



International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal Homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

An Analytical Study on the Exit of Uber Eats from the Indian Food Delivery Market

Nikhil Ravindr Verma¹, Satendra Rana², Neha Chaudhary³

Noida Institute of Engineering and Technology

ABSTRACT

The present study examines the exit of Uber Eats from the Indian food delivery market in January 2020, focusing on the financial, competitive, and strategic factors that influenced its withdrawal from the Indian market. Despite being a globally recognized food delivery platform supported by Uber Technologies Inc., Uber Eats faced significant challenges in establishing a sustainable position in India. The study aims to analyze the impact of intense competition, aggressive discounting, high operational costs, limited market share, and localization challenges on the company's business performance. It also examines the role of established competitors, particularly Zomato and Swiggy, in shaping the competitive landscape of the Indian online food delivery industry. The acquisition of Uber Eats' Indian operations by Zomato is further considered to understand its implications for market structure, customer reach, and competitive positioning. The study adopts a descriptive research approach and draws on secondary information from reports, research articles, journals, and relevant industry sources, along with survey findings presented in the reference study. The analysis indicates that success in India's highly competitive and price-sensitive food delivery market requires effective localization, a strong restaurant network, efficient delivery logistics, customer loyalty, and a financially sustainable business model. The study concludes that the exit of Uber Eats provides important strategic lessons for multinational companies seeking to enter and expand in emerging markets.

Keywords: Uber Eats, Indian Food Delivery Market, Market Exit, Zomato, Swiggy, Competitive Strategy, Financial Performance, Localization, Food-Tech Industry, Strategic Management.

1.1 Introduction

The Indian food delivery industry has experienced significant growth due to increasing smartphone penetration, affordable internet access, urbanization, and changing consumer lifestyles. Online food delivery platforms have made it easier for customers to order food from restaurants and receive deliveries at their convenience.

Uber Eats, launched by Uber Technologies Inc. in 2014, expanded its operations into India in 2017. The company entered the Indian market with the objective of utilizing its technology and global brand recognition to compete in the growing food delivery industry. However, it faced strong competition from established platforms such as Zomato and Swiggy.

Despite offering discounts, promotional deals, and restaurant partnerships, Uber Eats struggled to establish a strong market position. High operational costs, intense price competition, and difficulties in adapting to local market conditions affected its sustainability. In January 2020, Uber Eats exited the Indian market by transferring its Indian food delivery operations to Zomato.

This study analyzes the major reasons behind Uber Eats' exit, its competitive and financial challenges, and the impact of the acquisition on the Indian food delivery market. It also identifies strategic lessons for multinational companies operating in competitive emerging markets.

2.0 Objectives of the Study

This study focuses on main around three objectives:

1. **To analyze the financial and strategic factors** responsible for Uber Eats' exit from the Indian food delivery market.
2. **To examine the competitive environment** of the Indian food delivery industry, particularly the role of Zomato and Swiggy in influencing Uber Eats' market position.
3. **To evaluate the impact of Uber Eats' acquisition by Zomato** on market competition and identify key lessons for multinational companies entering emerging markets.

3.1 Literature Review

1. **Bera (2020)** Bera examined the acquisition of Uber Eats' Indian operations by Zomato from the perspective of competition in the online food delivery market. The study discussed the possible effects of the acquisition on market competition and the growing dominance of Zomato and Swiggy.
2. **Mishra (2020)** Mishra studied the acquisition of Uber Eats by Zomato and the changing dynamics of online food ordering in India. The study highlighted the strategic importance of acquisitions in strengthening market position and expanding customer reach in a competitive industry.
3. **The Indian Express (2020)** An analysis published by *The Indian Express* examined Uber Eats' exit in the context of its late entry, limited market share, and competition from established platforms. It highlighted how Zomato's acquisition strengthened its competitive position in the Indian food delivery market.
4. **Modi and Shukla (2021)** Modi and Shukla analyzed the Zomato–Uber Eats acquisition in relation to Indian competition law. Their study discussed the implications of acquisitions for market concentration, competition, and the position of other players in the online food delivery industry.

4. Research Gap

1. **Limited Financial Analysis:** There is insufficient publicly available company-specific financial data to fully evaluate the profitability challenges faced by Uber Eats in India.
2. **Lack of Comparative Analysis:** Limited research directly compares Uber Eats' strategies with those of Zomato and Swiggy to identify the key factors behind their different market positions.
3. **Limited Understanding of Consumer Preferences:** Further investigation is needed to understand how customer satisfaction, pricing, brand loyalty, and service quality influenced consumer preferences.

5. Research Methodology

5.1 Research Design

The study adopts a descriptive research design to examine the reasons behind Uber Eats' exit from the Indian food delivery market and understand its competitive and strategic challenges.

5.2 Sources of Data

The study primarily uses secondary data collected from research papers, academic journals, industry reports, news articles, and other relevant online sources related to Uber Eats, Zomato, and Swiggy.

5.3 Tools of Analysis

The following tools are used for the study:

1. **Comparative Analysis:** To compare Uber Eats' market position and strategies with those of Zomato and Swiggy.
2. **Trend Analysis:** To understand the development of the Indian food delivery industry and changes in its competitive environment.

5.4 Scope of the Study

The study focuses on Uber Eats' operations in India, its exit in January 2020, and the subsequent acquisition of its Indian business by Zomato. It examines the financial challenges, competitive pressures, and strategic factors associated with the exit.

6. Limitations of the Study

The study has the following limitations:

1. **Limited Financial Data:** Detailed financial information about Uber Eats' Indian operations is not publicly available.
2. **Dependence on Secondary Data:** The study primarily relies on published reports, research papers, journals, and news articles.
3. **Limited Comparative Analysis:** The study focuses mainly on Uber Eats, Zomato, and Swiggy, without covering all competitors in the Indian food delivery market.
4. **Time Limitation:** The study mainly examines Uber Eats' exit in January 2020 and its immediate impact on the Indian food delivery industry.

5. **Limited Consumer Insights:** The study may not fully capture consumer preferences, satisfaction, and reasons for choosing competing platforms due to limited primary data.

7.0 Data Analysis and Interpretation

7.1 Financial and Strategic Analysis

Table 1: Financial Performance of Uber Eats India

Particulars	Reported figure
Revenue (July–September 2019)	\$20 million
Loss (July–September 2019)	\$61 million
Market exit	January 2020

Interpretation: Uber Eats India reported a loss of \$61 million against revenue of \$20 million in the quarter ending September 2019. This indicates significant financial pressure and difficulty in achieving sustainable operations. The figures relate to one quarter and should not be treated as the company's full-year results.

Table 2: Major Financial Challenges

Financial factor	Observed challenge
Revenue generation	Difficulty building sufficient business scale
Promotional discounts	Pressure on margins
Delivery operations	High operating expenses
Profitability	Continued financial losses

Interpretation: The combination of operating expenses, promotional competition, and limited market share created financial challenges for Uber Eats. These factors suggest that attracting customers was not sufficient to ensure profitability.

Table 3: Strategic Factors Behind Market Exit

Strategic factor	Analysis
Market entry	Entered India in 2017
Competitive position	Trailed established competitors
Business sustainability	Difficulty establishing a path to market leadership
Strategic decision	Transferred Indian operations to Zomato

Interpretation: Uber Eats struggled to establish a sufficiently strong competitive position despite its international presence. The exit was consistent with Uber's stated focus on markets where its food delivery business had a viable path to leadership.

7.2 Competitive Analysis

Table 4: Comparison of Major Food Delivery Platforms

Basis	Uber Eats	Zomato	Swiggy
Market position before exit	Relatively weaker	Established competitor	Established competitor
Brand presence	Global brand	Strong Indian presence	Strong Indian presence
Competitive challenge	Limited market share	Competition with Swiggy	Competition with Zomato
Outcome in India	Exited in 2020	Acquired Uber Eats India	Continued competing

Interpretation: Uber Eats faced two established competitors with strong positions in the Indian market. Its global brand recognition did not automatically translate into a comparable local competitive advantage. The comparison is qualitative and does not imply that all platforms had identical operating models.

Table 5: Market Share by User Base in 2019

Platform	Reported share of user base
Zomato	Approximately 38%
Swiggy	Approximately 27%
Uber Eats	Not specified in the cited figures

Interpretation: The reported figures indicate that Zomato and Swiggy had substantial user-base positions before Uber Eats exited India. As the cited report does not provide a corresponding Uber Eats percentage in these figures, its market share should not be estimated from the remaining percentage.

Table 6: Competitive Challenges Faced by Uber Eats

Competitive factor	Effect on Uber Eats
Established rivals	Greater difficulty attracting customers
Discounts and offers	Increased pressure on profitability
Customer loyalty	Challenge in retaining repeat users
Restaurant partnerships	Need to build a competitive restaurant network
Delivery efficiency	Need to control delivery costs

Interpretation: Competition involved more than attracting first-time customers. Platforms also needed to retain users, maintain restaurant partnerships, and manage delivery costs. Uber Eats faced difficulties competing across these areas while attempting to expand its market presence.

7.3 Analysis of the Zomato Acquisition

Table 7: Key Details of the Acquisition

Particulars	Details
Acquiring company	Zomato
Selling company	Uber Technologies Inc.
Announcement date	20 January 2020
Transaction structure	All-stock transaction, with additional tax reimbursement arrangements
Uber's ownership interest	9.99% of Zomato upon conversion of shares
Operational outcome	Uber Eats India discontinued operations

Interpretation: The transaction enabled Uber to transfer its Indian food delivery operations to Zomato in exchange primarily for an ownership interest. It also allowed Zomato to expand its existing business by integrating Uber Eats' users, restaurant partners, and delivery operations.

Table 8: Impact of Acquisition on Key Stakeholders

Stakeholder	Observed or expected impact
Zomato	Expanded its customer and restaurant network
Uber	Exited direct food delivery operations in India
Customers	Transitioned to Zomato's platform
Restaurant partners	Transferred to Zomato's platform
Delivery partners	Operations redirected to Zomato's platform

Interpretation: The acquisition consolidated Uber Eats' Indian operations within Zomato's platform. It strengthened Zomato's position by adding users and restaurant partners, while Uber retained indirect exposure through its ownership interest. The transaction also changed the available platform choices for former Uber Eats users.

Table 9: Integration Results Following Acquisition

Indicator	Reported result
Acquisition period	January 2020
Food delivery market structure	Shifted toward two major players
Retention of combined gross merchandise value (GMV) on Zomato	97%
GMV growth for Zomato India food delivery in FY2020 versus FY2019	108%

Interpretation: Zomato reported that it retained 97% of the combined GMV on its platform following the transition of users and merchants from Uber Eats. It also reported 108% year-on-year growth in India food delivery GMV for FY2020. These results indicate successful integration and business expansion, although the growth figure should not be attributed entirely to the acquisition.

8.0 Findings of the Study

Based on the data analysis and interpretation, the following major findings have been identified:

1. **Financial Challenges:** Uber Eats faced significant financial pressure due to operating costs, promotional discounts, and difficulties in achieving sustainable profitability.
2. **Intense Competition:** Zomato and Swiggy had established positions in the Indian food delivery market, making it difficult for Uber Eats to gain sufficient market share.
3. **Limited Market Position:** Despite its global brand recognition, Uber Eats struggled to establish a strong competitive position in India.
4. **Localization Challenges:** Understanding local consumer preferences, pricing expectations, and restaurant requirements was important for competing effectively in the Indian market.
5. **Strategic Exit Decision:** In January 2020, Uber Eats transferred its Indian food delivery operations to Zomato, reflecting a strategic decision to withdraw from the market.
6. **Benefits to Zomato:** The acquisition enabled Zomato to expand its customer base, restaurant network, and competitive position.
7. **Impact on Market Competition:** The exit strengthened the position of the two major platforms, Zomato and Swiggy, in India's online food delivery industry.
8. **Importance of Operational Efficiency:** Effective delivery logistics, cost control, and restaurant partnerships are essential for maintaining competitiveness in the food delivery business.
9. **Need for Sustainable Pricing:** Heavy reliance on discounts can attract customers in the short term but may create long-term profitability challenges.
10. **Lessons for Multinational Companies:** The case demonstrates that global brand recognition alone is insufficient for success in emerging markets. Companies must develop localized strategies, understand customer behaviour, and establish sustainable business models.

9. Conclusion

The study concludes that Uber Eats' exit from the Indian food delivery market in January 2020 highlights the challenges faced by multinational companies in highly competitive emerging markets. Despite its global brand recognition and technological capabilities, Uber Eats struggled to establish a sustainable position against established competitors such as Zomato and Swiggy.

The analysis indicates that financial pressures, intense competition, aggressive discounting, and difficulties in achieving market leadership contributed to its exit. The acquisition of Uber Eats' Indian operations by Zomato strengthened Zomato's market position and contributed to the consolidation of the Indian food delivery industry.

The study further highlights that global recognition alone cannot guarantee business success. Companies must understand local consumer preferences, develop efficient delivery networks, maintain strong restaurant partnerships, and adopt financially sustainable strategies.

10.1 Future Scope and Recommendations of the Study

1. **Comparative Study:** Future research can compare Uber Eats, Zomato, and Swiggy to understand their business strategies and competitive advantages.

2. **Consumer Behaviour:** Further studies can examine how pricing, service quality, delivery time, and brand loyalty influence customer preferences.
3. **Financial Performance:** Future researchers can investigate the relationship between operating costs, discounting strategies, and profitability in the food delivery industry.
4. **Localization Strategies:** Further research can explore how multinational companies can adapt their products, pricing, and services to Indian consumer preferences.
5. **Long-Term Market Impact:** Future studies can examine how Uber Eats' exit influenced market concentration, competition, restaurant partners, and consumers over time.

10.2 Recommendations

1. **Adopt Localized Strategies:** Companies entering India should understand local food preferences, regional demand, and customer expectations.
2. **Focus on Sustainable Pricing:** Food delivery platforms should reduce excessive dependence on discounts and develop pricing strategies that support long-term profitability.
3. **Improve Delivery Efficiency:** Companies should optimize delivery routes, strengthen logistics, and control operational costs.
4. **Build Strong Restaurant Partnerships:** Maintaining good relationships with restaurants can improve service quality, menu availability, and customer satisfaction.
5. **Strengthen Customer Loyalty:** Platforms should focus on reliable service, personalized offers, and consistent customer experiences rather than relying only on promotional discounts.
6. **Conduct Market Research:** Multinational companies should evaluate competition, customer behaviour, and market conditions before entering a new market.

11. Bibliography / References

1. Bera, A. (2020). Reviewing of online food delivery market under Indian Competition Act, 2002—in lieu of Zomato–Uber Eats transaction. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews (IJRAR)*, 7(2). <https://ijrar.org/papers/IJAR19W1165.pdf>
2. Mishra, S. (2020). A review on Zomato acquires Uber Eats. *IRE Journals*, 3(9). <https://www.irejournals.com/formatedpaper/1702122.pdf>
3. Mukul, P. (2020). Explained: After Zomato eats Uber. *The Indian Express*. <https://indianexpress.com/article/explained/explained-what-the-deal-means-for-uber-eats-and-zomato-6228023/>
4. Modi, S., & Shukla, A. (2021, June 21). Zomato-UberEats acquisition: The changing dynamics of combination assessment. *Law School Policy Review*. <https://lawschoolpolicyreview.com/2021/06/21/zomato-ubereats-acquisition-the-changing-dynamics-of-combination-assessment/>