



International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

From Source Documents to Statutory Compliance: A Practice-Based Study of Taxation Workflows in an Indian Professional Accounting Firm

Siddhesh Sanjay Panmand

School of Business, Indira University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Master of Business Administration (MBA), Finance

Practice-based research derived from supervised On-the-Job Training (OJT)

ABSTRACT :

Digitalisation has changed the way taxation and statutory compliance are performed in India, but the availability of online portals does not by itself guarantee accurate compliance. This paper examines the practical workflow through which client source documents are converted into financial statements, income-tax returns, TDS statements, GST returns and selected business registrations. The study is based on a practice-oriented exploratory case study arising from supervised On-the-Job Training at GPD Associates LLP, Pune, during 15 May 2026 to 31 August 2026. The analysis uses the compliance task as the unit of observation and compares inputs, verification requirements, processing steps, control points and outputs across financial statements, ITR-1 to ITR-4, TDS, GSTR-3B, FSSAI, Shops and Establishments and Udyam Registration. The study does not use confidential client data and does not claim statistical generalisation. Instead, it identifies recurring process patterns from supervised professional exposure. Five central findings emerge. First, source-data quality is the foundation of compliance accuracy. Second, return-form selection should follow taxpayer profiling rather than routine or previous-year habit. Third, reconciliation is a common control across direct tax, TDS and GST. Fourth, digital portals standardise submission but do not replace professional judgement. Fifth, integrated client workflow management can reduce duplication and improve consistency. The paper concludes that the role of the finance professional is shifting from routine data entry toward validation, exception handling, interpretation, review and client coordination. The findings are relevant to professional accounting firms, MSME compliance practices and finance education.

Keywords: Tax compliance; e-filing; GST; TDS; financial reporting; digital compliance; professional accounting; reconciliation; MSMEs

Introduction

Taxation is often viewed as a statutory subject involving calculations, forms and filing deadlines. In professional practice, however, compliance is better understood as an information-management process. A return or registration is the visible output of a chain that begins with client information, source documents and transaction records. Between these inputs and the final submission lie several decisions: applicability, classification, reconciliation, computation, validation, review and evidence retention. The practical OJT experience underlying this paper made that chain visible across multiple compliance activities.

The Indian tax environment has increasingly moved toward digital delivery. The Income Tax Department provides online and utility-based filing mechanisms for ITR-1, ITR-2, ITR-3 and ITR-4, while GST reporting is supported through online return systems. Current official guidance confirms that GSTR-3B is used to declare GST liabilities for a tax period and discharge those liabilities. [□cite□turn1search2□turn1search8□](#)

Digitalisation changes the location of effort rather than eliminating effort. A portal can validate fields, but it cannot fully determine whether a transaction has been understood correctly, whether a source document is complete, or whether a classification is appropriate. This distinction is important for finance professionals because compliance quality depends on both technology and professional judgement.

The present paper converts the experiential material of the OJT report into a research-paper format. The purpose is not to present the internship as a large-scale empirical survey. Instead, it develops a structured practice-based account of how taxation work is organised and what recurring control principles can be identified across different compliance tasks.

1.1 Research Problem

Existing discussions of digital tax compliance frequently examine taxpayer awareness, satisfaction, technology adoption or aggregate revenue outcomes. A complementary question is operational: how does professional compliance work transform imperfect, varied and sometimes inconsistent source information into reliable statutory outputs? This paper addresses that operational problem.

1.2 Research Questions

- What common workflow stages appear across different taxation and statutory-compliance assignments?
- Which control points are most important for reducing errors before statutory submission?
- How does digitalisation alter the role of the professional in tax and compliance work?
- What process improvements can professional accounting firms adopt from these observations?

1.3 Objectives of the Study

- To map the practical workflow from source documents to statutory compliance outputs.
- To compare the control requirements of financial statements, ITR-1 to ITR-4, TDS, GSTR-3B and selected registrations.
- To identify recurring observations relating to data quality, reconciliation, form selection and professional review.
- To develop practical recommendations for improving compliance workflow and finance-professional capability.

2. Review of Literature

The literature relevant to this study can be grouped into three broad streams: taxpayer compliance behaviour, digital filing and GST compliance. These studies provide a conceptual background for interpreting the operational observations from the OJT rather than serving as evidence about the individual firm.

Research on e-filing has generally associated digital filing with convenience, administrative efficiency and reduced paper-based effort, while also identifying problems related to awareness, technical difficulties and procedural complexity. Nathani and Kushwah's work on tax compliance in India examined e-filing, tax knowledge and penalties, and reported a significant role for e-filing and penalties in the model. The broader literature on taxpayer attitudes similarly suggests that user experience and familiarity influence acceptance of electronic filing.

More recent work continues to examine digital transformation in Indian taxation. Studies published in 2025–2026 have explored the relationship between e-filing, digital compliance and tax administration, with recurring attention to transparency, efficiency, digital literacy and technical limitations.

GST literature adds a second dimension. GST compliance is increasingly data-driven because reporting requires the interaction of sales, purchase, input-tax-credit and liability information. Recent research has examined digital compliance tools such as e-invoicing, e-way bills and automated matching and their relationship with revenue performance. The practical implication is that GST compliance is not simply a tax-form exercise; it is also an accounting and reconciliation process.

The operational perspective remains comparatively underdeveloped in the literature used for this paper. Survey-based research can tell us whether taxpayers find e-filing convenient, while aggregate studies can examine revenue outcomes. A practitioner-level workflow perspective asks a different question: where, within the sequence of collection, classification, reconciliation, preparation, review and submission, does compliance quality actually depend on human intervention? This paper addresses that practice gap.

2.1 Conceptual Framework

Concept	Relevance to the study
Tax compliance	Correct identification, reporting and discharge of statutory obligations.
Technology Acceptance	Explains why digital portals can improve convenience while users may still need guidance.
Internal control	Frames reconciliation, review, documentation and evidence retention as quality controls.
Process management	Treats compliance as a sequence of inputs, activities, controls and outputs.
Professional judgement	Explains the continuing role of humans in classification, exception handling and review.

3. Research Methodology

The study adopts an exploratory, descriptive and practice-based case-study design. The setting was a professional accounting and taxation practice in Pune where the researcher undertook supervised OJT. The study period was 15 May 2026 to 31 August 2026. The methodology is intentionally non-statistical because the underlying OJT did not include a respondent survey, experimental design or a disclosed client database.

The unit of analysis is the compliance task. Each task was examined in terms of five elements: (1) input information, (2) verification and classification, (3) processing or portal activity, (4) review and control, and (5) final output and record retention. This structure permits comparison across tasks without disclosing confidential taxpayer data.

3.1 Sources of Evidence

- Supervised practical exposure during the OJT period.
- Anonymised working formats and process descriptions used for academic documentation.

- Task-wise process flows and compliance checklists developed from the OJT experience.
- Published research on e-filing, tax compliance, GST and digital transformation.
- Current official portal guidance used to contextualise digital filing processes.

3.2 Ethical and Confidentiality Considerations

The research excludes PAN numbers, bank details, client names, identifiable financial statements, screenshots and other confidential records. The organisation-level discussion is limited to observed professional processes. The study does not disclose client-level outcomes or claim access to confidential firm performance indicators.

3.3 Analytical Procedure

First, individual tasks were mapped into process stages. Second, common control points were identified. Third, the tasks were compared to determine where the same information or control principle appeared across multiple filings. Finally, observations were interpreted using the literature and translated into practical recommendations

4. Practical Taxation and Compliance Workflow

The most important observation from the study is that different compliance outputs share a common underlying workflow. Although ITRs, TDS, GST returns and registrations use different forms and portals, the professional process can be represented by a common seven-stage cycle.

Stage	Core activity	Typical control
1. Receive	Collect client records and identify assignment.	Completeness of documents
2. Classify	Determine taxpayer/entity profile and applicability.	Correct form/category selection
3. Reconcile	Compare records across sources and systems.	Mismatch identification
4. Prepare	Create working papers and statutory information.	Classification and calculation checks
5. Review	Professional or mentor review of the prepared work.	Independent verification
6. Submit	Portal validation, filing or application submission.	Final confirmation and authorisation
7. Archive	Save acknowledgement/certificate and update records.	Evidence retention and traceability

4.1 Financial Statements as the Information Base

Financial-statement preparation formed an important base for the tax workflow. Income, expenses, assets, liabilities, receivables, payables, loans and bank information must be organised and classified before they can support reliable tax computation. The practical learning was that financial reporting and tax compliance are not separate activities. Weak accounting records increase the amount of reconstruction and review required later.

4.2 Income-Tax Return Workflows

Return	Broad practical focus	Dominant control
ITR-1	Specified individual cases; salary/pension and other eligible income.	Eligibility and reconciliation
ITR-2	Specified individual/HUF cases requiring broader income schedules.	Income-source classification
ITR-3	Business/professional income linked with books.	Books-to-return linkage
ITR-4	Specified eligible presumptive-taxation cases.	Eligibility and turnover/receipts

The official Income Tax Department currently provides a common utility covering ITR-1 through ITR-4 and publishes the applicable descriptions and utilities for the relevant assessment year. [\[cite turn1search2\]](#) The practical lesson from the OJT was consistent with this structure: the correct return cannot be selected merely because it was used in the previous year; the taxpayer profile and income sources must be understood first.

4.3 TDS Compliance

TDS work demonstrated the importance of cross-system accuracy. Deductor details, deductee PAN, applicable provisions, deduction amounts and challan information must remain consistent. Reconciliation therefore functions as a central preventive and detective control. Small errors can create downstream consequences because TDS information affects tax-credit records.

4.4 GSTR-3B Compliance

GSTR-3B preparation linked accounting records with GST liability and input-tax-credit information. Official GST guidance describes GSTR-3B as the form through which taxpayers declare GST liabilities for a tax period and discharge those liabilities. [\[cite turn1search8\]](#) In practical work, sales information, purchase/ITC records and available GST data need to be compared before the return is finalised. This makes GST compliance an

accounting-control process as much as a statutory filing process.

4.5 Business Registrations

The study also covered FSSAI, Shops and Establishments and Udyam Registration workflows. These activities showed that regulatory compliance extends beyond taxation. The FSSAI FoSCoS system provides online facilities for new applications, renewals, modifications and tracking. [\[cite\turn1search0\turn1search14\]](#) The official Udyam portal describes MSME registration as free, paperless and self-declaration based, with an online certificate. [\[cite\turn1search4\turn1search13\]](#) Across these registrations, the recurring control was consistency of business identity, address, constitution and activity information.

5. Findings and Discussion

5.1 Finding 1: Source-Data Quality is the First Control Point

The study repeatedly observed that downstream portal validation cannot compensate fully for poor upstream information. If a document is missing, a figure is misclassified or identity information differs between records, the problem can propagate into several outputs. The practical implication is that document collection should be treated as a control activity rather than an administrative preliminary.

5.2 Finding 2: Form Selection is a Professional Decision

The four ITR workflows showed that form selection is closely connected with taxpayer profiling. The correct form depends on the nature of income, taxpayer status and applicable conditions. This supports the broader conclusion that digital filing does not remove the need for professional interpretation.

5.3 Finding 3: Reconciliation is a Cross-Cutting Control

Reconciliation appeared in almost every major task. Financial statements require reconciliation of balances; income-tax returns require checking income and tax-credit information; TDS requires matching deductions and challans; GST requires comparison of sales, purchase and ITC information; and registrations require consistency between portal data and supporting documents. Reconciliation can therefore be treated as a common control architecture across compliance services.

5.4 Finding 4: Digitalisation Shifts Rather Than Removes Professional Work

Digital portals reduce physical paperwork and standardise submission, but the professional role increasingly concentrates on interpretation, exception handling, reconciliation, review and communication. This is consistent with the direction of recent research on digital tax administration, which emphasises efficiency gains alongside continuing challenges such as technical issues and digital capability. [\[cite\turn0search0\turn0search1\]](#)

5.5 Finding 5: Integrated Workflow Improves Control

The same client information may be required for multiple outputs. Maintaining a verified client master record, a common document checklist and a central compliance tracker can reduce repeated requests and inconsistencies. Integration should not mean blindly reusing data; rather, it should mean reusing verified information while checking whether each particular filing requires additional evidence.

5.6 Consolidated Observation Matrix

Task	Primary input	Key risk	Main control	Output
Financial statements	Books, bank and supporting records	Misclassification/incomplete records	Reconciliation	Financial statements
ITR-1	Income and tax-credit data	Wrong eligibility	Profile review	ITR/acknowledgement
ITR-2	Multiple income sources	Omitted/classified income	Schedule mapping	ITR/acknowledgement
ITR-3	Books and business records	Books-to-return mismatch	Accounting-to-tax reconciliation	ITR/acknowledgement
ITR-4	Eligible business/receipts data	Incorrect presumptive eligibility	Eligibility/turnover check	ITR/acknowledgement
TDS	Deduction and challan records	PAN/challan mismatch	Reconciliation	TDS statement
GSTR-3B	Sales, purchase and ITC data	Liability/ITC mismatch	GST reconciliation	GST return
Registrations	Identity and business documents	Inconsistent details	Document consistency check	Certificate/licence

6. Managerial and Professional Implications

The findings have implications for professional accounting firms, MSME clients and finance education. For firms, compliance quality can be improved by shifting from person-dependent memory toward visible process controls. For clients, better record maintenance can reduce the time and cost associated with compliance. For MBA Finance students, the findings suggest that employability depends not only on knowing tax concepts but also on being able to reconcile data, manage deadlines, interpret exceptions and communicate requirements.

6.1 Suggested Process-Control Framework

- Standard client information sheet covering identity, constitution, business activity and key financial information.
- Form-specific document checklist for ITR, TDS, GST and registrations.
- Central compliance tracker showing document status, preparation status, review status and filing evidence.
- Pre-filing review checklist for high-risk fields and reconciliations.
- Controlled knowledge repository for portal changes, common errors and updated procedures.
- Simple dashboard indicators such as pending-document age, rework count, portal-error frequency and turnaround time.

6.2 Implications for Finance Education

The study suggests that classroom teaching can be strengthened by integrating accounting, taxation and process-control exercises. Students should not only learn what an ITR or GST return contains; they should learn how source information is collected, tested and reconciled before a return is prepared. Case-based exercises can simulate incomplete documents, mismatched information and form-selection decisions, thereby developing judgement rather than rote procedural memory.

7. Limitations of the Study

- The study is based on one professional practice and a single OJT period; the findings should not be treated as statistically representative of the Indian tax-services sector.
- Client confidentiality prevented the use of identifiable financial statements, PAN data, bank records and screenshots.
- The researcher worked under supervision and therefore did not independently make final professional decisions.
- The study is qualitative and process-oriented; it does not estimate causal effects or statistically test relationships.
- Tax and registration procedures can change, so current operational requirements should always be checked against official sources.

These limitations do not invalidate the study; rather, they define its contribution. The paper is intended as a practice-based exploration of workflow and control logic. Its value lies in identifying patterns that can be tested in larger empirical studies.

8. Conclusion

This study began with a simple practical question: what actually makes a digital tax filing or registration reliable? The OJT experience indicates that the answer is not the portal alone. Reliability is produced through a chain of source-data quality, correct applicability, classification, reconciliation, preparation, professional review, submission and evidence retention.

The common process identified across financial statements, ITR-1 to ITR-4, TDS, GSTR-3B and selected registrations can be summarised as receive, classify, reconcile, prepare, review, submit and archive. The sequence is more important than any individual form because it provides a repeatable control framework across different statutory outputs.

The study also suggests that digitalisation is changing the nature of professional work. Routine entry and submission can be increasingly standardised, while human value becomes more concentrated in interpretation, exception handling, cross-checking, client communication and risk control. This is particularly relevant for finance professionals working with individuals and MSMEs, where accounting and compliance information is often interconnected.

The paper therefore proposes a shift in how taxation work is understood: from a form-filling activity to an integrated financial-information and control process. Professional firms that combine digital capability with disciplined review, standardised documentation and strong reconciliation practices are better positioned to provide reliable compliance support. For MBA Finance students, the key lesson is equally clear: technical tax knowledge becomes professionally useful when it is combined with accounting understanding, process discipline, analytical thinking and responsible use of digital systems.

9. Scope for Future Research

Future studies can build measurable evidence from the process framework developed here. A multi-firm study could compare turnaround time, document-related delays, rework frequency and portal errors before and after introducing standard checklists and central trackers. A survey could examine whether finance professionals perceive automation as reducing routine work or as increasing the importance of analytical review. Another research direction would compare manual and technology-enabled compliance workflows across small professional firms serving MSMEs.

REFERENCES

- Bhapkar, R., & Jagtap, S. (2026). An analytical study of GST and the e-filing process of GST returns. *International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management*, 2(5), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijcope.v2i5.273>
- Garg, S., Narwal, K. P., & Kumar, S. (2024). Application of Theory of Planned Behavior on determinants of GST compliance behavior of GST taxpayers: An empirical study from India. *Journal of Tax Reform*, 10(1), 134–148. <https://doi.org/10.15826/jtr.2024.10.1.161>
- Geetha, R., & Sekar, M. (2012). E-filing of income tax: Awareness and satisfaction level of individual tax payers in Coimbatore city, India. *Research Journal of Management Sciences*, 1(4), 6–11.
- Jagtiani, P. (2026). Digital compliance and revenue buoyancy under India's Goods and Services Tax: Evidence from 2017 to 2024. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 12(1), 2700043. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2026.2700043>
- Kamble, A. V., & Shinde, S. D. (2022). E-filing of income tax return: Awareness and satisfaction level of salaried employees. *International Journal of Advance and Innovative Research*, 9(2), 1–8.
- Kushwah, S. V., Nathani, N., & Vigg, M. (2021). Impact of tax knowledge, tax penalties, and e-filing on tax compliance in India. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 15(5–7), 61–74. <https://doi.org/10.17010/ijf/2021/v15i5-7/164493>
- Malhanor, M. (2025). Awareness and satisfaction level of income tax payers towards e-filing in Mumbai City: An empirical study. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i01.36081>
- Mittal, R., Prakash, B., & Vishal. (2020). Predicting taxpayers' attitudes towards Indian taxpayers towards the e-return filing: An empirical study of Delhi, NCR, India. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, 18(4), 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2020/v18i430292>
- Nathani, N., & Kushwah, S. V. (2019). Impact of e-filing, tax knowledge and tax penalties on tax compliance in India. *Journal of International Conference Proceedings*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.32535/jicp.v2i1.416>
- Sakpal, A., & Parulekar, P. (2025). Impact of digitalization on direct and indirect tax compliance and filing efficiency in India. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(6). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i06.65141>
- Singh, H., & Singh, H. (2013). E-filing system for tax returns and forms: Landmark e-governance initiative by the Government of India. *Government Information Quarterly*, 30(3). <https://doi.org/10.3233/GOV-130348>
- Sundar, T., & Subramanian, S. M. (2021). Growth of e-filing of tax returns in India. *Shodh Sarita*, 7(28), 81–84.
- Thakur, M. (2024). Electronic filing of individual income tax return (e-ITR) in India: A comprehensive analysis. *Journal of Taxation and Regulatory Framework*.
- Verma, S., & Khan, A. (2026). Impact of digital GST compliance on financial inclusion in India. *Research publication*.
- Income Tax Department, Government of India. (2026). Income-tax return downloads and filing utilities for AY 2026–27. <https://www.incometax.gov.in/>
- Goods and Services Tax Portal. (2026). Create and submit Form GSTR-3B. <https://tutorial.gst.gov.in/>
- Food Safety and Standards Authority of India. (2026). FoSCoS: Food licensing and registration system. <https://foscos.fssai.gov.in/>
- Ministry of Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises, Government of India. (2026). Udyam Registration Portal. <https://udyamregistration.gov.in/>