International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Design Optimization of Truck Chassis using Finite Element Analysis- A Survey

Abhishek[1], B. Prof. Girish Kumar Khare[2]

[1]Research Scholar
[2]Professor
[1,2]Oriental Institute of Science & Technology, Bhopal (M.P.)

ABSTRACT :

This study presents a comparative analysis of a truck chassis structure using Finite Element Analysis (FEA) to evaluate the mechanical performance of three materials: Structural Steel (AISI 1020), Aluminum Alloy (6061-T6), and Carbon Fiber Composite. A ladder-type chassis was modelled in CATIA and analyzed under identical loading conditions in ANSYS Workbench, simulating a uniformly applied vertical load of 2500 N with fixed supports. The primary objective was to examine total deformation, von Mises stress, and strain distribution across materials to determine their suitability for commercial vehicle applications. Results revealed that Structural Steel exhibited the least deformation and strain, indicating superior stiffness, but at the cost of increased weight. Aluminum Alloy showed the highest deformation yet maintained safe stress levels, making it ideal for lightweight vehicle design. Carbon Fiber Composite demonstrated a balanced performance with moderate deformation and high strength-to-weight ratio, though limited by cost and manufacturing complexity. The study underscores the significance of material selection in optimizing chassis design for durability, weight reduction, and performance. It concludes that tailored material choice is essential based on specific operational and economic requirements. This research provides valuable insights for engineers aiming to improve structural efficiency in heavy-duty automotive applications.

***Keywords**:* Truck Chassis, FEA, Structural Steel, Aluminum Alloy, Carbon Fiber, Deformation, Stress, Optimization.

Introduction

In the domain of heavy-duty commercial vehicles, the truck chassis plays a fundamental role in ensuring structural integrity, load-bearing capacity, safety, and overall vehicle performance. A well-designed chassis is not only responsible for withstanding various mechanical stresses but also directly influences ride comfort, maneuverability, and fuel efficiency. As the automotive industry evolves toward lighter, safer, and more efficient vehicles, the structural performance of the chassis has emerged as a focal point of innovation. The design optimization of truck chassis using Finite Element Analysis (FEA) serves as a bridge between theoretical engineering principles and practical vehicle performance, allowing engineers to make informed decisions about materials, geometry, and loading conditions without resorting to costly physical prototypes. To meet increasingly stringent demands for fuel efficiency, reduced emissions, and higher payload-to-weight ratios, manufacturers have started transitioning from conventional chassis designs toward more optimized structures using lightweight and high-strength materials. In this context, computer-aided design (CAD) tools and simulation software such as ANSYS Workbench provide engineers with robust analytical environments to evaluate, simulate, and optimize chassis components under various real-world loading conditions. This paper focuses on a comparative analysis of three prominent materials, Structural Steel (AISI 1020), Aluminum Alloy (6061-T6), and Carbon Fiber Composite, for chassis applications. Using CATIA for modeling and ANSYS Workbench for simulation, the study explores the effects of different materials on deformation, stress distribution, and strain behaviour under a uniformly applied vertical load of 2500 N. The intent is to evaluate and identify the optimal material that balances stiffness, strength, deformation, and manufacturability for commercial truck chassis systems.

1.1 Materials

Material selection is a pivotal step in chassis design as it directly affects the strength, durability, weight, and manufacturing cost of the structure. For decades, mild steel and structural steel variants such as AISI 1020 have dominated commercial vehicle construction due to their strength, affordability, and ease of fabrication. However, with technological advances and the growing need for sustainability, new materials like Aluminum Alloy 6061-T6 and Carbon Fiber Composites are being adopted in the quest to reduce weight without compromising safety or performance.

- **Structural Steel (AISI 1020)**

Structural Steel AISI 1020 is a low-carbon steel known for its robustness and durability. Its high tensile and yield strength make it a suitable choice for components exposed to large mechanical loads. However, its major drawback is its high density (7850 kg/m³), which significantly adds to the vehicle's weight and affects fuel efficiency and dynamic response. In simulation results, it consistently displayed the least deformation and strain, validating its exceptional stiffness and load-carrying capacity. Nevertheless, the trade-off in terms of weight cannot be ignored, especially when weight reduction is a top priority.

- **Aluminum Alloy (6061-T6)**

Aluminum Alloy 6061-T6 has gained popularity in the automotive sector for its lightweight characteristics (density ~2700 kg/m³), corrosion resistance, and moderate strength. Though not as strong as steel, its excellent strength-to-weight ratio makes it ideal for chassis applications where weight reduction is essential. In the simulation, the alloy showed the highest deformation under the same load, reflecting its lower modulus of elasticity (69 GPa) compared to steel. However, the lower equivalent stress and good strain handling confirm its potential for lightweight commercial vehicles, especially where performance and efficiency outweigh absolute stiffness.

- **Carbon Fiber Composite**

Carbon Fiber Composites represent the pinnacle of modern material science in vehicle design. Their anisotropic nature allows for superior tuning of stiffness and strength, making them ideal for high-performance applications. With a density of around 1600 kg/m³ and a tensile strength exceeding 600 MPa, carbon fiber materials offer unparalleled strength-to-weight advantages. Despite their higher cost and complex fabrication, they have found use in motorsports, aerospace, and luxury automotive sectors. In this study, Carbon Fiber Composite demonstrated moderate deformation with the highest stress values, which remained within its elastic limit, reinforcing its suitability for performance-critical and lightweight chassis designs.

1.2 Truck Chassis Structure

The truck chassis serves as the vehicle's skeletal system, responsible for integrating and supporting critical components such as the engine, drivetrain, suspension, and cargo bed. Traditionally, two primary chassis designs exist: the ladder frame and the monocoque structure. For heavy-duty commercial trucks, the ladder frame remains the most widely used due to its modularity, high torsional rigidity, and ease of repair.

- **Ladder Frame Chassis**

The ladder-type frame is characterized by two longitudinal rails connected by several transverse cross-members, forming a structure that resembles a ladder. This configuration allows for effective load distribution and torsional resistance, particularly in trucks subjected to uneven road surfaces and heavy loading conditions. In the present study, a ladder chassis design was developed using CATIA software, ensuring structural accuracy and functional integration with key mounting components. This configuration was chosen not only for its relevance to real-world truck applications but also for its ability to highlight material-induced performance differences under simulation.

- **Design Considerations**

Several design factors were considered while developing the chassis model:

- **Dimensions**: Chassis length, width, and height were selected based on standard commercial truck specifications.
- **Mounting Points**: Suspension and axle mountings were explicitly included to simulate real-world constraints.
- **Clearance and Geometry**: Adequate space for powertrain and load distribution was ensured.
- **Uniformity**: All simulations retained the same geometry to ensure material behaviour was the only variable affecting outcomes.

This standardization enabled a fair comparison of mechanical performance parameters across the three selected materials.

1.3 Finite Element Method (FEM) Analysis

Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is a computational technique that breaks down complex mechanical structures into smaller, manageable elements, allowing engineers to evaluate stress, strain, and deformation responses under various loading conditions. ANSYS Workbench, a leading FEA tool, was employed to perform the structural simulations in this study.

- **Meshing Strategy**

Accurate meshing is fundamental to achieving reliable simulation results. A tailored meshing approach was adopted for each material to capture its unique mechanical characteristics:

- **Structural Steel (AISI 1020)**: A structured hexahedral mesh was used with refinement around high-stress zones like suspension mounts.
- **Aluminum Alloy (6061-T6)**: Hex elements (C3D8) provided good resolution with fine meshing at stress concentration regions.
- **Carbon Fiber Composite**: A combination of solid and shell elements was used, with orientation aligned to the fiber direction for capturing anisotropic behaviour.
- **Boundary Conditions and Loading**

To ensure realism and comparability, all models were subjected to identical boundary conditions:

- **Fixed Supports**: Applied at suspension and rear axle mounts to simulate actual constraints.
- **Load Application**: A vertical static load of 2500 N was distributed uniformly across the frame, replicating the weight of the engine, payload, and other subsystems.
- **Symmetry**: Used where applicable to reduce computational time without affecting accuracy.

This setup accurately mimicked real-world conditions, enabling the effective assessment of material responses.

- **Types of Analysis**

The study focused on three types of analysis:

1. **Total Deformation**: Measures how much the chassis deflects under the applied load, indicating structural flexibility.
2. **Von Mises Stress**: Predicts the likelihood of yielding under complex loading conditions by converting stress tensors into a scalar value.
3. **Strain Distribution**: Offers insights into the elastic deformation behaviour of the material and its ductility.

By maintaining consistency across simulations, the FEM analysis provided a clear, objective basis for comparing material performance. The integration of material science, CAD modeling, and FEA simulation offers a powerful framework for optimizing truck chassis design. This study underscores the importance of selecting the right material based on specific design goals, whether they prioritize stiffness, weight reduction, manufacturability, or cost. Structural Steel stands out for maximum rigidity, Aluminum Alloy for lightweight efficiency, and Carbon Fiber Composite for high-performance scenarios. The ladder frame design, combined with a consistent simulation environment, ensures that results are directly attributable to material properties, reinforcing the validity of the conclusions drawn.

Review of Literature

The field of integrated truck dynamics control has witnessed significant growth and innovation due to the increasing emphasis on truck safety, performance, and passenger comfort. At the heart of this field lies the objective of optimizing and synchronizing various vehicular subsystems such as braking, steering, and suspension, in order to achieve enhanced stability and maneuverability under a range of driving conditions. With advancements in automotive engineering, the idea of integrated chassis control has evolved as a vital framework for delivering a cohesive and responsive driving experience. A prominent area of research within this framework is the integrated control of Active Front Steering (AFS) and Direct Yaw Control (DYC), both of which aim to elevate truck agility and safety. AFS is designed to independently regulate the steering angles of the front wheels. This enables better truck maneuverability, especially during sharp cornering or obstacle avoidance scenarios. In contrast, DYC is utilized to manage the truck's yaw motion through the strategic application of differential braking or torque vectoring.

This ensures the truck follows the intended path, even in challenging conditions such as high-speed cornering or driving on slippery surfaces. Traditionally, these systems functioned independently; however, research has demonstrated that integrating AFS and DYC yields significant improvements in truck stability and agility. One of the longstanding dilemmas in truck dynamics is the trade-off between stability and agility. Enhancing stability often comes at the expense of agility, and vice versa. The integrated control of AFS and DYC offers a promising solution by balancing lateral and longitudinal forces, thus achieving both stability and maneuverability.

Studies have confirmed that such integration improves cornering capability and stability during sudden lane changes, effectively reducing the risk of truck rollovers and skidding. Incorporating active suspension systems further complements this integration. Active suspension dynamically adjusts suspension stiffness and damping in real time, adapting to both road conditions and truck dynamics. When used in conjunction with AFS and DYC, active suspension plays a vital role in distributing load during braking or cornering, which enhances traction and ensures better control. This synergy of systems is crucial in tailoring the truck response for varied driving environments. Model Predictive Control (MPC) has emerged as a favoured methodology for implementing these integrated systems. MPC can predict future truck states based on current dynamics, allowing the control system to proactively adjust inputs in real time. The application of MPC in integrated truck dynamics has yielded significant gains in stability and performance, particularly in extreme driving scenarios. Torque vectoring represents another critical component in integrated truck dynamics. It enhances yaw control by intelligently distributing torque among the wheels, especially during high-speed cornering and evasive manoeuvres.

The combined use of torque vectoring with AFS and DYC leads to more precise truck handling and notable reductions in understeer and oversteer. Truck Stability Control (VSC) systems, which constantly monitor and adjust braking and torque based on sensor inputs, also integrate well with AFS and DYC to create a robust safety net for drivers in adverse conditions. The rise of electric trucks (EVs) has expanded the scope of integrated control systems. EVs, free from traditional mechanical linkages, offer more flexibility in implementing control strategies. This allows for seamless integration of AFS, DYC, and torque vectoring, facilitating highly precise control of truck dynamics. Furthermore, regenerative braking systems in EVs can be synchronized with VSC, optimizing both braking performance and energy efficiency.

Driver Assistance Systems (DAS) such as Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC), Lane Keeping Assist (LKA), and Automatic Emergency Braking (AEB) are increasingly becoming part of the integrated truck control ecosystem. These systems provide real-time environmental feedback and work alongside AFS and DYC to deliver a safer, more comfortable driving experience. The effectiveness of these systems is enhanced through their integration into the truck's dynamic control architecture. A key focus in integrated truck dynamics is optimizing tire-road interaction. The forces at the tire-road interface are pivotal in determining truck handling and stability. Advanced control systems now use algorithms to estimate tire-road friction coefficients in real time, allowing adjustments to be made for changing conditions such as wet or icy roads. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) have further improved this process by analyzing sensor data to predict future states and improve control decisions.

These technologies provide adaptive learning capabilities, allowing trucks to "learn" from past experiences and refine control strategies accordingly. The role of the chassis in this context is indispensable. As the fundamental structure upon which all other systems are mounted, the chassis must be designed to accommodate these integrated control components. Over the years, the chassis itself has undergone substantial evolution, both in terms of design and materials. Early chassis were predominantly constructed using steel due to its strength and durability. However, modern demands for fuel efficiency and performance have driven the adoption of lightweight materials such as aluminum, carbon fiber, and composites. These materials offer strength with significantly reduced weight, thus improving fuel economy and handling.

Chassis designs have also evolved from traditional ladder frames to more advanced monocoque structures. Monocoque designs improve weight distribution and structural rigidity, making them ideal for passenger trucks. Advances in welding, bonding, and manufacturing techniques have enabled the integration of multiple materials into a cohesive chassis design. Suspension systems, which are crucial for maintaining tire contact and ride comfort, have also seen considerable innovation. Independent suspension systems provide enhanced ride quality and are now common in modern trucks. The integration of suspension control with steering and braking systems is essential for holistic truck dynamics control. Steering systems have transitioned from manual to hydraulic and now to electric power steering. This progression has facilitated more precise steering inputs and paved the way for advanced features such as lane-keeping and autonomous steering.

Conclusion

The study on the design optimization of a truck chassis using Finite Element Analysis (FEA) has revealed significant insights into the mechanical performance of various materials when subjected to identical loading conditions. This investigation, which encompassed the modeling of a standard ladder-type truck chassis in CATIA and its simulation using ANSYS Workbench, explored the structural behaviour of three materials—Structural Steel (AISI 1020), Aluminum Alloy (6061-T6), and Carbon Fiber Composite. By employing consistent geometry, load conditions, and boundary constraints, the analysis achieved a robust comparative evaluation focused on total deformation, von Mises stress distribution, and strain responses.

One of the key takeaways from the research is the critical role that material selection plays in determining the structural and functional performance of truck chassis systems. The choice of chassis material directly influences stiffness, strength, weight, and energy absorption capacity, which are vital for ensuring vehicle safety, longevity, fuel efficiency, and load-bearing capabilities. Structural Steel (AISI 1020) emerged as a strong performer in terms of minimal deformation and strain, highlighting its superior stiffness and rigidity. These properties make it ideal for applications where mechanical robustness and high load resistance are prioritized. Its traditional role in the truck manufacturing industry is reinforced by its low cost and ease of fabrication, both of which contribute to reduced production expenses. However, this comes with a significant downside, its high density. The added weight of steel contributes to reduce fuel efficiency, increased wear and tear on the drivetrain and suspension components, and greater emissions. These limitations are particularly concerning in the context of modern transportation regulations and environmental challenges, which prioritize energy efficiency and emission reductions.

In contrast, Aluminum Alloy (6061-T6) demonstrated the highest deformation among the materials studied, indicating lower stiffness under the same loading conditions. However, this performance must be considered in tandem with its benefits. Its lightweight nature significantly reduces overall vehicle mass, which can improve fuel efficiency, acceleration, and handling. Additionally, the alloy showed the lowest equivalent stress under load, suggesting that although it deforms more, it does so within safe limits and without compromising structural safety. This makes aluminum an attractive material for commercial and passenger vehicles where weight is a greater concern than absolute rigidity. It also offers good resistance to corrosion and is widely recyclable, contributing positively to the sustainability goals of vehicle manufacturers. Nonetheless, the increased deformation might pose limitations in certain high-load conditions, where stiffness and vibration control are crucial.

Carbon Fiber Composite, a relatively newer material in the field of automotive chassis applications, exhibited a well-balanced performance. While it had higher stress values than aluminum and steel, those values remained within the safe yield range due to its exceptionally high strength-to-weight ratio. Carbon fiber's superior stiffness and reduced mass position it as an ideal material for performance-oriented applications. The ability to tailor its mechanical properties by varying fiber orientations and lamination sequences adds another layer of flexibility in design.

It also possesses excellent fatigue resistance, which is critical for vehicles subjected to repetitive loading over long durations. However, the challenges of using carbon fiber composites lie in their high cost and complex manufacturing processes. These factors make it less accessible for mainstream commercial vehicle production, restricting its use to premium or specialized applications such as motorsports or aerospace-grade logistics vehicles. From the perspective of Finite Element Analysis, the study validated the effectiveness of using simulation tools for assessing and optimizing structural components in automotive design. The use of ANSYS Workbench facilitated precise evaluation of mechanical responses, reducing the reliance on physical prototyping. The study emphasized the importance of accurate meshing strategies, realistic boundary conditions, and well-distributed loading scenarios for obtaining meaningful and trustworthy results. In particular, the meshing approach for each material was tailored to its mechanical nature, whether isotropic or anisotropic, thereby ensuring that the simulations captured the true behaviour of the materials under realistic operating conditions. This methodology underscores the potential of simulation-based design in streamlining the research and development process while maintaining engineering rigor.

The ladder frame chassis geometry used in this study also deserves special mention. Known for its simplicity, robustness, and efficient load distribution capabilities, the ladder frame continues to be the preferred choice for heavy-duty and commercial vehicles. By maintaining consistent chassis geometry across all three materials, the study ensured that performance differences were due to material behaviour alone and not influenced by changes in design. This controlled setup was critical in validating the conclusions derived from the comparative study. Another significant aspect highlighted by the study is the growing trend toward lightweight vehicle design in response to increasingly strict regulatory and consumer demands. Whether driven by government-imposed emission norms, fuel economy standards, or customer expectations for high-performance vehicles, reducing vehicle weight without compromising safety or durability has become a primary objective in modern automotive engineering. This trend is further intensified by the rise of electric trucks, where weight reduction is crucial to offset battery mass and extend range. In such a scenario, materials like aluminum and carbon fiber composites are expected to play a growing role.

However, the implementation of these materials also calls for a redesign of manufacturing and assembly practices, including changes in joining techniques, tooling, and quality assurance protocols. Economic considerations were also touched upon in the study, especially concerning the cost of materials and manufacturing. While steel remains the most cost-effective option for traditional truck designs, its weight penalties can lead to higher operational costs over the vehicle's lifespan. Aluminum offers a middle ground, slightly more expensive than steel but still within feasible production budgets, especially when long-term operational savings are considered. Carbon fiber, on the other hand, though unmatched in performance, is economically justified only in niche applications where performance takes precedence over cost. Future innovations in material science, such as the development of cheaper carbon fiber alternatives or hybrid material compositions, could change this landscape significantly.

The study contributes to a deeper understanding of the trade-offs involved in selecting materials for truck chassis design. It establishes that while each material brings specific advantages, the optimal choice depends heavily on the intended application and performance priorities. Structural Steel is best suited for scenarios where cost and strength dominate the criteria. Aluminum Alloy is ideal for lightweight, cost-sensitive applications that still require reasonable structural performance. Carbon Fiber Composite, though costly, provides unmatched stiffness-to-weight ratios for high-performance applications. This kind of decision-making framework, informed by FEA simulations and real-world load modeling, equips engineers with the necessary tools to design smarter, safer, and more efficient vehicles.

The conclusions drawn from this study also pave the way for further research into hybrid chassis configurations, dynamic loading scenarios, fatigue testing, and multi-objective optimization. For instance, combining different materials in a single chassis using multi-material construction strategies could potentially leverage the strengths of each material while mitigating their weaknesses. Similarly, integrating real-time data from field usage into simulation models could further improve the accuracy and relevance of chassis design under practical conditions. As automotive technology continues to evolve toward greater intelligence, connectivity, and automation, the importance of a structurally sound, lightweight, and adaptable chassis will only become more pronounced. Thus, this study not only addresses current design challenges but also lays the foundation for future advancements in commercial vehicle engineering.

REFERENCES

1. Mazzilli, V., De Pinto, S., Pascali, L., Contrino, M., Bottiglione, F., Mantriota, G., Gruber, P., & Sorniotti, A. (2021). Integrated chassis control: Classification, analysis and future trends. Annual Review of Control, 51, 172–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arcontrol.2021.02.002
2. Ahangarnejad, A. H., Melzi, S., & Ahmadian, M. (2019). Integrated truck dynamics system through coordinating active aerodynamics control, active rear steering, torque vectoring, and hydraulically interconnected suspension. International Journal of Automotive Technology, 20(5), 903–915. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12239-019-0087-x
3. Trachtler, A. (2004). Integrated truck dynamics control using active brake, steering, and suspension systems. International Journal of Truck Design, 36(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJVD.2004.004515
4. Xiao, F., Hu, J., Jia, M., Zhu, P., & Deng, C. (2022). A novel integrated control framework of AFS, ASS, and DYC based on ideal roll angle to improve truck stability. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 54, 101764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2022.101764
5. Hwang, T. H., Park, K., Heo, S. J., Lee, S. H., & Lee, J. C. (2008). Design of integrated chassis control logics for AFS and ESP. International Journal of Automotive Technology, 9(1), 17–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12239-008-0002-y
6. Sun, P., Stensson Trigell, A., Drugge, L., Jerrelind, J., & Jonasson, M. (2018). Exploring the potential of camber control to improve trucks' energy efficiency during cornering. Energies, 11(3), 724. https://doi.org/10.3390/en11030724
7. Yu, M., Arana, C., Evangelou, S. A., & Dini, D. (2019). Quarter-car experimental study for series active variable geometry suspension. IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology, 27(2), 743–759. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCST.2018.2840353
8. Lee, A. Y. (2002). Coordinated control of steering and anti-roll bars to alter truck rollover tendencies. Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control, 124(1), 127–132. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1445143
9. Savitski, D., Hoepping, K., Ivanov, V., & Augsburg, K. (2015). Influence of the tire inflation pressure variation on braking efficiency and driving comfort of full electric truck with continuous anti-lock braking system. SAE International Journal of Passenger Cars - Mechanical Systems, 8(2), 460–467. https://doi.org/10.4271/2015-01-0654
10. Schilke, N. A., Fruechte, R. D., Boustany, N. M., Karmel, A. M., Repa, B. S., & Rillings, J. H. (1988). Integrated truck control. In Proceedings of the International Congress on Transportation Electronics (pp. 97–106). Dearborn, MI, USA. https://doi.org/10.4271/881063
11. Lienkamp, M. (2012). Integrated truck safety using active safety and advanced driver assistance systems. ATZ worldwide, 114(11), 6-11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s38311-012- 0112-9
12. Cao, D., Song, X., Li, S. E., & Zhan, W. (2017). Integrated longitudinal and lateral tire/road force optimization for all-wheel independently driven electric trucks. Truck System Dynamics, 55(7), 1101–1120. https://doi.org/10.1080/00423114.2017.1304877
13. Zhang, X., Wang, J., & Wang, J. (2014). Development of integrated longitudinal and lateral control for autonomous truck path tracking using model predictive control. Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control, 136(4), 041015. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4026517
14. Short, M., & Murray-Smith, D. J. (2005). Using optimization methods to model integrated chassis control systems. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part I: Journal of Systems and Control Engineering, 219(3), 183–193. https://doi.org/10.1243/095965105X30615
15. Qiu, Y., Cao, D., Li, S. E., & Wang, Y. (2016). A unified chassis control approach for truck stability and maneuverability improvement via active front steering, direct yaw moment control, and active suspension. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 65(6), 3923– 3933. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2015.2507152