023; NewsClick, 2022)
Keerapakkam, Chengalpattu	2024	Drowning in abandoned pit	Three students drowned; pit abandoned for over ten years; warning boards present; fire officer sought boundary wall (Ashraf, 2024)
Kinathukadavu, Coimbatore	Recent	Violation of norms	Collector ordered closure after inspection by the Department of Geology and Mining; about 500 workers protested job loss (DT Next, n.d.)
Tiruppur district	Recent	Unlicensed quarries	Madras High Court ordered closure of 64 unlicensed quarries (NewsClick, 2022)

Two features of Table 2 deserve emphasis. The first is that regulatory action in most of these cases followed a death, a hunger strike or a judicial order and not routine inspection. The second is that, in the Tirunelveli case, a quarry reportedly continued to operate after a closure notice had been served, a fact that critics treated as evidence of weak political will in enforcement (NewsClick, 2022). Together, these point to a system that responds to events rather than prevents them.

Across these cases a common thread is that the hazard was identifiable in advance. In the Keerapakkam case the pit had existed for more than a decade, and the presence of signage shows that the danger was known. A warning board, however, is not a barrier, and young people are often drawn to deep, clear pit water precisely because it looks like a natural pool.

Public safety analysis

1.14. Drowning and entrapment

Drowning is the principal fatal hazard at abandoned pits. Quarry water is typically colder than surface water, with a sharp temperature gradient, steep or vertical rock walls that offer no hand-hold and sudden depth changes. A swimmer who slips beyond the edge cannot stand, and rescuers may find that fire-service divers are unavailable at night. In the Keerapakkam incident, the search had to be suspended because of poor lighting, and two friends who were on the bank could not help because the pit was too deep (Ashraf, 2024). Similar circumstances are reported abroad, where weekend picnics and swimming at disused quarry dams have been linked to repeated drownings (TimesLIVE, 2023).

1.15. Slope failure, rock fall and vehicle accidents

Unstable benches and overhanging faces at abandoned sites may fail with heavy rainfall. The Uthiramerur landslide, although it concerned a stone quarry that was apparently still being worked, demonstrates the instability of quarry walls (NGT, 2021). Roads leading to pits are frequently left open,

and the edge of a pit is not marked. In the Adaneri case, falling boulders trapped six workers, an incident that was followed by an extensive rescue effort and by the announcement of inspections across the State (SANDRP, 2023; NewsClick, 2022). These incidents also involved vulnerable migrant and local workers, whose deaths are less likely to be reflected in official safety statistics.

1.16. Who is at risk

The incident record suggests that the victims fall into three categories: children and young people who visit pits for recreation, workers in active but non-compliant quarries and local residents or farmers whose land adjoins the pit. Risk is seasonal, with peaks in summer holidays and in the weeks following the monsoon when pits are full. Because no systematic injury surveillance links drowning to pit sites, the number of deaths is probably under-recorded.

1.17. A simple prioritisation index

In the absence of an inventory, authorities need a rapid triage tool. The study proposes a Pit Risk Priority Index (PRI), expressed as Equation (1), in which H is the physical hazard score (depth, water, wall steepness), E is exposure (distance to habitation, road or school, and visible footfall), V is vulnerability of the likely visitors (age, seasonality) and C is the level of control in place (fencing, signage, guard, surveillance). Each variable is scored from 1 to 5 by a district team, so that PRI ranges from a minimum of 0.2 to a maximum of 125.

$$PRI = (H \times E \times V) / C \quad (1)$$

Sites with the highest scores should be fenced, signed and monitored first. The index is not a statistical model, and the weights could be refined after field validation, but it provides a transparent and auditable basis for allocating limited funds.

Environmental impact analysis

1.18. Water resources

Pits that intersect the water table act as open windows to groundwater, exposing it to evaporation and contamination. Pit lakes may store water that is useful in dry districts, but they also alter flow paths and may contribute to drawdown in adjacent wells. Because granite and similar rocks do not usually generate acid, the principal water-quality concerns are suspended solids, nitrate and petroleum residues from machinery, and dumping of solid waste and sewage into the pit (Castendyk and Early, 2009; Younger et al., 2002). The absence of periodic monitoring of such sites means that it is not known whether water in an abandoned pit is safe for livestock or irrigation, and local communities may nonetheless use it.

1.19. Land, soil and biodiversity

Quarrying strips topsoil and removes vegetation. Where overburden is dumped without stabilization, it erodes in the monsoon and silts nearby tanks and streams. Revegetation on bare rock is slow, and without planned closure the site may be colonized by invasive species rather than native flora. At the same time, some old pits develop ecological value as bird habitats or amphibian breeding sites, a result that Kivinen (2017) identifies as one of the possible after-uses of closed mines. A policy of automatic backfilling is therefore not always best, and the decision should be guided by a site-specific assessment that balances ecological gain against safety risk.

1.20. Air, noise and vibration

Active quarries generate dust, noise and ground vibration from drilling, blasting and crushing, and heavy vehicle movement affects road surfaces and neighboring crops (Bell and Donnelly, 2006). Post-closure, these impacts decline, but loose spoil and unpaved haul roads may continue to produce dust, and neighboring crushers often continue to draw on remaining stocks. The coexistence of active and abandoned quarries in the same cluster complicates attribution of impacts and underlines the need for cluster-level environmental management.

1.21. Climate and landscape

Pits are not neutral features in the landscape. Exposed rock faces heat up, standing water raises humidity locally and the scarring of hills affects the visual and cultural value of landscapes that may have religious or archaeological significance. While data on such effects are thin for Tamil Nadu, the principle of precaution suggests that the burden of showing that a closed pit is harmless should lie with the party that created it, not with affected residents (Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum, 1996).

1.22. Livelihood trade-offs

Enforcement against quarries has a social dimension. When the Coimbatore Collector ordered quarries closed in Kinathukadavu after inspections found violations, about 500 workers from several districts protested that they had lost their jobs (DT Next, n.d.). A credible closure policy therefore needs a transition plan, and funds from royalties or district mineral foundations could finance retraining and restoration work that employs local labour.

Government accountability

1.23. Institutional fragmentation

Responsibility for a quarry is spread over several bodies: the Department of Geology and Mining grants and supervises leases, the District Collector is the chief enforcement officer, the Pollution Control Board and environmental authorities address emissions and clearance conditions, the Directorate General of Mines Safety covers operations under the Mines Act, the local body or revenue department often owns the land and the fire and rescue service is the first responder. In the Uthiramerur proceedings, the Tribunal had to implead the Chief Secretary and half a dozen departments simultaneously, which itself illustrates the fragmentation (NGT, 2021). When everybody is partly responsible, nobody is wholly accountable.

1.24. Information and transparency

The most striking finding is the absence of a public register of abandoned pits. Without a list, no authority can be audited on whether it has fenced a particular pit, and residents cannot know whether a nearby excavation is a lawful operation, an unlawful operation or an orphaned hazard. Press accounts of the Madurai Bench's consideration of a plea to constitute a committee to reclaim abandoned mines and quarries, and of a petition asking the State to fence them, indicate that civil society is already pressing for such an inventory (People's Watch, n.d.). Public disclosure, including geo-tagged status of each lease, would let citizens, journalists and courts verify compliance.

1.25. Enforcement culture

Reports from different districts point to an enforcement approach that is reactive. Inspection drives followed the Tirunelveli deaths, and orders to close unlicensed quarries in Tiruppur followed judicial intervention (NewsClick, 2022). Whistleblowers face real risks: reports in the public domain describe threats to activists who complain about illegal quarrying, and the SANDRP compilation records the death of an activist who was allegedly run over by a quarry truck (SANDRP, 2023). Where those who complain are endangered, official reliance on public complaints as a trigger for inspection is not a safe enforcement model.

1.26. Judicial oversight

Courts and the Tribunal have been the most active accountability institutions. The Madurai Bench of the Madras High Court in 2017 directed the State to stop sand quarrying within six months and to periodically close quarries of other minerals, except jelly, to maintain ecological balance (LiveLaw, 2017), but the Supreme Court stayed that order after the State argued that it had been passed without examining demand and supply and that the quarries operated under environmental clearances (Deccan Chronicle, 2018). The episode illustrates the tension between judicial environmentalism and the State's development claims, and it shows why a durable solution requires an administrative inventory and fund rather than episodic court orders.

Legal compliance analysis

Table 3 sets the principal legal obligations against the position reported in the secondary sources. The rating column is the author's qualitative assessment on the evidence reviewed and is not a statistical measure.

Table 3 - Compliance gap matrix: legal obligations and reported position.

Obligation	Source	Reported position	Gap
Closure plan and restoration	TNMMCR; clearance conditions; MCDR benchmark	Long-abandoned pits remain unrestored (Keerapakkam, over ten years)	High
Fencing and signage	Mines Act regulations; clearance conditions	Signboards present but barrier absent at the fatal site	High
Operation within permit limits	TNMMCR; MMDR Act	Closure notice served but operations continued (Tirunelveli); unlicensed quarries (Tiruppur)	High
Environmental clearance for small leases	EIA Notification; Deepak Kumar (2012)	Requirement settled in law; compliance in the field uneven	Moderate
Inspection and monitoring	Departmental duties; Mines Act	Drives follow incidents; no routine register of pits	High
Compensation and no-fault liability	NGT Act 2010; Mehta (1987)	Used in Tribunal proceedings; no systematic pre-incident funding	Moderate
Public information	RTI Act 2005; EIA norms	No public pit register identified	High

The matrix leads to three observations. First, the gap is widest in obligations that are routine and preventive (closure, fencing, inspection and information) and narrowest in those that are triggered by litigation (compensation and clearance). Second, the law already contains enough tools, including security deposits, closure plans, penal liability and Tribunal jurisdiction, so the problem is one of implementation and of financing the cases in which the lessee has vanished. Third, because the liability regimes presuppose an identifiable operator, they offer little help for historic pits, whose lessees may be untraceable, insolvent or unknown, which is why an orphan-pit mechanism is needed.

The question of criminal liability deserves separate mention. Negligence-based provisions of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita can be applied to an owner or lessee who knowingly leaves an unfenced and deep pit near habitation, but prosecution presupposes the identification of the person in control. Public officials, in turn, may face departmental or writ remedies for failing to perform statutory duties, and courts have shown readiness to direct compensation to victims' families where the State has defaulted. Such remedies are, however, ex post, and the policy aim must be to prevent the first death.

Discussion

The evidence reviewed supports a four-part diagnosis. First, hazard is identifiable: abandoned pits are physical objects with known coordinates, depths and owners of record, so the repeated pattern of drowning cannot be described as an unforeseeable accident. Second, the safety response is symbolic: signboards and warnings shift responsibility to the victim and do not eliminate the hazard. Third, the environmental effects are real but under-measured, and in the absence of monitoring they remain outside the policy debate. Fourth, accountability is fragmented, so that a single pit may involve five or six departments and yet have no accountable custodian.

These findings are consistent with the international literature on legacy mine land, which finds that closure outcomes are best when responsibility, financial assurance and post-closure monitoring are specified in advance (Worrall et al., 2009; Hudson-Edwards, 2016). They also fit the constitutional logic in Indian environmental decisions that the State, as trustee of natural resources, must prevent harm and cannot plead lack of resources as an answer to a continuing hazard (Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum, 1996; Subhash Kumar, 1991).

A realistic policy must nonetheless recognize competing interests. Quarrying supplies construction material for housing and infrastructure, and the closure of quarries has an immediate employment cost, as the Coimbatore protest shows (DT Next, n.d.). The Supreme Court's stay of the sweeping 2017 Madras High Court direction suggests that blanket prohibitions are fragile (Deccan Chronicle, 2018). The better course is graduated: stricter conditions for new leases, inventory and securing of existing pits, and a financing mechanism to restore orphan sites.

Recommendations

Based on the above analysis, the article recommends the following reforms. Table 4 allocates each to a responsible agency and an indicative timeline.

- A statewide, geo-tagged Abandoned Pit Register, compiled district by district by the Department of Geology and Mining with satellite imagery, and published online with depth, water status, land ownership and risk score.
- Binding minimum standards for fencing, signage in Tamil and English, warning lights and access control, to be applied within a fixed period to all pits that score above a threshold on the proposed PRI.
- An Orphan Pit Restoration Fund financed by a fraction of royalty and seigniorage and by forfeited security deposits, and utilising local labour, following the polluter pays principle.
- Mandatory financial assurance for each new lease, calculated on the basis of the cost of full closure, with periodic review and release only after certified restoration.
- A shift from complaint-based to audit-based enforcement, including annual independent audits of a random sample of leases and closure sites, and protection for persons who report violations.
- A coordinated protocol under the Disaster Management Act, 2005 designating a single district nodal officer for pits, and training of fire and rescue personnel for quarry water rescues.
- Community awareness drives in schools and colleges, particularly before summer holidays, together with after-use planning to convert suitable pits into regulated water-storage or biodiversity sites.

Table 4 - Proposed reforms, responsible agencies and indicative timelines.

Reform	Lead agency	Indicative timeline
Abandoned Pit Register and public portal	Dept. of Geology and Mining; District Collectors	6-12 months
Fencing and signage standards	District Collector; local bodies	12 months for high-risk pits
Orphan Pit Restoration Fund	State Finance and Industries Departments	Within next budget cycle
Financial assurance for new leases	Dept. of Geology and Mining; SEIAA	On next rule amendment
Audit-based enforcement	Independent audit cell; Vigilance	Annual
Rescue protocol and training	Fire and Rescue Services; DDMA	6 months

Conclusion

Abandoned quarries and mining pits in Tamil Nadu are not a marginal issue of land use. They are a recurring cause of avoidable death, a long-term source of environmental disturbance and a test of whether the regulatory State can follow a hazard to the end of its life cycle. The documentary evidence reviewed in this article shows a consistent pattern: well-known hazards, warnings without barriers, enforcement that follows tragedy and a legal framework whose preventive provisions are not matched by a register, a budget or a custodian.

The path forward does not require new constitutional doctrine. It requires the State to count the pits, assign a responsible officer to each, finance their securing and restoration and publish the results. The proposed Pit Risk Priority Index, the Orphan Pit Restoration Fund and audit-based enforcement offer a practical sequence for doing so. Future research should supplement this secondary-data study with field surveys, hydrogeological monitoring and a systematic analysis of Right to Information responses from district offices, so that the figures presented here as indicative may be replaced by verified counts.

REFERENCES

- Ashraf, A. F. (2024, May). Three TN college students drown in abandoned quarry in Chengalpattu. *The News Minute*.
- Bell, F. G., & Donnelly, L. J. (2006). *Mining and its impact on the environment*. Taylor & Francis.
- Castendyk, D. N., & Eary, L. E. (Eds.). (2009). *Mine pit lakes: Characteristics, predictive modeling, and sustainability*. Society for Mining, Metallurgy and Exploration.
- Common Cause v. Union of India, (2017) 9 SCC 499.
- Daily Maverick. (2023, November 22). Two Eastern Cape children drown in abandoned quarries in less than a year.
- Deccan Chronicle. (2018). SC stays Madras HC ban on sand mining.
- Deepak Kumar v. State of Haryana, (2012) 4 SCC 629.
- DT Next. (n.d.). 500 workers protest against Coimbatore quarry closure.
- Hudson-Edwards, K. (2016). Tackling mine wastes. *Science*, 352(6283), 288-290.
- Indian Bureau of Mines. (2009). *Guidelines for preparation of mine closure plan* (as revised). Ministry of Mines, Government of India.
- Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action v. Union of India, (1996) 3 SCC 212.
- Kivinen, S. (2017). Sustainable post-mining land use: Are closed metal mines abandoned or re-used space? *Sustainability*, 9(10), 1705.
- LiveLaw. (2017, November 30). Stop all sand mining/quarrying activities within 6 months: Madras HC to TN Govt.
- M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, (1987) 1 SCC 395.
- National Crime Records Bureau. (Annual). *Accidental deaths & suicides in India*. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.
- National Green Tribunal, Southern Zone. (2021). *Tribunal on its own motion v. Chief Secretary, Government of Tamil Nadu*, O.A. No. 69 of 2021 (SZ).
- NewsClick. (2022). TN: Lack of political will, a boost to erring stone quarries.
- People's Watch. (n.d.). Media compilation on Madras High Court proceedings concerning abandoned mines and quarries. Madurai.
- Rylands v. Fletcher, (1868) LR 3 HL 330.
- SANDRP. (2023). *Sand mining deaths & violence in South India, April 2022-February 2023*. South Asia Network on Dams, Rivers and People.
- Subhash Kumar v. State of Bihar, (1991) 1 SCC 598.
- TimesLIVE. (2023, March 10). Families of KZN drowning victims call for quarry's closure.
- Vellore Citizens' Welfare Forum v. Union of India, (1996) 5 SCC 647.
- World Health Organization. (2024). *Global status report on drowning prevention 2024*. WHO.
- Worrall, R., Neil, D., Brereton, D., & Mulligan, D. (2009). Towards a sustainability criteria and indicators framework for legacy mine land. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 17(16), 1426-1434.
- Younger, P. L., Banwart, S. A., & Hedin, R. S. (2002). *Mine water: Hydrology, pollution, remediation*. Kluwer Academic.
- Statutes and rules: Mines Act, 1952; Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957; Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959; Tamil Nadu Prevention of Illegal Mining, Transportation and Storage of Minerals and Mineral Dealers Rules, 2011; Metalliferous Mines Regulations, 1961; Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974; Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981; Environment (Protection) Act, 1986; Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017; EIA Notification, 2006; National Green Tribunal Act, 2010; Disaster Management Act, 2005; Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.