WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

The Role of Neuropsychological Assessments in Treatment Planning for Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Patients.

Hannah Jose

Amity University, Noida

ABSTRACT:

Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) remains one of the most challenging and complex conditions in psychology and neuroscience. Though neuroimaging and clinical observation have been and will continue to be critical in diagnosing and treating TBI, neuropsychological assessment is becoming more widely accepted for its ability to measure subtle deficits in cognition, emotion, and behavior that imaging may miss.

This study does a narrative, comparative analysis of literature from 2015 to 2025, focusing on the efficacy of several neuropsychological evaluation measures in adult TBI patients in Indian and global settings. Several neuropsychological tests were examined in detail, with a focus on their use in diagnosis, treatment planning, and rehabilitation outcomes. Potential studies were screened using inclusion and exclusion criteria, and a comparison results table was constructed.

According to the findings, while some tools, such as the MMSE and MoCA, are popular for screening, others, such as COGNITO, TMT, and RAVLT, provide more precise information about cognitive domains. Research conducted in India emphasizes the relevance of culturally appropriate tools and in-home solutions. Global study supports the use of VR and digital technologies. The findings support a strategy for assessing patient requirements based on TBI severity and treatment context.

Key words: *Traumatic brain injury, Treatment Planning, Neuropsychological assessments, Rehabilitation.*

1. Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a global health issue that frequently results in long-term disability. The cognitive, emotional, and behavioral problems that result from TBI are difficult to treat. Neuropsychological assessments are valuable diagnostic tools for diagnosing impairments, treating problems, and tracking recovery. In recent years, the intricacy of brain-behavior relationships has expanded, as has patient cultural variety, demanding tailored assessment methodologies.

This study compares the efficacy of various neuropsychological tests for adult TBI rehabilitation planning. Based on contemporary Indian and worldwide literature, it explores how various measures help with diagnosis and therapy, as well as which measures provide the most relevant information in a variety of clinical settings. Both classic exams and more recent digital/VR-based options are discussed.

Despite increased research in TBI rehabilitation, few studies have compared the use of neuropsychological tools in treatment planning across cultures. In India, the lack of context-specific validation of Western methods and adaptation to the Indian context poses an additional barrier to clinical usage. This paper contributes to the attempt to address the gap by comparing instruments used globally and in India, evaluating their benefits and drawbacks, and proposing a rationale for culturally responsive evaluation frameworks.

1.1 Cognitive Deficits in Adult TBI Patients.

Adults with TBI frequently have cognitive impairments in multiple areas, including attention, working memory, processing speed, executive functioning, and language. These abnormalities vary in degree and profile depending on damage factors such as location, extent, and mode of trauma. Long-term cognitive deficits can impede daily functioning and reintegration into social and professional life. Identifying these deficiencies in a timely and precise manner is critical for developing successful rehabilitation techniques and improving patient outcomes.

1.2 Neuropsychological Assessment Tools for TBI

Neuropsychological tests provide a standardized method of determining the nature and severity of cognitive abnormalities in TBI patients. The MoCA, TMT (A & B), Digit Span, and NIMHANS Battery are all widely utilized in clinical and research contexts. These instruments differ in their emphasis,

with some offering general cognitive screening (e.g., MoCA) and others giving extensive domain-specific evaluation (e.g., NIMHANS Battery). The appropriate instrument is selected depending on factors such as recovery stage, therapeutic aims, and accessibility.

1.3 TBI Assessment and Rehabilitation in India

In India, neuropsychological examination for TBI is typically influenced by factors such as limited access to specialist services, linguistic variety, and the need for culturally appropriate instruments. The NIMHANS Neuropsychology Battery is widely used due to its consistency across Indian samples. Indian research has shown that combining typical batteries with short devices such as MoCA and TMT can improve early detection and follow-up efficacy. However, challenges persist in providing access to skilled clinicians and a formal space for assessment within rehabilitation protocols.

1.4 Global Innovations in Neuropsychological Testing for TBI

TBI testing patterns vary over the world, depending on healthcare infrastructure, standards, and test availability. Patients in high-resource nations frequently receive lengthy batteries supplemented by computerized cognitive testing. Due to limited resources, low and middle-income countries adopt more fast screening tests. Cross-sectional studies show that, while some tests (such as MoCA and TMT) are internationally validated, its prediction varies between populations, highlighting cultural adaptability and normative data.

2. Review of Literature

Chan et al. (2024), in their paper Traumatic Brain Injuries: A Neuropsychological Review, set out to give a thorough overview of TBI while pinpointing neuropsychological assessment and functional outcomes. Their overview emphasized how neuropsychological assessments are still the best predictors of ultimate recovery in TBI patients. They further wrote about cognitive courses in varying stages of recovery while stressing the use of both conventional and newer cognitive rehabilitation technologies. The research identified assessment as key in informing individualized treatment planning.

Torregrossa et al. (2024) undertook an comprehensive survey called Neuropsychological Assessment in Patients with Traumatic Brain Injury: A Comprehensive Review with Clinical Recommendations. Its aim was to review tools for assessment and offer clinicians guidelines specific to varied stages and TBI severity. Phase-specific tools like the use of the Coma Recovery Scale in acute stages, and executive function assessment in rehabilitation settings, were highlighted as indispensable for effective assessment. Testing was advocated as a flexible, personalized endeavour balancing standardized results with qualitative knowledge.

Mohanty and Gupta (2013), in their case report titled Home-Based Neuropsychological Rehabilitation in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury, assessed the effectiveness of a home-based cognitive rehabilitation program. It involved a study on a patient suffering from a severe TBI who was subjected to structured exercises and compensatory training outside a clinical environment. There were significant gains in memory, planning, and daily activity, with the patient later returning to his job. It was shown in this case that culturally modified, home-based interventions remain effective even in resource-poor settings such as India.

Afsar et al. (2021), in their study entitled Cognitive Retraining in Traumatic Brain Injury: Experience from a Tertiary Care Center in Southern India, attempted to identify if cognitive retraining is effective in enhancing neuropsychological functions as well as quality of life. There were marked improvement in neuropsychological functions like working memory, visual construction, planning, and verbal learning noted upon intervention. There were also noted reductions in perceived stress in patients and an overall improvement in quality of life. Structured cognitive retraining in rehabilitation for TBI became evident in the study as a critical component in clinical practice in India.

Baxendale et al. (2018), in Neuropsychological Outcomes Following Traumatic Brain Injury, studied long-term outcome predictors in TBI patients. Rather than focus on severity alone, their study investigated cognitive reserve at pre-morbid times, pre-morbid psychological wellbeing, and social support in their contribution towards a favorable outcome. Their results were that severity in itself is not a predictive variable for cognitive outcome as recovery is a result of a number of interacting variables. Their findings highlight an integrated neuropsychological assessment so as to cover such a multifarious interaction.

Ramos-Galarza et al. (2025) wrote a systematic review called Neuropsychological Rehabilitation for Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Review. They set their goal at determining effectiveness for several rehabilitation strategies for variable TBI groups. Out of 17 selected studies, nearly 90% reported positive outcomes for interventions such as computerized training, holistic rehabilitation, and standard cognitive therapy. Drawing conclusions for their review was that the majority of interventions show promise but outcomes depend upon injury stages, etiology, and rehabilitation environments.

Dhandapani and Thenmozhi (2022), in their systematic review Digital Cognitive Assessments and Rehabilitation for Brain Injury, found an emergent trend towards technology-based strategies in assessment as well as rehabilitation. From an analysis of 26 studies, there was an observed trend towards utilizing digital tools for diagnosis as well as therapy in TBI patients. Nevertheless, they observed a lack of experimental rigor in most studies as well as an imbalance in large-scale evidence for digital methods. Through their review, it emerged that digital interventions were not a substitute for conventional neuropsychological approaches but had promise in supplementing them.

Ownsworth and Haslam (2016), in Impact of Neuropsychological Assessment on Psychosocial Outcomes Post-TBI, studied the larger role of NPAs other than detecting cognitive impairment. They underlined the capacity of tests to predict long-term quality of life and psychosocial adjustment in post-TBI

survivors. Their results indicated that the broader cognitive profiling supported better planning of the social reintegration of the patient and vocational rehabilitation and produced better patient–caregiver relationships.

Rao et al. (2020) investigated the application of NPAs to multidisciplinary treatment planning models in their study Multidisciplinary Uses of Neuropsychological Assessments in TBI Rehabilitation. Their findings revealed that the NPAs supplied primary information to neurologists, psychologists, occupational therapists, and caregivers, enabling for coordinated interventions. The findings underscored the need of rehabilitation procedures that consider cognitive impairments and strengths, resulting in personalized therapy.

3. Result Table

Name of Research	Author(s) & Year	Methodology	Research Section (Aim/Focus)	Findings	Future Implications
Traumatic brain injuries: a neuropsychological review	Chan et al., 2024	Narrative review	Synthesize evidence on neuropsych assessment and outcomes in TBI	identified assessment as key in informing individualized treatment planning	Neuropsychologic testing should become routine in TBI treatment; subsequent research needs to create age-appropriate tools with cross-cultural applicability to direct rehabilitation.
Home-based neuropsychological rehabilitation in severe TBI: a case report	Mohanty & Gupta, 2014	Single-case intervention with pre–post assessment	Feasibility and effects of home-based cognitive rehab	Improvements in memory, planning, daily functioning; return to work documented	Culturally adapted home-based programs are further reachable in resource-limited settings.
Cognitive Retraining in Traumatic Brain Injury: Experience from a Tertiary Care Center in Southern India	Afsar et al., 2021	A hospital intervention trial with a pre-post design that included standardized cognitive retraining sessions. Subjects with moderate to severe head injuries got rigorous instruction in attention, memory, and planning.	Clinical report/trial evaluating the effect of cognition retraining on neuropsychological performance and quality of life in Indian patients.	Significant improvements in processing speed, working memory, planning, and quality of life following intervention.	Proponents framed cognitive retraining as integral to conventional rehab in Indian cases of TBI.
Neuropsychological outcomes following traumatic brain injury	Baxendale et al., 2019	Clinical review	Identify cognitive outcome predictors in addition to injury severity	Premorbid reserve, psychosocial factors determine trajectory of recovery	Add psychosocial variables in assessment and plan for treatment
Longitudinal abnormalities in white matter extracellular free water and neuropsychological functioning in TBI	Gugger et al., 2022	Longitudinal MRI–cognition cohort	Associate diffusion MRI free-water indices with cognition at later periods	Increase in free water is associated with subacute cognitive impairment; weaker associations in chronic stage	Intersect biomarkers in imaging with neuropsych testing for early prediction

Name of Research	Author(s) & Year	Methodology	Research Section (Aim/Focus)	Findings	Future Implications
Neuropsychological Assessment in Patients with Traumatic Brain Injury: A Comprehensive Review with Clinical Recommendations	Torregrossa et al., 2023	An integrated narrative review incorporating current empirical findings with clinical guidelines on NPAs in TBI.	Review article categorization of neuropsychological instruments by recovery phase (acute, post-acute, rehab).	Offer phase-specific criteria, such as CRS-R in acute consciousness issues, MoCA in moderate disease, and executive function tests in the subacute period.	Adopting algorithms that use staged testing and tailored NPAs during the recovery period; incorporating NPAs into multidisciplinary management aids treatment planning.
Which information derived from the Coma Recovery Scale-Revised is most informative?	Ragazzoni et al., 2024	Quantitative observational study on TBI patients using CRS-R	Best practices for assessing memory and post-traumatic amnesia	Individual CRS-R subscales are better predictors of outcome course.	Further research is necessary to optimize CRS-R scores for greater prognostic accuracy in TBI patients.
Neuropsychological assessment and psychosocial outcomes	Ownsworth & Haslam (2016)	Longitudinal study on TBI patients assessing NPAs and psychosocial outcomes	Systematic review of intervention studies focusing on how rehabilitation impacts self-concept in individuals with TBI; analysis centered on methodology and efficacy of these interventions.	10/17 studies showed improved self-concept and adjustment in TBI patients after rehabilitation.	Need for better identity-focused interventions in TBI rehabilitation.
Neuroimaging and Psychometric Assessment of Mild Cognitive Disturbances After TBI	Bendlin et al., 2020	Narrative review	Link cognitive testing approaches with neurobiological correlates	Testing should consider affective and social cognition domains; imaging associations summarized	Augment test batteries with social cognition and mood measures
Trajectories of Children's Executive Function After Traumatic Brain Injury	Beauchamp et al., 2021	Future multicenter cohort study in pediatric TBI, with long-term follow-up and EF endpoints.	Designed to examine late developmental trends in post-TBI executive functioning in children compared to controls throughout numerous epochs of follow-up.	A few had chronic executive impairments; recovery histories were quite diverse, with few displaying considerable gains over time while others retained impairments.	Emphasizes the need for neuropsychological follow-ups in children with TBI to detect persisting EF issues and to aid in tailored school reintegration and intervention strategies.
Measuring cognitive outcome in traumatic brain injury trials	Dikmen et al., 2017	Empirical analysis of outcome measures across clinical TBI trials	To examine the validity and sensitivity of neuropsychological tests in TBI outcomes	Traditional tests vary in sensitivity; composite batteries are more robust	Encourages standardization of neuropsychological test batteries for TBI trials

Name of Research	Author(s) & Year	Methodology	Research Section (Aim/Focus)	Findings	Future Implications
Systematic Review of Standardized Test Results after Trauma	Drew et al., 2019	Systematic analysis of published studies that provide standardized neuropsychological test outcomes following trauma (including TBI).	Synthesized patterns of deficit across attention, memory, processing speed, and executive functions based on performance on standardized tests.	Recurring findings indicate deficiencies in attention, memory, and processing speed in traumatized people/TBI; as a function of injury severity and post-traumatic time.	Recommends the use of domain-specific standardized assessments in TBI treatment to identify targeted areas for intervention and support individualized treatment planning.
The Role of Neuropsychology in Traumatic Brain Injury	Sanz et al., 2023	Clinical literature review and clinical practice in neuropsychology focusing on TBI.	Condensed evidence on the role of neuropsychological examination and intervention in TBI management, with a focus on both everyday function and psychiatric/psychosocial outcomes.	Neuropsychological tests are critical for identifying cognitive and behavioral abnormalities that have a major impact on everyday functioning and recovery.	Embed neuropsychologists within multidisciplinary TBI teams
Cognition, Quality of Life and Mood State in Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: Case Series	Rao et al., 2021	Case series using established neuropsychological exams and mood ratings.	Investigated cognition profiles and affective states in mild TBI.	Significant memory and concentration problems were observed, as well as mood disorders such anxiety, disorientation, and depression.	Emphasizes the necessity of tracking mood as much as cognitive in mTBI patients, as well as the incorporation of psychoeducation and coping skills in rehabilitation.
Interdisciplinary treatment planning	Rao et al. (2020)	Review of interdisciplinary rehabilitation approaches in TBI	Integration of NPAs into multidisciplinary care.	NPAs improved coordination among neurologists, psychologists, and therapists for individualized treatment.	Encourages wider use of NPAs in team-based rehabilitation models in India.
Traumatic Brain Injury During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Time-Series Analysis	Khajanchi et al., 2022	Retrospective time-series (India)	Examine incidence/pattern shifts in TBI during pandemic	Altered incidence and mechanisms linked to mobility and alcohol trends	Plan flexible assessment/rehab services responsive to systems-level shifts
Epidemiology, Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of TBI in India	Gururaj, 2016	Narrative/epidemiologic synthesis	Summarize Indian burden and outcomes	High national burden with substantial disability; need for rehab services	Scale neuropsych services and community-based rehab in India
Prevalence of Head Injury in India:	Kumari et al., 2025	A meta-analysis and systematic review of Indian studies on the	Consolidated prevalence rates across areas and	As a result, a large number of head injuries were	The findings highlighted the increased need to

Name of Research	Author(s) & Year	Methodology	Research Section (Aim/Focus)	Findings	Future Implications
Systematic Review and Meta-analysis		prevalence of head injuries and associated risk factors.	populations, including etiology subgroup studies.	reported, with road traffic injuries being the leading cause.	expand healthcare capacity to conduct neuropsychological assessments as well as targeted preventative interventions that include road safety and injury risk reduction.
Prospective Memory Functions in Traumatic Brain Injury	Vasquez et al., 2024	Cross-sectional neuropsychological evaluation of TBI patients using standardized tests for prospective memory (PM), metamemory questionnaires, and mood scales.	PM impairments were investigated as a predictor of executive dysfunction, self-awareness (metamemory), and mood disorders such as anxiety and depression.	PM deficiencies were substantial and significantly connected to executive deficits as well as mood difficulties, indicating a complex cognition-affect connection.	Stresses the use of PM treatments and mood screening in clinical evaluation, as well as the individualization of rehab through emotional control and executive function treatment.
Behavioral and Psychiatric Symptoms in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury	Pecini et al., 2023	A brief narrative overview of the existing literature on psychiatric/behavioral effects of severe TBI.	In severe TBI populations, we assessed the prevalence, presentation, and management of symptoms such as agitation, apathy, aggressiveness, sadness, and anxiety.	The study discovered higher rates of psychiatric/behavioral sequelae, which frequently accompanied daily activities as well as rehabilitation.	Strongly supports incorporating behavioral management approaches into cognitive rehabilitation regimens to improve long-term outcomes and quality of life.
Initial Orientation During Acute Rehab Predicts 1-Year Neuropsychological Outcomes	Boggiano, 2021	A prospective cohort study in acute TBI rehabilitation on levels of initial orientation (knowledge of person, place, time, and circumstances) and subsequent standardized neuropsychological testing after one year.	Investigated whether orientation status in acute rehab predicts later cognitive outcome.	Better initial orientation greatly predicted greater neuropsychological performance after one year, particularly in memory and executive function.	Recommends orientation checking as a rapid prognosis indication, and stresses early cognitive tracking to tailor rehabilitation planning.
Measurement Characteristics and Clinical Utility of the Coma Recovery Scale-Revised	Giacino et al., 2004	Psychometric review	Evaluated the scale's power to differentiate between vegetative state, minimally conscious state, and emerging consciousness.	The CRS-R was highly dependable and valid, with greater sensitivity than earlier devices. It gave useful information to help with diagnosis,	The CRS-R must become the norm in TBI rehabilitation to guide clinical decision-making, progress tracking, and

Name of Research	Author(s) & Year	Methodology	Research Section (Aim/Focus)	Findings	Future Implications
				prognosis, and therapy planning.	multidisciplinary care planning.

4. Methodology

It was a qualitative narrative review study. Its goal was to critically analyze and compare available research on the role of neuropsychological tests in developing treatment strategies for traumatic brain injury (TBI) patients. It aimed to identify common outcomes, highlight differences between studies, and create a synthesis of data in both Indian and international settings.

Research papers and journal articles were retrieved from sources such as PubMed, PsycINFO, Google Scholar, Scopus, and ResearchGate. The search keywords were "neuropsychological assessment," "traumatic brain injury," "treatment planning," "rehabilitation," plus "evaluation in TBI."

4.1. Inclusion Criteria

- Articles specifically referring to an individual's role in rehabilitation, recovery, or making treatment choices.
- Both Indian and international studies to provide a broader perspective.
- Studies focusing on adult TBI patients (18 years and above).

4.2. Exclusion criteria

- Studies unrelated to the aim.
- Studies in another language.
- Studies focusing on paediatric TBI

4.3. Ethical Considerations

This is a secondary literature review in which participants were not directly included. All research included were considered to have received ethical approval from their respective committees, and sources were properly credited to ensure academic integrity. Care was made to convey findings fairly, minimize any potential biases, and maintain the confidentiality of parent research. The evaluation is intended to properly inform clinical practice and policy, with no misstatements or conflicts of interest.

4.4. Limitations

- The review is based on already published studies, which have a wide range of strengths and sample sizes
- The exclusion of non-English publications and historical studies may have eliminated relevant material.
- There are no primary data available to collect, therefore causal inferences are impossible.
- Dependence on accuracy and reporting from primary studies.

5. Discussion

The aim of this study was to synthesize and review the existing literature on the application of neuropsychological tests in the preparation of rehabilitation plans for rehabilitation of individuals with traumatic brain injury (TBI) with the purpose of detecting cognitive, emotional, and behavior deficits and directing rehabilitation intervention in the Indian and global contexts.

The current study demonstrates the critical role of neuropsychological testing in the development of treatment strategies for traumatic brain injury (TBI). Throughout the studies reviewed, it is obvious that TBI causes long-term cognitive, emotional, and behavioral functioning deficits that must be carefully assessed in order to develop targeted therapies. Neuropsychological testing enables an organized way to understanding the patient's post-traumatic cognitive pattern, including memory, attention, processing speed, and executive functioning (Rabinowitz & Levin, 2014; Kumar et al., 2019). Professionals are better able to construct rehabilitation programs that are tailored to the individual needs of each client after diligently identifying these impairments.

According to studies throughout the literature, neuropsychological testing contribute to both diagnostic refinement and functional outcome predictions for TBI patients. For example, neuropsychological tests in both the Indian and global contexts were found to effectively predict difficulties in daily life, occupational functioning, and community reintegration associated with executive functioning and working memory deficits (Shukla et al., 2019; Arciniegas et al., 2018). The current review's findings show that higher-order cognitive skill deficits consistently impede rehabilitation. This study offers credence to tests that are used not just to identify impediments, but also to estimate long-term functional outcomes and, as a result, to set realistic rehabilitation goals.

Furthermore, the studies addressed show the psychosocial aspects of rehabilitation and demonstrate how neuropsychological tests can measure emotional and behavioral issues that are underemphasized in typical medical assessments (Ownsworth & Haslam, 2016; Rao et al., 2020). Post-TBI symptoms include mood problems, social cognitive impairments, and personality changes, all of which have a significant influence on quality of life. Integrating test findings from neuropsychological assessments into the treatment plan ensures that the components are addressed through psychological interventions, counseling, and family education. As a result, the neuropsychological assessment serves a broader purpose and adds to a fuller picture of the patient's functioning.

Another contribution of this review is to emphasize cultural and contextual aspects in neuropsychological testing. The study of Indian research reveals that culturally tailored assessment instruments are invaluable for proper evaluation because Western assessments are not always appropriate for regional language, educational, and sociocultural milieus (Mehta et al., 2015; Gupta & Kumar, 2017). If those tests are not altered, losses may be underestimated or misinterpreted. As a result, therapy planning must be based not only on neuropsychological facts, but also on culturally relevant ways of practice that take into account the patient's environment and support systems.

6. Conclusion

This review highlights the critical role that neuropsychological tests (NPAs) can play in guiding and refining treatment planning for people with traumatic brain injury (TBI). We discovered that NPAs provide significant information on cognition, behavior, and affect that goes beyond typical neurological examinations. The study emphasizes the importance of NPAs in not only determining cognitive impairments, but also informing individually oriented rehabilitation approaches, making predictions about long-distance psychosocial outcomes, and encouraging cross-disciplinary collaboration among medical service providers.

Furthermore, the data demonstrates that NPAs are equally important in varied cultural and resource situations, notably in India, where resource-adjusted and home-focused interventions have already proven effective. This not only improves their practical use and adaptability, but also demonstrates their potential for closing the gap between clinical prediction and everyday functional outcome. NPAs can deliver more meaningful outcomes, boost patient engagement, and provide durable integration back into everyday life by designing individualized evaluation and rehab plans based on the patient's sociocultural context.

Finally, NPAs are best viewed as an essential component of inclusive TBI treatment. Implementation as part of multidisciplinary care models can help to improve treatment outcomes, encourage family and caregiver participation, and promote good reintegration into the community. Future research should look at culturally sensitive adjustments as well as longterm effects on NPAs in order to establish their use in both clinical practice and community settings.

Reference

Afsar, N., John, J. R., & Bhat, D. I. (2021). Cognitive retraining in traumatic brain injury: Experience from a tertiary care center in Southern India. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine,* 43(3), 239–245.

Baxendale, S., Wilson, S. J., & Baker, G. A. (2018). Neuropsychological outcomes following traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry,* 89(12), 1288–1296

Bendlin, B. B., Koerte, I. K., & Hayes, J. P. (2020). Neuroimaging and psychometric assessment of mild cognitive disturbances after traumatic brain injury. *Brain Imaging and Behavior, 14(4),* 1116–1128.

Boggiano, M. M. (2021). Initial orientation during acute rehab predicts 1-year neuropsychological outcomes. *NeuroRehabilitation, 48*(3), 295–304.

Chan, D., Patel, K., & Lee, J. (2024). Traumatic brain injuries: A neuropsychological review.*Neuropsychology Review, 34*(1), 1–20.

Dhandapani, M., & Thenmozhi, R. (2022). Digital cognitive assessments and rehabilitation for brain injury: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13,* 861945.

Dikmen, S. S., Machamer, J. E., & Temkin, N. R. (2017). Measuring cognitive outcome in traumatic brain injury trials. *Journal of Neurotrauma, 34*(22), 3151–3158.

Drew, A. S., Patel, A., & Karr, J. E. (2019). Systematic review of standardized test results after trauma. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 34*(7), 1153–1169.

Giacino, J. T., Kalmar, K., & Whyte, J. (2004). The JFK Coma Recovery Scale–Revised: Measurement characteristics and clinical utility. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 85(12),* 2020–2029.

Gugger, J. J., Lopez, K. C., & Wilde, E. A. (2022). Longitudinal abnormalities in white Matter extracellular free water and neuropsychological functioning in TBI. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, *34*, *102987*.

Gururaj, G. (2016). Epidemiology, clinical characteristics and outcomes of traumatic brain Khajanchi, M., Kumar, V., & Gupta, S. (2022). Traumatic brain injury during the COVID-19 pandemic: A time-series analysis. *World Neurosurgery*, *158*, e37–e44.

Kumari, P., Ramesh, N., & Singh, A. (2025). Prevalence of head injury in India: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Injury Epidemiology*, *12*(1), 45–56.

Mohanty, S., & Gupta, A. (2013). Home-based neuropsychological rehabilitation in severe traumatic brain injury: A case report. *Indian Journal of Neurotrauma*, *10*(1), 47–52.

Nath, A., Gupta, A., & Dhandapani, S. (2016). Traumatic brain injury–related research in India: A scoping review. *Neurology India*, *64*(4), 676–685.

Ownsworth, T., & Haslam, C. (2016). Impact of neuropsychological assessment on psychosocial outcomes post-TBI. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*, *26*(5–6), 722–740.

Pecini, C., Meneghello, F., & Palumbo, R. (2023). Behavioral and psychiatric symptoms in severe traumatic brain injury: A narrative review. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *14*, 1112354.

Ragazzoni, A., Rossi, C., & Galetto, V. (2024). Which information derived from the Coma Recovery Scale-Revised is most informative? *Brain Injury*, *38*(1), 87–97.

Ramos-Galarza, C., Perez-Santiago, J., & Lopez, M. (2025). Neuropsychological ehabilitation for traumatic brain injury: A systematic review. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation, 35(3),* 456–478.

Rao, S. L., Subbakrishna, D. K., & Gopukumar, K. (2020). Multidisciplinary uses of neuropsychological assessments in TBI rehabilitation. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine, 42(2), 97–104.*

Rao, S. L., & Rao, K. (2021). Cognition, quality of life and mood state in mild traumatic brain injury: A case series. *Indian Journal of Neurotrauma*, *18*(2), 75–81.

Sanz, C., Garcia-Molina, A., & Roig-Rovira, T. (2023). The role of neuropsychology in traumatic brain injury. *Clinical Neuropsychologist*, *37*(4), 1012–1028.

Torregrossa, F., Riccio, C., & Soriano, M. (2023). Neuropsychological assessment in patients with traumatic brain injury: A comprehensive review with clinical recommendations. *Applied Neuropsychology: Adult*, *30*(1), 1–15.

Vasquez, B. P., Ramanan, S., & Wong, S. (2024). Prospective memory functions in traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, *46*(2), 135–148.