



Similia Similibus Curentur: Tracing the Evolution, Significance, and Hahnemannian Application of Homeopathy's Foundational Principle.

¹Dr. Ravali Nalval, ² Dr. Javeeria Shazlee

1 PG Scholar, Department of organon of medicine and homoeopathic philosophy, Guru Mishri Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Shelgoan, Jalna.

2 Associate Professor, Organon of Medicine and Homoeopathic Philosophy, Guru Mishri Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Shelgoan, Jalna.

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.07.1026.3202>

ABSTRACT

Similia similibus curentur commonly translated as “let likes be treated by likes,” represents the foundational therapeutic principle of homeopathy. Although the concept of treating disease with substances resembling the disease manifestations has antecedents in earlier medical traditions, particularly discussions associated with Hippocratic medicine and later Paracelsian thought, Samuel Hahnemann (1755–1843) transformed the idea into the central therapeutic principle of a distinct medical system. Historical scholarship indicates that Hahnemann's understanding of similars developed progressively, particularly between 1796 and the publication of the *Organon* in 1810. His approach connected the symptoms of a natural disease with the symptom-producing capacity of medicines established through experimentation on healthy individuals. In the *Organon*, this concept became closely associated with individualisation, drug proving, the totality of symptoms, and the selection of a remedy capable of producing a similar artificial medicinal disease. This article traces the historical evolution of the principle, examines its theoretical significance within Hahnemannian homeopathy, and discusses its applicability in classical homoeopathic case management. It also considers the distinction between the historical/theoretical importance of the principle and the question of its effectiveness according to contemporary scientific standards.

Keywords: Similia similibus curentur, Law of Similars, Samuel Hahnemann, Homoeopathy, Organon of Medicine, drug proving, individualisation, totality of symptoms.

1. Introduction

The principle of similia similibus curentur occupies a central position in the philosophy and therapeutic methodology of homeopathy. The expression is generally translated as “let likes be treated by likes” or “treat likes by likes.” Hahnemann used the principle to describe a therapeutic approach in which a medicine capable of producing a pattern of symptoms in a healthy person is selected for a sick individual presenting a sufficiently similar symptom pattern.

The historical development of this concept did not occur in isolation. Ideas resembling “like with like” can be identified in earlier medical writings, while the contrasting principle of treatment by opposites (*contraria contrariis*) was also prominent in traditional medical practice. Historical analyses therefore suggest that Hahnemann's contribution was not simply the invention of the idea of similarity but its systematic incorporation into a therapeutic methodology involving drug experimentation, individualisation, materia medica and case analysis.

Hahnemann's formulation evolved during his medical career. In his 1796 essay, *Essay on a New Principle for Ascertaining the Curative Powers of Drugs*, he proposed similarity as a new therapeutic principle. By the time of the first *Organon* in 1810, the concept had become the foundation of the system he called homeopathy.

2. Historical Origins of the Principle of Similars

The concept of treating a condition through something resembling it predates Hahnemann. Historical literature identifies examples of similarity-based therapeutic thinking in ancient medical traditions. Hippocratic writings, for example, contain discussions of treatment by both similarities and contraries. Later, Paracelsus (1493/1494–1541) also employed ideas involving similarity in his medical philosophy.

However, these historical precedents should not be equated directly with Hahnemannian homoeopathy. Earlier physicians did not necessarily use similarity according to Hahnemann's later methodology of systematic provings in healthy individuals and individualised prescription based on the totality of symptoms.

Thus, the historical development can be broadly understood as:

Ancient concepts of similarity → Hippocratic discussion of likes and opposites → Paracelsian and later medical ideas → Hahnemann's observations → 1796 formulation → 1810 *Organon* → systematic Hahnemannian homoeopathy.

This distinction is important because Hahnemann's major contribution was the **systematisation of similarity as a therapeutic method**, rather than simply introducing the concept of “like cures like.”

3. Hahnemann and the Evolution of *Similia Similibus Curentur*

Samuel Hahnemann's development of the principle occurred gradually. One of the important milestones was his 1796 publication, *Essay on a New Principle for Ascertaining the Curative Powers of Drugs*. In this work, he proposed that a medicine capable of producing an artificial disease similar to the natural disease could potentially remove the natural disease.

The principle subsequently became more prominent in Hahnemann's writings. Historical scholarship describes an evolution from an initial concept inspired by observations of similar cures in nature toward a more general therapeutic principle applied to disease treatment. By 1810, with the publication of the first *Organon*, Hahnemann had established homoeopathy as a distinct therapeutic system.

This evolution can be represented as:

Period	Development
Before Hahnemann	Earlier medical traditions contained concepts of treatment by similarity
1790	Hahnemann's famous cinchona experience became an important part of the traditional history of homoeopathy
1796	Publication of <i>Essay on a New Principle for Ascertaining the Curative Powers of Drugs</i>
1805	<i>Medicine of Experience</i> further developed his therapeutic ideas
1810	First edition of the <i>Organon</i> ; homoeopathy formally presented as a system
1810–1842	Subsequent editions progressively elaborated Hahnemann's concepts
1842	Sixth edition completed by Hahnemann, published posthumously

The commonly narrated cinchona episode is historically important to the development of homoeopathic thought, although modern historical scholarship cautions against reducing the development of the principle to a single experiment or anecdote.

4. Meaning of *Similia Similibus Curentur*

The Latin expression *similia similibus curentur* is commonly rendered as:

“Let likes be treated by likes.”

An important historical point is that Hahnemann's formulation uses **curentur**, rather than *curantur*. Historical analysis indicates that the expression occurs in Hahnemann's *Organon* introduction and is better understood as “likes should be treated by likes” or “treat likes by likes.”

In Hahnemannian terms, “similar” does not simply mean that the disease and medicine have the same pathological diagnosis. Instead, the similarity primarily concerns the **symptom manifestations**.

For example, the classical homoeopathic approach asks:

What symptoms does the patient present?

↓

Which medicine is capable of producing a similar symptom pattern in a healthy person?

↓

Which medicine most closely corresponds to the totality of the patient's symptoms?



Select the most similar remedy.

Therefore, the principle is closely associated with **symptom similarity and individualisation**, rather than merely prescribing according to the name of a disease.

5. Hahnemannian Explanation in the *Organon*

The principle of similars is particularly prominent in §§24–27 of the *Organon of Medicine*.

Aphorism §24

Hahnemann describes the homeopathic method as searching among medicines whose effects have been determined by experimentation for one capable of producing an **artificial morbid state most similar to the disease under treatment**.

Aphorism §25

Hahnemann emphasises experience and the similarity between medicinal symptoms observed in healthy individuals and the symptoms of the patient.

Aphorism §26

Hahnemann provides his central explanation of the principle: a weaker dynamic affection can, according to his theory, be extinguished by a stronger and sufficiently similar medicinal affection.

Aphorism §27

The curative power of a medicine is related, in Hahnemann's framework, to its ability to produce symptoms similar to those of the disease and sufficiently strong to overcome the existing disease state.

Consequently, §§24–27 can be considered an important theoretical foundation for understanding the Hahnemannian application of *similia similibus curentur*.

6. Relationship Between Similars and Drug Proving

The principle of similars cannot be considered separately from **drug proving** in classical homoeopathy.

Hahnemann proposed that medicines should be investigated by observing their effects on healthy human beings. The symptoms produced during such experimentation formed the basis of the medicinal picture.

The process can therefore be represented as:

Medicine administered to healthy individual



Observation of signs, symptoms and modalities



Recording of medicinal symptoms



Formation of the Materia Medica picture



Comparison with symptoms of the sick patient



Selection of the most similar remedy

Thus, drug proving provides the therapeutic information required for applying the principle of similars.

7. Relationship with Individualisation

One of the important implications of *similia similibus curentur* is **individualisation**.

Two patients may receive the same conventional diagnosis but present with substantially different symptom patterns. From a classical Hahnemannian perspective, the diagnostic label alone is therefore insufficient for selecting the remedy.

Although both patients may have the same diagnostic label, the totality of symptoms may point toward different remedies under an individualised homoeopathic approach.

Individual symptom totality → Similar medicinal picture → Individualised prescription

8. Significance in Hahnemannian Homoeopathy

The principle of similars has several important theoretical implications within classical homoeopathy.

8.1 *It provides the basis for remedy selection*

The remedy is selected according to similarity between the patient's symptoms and the known symptom-producing capacity of the medicine.

8.2 *It connects Materia Medica with clinical practice*

Materia Medica provides information about medicinal effects, while the clinical case provides the patient's symptom picture. The principle of similars provides the conceptual bridge between the two.

8.3 *It supports individualisation*

The focus is placed on the characteristic symptom pattern of the individual patient rather than solely on the disease diagnosis.

8.4 *It gives importance to modalities*

Factors such as aggravation, amelioration, time, location, sensations and concomitant symptoms may contribute to determining the degree of similarity.

8.5 *It forms part of a broader therapeutic framework*

In Hahnemannian homoeopathy, the principle operates alongside other concepts including:

- Drug proving
- Single remedy
- Individualisation
- Totality of symptoms
- Minimum dose
- Potentisation
- Vital principle/vital force
- Miasmatic concepts in the later development of Hahnemann's work

9. Applicability of the Principle in Clinical Case Taking

The practical application of *similia similibus curentur* begins with careful case taking.

A classical Hahnemannian case should attempt to obtain:

Mental symptoms - Physical generals - Particular symptoms – Modalities-Concomitants

-Causative factors - Characteristic symptoms - Totality of symptoms –

Repertorisation / Materia Medica comparison -Selection of the most similar remedy

The principle therefore requires more than identifying a disease name. It requires a detailed understanding of the individual patient's manifestation of disease.

10. Applicability to Chronic Diseases

Hahnemann increasingly applied the principle of similars to chronic disease. His later writings attempted to explain persistent disease through the concept of chronic miasms, particularly psora, sycosis and syphilis.

From a historical Hahnemannian perspective, the chronic case therefore involved two related considerations:

1. The similarity between the patient's symptomatic totality and the medicinal picture, and
2. The underlying chronic disease framework proposed by Hahnemann.

This demonstrates how the principle of similars evolved from a relatively focused therapeutic observation into part of a larger theoretical system.

11. Evolution of the Concept in Hahnemann's Writings

An important point from modern historical scholarship is that Hahnemann's conception of *similia similibus* was **not static**. His writings between 1796 and 1810 show a progressive development of the concept. Initially, he related similarity to observations of nature and similar diseases. Later, he increasingly generalised the principle as the foundation of his therapeutic system.

This evolution is important for understanding Hahnemann historically rather than interpreting every later statement as if it represented his earliest position.

A simplified progression is:

12. Observation

→ Hypothesis

→ 1796 principle

→ Medicinal experimentation

→ Development of Materia Medica

→ Systematisation

→ Organon

→ General therapeutic doctrine

This demonstrates that *similia similibus curentur* developed through Hahnemann's attempts to establish a systematic method of therapeutics.

13. Significance for Homoeopathic Education

For students of homoeopathy, understanding *similia similibus curentur* is important because it provides a conceptual connection between **Organon, Materia Medica, Repertory and Clinical Practice**.

The relationship may be illustrated as:

Organon

→ explains the therapeutic principle

Materia Medica

→ describes medicinal symptomatology

Repertory

→ facilitates systematic comparison of symptoms

Case Taking

→ identifies the patient's individual symptom totality

Clinical Application

→ selects the remedy according to similarity

Thus, the principle functions as a connecting concept across major branches of classical homoeopathic education.

14. Materials and Methods

Study Design:

The present article is a narrative review based on the historical, philosophical, and theoretical development of the principle *Similia Similibus Curentur* in classical Hahnemannian homeopathy.

Sources of Data:

Relevant information was collected from primary and secondary sources, including Hahnemann's *Organon of Medicine*, his 1796 essay *Essay on a New Principle for Ascertaining the Curative Powers of Drugs*, historical publications, review articles, and relevant literature on the development of the Law of Similars.

Method of Literature Review:

The selected literature was reviewed to trace the historical evolution of the principle from its early conceptual origins to its systematic formulation by Samuel Hahnemann. Particular emphasis was placed on the development of the principle between 1796 and 1810 and its subsequent elaboration in the *Organon of Medicine*.

15. Results

The review highlights the historical evolution of *Similia Similibus Curentur* from earlier concepts of therapeutic similarity to its systematic formulation by Samuel Hahnemann. The principle was progressively developed through his writings, particularly the 1796 essay and the *Organon of Medicine* (1810). The findings emphasise its theoretical relationship with drug proving, individualisation, *Materia Medica*, and the totality of symptoms. The principle serves as the central therapeutic concept of classical Hahnemannian homeopathy, while its clinical effectiveness requires evaluation through contemporary scientific evidence.

16. Discussion

The principle of *Similia Similibus Curentur* forms the theoretical foundation of classical Hahnemannian homeopathy. The review highlights how Samuel Hahnemann developed the concept into a systematic therapeutic approach based on drug proving, individualisation, and the totality of symptoms. Its evolution from the 1796 essay to the *Organon of Medicine* reflects the progressive development of his medical philosophy.

The principle establishes a conceptual relationship between a patient's symptoms and a medicinal substance's symptom-producing capacity. Within classical homeopathy, this relationship guides remedy selection and case analysis. However, the principle's historical and theoretical significance should be distinguished from its clinical effectiveness, which requires assessment through rigorous scientific research.

17. Conclusion

Similia - curentur represents the central therapeutic principle around which Hahnemann constructed homeopathy. Although concepts of treatment by similarity existed before Hahnemann, his distinctive historical contribution was to develop similarity into a systematic therapeutic method associated with drug proving, individualisation, *Materia Medica* and the totality of symptoms.

From the publication of his 1796 essay to the development of the *Organon*, Hahnemann's interpretation of similars evolved and became increasingly integrated into his broader medical philosophy. The principle was particularly elaborated in §§24–27 of the *Organon*, where Hahnemann explained the selection of a medicine capable of producing a sufficiently similar medicinal state.

Historically, therefore, *similia similibus curentur* is more than a Latin maxim. Within Hahnemannian homeopathy, it represents a framework for understanding the relationship between disease manifestations, medicinal symptoms and individualised prescription. At the same time, its historical importance should be distinguished from the question of therapeutic efficacy, which requires evaluation according to contemporary scientific standards.

References

1. **Hahnemann S.** *Organon of Medicine*. 6th ed. Translated by William Boericke. Philadelphia: Boericke & Tafel; 1921.
2. **Hahnemann S.** *Essay on a New Principle for Ascertaining the Curative Powers of Drugs*. 1796. Historical discussion and analysis available in Schmidt's review.
3. **Schmidt JM.** *Similia Similibus Curentur: Theory, History, and Status of the Constitutive Principle of Homeopathy*. *Homeopathy*. 2021;110(3):212–221. doi:10.1055/s-0041-1725061.
4. **Corrêa AD, Siqueira-Batista R, Quintas LEM.** *Similia Similibus Curentur: notação histórica da medicina homeopática*. *Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira*. 2006/2000 historical publication record.

-
5. **Ullman D.** A brief history of homeopathy. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*. 2007;100:379–383.
 6. **Bellavite P, Signorini A.** *The Emerging Science of Homeopathy: Complexity, Biodynamics, and Nanopharmacology*. North Atlantic Books; 2002.
 7. **Vithoulkas G.** *The Science of Homeopathy*. New York: Grove Press; 1980.
 8. **Hahnemann S.** *The Organon of the Healing Art*. Historical editions, including the first edition of 1810. The historical development of the principle is discussed in Schmidt.
 9. **European Committee for Homeopathy.** History of Homeopathy. Historical overview of Hahnemann and the development of the principle of similars.
 10. **Directorate of AYUSH, Government of NCT of Delhi.** About Homoeopathy. Overview of the Law of Similars and Hahnemannian homoeopathy.