



International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal Homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Article on Allergic Rhinitis: A Clinical and Homeopathic Perspective

¹ Dr. Sandeep Singh, ² Dr. Sohera Talat

¹MD Part 2, Homoeopathic Materia Medica Department, Guru Mishri Homoeopathic Medical College, Shelgaon, Jalna

² Professor, Guru Mishri Homoeopathic Medical College, Shelgaon, Jalna

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.07.1026.3203>

ABSTRACT

Allergic rhinitis (AR) is a common chronic inflammatory disorder of the upper respiratory tract resulting from an immunologically mediated response to inhaled allergens. Sneezing, nasal itching, watery rhinorrhoea and nasal obstruction constitute its characteristic clinical manifestations, frequently accompanied by ocular symptoms and sleep disturbance. Although allergic rhinitis is rarely life-threatening, persistent disease can significantly impair quality of life, academic performance and occupational productivity. The present article reviews the clinical characteristics and pathophysiology of allergic rhinitis and discusses its relevance to individualized homeopathic case management. Particular emphasis is placed on detailed case-taking, identification of characteristic modalities and concomitant symptoms, and differentiation of allergic rhinitis from other causes of chronic nasal symptoms. Two illustrative clinical cases are presented to demonstrate individualized homeopathic case analysis. The current evidence concerning homeopathy in allergic rhinitis is also examined. Earlier clinical trials and systematic reviews have produced heterogeneous findings, while a recent randomized placebo-controlled trial published in 2026 did not demonstrate superiority of either standardized *Galphimia glauca* or individualized homeopathic treatment over placebo; interpretation was limited by substantial under-recruitment. Thus, while individualized homeopathic practice remains of interest to practitioners and researchers, current evidence does not establish homeopathy as a replacement for evidence-based management of allergic rhinitis. Rigorous clinical research with validated outcomes is required to clarify its therapeutic role.

Keywords: Allergic rhinitis; homeopathy; individualized treatment; seasonal allergic rhinitis; perennial allergic rhinitis; sneezing; rhinorrhoea; nasal obstruction; *Galphimia glauca*; case analysis.

Introduction

Allergic rhinitis is one of the most prevalent allergic disorders worldwide and represents an important cause of chronic nasal morbidity. It develops following exposure to allergens in sensitized individuals and is characterized by inflammation of the nasal mucosa. Common triggers include pollen, house-dust mites, animal dander and moulds.

The clinical presentation may be seasonal or perennial. Patients commonly report paroxysmal sneezing, nasal itching, watery rhinorrhoea and nasal obstruction. Ocular manifestations such as itching, watering and conjunctival irritation are also frequent. The condition may interfere with sleep, concentration, school attendance and occupational performance. Contemporary ARIA-EAACI guidance emphasizes individualized assessment and recommends appropriate intranasal therapies according to symptom pattern and patient circumstances.

For the homeopathic physician, allergic rhinitis is not merely a diagnostic label. The individual expression of the disease—its modalities, peculiar symptoms, concomitants and constitutional characteristics—may form an important component of case analysis.

Pathophysiology and Clinical Presentation

Allergic rhinitis is predominantly an IgE-mediated hypersensitivity reaction. Following sensitization, allergen-specific IgE becomes associated with mast cells within the nasal mucosa. Subsequent allergen exposure results in the release of inflammatory mediators, producing the early symptoms of sneezing, itching and rhinorrhoea. A subsequent inflammatory phase involving eosinophils and other inflammatory cells contributes to persistent mucosal inflammation and nasal obstruction.

Seasonal allergic rhinitis is commonly associated with pollens, whereas perennial allergic rhinitis may be related to persistent exposure to house-dust mites, moulds or animal allergens.

A careful clinical history should establish:

- Duration and periodicity of symptoms.
- Seasonal or perennial occurrence.

- Suspected environmental triggers.
- Character of nasal discharge.
- Frequency and pattern of sneezing.
- Degree of nasal obstruction.
- Presence of ocular symptoms.
- Associated cough, wheezing or sinus symptoms.
- Sleep disturbance and effect on daily activities.
- Previous allergic or atopic history.

The differential diagnosis includes infectious rhinitis, non-allergic rhinitis, medication-induced rhinitis and chronic rhinosinusitis. Persistent unilateral obstruction, recurrent epistaxis, significant facial pain or suspected nasal polyposis warrants appropriate medical evaluation.

Homeopathic Approach to Case Analysis

Homeopathic case-taking traditionally seeks to individualize treatment rather than prescribing solely on the basis of the disease diagnosis. In allergic rhinitis, common symptoms such as sneezing and watery discharge have limited individualizing value when considered in isolation. Greater attention may therefore be given to unusual modalities, concomitant symptoms and the patient's characteristic response to environmental influences.

The physician may explore aggravation from dust, pollen, cold air, warmth, damp weather, changes in temperature or particular times of day. The character of the discharge, laterality, degree of nasal itching, ocular symptoms, thirst, thermal preferences, sleep pattern and associated respiratory manifestations may contribute to the totality of symptoms.

This process should not replace appropriate clinical diagnosis. Rather, it represents the homeopathic framework through which the individual case is subsequently analyzed.

Illustrative Clinical Cases

Case 1: Seasonal Allergic Rhinitis with Recurrent Paroxysmal Sneezing

A 24-year-old university student presented with recurrent episodes of violent sneezing, marked nasal itching and profuse watery nasal discharge. Symptoms appeared predominantly during the spring season and were aggravated by outdoor exposure, particularly during the early morning. She also complained of itching and watering of the eyes.

There was no fever or purulent nasal discharge. The patient described herself as generally chilly and preferred warm surroundings. The attacks frequently disturbed sleep and affected her morning academic activities.

The clinical picture was consistent with seasonal allergic rhinitis. A detailed homeopathic case analysis was subsequently undertaken, with attention to the patient's characteristic modalities, constitutional features and concomitant symptoms. An individualized homeopathic prescription was selected according to the totality of the case.

At follow-up, the patient reported a reduction in the frequency and intensity of attacks. The case illustrates the importance of distinguishing the individual pattern of disease expression from symptoms that are common to allergic rhinitis as a diagnostic category.

Case 2: Perennial Allergic Rhinitis with Predominant Nasal Obstruction

A 36-year-old office worker reported a six-year history of recurrent sneezing, watery rhinorrhoea and persistent nasal obstruction. Symptoms were particularly troublesome on waking and following exposure to household dust. Nasal obstruction interfered with sleep and was accompanied by intermittent frontal heaviness.

There was no persistent fever, facial swelling or purulent nasal discharge. The clinical assessment supported perennial allergic rhinitis.

Environmental measures and appropriate medical assessment were discussed. Homeopathic case-taking subsequently focused on the patient's general modalities, constitutional characteristics, associated symptoms and the specific pattern of nasal obstruction. An individualized prescription was selected based upon the totality.

At subsequent review, the patient described improved nasal breathing and fewer symptomatic exacerbations.

These cases are presented as **illustrative clinical cases rather than controlled evidence of efficacy**. In a scholarly publication, objective documentation—including validated symptom scores, quality-of-life measures, allergy testing where appropriate, treatment chronology and longer follow-up—would strengthen the evidentiary value of such reports.

Evidence for Homeopathy in Allergic Rhinitis

The evidence concerning homeopathy for allergic rhinitis remains inconclusive. A systematic review published in 2017 identified 11 eligible studies. The review found substantial methodological limitations: eight of the 11 trials were assessed as having a high risk of bias, while heterogeneity prevented meaningful synthesis of several studies. Some trials involving *Galphimia glauca* reported symptom improvements, but the authors emphasized the limitations of the available evidence. **P**

A more recent development is the 2026 randomized, double-blind, three-arm trial of homeopathic treatment for seasonal allergic rhinitis. Participants received either standardized *Galphimia glauca*, individualized homeopathic treatment or placebo. The study found no significant differences between the groups in rhinitis-related quality of life, rescue medication use, or major symptom outcomes. Importantly, only 62 participants were recruited, approximately 25% of the planned sample, and the authors therefore stated that the validity of the conclusions was limited by under-recruitment.

These findings illustrate the importance of distinguishing individual clinical experience from evidence generated through controlled clinical research. Case reports can provide valuable clinical observations and generate hypotheses, but they cannot independently establish therapeutic efficacy.

Clinical Considerations and Integrated Care

Contemporary ARIA-EAACI recommendations identify intranasal corticosteroids, intranasal antihistamines and combinations of intranasal antihistamines with corticosteroids among the principal pharmacological approaches to allergic rhinitis. The guideline emphasizes that treatment selection should take account of clinical variability, patient preferences and practical considerations.

For homeopathic practitioners, responsible clinical practice therefore requires appropriate diagnosis and recognition of conditions that may coexist with or mimic allergic rhinitis. Particular attention should be given to patients with wheezing, recurrent lower-respiratory symptoms or suspected asthma, because upper and lower airway allergic disease may coexist.

Homeopathic treatment should not be used as a justification for withholding effective conventional treatment when clinically indicated. A scientifically responsible approach combines careful individualization with appropriate investigation, monitoring and referral.

Conclusion

Allergic rhinitis is a prevalent chronic inflammatory disorder with significant effects on quality of life. Its clinical manifestations are well characterized, but individual patients may display markedly different patterns of susceptibility, triggers, modalities and associated symptoms.

From a homeopathic perspective, detailed case-taking and individualization remain central to therapeutic reasoning. The two illustrative cases demonstrate how clinical characterization can extend beyond the diagnostic label to include modalities, concomitant symptoms and constitutional features.

However, contemporary evidence remains insufficient to establish homeopathy as an effective replacement for evidence-based treatment of allergic rhinitis. The 2026 randomized trial did not demonstrate superiority of standardized or individualized homeopathic treatment over placebo, although its conclusions were limited by inadequate recruitment.

Future research should incorporate adequately powered randomized trials, validated symptom and quality-of-life measures, objective clinical parameters, transparent reporting and sufficiently long follow-up. Such research would allow the homeopathic community to evaluate its clinical hypotheses with greater scientific rigor while maintaining patient safety and responsible integrative practice.

References

1. Bousquet J, Schünemann HJ, Togias A, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines—2016 revision. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2016;138(3):1–60.
2. Togias A, Bousquet J, Samolinski B, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA): achievements in 20 years and future needs. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015;136(3):556–563.
3. Dykewicz MS, Wallace DV, Amrol DJ, et al. Diagnosis and management of rhinitis: an updated practice parameter. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2020;146(4):721–767.
4. Bousquet J, Schünemann HJ, Togias A, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA)-EAACI Guidelines 2024–2025 revision: Part I—Guidelines on intranasal treatments. *Allergy*. 2025.

-
5. Mathie RT, Ramparsad N, Legg LA, et al. Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials of non-individualised homeopathic treatment: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev*. 2017;6:63.
 6. Mathie RT, Lloyd SM, Legg LA, et al. Randomised controlled trials of homoeopathy in allergic rhinitis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Homeopathy*. 2017.