WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Empowering Educators: Leveraging Generative AI for Teacher Support and Workload Reduction

Debajit Sensarma [a]*

[a] *Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Vivekananda Mission Mahavidyalaya, Chaitanyapur, Purba Medinipur*

A B S T R A C T

The profession of teaching is in crisis due to excessive workload, staffing shortages, and burnout [9, 14]. Generative artificial intelligence (AI), which creates new content from prompts, is being touted as a tool that could help reduce the heavy burden on teachers. In this article we examine the problems associated with the teacher workload crisis, introduce generative AI and its capabilities, and explore how AI tools may assist with lesson planning, grading, feedback, and communication (often through surveys and pilot studies) [11, 12]. We note real-world case studies (e.g. state pilots in the US, university studies, etc.) which showcase various potential advantages and disadvantages. The ethical challenges of generative AI (including algorithmic bias, academic integrity, and data privacy, as well as guidelines for responsible use) are also considered [4, 13]. In the context of responsible use, we highlight "best practices," including "keeping educators in the loop" and making sure they are adequately trained, educated, and talk openly about transparency and equity. In conclusion, assuming a thoughtful, human-centered approach to generative AI in education is used to relieve teachers from repetitive tasks, allowing them to focus on creative teaching and support their students, and not to replace teachers.

Keywords: Generative AI; Teacher Workload; Education Technology; Teacher Support; AI Ethics; AI in Education; Automated Grading

1. Introduction:

The global shortage of educators is an acute and growing problem. This phenomenon is considered to be a crisis as it is a global problem which is becoming more difficult to solve each passing day. It is estimated that teachers are becoming more stressed than before as the resources available to them are getting scarcer and scarcer. It is also reported that teachers in the United States work more than 54 hours a week and half of that time is occupied with something other than instruction. The other percentage of this work sits in the categories of planning, grading, administration and the parents of the students. Teachers are also known to spend more than 5 hours each week on grading of lessons, planning lessons and other forms of administration tasks which are a part of routine. This is a main source for contributing to a state of being mentally exhausted. It is alarming that 78% of the teachers in this situation have considered resigning from their positions. Most of these teachers complain of being overwhelmed and state that support is non existent. It is simply put that these educational workers spend a majority of their time on tasks that do not require instruction. This in turn increases the levels of stress and attrition which is alarming. This makes it evident that there is less and less time being allocated to finding a solution for this problem [6, 9, 12, 14].

AI tools have the potential to reduce the time which is being spent on dull and mundane tasks which are part of the workflow of a teacher. More specifically tools which are able to generate new unique pieces of work on the basis of a prompt or instruction such as images, videos, texts and anything in that category have become extremely popular [13].

2. Generative AI: Definition and Capabilities

Generative AI focuses on advanced forms of artificial intelligence capable of producing original pieces of content as answers to user instructions. In contrast to the older AI systems which only made predictions (e. g. classifying images, etc.), generative systems are fundamentally designed to create new data which is similar to the data upon which they are trained on. The large language models (LLMs), for instance OpenAI's ChatGPT, are one of the models that best personify this type of artificial intelligence. These models are developed by using advanced neural network architectures on large repositories of data, predominantly text, to create models with billions of parameters capturing relationships within the text. Once trained, the LLM attempts to predict the next probable sequence of words or sentences upon the reception of a prompt or instruction from the user. The responses, which are coherent answers, stories, or explanations, are produced as if a human wrote the text [7, 15].

IBM describes generative artificial intelligence as a form of technology that “constructs original pieces of content, comprising text, images, videos, or audio, based on a given prompt or request”. Likewise, teaching specialists say that LLMs help with problem answering, summarizing documents, or

drafting lessons. In practice, educators have applied AI to change transform inputs, such as a math problem or text passage, into novel outputs, including explanations, worksheets and quiz questions. With generative AI, users can even change content from one format to another, for example, from lecture notes to slides, or from text to instructional images [3, 7].

Despite their strengths, such systems are not perfect. Since they use statistical approaches, LLMs are capable of "hallucinating", producing plausible but inaccurate and nonsensical information. They also carry over the bias embedded within their training. Hence, thought leaders assert that generative AI is assistive: highly effective in accelerating workflows but relying on human supervision and scrutiny. All in all, the unprecedented levels of automation that generative AI brings is, in the context of education, a profound level of ignorance to human input and decision-making [3, 4].

3. Use Cases and Applications of AI in Education

Educators have begun applying generative AI in numerous ways to save time and enhance teaching. Below we highlight key use cases:

3.1. Lesson Planning and Curriculum Design:

Crafting engaging, standards-aligned lesson plans is time-intensive. Teachers report spending hours

each week planning lessons under tight schedules. AI can speed this process dramatically. For example, one teacher writes that today "the kind of planning and preparation that used to take hours can be done in minutes" with AI assistance. By supplying an AI tool with a learning standard or topic and objectives, teachers can generate an initial lesson outline or list of learning goals. The AI can unpack a standard into sub-skills or suggest activities (e.g. discussions, projects, assessments). Early-stage lesson planning is thus accelerated: AI can draft a skeleton plan in seconds, which the teacher then refines. EdTech resources note that AI-powered planners can also incorporate differentiation recommendations and resources to meet diverse student needs. In practice, districts are even integrating state standards into AI tools so plans automatically align to requirements. Overall, generative AI helps teachers generate creative ideas and materials for lessons, reducing the groundwork that teachers must do from scratch [1, 6, 16].

3.2. Creation of Class Materials and Assessment:

Educators routinely generate quizzes, worksheets, and practice problems. AI can automate much of this content creation. A survey of U.S. teachers found many using AI to produce quiz questions, worksheets, math story problems, and other in-class resources. For instance, middle and high educational institution teachers report using ChatGPT or specialized educational institution AI tools to generate warm-up exercises, science worksheets, comprehension questions, and even two-person dialogue scripts for podcasts. By feeding an AI a topic and some parameters (grade level, skills, format), a teacher can quickly get a set of candidate questions or problems to use. This saves the time of writing each question manually. Likewise, AI can help draft rubrics and scoring guides for assignments [12].

3.3 AI in Providing Feedback and Preliminary Grading:

New AI grading platforms use LLMs to give narrative feedback on student writing or projects. In one pilot study, teachers valued the AI's ability to generate rapid formative comments: "helpful first-pass feedback" on essays that students could revise. Because instant feedback is linked to learning gains, this is a major benefit. However, teachers in that study distrusted raw AI scores and insisted on human review of any grades. Thus, AI can accelerate initial feedback (e.g. spotting key ideas or errors) but not wholly replace a teacher's evaluation. Overall, generative AI can handle much of the grunt work of creating or commenting on materials, letting teachers focus on higher-order tasks [11, 15].

3.4. Communication and Administrative Tasks:

Teachers spend significant time on emails, reports, and record-keeping. AI chatbots or writing assistants can help here. Many teachers report using generative AI to draft or polish communications to parents and administrators. Examples include rewriting parent-teacher emails, refining recommendation letters, or crafting report-card comments in a professional tone. By giving an AI the rough content of a message, teachers can quickly get a polished draft and then make final edits. Generative AI is also being tested for administrative workflows: AI-powered attendance platform Edia can, for example, automatically text parents when students are marked absent, taking that clerical follow-up off teachers' hands. In conclusion, generic written work and administrative tasks may be outsourced to AI tools, which may decrease the teacher's burden in the areas other than teaching [2, 12].

3.5 Personalized Tutoring and Differentiation:

Not strictly a teacher task, some of the AI applications at the student level are tutor and learning supports, where AI helps to guide, monitor and assess the learning experience. For example, Iowa's education department is rolling out an AI-powered reading tutor (with speech recognition) to support elementary students. Generative AI tools can also produce customized practice based on student data: one could generate extra problems targeting each student's weak areas. In these roles, AI acts as an aide or tutor for students, indirectly reducing the remedial workload on teachers. As more adaptive AI systems emerge, they may help teachers by handling some aspects of personalized instruction and progress monitoring [2].

In practice, these use cases often overlap. The common thread is that generative AI can automate parts of lesson and content creation, as well as routine communications, which frees teachers' time for more meaningful work. The next section shows how such ideas are being tested in real classrooms and districts.

4. Real-World Case Studies and Pilot Programs

Many districts and educational institutions have started new pilot programs to use AI tools to assist the teaching profession. To illustrate, in 2025 Connecticut launched a 4-year pilot program to access statewide AI through approved tools across 7 districts for grades 7-12 . The pilot provides teachers with professional development to support their ability to use AI in instruction while exposing students to AI through approved tools, as mandated through policy by the state to responsibly integrate AI [2]. In concert, Indiana's Department of Education funded a pilot program for the 2023-24 educational institution year using a tutoring platform powered by AI. The pilot program, which was aimed to support high-frequency tutoring, said it was designed to "reduce teacher workload" through the use of AI. They provided funding for an AI platform subscription and teacher development in an AI tool. For the Indiana pilot program, teachers have largely reported their experiences as "positive" or "very positive," with 53 percent of teachers in the pilot provisioned clarification. This shows educators perceived value in the AI support tool for intervention and freeing them up for greater tasks of instruction [2]. Other states are making investments for developing AI tools for education use. Iowa recently announced a $3 million investment to provide every elementary educational institution with AI driven reading tutor tool starting in 2025. The aim for this tool is to help young students read aloud using voice recognition to ease the burden of reading lab and intervention for the teachers. Meanwhile, in New Mexico four districts are piloting an attendance-management AI called Edia, which facilitates automated communications with families about student absences. When a student is absent, the AI text-messages the absent student's family through a chatbot interface. This pilot allows attendance clerks and educators to avoid regular follow-up phone calls [2]. Higher education institutions are also looking into using AI for instructional assistance. For example, EDHEC Business School (France) piloted AI-assisted grading for a midterm exam. The AI-generated feedback on students' essays for the professors to review. The conclusion was clear: professors were still solely responsible for the final grades, and the aim was to become "augmented professors" working together with an AI as opposed to being replaced by an AI. Teachers in EDHEC reported that AI did make parts of grading easier, but faculty judgement and supervision was still required [17].

These examples show that organizations see value in AI tools that lessen the load on humans to do the work of planning, tutoring, and administrative work. Early evidence showed efficiency gains or increased satisfaction for teachers from the AI programs, but it also showed an increased role for human facilitators. As further pilot data takes shape, these programs will inform how AI can be scaled in systemic use in our educational institutions, with proper guidance and supervision.

5. Ethical Challenges and Risks

While generative AI is promising as a productivity tool, it presents a significant set of ethical and practical risks for educators to face. The three primary challenges involve managing bias, academic honesty/integrity, and data privacy/ security.

5.1 Bias and Fairness:

AI models are trained on historical data, and this data may contain social and cultural biases. According to Cornell's teaching innovation center, "The inherent systemic and human biases of generative AI algorithms and their data inform their output." As an example, data on a language model was collected as instances of English sources in particular regions, in particular locations. AI systems may produce outputs that re-create bias, or otherwise silence other voices, based on its data sources. Educational AI outputs could re-create or amplify existing inequities if not approached prudently and responsibly. Experts argue that designers and users of AI tools can work together to "root out bias in the design and implementation of AI tools" to promote equity. Practically, educators will want to examine any AI outputs-text or multimedia resources included to verify they are accurate, and inclusive. Beyond this, universities should require that AI developers conduct bias audits on their models, and provide a huge range of diverse training samples [4, 13].

5.2 Academic Integrity:

Generative artificial intelligence makes it incredibly simple for students to produce essays, solutions, or code that they did not actually write. It increasingly challenges the perceptions of cheating versus plagiarism as explained, in part, by one EdWeek survey, with regard to the ongoing changes in teaching which states "students may be using AI to do their assignments". Many educators are concerned about the impact on the integrity of homework and exams. However, simply detecting AI work can be problematic: universities such as Pittsburgh have warned that AI-detection software cannot be relied upon because they are not 100% accurate, and can produce false positives, especially for non-native English speakers. Instead of too much reliance on detectors, best practice encourages: teachers, to create explicit policies on AI-use; design tasks where a simple response using AI would not be possible (e.g. personalized projects, or students explaining responses orally); and ethically educate students on academic usage. Teachers should prioritize transparency and an honour code on AI use in classrooms [10, 13].

5.3 Data Privacy and Security:

Most generative AI services (such as ChatGPT) are hosted on cloud servers, which raises a few issues with student data. UNESCO has highlighted the "...absence of national regulations on GenAI...leaves the data privacy of users unprotected". educational institutions should be aware of what student information is uploaded to a third-party AI, such as a student writing upload or personal data to an AI Chatbot. This is especially important given that laws such as FERPA and COPPA govern much of the information collected from students. The U.S. Department of Education clearly states that AI tools in education are to "respect data privacy and security". In practice, districts would want to consider AI service provider compliance with student-privacy regulations and wherever possible they should anonymize the data. Additionally, educators need to forewarn their students that their inputs into their AI tools may be stored or used to train the AI tool and therefore should assess what sensitive information they share.

In conclusion, whenever generative AI systems are introduced into educational institutions there needs to be caution. As multiple stakeholders, we have a duty to ensure that innovation does not come at the ethical cost of fairness, honesty, and privacy as a core principles. Distance learning and online learning are here to stay and research and policy groups have initiated and suggested multiple guidelines to evaluate AI outputs, humans should always oversee any decisions made by AI, and ongoing ethical training of all teachers and students [4, 13].

6. Best Practices for Responsible Implementation

Research and policy guidance have led to the development of a set of best practices for using AI in education. Some of these are:

6.1 Keep People "In the Loop":

Any AI system should help teachers, not take their job. The U.S. Department of Education puts "human in the loop" at the top of its list of important things to do. This means that teachers should always look over AI-generated information or choices. Teachers should be able to check and change AI tools. The ED advice says that "AI systems and tools must be inspectable, explainable, and provide human alternatives to AI-based suggestions." It also says that "educators will need support to exercise professional judgment and override AI models, when necessary." In reality, that means that the instructor should always be the one to decide how to educate, grade, and give feedback, with AI as a helper instead of an authority [13].

6.2 Give Training and Improve AI Literacy:

Students and teachers need to learn about what AI can and can't do. Cornell scientists, for instance, say that people need to learn “AI literacy” so they know when and how to trust AI outputs. Teachers need to learn how to give good prompts and critically evaluate AI ideas. Professional development courses can teach people how to use AI safely and what can go wrong, such identifying hallucinations. Children should be taught in educational institutions how to use AI responsibly, including how to verify information, reference sources, and be aware of prejudice. As AI technologies advance, it will become increasingly important to continue receiving assistance, whether through tech coaches or seminars [3].

6.3 Make sure that AI tools are explicit about how they work:

Educational Institutions should choose AI tools that are clear about how they work. This means knowing what data the model was trained on and being able to explain or check its choices. The ED said that AI suggestions should "give notice and explanation, and give people a way to fix problems when they happen." For example, if an AI tool gives an answer or a grade, it should say how sure it is or explain why it came to that conclusion (if it can) and let the teacher make changes. This also means that teachers need to keep track of how they employ AI in the classroom and let everyone know about the rules [13].

6.4 Protect Data and Fairness:

Any plan for implementation must follow all rules and standards for student privacy and ethics. AI companies should follow data-sharing rules that are in line with FERPA (U.S.) or GDPR (EU), depending on where they are located. educational institutions may take a human-centered approach by limiting the use of AI without supervision for younger students and requiring teachers to be involved. To make sure that all students have equal access to the benefits of AI, equity must be kept an eye on. If AI features depend on gadgets or connectivity, educational institutions need to provide kids the tools they need to avoid making the digital gap worse. In short, AI systems should follow the same moral norms as other types of educational technology, but with more focus on privacy and bias.

6.5 Test and Evaluate:

Pilot initiatives, like the ones we talked about earlier, can assist uncover excellent and harmful practices before putting something into place across the full district. Ask teachers for feedback on how well an AI technology functions and any problems it might cause. Use the evidence to change the rules. If teachers help choose and customize AI tools, they are more likely to use them in ways that fit with how they teach. It's also crucial to tell parents and communities why and how AI is being used. Following these rules from UNESCO, the DOE, and educational research, educational institutions can employ AI in a responsible way. The idea is to make teaching better, not to get rid of it.

7. Future Implications: AI–Human Collaboration in Teaching

In the future, AI will likely become an even more important part of education. Experts think that generative AI will change the job of the teacher, allowing them to focus on the human side of learning. One policy paper says that AI's immediate impact may be "reducing low-level burdens in administrative or clerical work," with the premise that the time AI saves should be "rededicated toward more creative or instructional tasks." This means that teachers might use the extra hours that AI saves to give more individualized coaching, work on hands-on projects, and give socio-emotional support. But AI will make teachers more "augmented" as well. The EDHEC case found that professors become "augmented professors" when they work with AI. In the same way, U.S. guidelines say that AI should give teachers new knowledge (like real-time class analytics), but it shouldn't make choices on its own. Cornell scholars claim that generative AI is unlikely to replace the key human talents of teaching, such as creativity, empathy, and ethical judgment. These are skills that machines "cannot be replaced." Instead, AI may be a cognitive assistant, helping with lesson preparation, grading the initial draft, or translating information. The instructor would then add the important human touch.

This means that teachers will have to learn new things. They will need to know how to use AI technologies well and help students who do. Some programs that teach teachers may also teach them about AI. educational institutions might also adapt, such hiring "AI coordinators" or data experts to help teachers use these tools. This could make the educational institution better. AI and people can give each other feedback right away, which could make learning more personal for many individuals.

In short, it seems that AI and people will work together in the classroom in the future. AI keeps coming up with fresh ideas and saying the same things. On the other side, teachers help students with moral issues, give them advice, and help them learn to think for themselves. We need to think about ethics and how we teach such that working together helps us learn instead of getting in the way [13].

8. Conclusion

The current dilemma in teacher workloads, which includes long hours and burnout, needs new ideas. Generative AI is a useful tool for teachers. This article has proved that AI can do a lot of everyday jobs, like coming up with lesson ideas in 18 minutes, writing tests and emails, and giving quick comments on student work. Surveys and pilot studies have shown that these features work, and many teachers have said they save time and had good experiences. But AI also makes things more complicated. Models must be used in a way that is fair: biases in training data can make things unfair, students can misuse AI, and student data must be kept private. So, responsible implementation needs clear rules, training for teachers, and somebody to watch over things. Teachers need fresh solutions to deal with their heavy workloads, which include long hours and burnout. Teachers can employ generative AI to their advantage [1, 11, 12, 13,14].

References

1. Ayres, A. T. (2025, February 25). *A Curriculum Supervisor's Guide to AI-Assisted Lesson Planning*. Edutopia. Retrieved from https://www.edutopia.org/article/ai-generated-lesson-plans/
2. Comai, S. (2025, June 24). *AI Pilot Programs in K-12 Settings*. Education Commission of the States. Retrieved from https://www.ecs.org/ai-artificial-intelligence-pilots-k12-schools/
3. Cornell University, Center for Teaching Innovation. (2024). *Generative Artificial Intelligence*. Retrieved from https://teaching.cornell.edu/generative-artificial-intelligence
4. Cornell University, Center for Teaching Innovation. (2023). *Ethical AI for Teaching and Learning*. Retrieved from https://teaching.cornell.edu/generative-artificial-intelligence/ethical-ai-teaching-and-learning
5. Daly, P., & Deglaire, E. (2025). AI-enabled correction: A professor's journey. Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 62(4), 1241-1257.
6. Hardison, H. (2022, April 19). *How Teachers Spend Their Time: A Breakdown*. Education Week. Retrieved from https://www.edweek.org/teaching-learning/how-teachers-spend-their-time-a-breakdown/2022/04
7. IBM (Stryker, C., & Scapicchio, M.). (2024, March 22). *What is generative AI?* IBM. Retrieved from https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/generative-ai
8. Miao, F., & Holmes, W. (2023, September 7). *Guidance for Generative AI in Education and Research*. UNESCO. Retrieved from https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/guidance-generative-ai-education-and-research
9. National Education Association (Walker, T.). (2025). *What's Causing Teacher Burnout?* NEA Today. Retrieved from https://www.nea.org/nea-today/all-news-articles/whats-causing-teacher-burnout
10. University of Pittsburgh, Center for Teaching Excellence. (2023). *Generative AI: Encouraging Academic Integrity*. Retrieved from https://teaching.pitt.edu/resources/encouraging-academic-integrity/

11. Liu, A., Esbenshade, L., Sarkar, S., Zhang, Z., He, K., & Sun, M. (2025). *Implementation Considerations for Automated AI Grading of Student Work*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.07955.

12. Will, M. (2025, February 14). *Here's How Teachers Are Using AI to Save Time*. Education Week. Retrieved from https://www.edweek.org/technology/heres-how-teachers-are-using-ai-to-save-time/2025/02

13. Office of Educational Technology. (2023). *Artificial intelligence and the future of teaching and learning: Insights and recommendations.*

14. Global report on teachers: What you need to know. UNESCO. Retrieved from https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/global-report-teachers-what-you-need-know

15. Explained: Generative AI | MIT News | Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Retrieved from https://news.mit.edu/2023/explained-generative-ai-1109

16. How to Use AI for Lesson Planning, Retrieved from https://www.panoramaed.com/blog/ai-for-lesson-plans

17. AI-assisted grading: feedback on a full-scale test at EDHEC | EDHEC BUSINESS school. Retrieved from https://www.edhec.edu/en/research-and-faculty/edhec-vox/ai-assisted-grading-feedback-full-scale-test-edhec-artificial-intelligence