International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Green Chromatography: Evaluating the Environmental and Analytical Impact of Protic vs. Aprotic Solvents in HPLC.

***A. Rajashri* [(1) *], *S. Mohankumar* [(2)]**

Department of pharmaceutics, pallavan pharmacy college Kanchipuram- 631502

Email: rajashrianbu2003@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) serves as an important analytical method in drug, biomedical, food, and environmental domains. Despite its widespread applications, traditional HPLC raises stability issues due to the significant amounts of organic solvents used, many of which are toxic, expensive, and harmful to both human health and the environment. In response to these concerns, the idea of green chromatography has been introduced, aimed at reducing ecological effects by preserving analytical efficiency. A major factor in this innings is the selection of solvents, especially when Protic solvents (such as water, methanol, ethanol) are compared with aprotic solvents (including acetonitrile, DMSO, Tetrahydrofuran). Protic solvents are usually safe, renewable, and biodegradable, aligning with green analytical chemistry principles. However, they can come up with challenges about chromatographic resolution, viscosity, and selectiveness. Conversely, apolar solvents are commonly employed in HPLC due to their better alkaline power, low UV cut-off, and suitability for various analyses, but their poisoning, high cost, and level of environmental friendliness present significant losses. The weight of these trade-offs is necessary to increase both analytical effectiveness and environmental responsibility. This review examines the comparative effects of Protic and Applicant solvents in HPLC related to physical chemical characteristics, chromatographic efficiency, and ecological effects. It also emphasizes the principles of green chemistry, regulatory approaches, and recent innovations such as bio-based solvents, supercritical fluid chromatography, and deep eutectic solvents. Conclusions show that receiving durable chromatography necessitates a thoughtful equilibrium between performance and environmental safety, making solvent selection pivotal for the future of green analytical research.

Keywords: Green Chromatography, High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), Protic Solvents, Aprotic Solvents, Green Analytical Chemistry, Environmental Impact.

Introduction:

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is a highly effective and adaptable analytical method used in various fields, including pharmaceuticals, biotechnology, clinical research, food quality assurance, and environmental science. Its comprehensive acceptance stems from its effective resolution, a copy of merit, sensitivity, and ability to adjust a wide range of capacities. However, traditional HPLC has faced criticism due to its negative impact on the environment. This technique requires significant amounts of organic solvents, many of which are unstable, toxic, non-biodegradable, and expensive. Such factors not only enhance stability issues, but also create commercial risks for lab personnel and increase the analysis cost related to solvent acquisition and settlement.

In recent times, an increase in awareness about stability and environmental protection has inspired the emergence of green analytical chemistry (GAC), in which green chromatography is a major component. The purpose of green chromatography is to reduce the use of hazardous solvents, to choose for renewable or less harmful options, reduce the use of energy, and promote safe waste disposal to reduce the ecological effects of chromatographic methods. In many strategies of Green HPLC, thoughtful selection of solvents is important, as solvents contribute to more than 80% of the environmental footprint in liquid chromatography.

HPLC solvents can be classified into polar and apolar types. Protic solvents, such as water, ethanol, and methanol, are generally secured, biodegradable, and renewable sources. They echo well with the principles of green chemistry, but can present challenges related to viscosity, limited alkaline strength, and selectivity. Conversely, Aprotic Classification of HPLC Solvents**.** The performance of High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) strongly depends on the physicochemical nature of the mobile phase solvents. Solvents not only determine the retention and resolution of analytes but also influence factors such as pressure, detector compatibility, and method sustainability. From a chemical perspective, solvents used in HPLC are broadly classified into **protic solvents** and **aprotic solvents**, based on their ability to donate or accept hydrogen bonds.

2. Protic Solvents:

Protic solvents can donate hydrogen ions (H^+) or form hydrogen bonds because they have an active hydrogen atom associated with electronegative elements such as oxygen or nitrogen. Notable examples include water, methanol, and ethanol. These solvents display high polarity, which makes them effective in dissolving polar molecules and interacting with functional groups through hydrogen bonding.

• **advantages:**

o usually greenery and less toxic

o water and ethanol are both biodegradable and renewable

o very safe for laboratory employees and the environment

• **disadvantages:**

o Aprotic solvents have more viscosity than solvents, resulting in back pressure.

o provides limited alkaline strength to non-polar analyses.

o Some protic solvents have high UV cut-off values, which limit their compatibility with detectors.

2. **Aprotic solvents:**

Conversely, aprotic solvents are unable to donate hydrogen bonds, but can act as acceptors of hydrogen bonds. Common examples used in HPLC include acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). These solvents are less polar than protic solvents, but have significant alkaline power, allowing them to effectively separate a diverse range of compounds.

• **advantage:**

o outstanding chromatographic performance is characterized by sharp peaks and low retention time.

o Low viscosity, which leads to the pressure of the minimum system.

low UV cut-off value, which improves them for UV detection methods.

• **disadvantages:**

o poisoning, flammability, and limited biodegradability.

o high cost and complex waste disposal procedures.

o Possible business risk for laboratory personnel.

Table: Comparative Analysis of Protic vs Aprotic Solvents in HPLC

Parameter	**Protic Solvents**	**Aprotic Solvents**
Examples	Water, Methanol, Ethanol	Acetonitrile (ACN), Tetrahydrofuran (THF), DMSO
Hydrogen Bonding capacity	Hydrogen bonding capacity can donate hydrogen bonds (hydrogen bond donors)	cannot donate, but can accept hydrogen bonds
Polarity	Highly polar	Moderate polarity
Viscosity	high viscosity → column back pressure	lower viscosity increases → system reduces pressure
UV Cut-off	Higher (Methanol: ~205 nm, Ethanol: ~210 nm)	Low (Acetonitrile: ~190 nm, THF: ~212 nm)
Chromatographic Performance	good for polar analysis, but limited alkaline power	excellent peak size, low retention time
Environmental Impact	Safer, biodegradable, renewable (esp. water, ethanol)	Toxic, non-biodegradable, harmful to environment
Professional Safety	lab workers is relatively safe toxicity	flammability, breathing dangers

Parameter	**Protic Solvents**	**Aprotic Solvents**
Cost	Generally cheaper (especially water, ethanol)	Expensive (Acetonitrile prices have risen globally)
Waste Disposal	Easy, less dangerous	Challenging and expensive
Overall Green Score	High (eco-friendly, aligned with Green Chemistry)	Low (analytically efficient but environmentally poor)

3. Mixed Solvent Systems:

In practice, a variety of HPLC techniques utilize combinations of protic and aprotic solvents to enhance both performance and safety. For instance, mixtures of water with acetonitrile and water with methanol are the most frequently employed mobile phases. By varying the ratio of each solvent, analysts can fine-tune resolution, selectivity, and environmental sustainability. Therefore, when assessing both analytical effectiveness and ecological effects in HPLC, the classification of solvents is necessary to understand the classification in protic and aprotic categories.

Analytical Performance in HPLC:

The choice of solvents in High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) is crucial for influencing analytical performance. Factors such as retention time, resolution, selectivity, peak symmetry, sensitivity, and consistency are all impacted by the physicochemical characteristics of the mobile phase. Protic and aprotic solvents have markedly different effects on chromatographic efficiency, and recognizing these distinctions is vital for optimizing methods.

Retention Time and Selectiveness:

Retention time is largely affected by the polarity and alkalinity of the solvent. Aprotic solvents such as acetonitrile distribute strong electron capabilities, resulting in low retention time and more defined peaks. In contrast, protic solvents such as water or ethanol have a strong interaction with analytes through hydrogen bonding, leading to extended retention time, which can be beneficial to separate closely related substances.

Resolution and Size:

The resolution plays an important role in the separation of complex mixtures. Favourable solvents usually produce symmetrical peaks with minimal tails, which increase the quality of separation.

UV detection compatibility:

The UV cut-off price of solvents affects their suitability for UV detection. Acetonitrile, such as aprotic solvents, has a low UV cut-off (~ 190 nm), which them suitable to detect analytes with unconscious chromophores. Protic solvents such as ethanol and methanol have a high UV cut-off (205–210 nm), which can restrict sensitivity for specific compounds.

System Pressure and Efficiency:

Visiting is another major factor. Solvents applied with low viscosity reduced the system back pressure, which facilitates a rapid flow rate and better column efficiency. Conversely, protic solvents have high viscosity, which can increase back pressure and obstruct the throughput, requiring more strong instruments.

Qualification and reliability copy:

Aprotic solvents usually provide high copies of different runs due to their stable physical and chemical properties. While protic solvents are generally safe to the environment, they can sometimes introduce variability in retention and selection due to hydrogen bonding interactions.

Environmental impact of Protic vs. Assessment of applicable solutions:

The ecological effect of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is mainly operated by solvents used in the mobile phase, as they produce most of the waste produced in chromatographic techniques. Therefore, it is important to assess the environmental footprint of protic and aprotic solvents within the scope of green chromatography.

1. **Biodegradability and toxicity:**

Protic solvents, such as water, ethanol, and methanol, are commonly considered safe and more environmentally friendly options. The water stands as the most stable option, while the ethanol is derived from renewable resources such as biomass, which makes it a permanent solvent. While methanol is less environmentally friendly than ethanol, it still claims better biodegradability than many aprotic solvents. Conversely, aprotic solvents such as acetone, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) are associated with high poisoning levels, a prolonged environmental firmness, and lower biodegradability. Acetonitrile and THF, in particular, present significant dangers to aquatic ecosystems and are prone to bioaccumulation.

2. Risk to business safety and health:

Regarding the safety of workers, Protic Solvent - especially ethanol and water - poses less risk and displays more stability. However, methanol can be dangerous if ingestion or breathed. Aprotic solvents come with more and more dangers: acetonitrile is extremely toxic and can release hydrogen cyanide on decomposition; The is flammable and potentially carcinogenic; And while DMSO is less intensely toxic, it can increase the absorption of other toxins through the skin, representing a business risk**.**

3. Waste production and disposal:

Protic solvents are usually easy and more cost-effective to dispose of their low toxicity and extended biodegradability. Conversely, aprotic solvents require special handling and disposal methods to prevent environmental damage, leading to an increase in operational costs and regulatory challenges.

4. Ideal for stability:

Ethanol and water are renewable resources and align well with long-term stability goals.

Analytical Efficiency:

Acetonitrile, such as aprotic solvents, is usually used due to its low viscosity, strong alkaline abilities, and low UV cut-offs, which contribute to low retention time, defined peaks, and sensitivity in UV detection. For example, under the scope of drug analysis, mobile stages based on acetonitrile are often presumed to achieve high-resolution separation of structurally similar compounds. In contrast, protic solvents such as ethanol and methanol display high viscosity and high UV cut-off, which can reduce the resolution and increase the system back pressure. However, the water-ethanol mixture is rapidly recognized as an environmentally friendly alternative, performing effective separation for moderate polar analysis.

Regarding environmental and safety ideas, protective solvents, especially water and ethanol, are commonly considered safe, biodegradable, and renewable. Ethanol, made of biomass, strongly aligns with the principles of green chemistry. Although methanol is more toxic, it is less dangerous than many toxic solvents. On the other hand, applications such as DMSO present important environmental and health hazards. The is flammable and susceptible to peroxide formation, while acetonitrile is toxic, for ups and downs in global supply, and is subject to ups and downs, leading to increases in both safety and financial concerns.

In terms of costs and availability, Protic solvents are low-cost and easily accessible. Ethanol and water, especially, are very cheap and in budget. In contrast, aprotic solvents are more expensive, and the lack of acetonitrile has constantly affected analytical laboratories worldwide, underlining the risks associated with heavy dependence on such solvents.

1. Bio-based solvents:

Ethanol, which originates from Akshaya Biomass, is rapidly being used as a permanent component in mobile stages. Compared to acetonitrile, ethanol is safe, biodegradable, and more easily available at a lower price. A mixture of water and ethanol has shown similar separation efficiency for various pharmaceuticals and natural products. Similarly, bio-rich propene carbonate and glycerol derivatives are being examined as an environmentally friendly solvent alternative due to their low toxicity and renewable sources.

2. Supercritical fluid:

Supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC), which mainly uses supercritical carbon dioxide (SCCO), has emerged as an environmentally friendly option for traditional HPLC. So, cost-effective, non-types and non-flammable, offering high proliferation and low viscosity that increases separation efficiency. Small amounts of cosolvents such as ethanol can be added to increase polarity by preserving the overall environment.

3. Ionic fluid (ILS) :

Made of ionic liquid, organic quats, and ions has attracted attention as a green solvent modifier in HPLC due to its negligible vapor pressure, adjustable polarity, and thermal stability. They improve selectivity and resolution in reverse-step HPLC. Nevertheless, issues related to biodegradation and cost are still obstructing their widespread use.**.**

Deep Eutectic solvents (DES) :

Made from a combination of natural substances such as choline chloride with deep Eutectic solvents, hydrogen bond donors (such as glycerol or urea), offering more environmentally friendly and cost-effective options than ionic fluids. They are biodegradable and non-toxic, which shows potential as additives in mobile stages to enhance solubility and cells..

Regulatory and industrial approach:

Green chromatography was discovered not only by academic curiosity, but also by regulatory measures and industrial demands. Given that HPLC drug is required for quality assurance, food security and environmental assessment, both regulatory bodies and industries have accepted the need to reduce ecological effects associated with solvent use.

1. Regulatory structure:

Various global guidelines emphasize the importance of reducing dangerous solvents in analytical functions. The International Council for Harmonization (ICH) classifies solvents based on their toxicity and safety levels. For example, ICH Q3C guidelines classify solvents into three classes:

• Class 1: solvents that should be avoided (eg, benzene, carbon tetrachloride) due to their high toxicity.

• Class 2: solvents that must be limited (eg, methanol, acetonitrile, THF) due to their possible toxicity.

• Class 3: Secure solvents with low toxicity levels (eg, ethanol, acetone, water).

Similarly, organizations such as the American Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and European Chemical Agency (ECHA/Reach Regulations) implement stringent rules related to solvent disposal, risk limits for workers, and emission control. These standard laboratories motivate laboratories to use solvents that pose a low risk and are easy to handle.

2. Industrial approach:

Pharmaceutical companies are rapidly forced to meet the objectives of stability. Leading firms such as PFIZER, GSK, and CHEM21 have initiated Green Solvent initiatives, forming solvent selection guides that rank solvents based on environment, health and safety factors. Solutions such as water, ethanol, and ethyl acetate are types

1. Development of Novel Green Solvents:

An important future Avenue is an inquiry into renewable and bio-related solvents such as glycerol derivatives, deep eutectic solvents (DES), and bio-based alcohols. These solvents are promising due to their low toxicity, biodegradation, and renewal. However, more research is necessary to assess their long-term compatibility with the HPLC system as well as their stability and fertility.

2. Progress in supercritical fluid and aquatic chromatography:

Supercritical CO-based chromatography (SFC) has emerged as a viable permanent option for traditional HPLC. The primary challenge is to customize co-stakeholder systems and increase the cost-effectiveness and access of instrumentation. Similarly, purely aquatic chromatography requires progress in column technology to improve separation efficiency for non-polar analytes.

3. Inclusion of green metrics in law verification:

Future regulatory standards can integrate green metrics such as analytical eco-scale and green analytical process index (GAPI), ensuring that stability is considered with accuracy, strength, and robustness. This development will require re-evaluation of law verification by analysts, making environmental impact a formal criterion.

4. Challenges in industrial implementation:

Despite the progress, industries face practical barriers, including high early expenses for green solvents, the absence of universal acceptance, and reluctance to move beyond established methods. It would be necessary to educate analytical chemists in green chromatography and modernize these issues to modernize the existing infrastructure.

5. Combination strategies and AI use:

Future functioning STRs can merge protic solvents with minimal aprotic solvents

Conclusion:

Green chromatography is emerging as a transformative approach in analytical science, emphasizing high-quality analysis by reducing environmental and health hazards. Traditional organic solvents such as acetonitrile and THF are widely used in HPLC, but have notable deficiencies such as poisoning, high costs, and waste management challenges. In comparison, safe, renewable, and more environmentally friendly options are available in polar protic and bio-based solvents such as ethanol and water. Recent innovations, including supercritical fluid chromatography, ionic fluids, and deep eutectic solvents, highlight the ability of durable solvent systems. Regulatory agencies such as ICH, EPA, and Reach are rapidly advocating for green chemistry principles, inspiring the industry to adopt solvent selection guides and conduct stability assessments. The drug and chemical industry is identifying financial and regulatory benefits associated with infection in green solvents, although challenges such as improving infrastructure, legal changes, and the need for cost barriers. In addition, adopting advanced technologies such as Green Evolution Matrix, Bio-Reported solvents, and aprotic solvent adaptation will shape the future of permanent HPLC. Although perfectly eliminating traditional organic solvents may not be immediately achievable, a gradual infection is both possible and necessary for safe options. Ultimately, Green Chromatography represents an important step in analytical quality with environmental responsibility

Reference:

1. Yabré, M., Ferey, L., Somé, I. T., & Gaudin, K. (2018). Greening reversed-phase liquid chromatography methods using alternative solvents for pharmaceutical analysis. *Molecules*, *23*(5), 1065.

2. Byrne, F. P., Jin, S., Paggiola, G., Petchey, T. H. M., Clark, J. H., Farmer, T. J., Hunt, A. J., Robert McElroy, C., & Sherwood, J. (2016). Tools and techniques for solvent selection: Green solvent selection guides. *Sustainable Chemical Processes, 4*(1), 7.

3. Jessop, P. G. (2011). Searching for green solvents. *Green Chemistry, 13*(6), 1391–1398.

4. Clarke, C. J., Tu, W.-C., Levers, O., Bröhl, A., & Hallett, J. P. (2018). Green and sustainable solvents in chemical processes. *Chemical Reviews, 118*(2), 747–800.

5. Prat, D., Pardigon, O., Flemming, H.-W., Letestu, S., Ducandas, V., Isnard, P., Guntrum, E., Senac, T., Ruisseau, S., Cruciani, P., & Hosek, P. (2013). Sanofi's solvent selection guide: A step toward more sustainable processes. *Organic Process Research & Development, 17*(12), 1517–1525.

6. Gu, Y., & Jérôme, F. (2013). Bio-based solvents: An emerging generation of fluids for eco-efficient processes. *Chemical Society Reviews, 42*(24), 9550–9570.

7. Sherwood, J., De Bruyn, M., Constantinou, A., Moity, L., McElroy, C. R., Farmer, T. J., Duncan, T., Raverty, W., Hunt, A. J., & Clark, J. H. (2014). Dihydrolevoglucosenone (Cyrene) as a bio-based alternative for dipolar aprotic solvents. *Chemical Communications, 50*(68), 9650–9652.

8. Smith, R. M. (2020). Green chromatography: Theory and practice. *Journal of Chromatography A, 1623*, 461180.

9. Gałuszka, A., Migaszewski, Z., Konieczka, P., & Namieśnik, J. (2012). Analytical Eco-Scale for assessing the greenness of analytical procedures. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 37*, 61–72.

10. Armenta, S., Garrigues, S., & de la Guardia, M. (2015). Green analytical chemistry. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 71*, 2–8.

11. Koel, M. (2016). Ionic liquids in chemical analysis. *Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry, 46*(6), 502–516.

12. Anastas, P. T., & Warner, J. C. (1998). *Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice*. Oxford University Press.

13. Tobiszewski, M. (2016). Metrics for green analytical chemistry. *Analytical Methods, 8*(15), 2993–2999.

14. Armenta, S., de la Guardia, M., & Esteve-Turrillas, F. A. (2019). Green solvents in analytical chemistry. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 118*, 627–633.

15. Koel, M., & Kaljurand, M. (2006). Application of the principles of green chemistry in analytical chemistry. *Pure and Applied Chemistry, 78*(11), 1993–2002.

16. Hýsková, V., Krupčík, J., & Armstrong, D. W. (2019). Deep eutectic solvents in separation sciences. *Journal of Chromatography A, 1593*, 123–133.

17. Tang, S., Baker, G. A., & Zhao, H. (2012). Ether- and alcohol-functionalized ionic liquids: Physical properties and their application to the development of absolutely green nonvolatile organic solvents. *Chemical Society Reviews, 41*(20), 7031–7064.

18. Nunes, C., da Silva, J. A. L., & Câmara, J. S. (2018). Green chromatography: Recent advances in sustainable analytical methods. *Journal of Separation Science, 41*(1), 154–167.

19. Płotka-Wasylka, J. (2018). A new tool for the evaluation of the analytical procedure: Green Analytical Procedure Index. *Talanta, 181*, 204–209.

20. Tobiszewski, M., Marć, M., Gałuszka, A., & Namieśnik, J. (2015). Green chemistry metrics with special reference to green analytical chemistry. *Molecules, 20*(6), 10928–10946.

21. Nazir, N., Samad, A., & Singh, P. (2022). Green solvents in high-performance liquid chromatography: A sustainable approach. *Analytica Chimica Acta, 1199*, 339567.

22. Škalko-Basnet, N., & Flaten, G. E. (2016). Greening HPLC analysis: Towards eco-friendly pharmaceutical analysis. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 131*, 1–12.

23. Watson, D. G. (2019). Greener solvents for chromatographic separations. *Bioanalysis, 11*(5), 407–409.

24. Welton, T. (2015). Solvents and sustainable chemistry. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A, 471*(2183), 20150502.

25. Chemat, F., Vian, M. A., & Cravotto, G. (2012). Green extraction of natural products: Concept and principles. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 13*(7), 8615–8627.