The Role of Strategic Planning in Achieving Organizational Success in Selected Private Universities in Lusaka, Zambia

Victor Mwila[1], Frank Sakanya, PhD[2]

[1]*Dean*, School of Education, University of Edenberg, Lusaka, Zambia. Email: victormwila24@gmail.com
[2]*Deputy Vice Chancellor – Academics, University of Africa, Zambia. Email: fsakanya@keystoneuoa.com*
DOI : https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0825.3084

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the role of strategic planning in achieving organizational success in selected private universities within Lusaka District, Zambia. Motivated by concerns over inefficiencies, misaligned objectives, and suboptimal resource utilization in higher education institutions, the research aimed to assess how strategic planning formulation, implementation, and evaluation influence academic performance, governance, and institutional sustainability. Employing a qualitative case study design, data were collected from a purposive sample of 72 participants, including university administrators and strategic planning personnel, through semi-structured interviews and document reviews. The findings revealed that strategic planning is acknowledged as critical but often implemented in a top-down, compliance-oriented manner, with limited integration into daily operations and decision-making processes. Effective planning was linked to strong leadership, clear institutional vision, participatory practices, and continuous performance monitoring, whereas challenges included resource constraints, inadequate stakeholder engagement, and weak feedback mechanisms. The study concludes that inclusive, action-oriented strategic planning can significantly enhance organizational effectiveness in Zambia's private universities. It recommends fostering participatory planning cultures, strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems, and aligning resources with strategic priorities to optimize institutional outcomes.

Keywords: Strategic Planning, Organizational Success, Private Universities, Higher Education, Institutional Performance

1. Introduction and Rationale

Strategic planning is increasingly recognized as a critical tool for organizational success, enabling institutions to define long-term goals, align resources, and respond effectively to dynamic and competitive environments (Spider Strategies, 2025; Matar, 2025). In higher education, private universities face mounting pressures to maintain academic excellence, ensure financial sustainability, and demonstrate institutional relevance. Effective strategic planning provides a framework for coordinating these efforts, promoting evidence-based decision-making, and fostering organizational growth (Higher Education Authority, 2023; Muungo, 2016).

In the Zambian context, particularly among private universities in Lusaka District, challenges such as limited institutional capacity, inadequate stakeholder engagement, and resource constraints have hindered the practical implementation of strategic plans (Himbondo & Kayombo, 2023; Mwanza, 2020). While universities may develop strategic documents, these plans often remain aspirational rather than operational, with gaps between planning, execution, and performance outcomes. This disconnect has implications for academic quality, governance, institutional reputation, and the broader contribution of universities to national development goals (Matar, 2025; Higher Education Authority, 2023).

Despite the acknowledged importance of strategic planning, there is limited empirical research on how it is applied in private universities in Zambia and how it impacts organizational success (Mwila, 2024; Muungo, 2016). This study sought to investigate the role of strategic planning in selected private universities in Lusaka District, identifying enabling factors, implementation challenges, and the extent to which strategic planning contributes to institutional effectiveness. By providing evidence-based insights, the research aims to support university administrators, policymakers, and academic leaders in strengthening planning processes, improving performance outcomes, and fostering sustainable organizational growth in the higher education sector.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Strategic Planning in Private Universities: Global and Local Perspectives

Strategic planning in private universities is increasingly viewed as a cornerstone of institutional sustainability, competitiveness, and relevance in dynamic higher education environments (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; University of Zambia, 2018). Globally, it serves as a structured, future-oriented

process that aligns institutional missions, resources, and operations with long-term objectives, enabling universities to anticipate market shifts, respond to technological change, and meet evolving student needs (Hayward, 2008). Beyond ensuring operational coherence, strategic planning fosters transparency, accountability, and evidence-based decision-making key elements in building stakeholder confidence and institutional legitimacy (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; Higher Education Authority, 2023). In the Zambian context, regulatory frameworks such as those from the Ministry of Education (2022) and HEA (2023) have underscored its importance, urging institutions to embed strategic thinking into governance and operations.

2.2 Factors Influencing Strategic Plan Implementation

The success of strategic planning depends heavily on effective execution, which is shaped by institutional structures, leadership quality, resource availability, and organizational culture (Hayward, 2008; Kotter, 1996). Clear hierarchies, robust monitoring systems, and strategic alignment ensure smooth translation of plans into practice (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; Spider Strategies, 2025). Leadership, particularly transformational and participatory styles, galvanizes stakeholder commitment and fosters innovation (University of Zambia, 2025; Ministry of Education, 2022). A culture that embraces change, collaboration, and continuous learning has been linked to higher implementation success (Global Focus Magazine, 2025; Matar, 2025). Adequate financial, human, and technological resources, coupled with inclusive stakeholder engagement, further strengthen execution capacity (HEA, 2023; ZCAS University, 2022).

2.3 Strategic Planning and Organizational Performance

Research across diverse contexts from Libya to Nigeria and Zambia indicates a strong positive relationship between strategic planning and organizational performance in higher education (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023). In private universities, this performance spans academic quality, financial health, research productivity, student satisfaction, and institutional reputation. In Zambia, policymakers increasingly position strategic planning as a driver of quality assurance, competitiveness, and sustainable growth (HEA, 2023; Ministry of Education, 2022).

2.4 Challenges in Strategic Planning and Execution

Despite its recognized importance, strategic planning in Lusaka's private universities faces persistent barriers. Internally, leadership instability, limited institutional maturity, inadequate stakeholder participation, and weak data utilization undermine execution (Hayward, 2008; Avedi & Anyieni, 2023). Externally, volatile regulatory frameworks, resource constraints, and competitive market pressures compound these challenges (Ministry of Education, 2022; HEA, 2023). Such issues often create a disconnect between strategic aspirations and operational realities, resulting in reactive rather than proactive management practices.

2.5 Strategies for Enhancing Strategic Planning and Sustainability

The literature advocates for adaptive, evidence-based approaches that integrate global best practices with Zambia's local higher education realities (Himbondo & Kayombo, 2023; Hayward, 2008). Recommended strategies include fostering strategic agility, leveraging digital monitoring tools (Spider Strategies, 2025), promoting collaborative planning (HEA, 2023), strengthening policy alignment (Global Focus Magazine, 2025), and embedding innovation within institutional culture (focusEDU, n.d.). Sustained success also depends on robust monitoring and evaluation systems, financial diversification, and cultivating accountability and trust (ZCAS University, 2022; Mwila, 2024).

2.6 Synthesis and Research Gap

While the theoretical and practical benefits of strategic planning are well-documented, much of the empirical work in Zambia centers on public universities or regional comparisons, leaving the experiences of Lusaka's private universities underexplored (Mulawa, 2020; Hayward, 2008). Existing evidence suggests that although these institutions may adopt agile, market-responsive strategies, they risk superficial planning and weak monitoring systems (ZCAS University, 2022). There is limited empirical linkage between strategic planning frameworks such as Strategic Management Theory (Porter, 1980), Performance Management Theory (Kaplan & Norton, 1996), and Continuous Improvement Theory (Deming, 1986) and measurable institutional performance in this context. This study sought to address this gap by examining context-specific strategies, success factors, and challenges influencing strategic planning in selected private universities in Lusaka District.

3. Research Questions

This study sought to investigate the role of strategic planning in achieving organizational success in selected private universities in Lusaka District by addressing the following questions:

1. What strategic planning processes are employed by selected private universities in Lusaka District?

2. What key factors contribute to the successful implementation of strategic plans in these universities?

3. How does strategic planning influence the organizational performance of private universities, particularly in relation to academic quality, financial stability, and institutional reputation?

4. What challenges do private universities encounter in the development and execution of their strategic plans?

5. What recommendations can be proposed to improve strategic planning practices for enhancing the overall success and sustainability of private universities?

4. Scope and Limitation

The study was conducted to investigate the role of strategic planning in achieving organizational success in selected private universities within Lusaka District. It examined how strategic planning processes were implemented, identified key success factors, explored challenges, and assessed their influence on academic quality, financial sustainability, and institutional reputation. Four private universities were purposefully selected based on their prominence and diversity in strategic approaches. Data were collected from university administrators and decision-makers directly involved in strategic planning through interviews and the review of institutional strategic documents. The research was geographically limited to Lusaka District and did not extend to public universities or institutions located outside this area. Given its qualitative research design, the study provided rich, context-specific insights; however, the findings could not be generalized to a broader population. The results reflected the perspectives of the selected participants, which were shaped by their individual experiences and institutional contexts. Furthermore, the study did not investigate longitudinal changes in strategic planning over time nor did it compare practices between private and public universities.

5. Methodology

5.1 Research Design

This study employed a qualitative multiple-case study research design to investigate the role of strategic planning in achieving organizational success in selected private universities in Lusaka District. The multiple-case approach was selected to allow an in-depth and comparative analysis of strategic planning processes, success factors, and challenges across different institutional contexts. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with university administrators and decision-makers, as well as document analysis of institutional strategic plans. The qualitative approach was deemed appropriate as it facilitated the exploration of participants' lived experiences, institutional narratives, and perceptions, providing rich and context-specific insights into how strategic planning influences academic quality, financial sustainability, and institutional reputation. By focusing on multiple cases, the design enabled the identification of both common patterns and unique practices, enhancing the credibility and robustness of the findings.

5.2 Population and Sampling

The target population for this study comprised university administrators and decision-makers directly involved in strategic planning processes within selected private universities in Lusaka District. This included vice-chancellors, deputy vice-chancellors, registrars, deans, directors of planning, heads of departments, and members of strategic planning committees. These individuals were chosen for their direct roles in formulating, implementing, and evaluating institutional strategic plans. Four private universities were purposively selected based on their prominence, diversity in strategic approaches, and active engagement in structured planning processes. From these institutions, a total of seventy-two (72) participants were drawn using purposive sampling to ensure the collection of rich, context-specific insights from those with relevant experience and expertise in the subject matter.

5.3 Data Collection

This study employed a qualitative data collection approach, utilizing semi-structured interviews and institutional document reviews to gather in-depth information on the role of strategic planning in achieving organizational success in selected private universities in Lusaka District. The interviews targeted 72 purposively selected participants, including vice-chancellors, registrars, deans, directors of planning, and other administrators directly involved in strategic planning processes. An interview guide with open-ended questions was developed to ensure consistency while allowing for probing and flexibility in exploring participants' experiences and perspectives. Interviews were conducted face-to-face within university premises or via online platforms where necessary, each lasting between 45 and 60 minutes. All sessions were audio-recorded with participants' informed consent and supplemented by field notes to capture contextual observations.

In parallel, institutional documents such as strategic plans, policy manuals, annual reports, and committee meeting minutes were reviewed to triangulate findings and provide objective evidence of strategic planning practices. Access to these documents was granted by the participating universities, and only materials relevant to the study objectives were analyzed. Throughout the data collection process, ethical considerations including voluntary participation, confidentiality, and secure storage of records were strictly observed. This combination of interviews and document analysis ensured a rich, credible, and contextually grounded dataset to address the study objectives.

5.4 Data Analysis

The data collected from interviews, document reviews, and institutional reports were analyzed using thematic analysis, which allowed the researcher to identify, code, and categorize emerging patterns and themes related to strategic planning and organizational success (Braun & Clarke, 2006). This approach enabled the researcher to explore how strategic planning processes, implementation factors, challenges, and stakeholder engagement influence

institutional performance in selected private universities in Lusaka. Themes were developed iteratively, reflecting both convergent and divergent perspectives from key informants, as well as insights from strategic documents such as annual reports, policy manuals, and monitoring frameworks. To ensure rigor and credibility, data triangulation was employed, comparing findings across interviews and documentary evidence to validate recurring patterns and highlight discrepancies. Thematic results were then synthesized into coherent categories, including strategic planning practices, factors shaping successful implementation, impacts on organizational performance, challenges encountered, and strategies for sustainability. This qualitative analytical framework provided a structured and systematic method for interpreting complex data, facilitating a nuanced understanding of the role of strategic planning in enhancing organizational outcomes within the context of Lusaka's private higher education sector.

5.5 Ethical Considerations

This study adhered strictly to established ethical standards in educational research to protect the rights, dignity, and well-being of all participants. Ethical clearance was obtained from the researcher's academic institution, and permissions were secured from the management of the selected private universities prior to data collection. Participants, including university administrators, faculty, and planning personnel, were fully informed about the purpose, procedures, and potential implications of the study, and their voluntary participation was emphasized, including the right to withdraw at any stage without penalty. Anonymity and confidentiality were ensured by assigning codes to participants and institutions, and all data including interview recordings, transcripts, and institutional documents were securely stored in password-protected digital files and locked physical storage. The researcher conducted interviews in private and comfortable settings, remained neutral and empathetic, and used the collected data solely for academic purposes. These ethical measures ensured the integrity, credibility, and moral responsibility of the research while safeguarding the participants' rights and trust throughout the study.

6. Results and Discussion

The study found that strategic planning in private universities in Lusaka is cyclical, structured, and largely driven by top-level administrators, with academic units involved mainly in operational and consultative stages (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; University of Zambia, 2018). Iterative evaluation through monthly and annual reviews supports strategic relevance (Spider Strategies, 2025), though centralization of authority often limits broader input (EA Journals, 2023). Academic staff contributions are valued but perceived as tokenistic, particularly under financial constraints, reflecting procedural rather than influential participation (Hayward, 2008; HEA, 2023; Mwila, 2024; University of Zambia, 2025). External stakeholders, including students, alumni, and industry partners, are engaged through advisory boards and consultations, but their actual influence on strategy is limited (Hayward, 2008; Himbondo & Kayombo, 2023; HEA, 2023; Global Focus Magazine, 2025). Despite these challenges, universities demonstrate awareness of environmental scanning, cyclical evaluation, and data-informed planning, aligning with robust strategic frameworks (Spider Strategies, 2025; focusEDU, 2025). Financial and structural constraints, however, continue to impede academic involvement and long-term planning effectiveness (Matar, 2025; Mwanza, 2020). Overall, the findings underscore the need for capacity-building, enhanced stakeholder integration, and more participatory planning frameworks to strengthen strategic execution in Lusaka's private higher education sector.

6.1 Factors Shaping Successful Strategic Implementation

The study identified six key factors influencing successful strategic plan implementation in Lusaka's private universities: leadership commitment, financial resourcing, staff motivation and autonomy, monitoring and evaluation (M&E), participatory planning, and external collaboration. Transformational leadership at senior and middle levels fosters accountability and reduces implementation gaps (Kotter, 1996; Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; Hayward, 2008; Higher Education Authority, 2023). Alignment of budgets with strategic priorities ensures resource availability for execution (Spider Strategies, 2025; Ministry of Education, 2022), while empowering academic staff enhances ownership and engagement (Hayward, 2008; focusEDU, n.d.). Regular feedback loops and structured M&E sustain momentum and enable adaptive learning (Matar, 2025; University of Zambia, 2018). Inclusive participation of faculty, boards, and students increases relevance and legitimacy of strategies (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023), and collaboration with alumni, industry, and donors strengthens capacity and accountability (University of Zambia, 2025). Overall, these findings underscore that effective strategic implementation relies on integrated leadership, resource alignment, participatory governance, and stakeholder engagement.

6.2 Impact of Strategic Planning on Organizational Performance

The study found that strategic planning in Lusaka's private universities serves as a practical and operational tool driving improvements in academic quality, financial stability, infrastructure, faculty engagement, institutional reputation, and student experience (Hayward, 2008; Higher Education Authority, 2023; Spider Strategies, 2025). Administrators reported enhanced financial management, while KPIs and structured decision-making improved curriculum relevance and research outputs (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; Mwila, 2024). Strategic planning also fostered faculty participation, stakeholder trust, digital transformation, and stronger academic-industry linkages (Hayward, 2008; University of Zambia, 2018; Global Focus Magazine, 2025). The findings suggest that effective implementation, stakeholder engagement, and integration of financial and academic metrics are key to translating plans into measurable performance, confirming that strategic planning is a central driver of organizational success and institutional competitiveness (Ministry of Education, 2022; Avedi & Anyieni, 2023).

6.3 Challenges Faced in Developing and Executing Strategic Plans

The study found that private universities in Lusaka face multiple challenges in strategic planning, including resistance to change, fragmented departmental priorities, financial constraints, limited staff capacity, and exclusion of faculty from decision-making (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; Mwanza, 2020; Hayward, 2008). Leadership turnover, operational overload, and unstable policy environments further hinder continuity and effective execution (Spider Strategies, 2025; Ministry of Education, 2022; HEA, 2023). While some institutions demonstrated adaptive behaviors such as contingency planning and periodic reviews, the findings underscore that successful strategic planning requires inclusive participation, stable leadership, adequate resourcing, and alignment with practical realities (Matar, 2025; Hayward, 2008). Overall, these results highlight both the social and operational complexities shaping strategic outcomes in Zambian private universities and point to the need for participatory, resilient, and context-aware planning frameworks.

6.4 Recommendations from Key Informants on Strategic Planning

The study found that key informants strongly advocated for inclusive and participatory strategic planning in private universities, emphasizing engagement of academic staff, students, and all administrative levels to enhance ownership and execution (Higher Education Authority, 2023; Mwanza, 2020; Avedi & Anyieni, 2023). Participants highlighted the need for technological integration, such as strategic dashboards and MIS tools, to improve monitoring, decision-making, and transparency (Spider Strategies, 2025; HESPA, n.d.). Capacity building and strategic literacy for faculty and staff were deemed critical to translate participation into effective implementation (Hayward, 2008; Matar, 2025). Additionally, respondents underscored the importance of aligning strategies with student needs, external stakeholders, and industry partnerships to ensure relevance and legitimacy (HEA, 2023; Global Focus Magazine, 2025; Mwila, 2024). Finally, adaptability and flexibility were identified as essential for responding to external shocks, reinforcing the view that strategic planning must be dynamic, participatory, and context-sensitive to drive organizational success (Himbondo & Kayombo, 2023; Hayward, 2008).

6.5 Document-Based Review of Strategic Planning Practices

The study found that strategic planning in Lusaka's private universities is largely structured and formalized, with clear objectives, timelines, and performance indicators (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023). However, planning is predominantly top-down, with limited involvement of students and junior staff, which may reduce ownership, relevance, and adaptability (HEA, 2023; University of Zambia, 2018; Hayward, 2008; Mwila, 2024). Strategic plans often remain disconnected from day-to-day academic functions, with gaps in curriculum development, faculty mentorship, and student-centered innovations (Hayward, 2008; HESPA, n.d.; University of Zambia, 2018). Feedback and evaluation mechanisms are underutilized, and the limited use of digital tools constrains real-time responsiveness (Spider Strategies, 2025; Matar, 2025). Overall, while formal planning exists, tokenistic consultation, exclusionary practices, and weak feedback loops hinder its effectiveness, highlighting the need for inclusive, feedback-integrated, and academically embedded planning to achieve sustained organizational success (EA Journals, 2023; HEA, 2023; Ministry of Education, 2022).

6.6 Document-Based Review of Strategic Plan Implementation Factors

The study found that successful strategic plan implementation in Lusaka's private universities depends on visible and sustained leadership commitment, financial alignment, and participatory engagement of academic and support staff (Kotter, 1996; Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; University of Zambia, 2025). inclusive planning, departmental alignment with institutional goals, and robust monitoring and evaluation systems were identified as key enablers of execution, while leadership fatigue, siloed operations, and inconsistent M&E hindered success (Ministry of Education, 2022; Higher Education Authority, 2023; Spider Strategies, 2025). Overall, the findings reinforce that strategic planning is a social as well as managerial process, requiring coordinated ecosystems of leadership, resources, participation, and feedback for effective institutional transformation (Hayward, 2008; Baban, 2021; Matar, 2025).

6.7 Document-Based Review of Strategic Planning and Organizational Performance

The study found that private universities in Lusaka largely have formalized strategic planning processes aligned with institutional missions, promoting goal clarity, performance evaluation, and stakeholder engagement (Bryson, 2018; David & David, 2017; Mintzberg et al., 2005). Strategic planning was linked to improved academic delivery, financial management, infrastructure, quality assurance, and institutional growth, though implementation gaps persist due to limited funding, leadership changes, resistance to change, and inadequate capacity (Okumus, 2003; Niven, 2006; Hill, Jones, & Schilling, 2014; Fernandez & Rainey, 2006). Additionally, strategic plans foster innovation, responsiveness to societal needs, and alignment with national development priorities, highlighting their role in enhancing organizational performance and competitiveness when effectively implemented (Drucker, 1999; Christensen & Eyring, 2011; UNESCO, 2016).

6.8 Document-Based Review of Challenges in Strategic Plan Development and Execution

The study found that strategic planning in Lusaka's private universities is largely centralized, with limited participation from academic and support staff, leading to low ownership and weak implementation (Hayward, 2008; Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; Matar, 2025). Key challenges include financial

constraints, resistance to change, leadership turnover, policy volatility, insufficient monitoring and evaluation, and a shortage of skilled personnel, which collectively hinder effective execution (University of Zambia Strategic Plan, 2018; HEA, 2023; Spider Strategies, 2025). The findings underscore that strategic planning is both a technical and socio-political process, requiring inclusive governance, capacity building, adaptive policy engagement, and robust M&E systems to realize its full potential (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; Ministry of Education, 2022). Without these interventions, strategic plans risk remaining symbolic documents rather than instruments for institutional transformation.

6.9 Document-Based Review of Strategies for Enhancing Strategic Planning and Sustainability

The study found that enhancing strategic planning in Lusaka's private universities requires broader inclusion, with mid-level staff and students actively participating to increase legitimacy and ownership (Higher Education Authority, 2023; Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; Mwanza, 2020). Key enablers include linking strategies to budgets and KPIs, developing staff strategic competence, modernizing monitoring and evaluation systems with digital tools, and adopting adaptive, iterative planning models (Spider Strategies, 2025; Hayward, 2008; Himbondo & Kayombo, 2023; HESPA, n.d.; Mwila, 2024). The findings emphasize that strategic planning must evolve from static, top-down documents into participatory, learning-driven, and contextually responsive processes to enhance organizational performance, innovation, and sustainability. Institutions that integrate inclusive planning, financial alignment, leadership continuity, and technological tools tend to achieve higher academic quality, operational efficiency, and strategic impact.

Overall, the study demonstrates that strategic planning in selected private universities in Lusaka is a structured, cyclical, and top-down process, with senior management driving formulation while academic and support staff contribute mainly at consultative or operational stages (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; University of Zambia, 2018). Successful implementation depends on leadership commitment, alignment of resources with strategic priorities, participatory governance, robust monitoring and evaluation, and engagement with internal and external stakeholders (Kotter, 1996; Spider Strategies, 2025; Higher Education Authority, 2023). Strategic planning has been linked to improved academic quality, financial stability, institutional reputation, faculty participation, and alignment with national development goals, yet challenges such as financial constraints, resistance to change, limited strategic literacy, leadership turnover, and policy volatility hinder full realization of objectives (Hayward, 2008; Mwanza, 2020; Ministry of Education, 2022; Matar, 2025). Key informants emphasized the importance of inclusive participation, capacity-building, digital integration, adaptive planning, and alignment with operational realities to enhance strategy legitimacy, responsiveness, and sustainability (HESPA, n.d.; Himbondo & Kayombo, 2023; Mwila, 2024). Collectively, these findings underscore that strategic planning is both a technical and socio-political process requiring participatory, learning-driven, and contextually responsive frameworks; when effectively embedded, it transforms strategic intent into measurable organizational success and long-term institutional resilience (Avedi & Anyieni, 2023; Spider Strategies, 2025; Higher Education Authority, 2023).

7. Summary of Findings

The findings of this study revealed that strategic planning in selected private universities within Lusaka District plays a significant role in promoting organizational success, although its practice varies across institutions. Strategic planning processes were generally formalized and documented, with clear goals and timelines, yet the implementation often remained top-down, limiting broader participation from faculty and other stakeholders. Leadership commitment emerged as a key enabler, with visionary and engaged leaders driving planning initiatives and resource allocation. Challenges such as limited inclusivity, weak communication, resource constraints, and fragmented implementation mechanisms were consistently reported across institutions.

The study also highlighted the positive impact of strategic planning on academic performance, institutional governance, financial sustainability, and reputation, particularly when plans were actively monitored and aligned with institutional objectives. However, gaps between formulation and execution were evident, indicating that strategic planning is still evolving from a procedural exercise to a dynamic tool for institutional improvement. Overall, the results suggest that for strategic planning to achieve its full potential, it must be participatory, adaptive, and supported by effective monitoring systems, while fostering a shared vision among all institutional stakeholders.

8. Conclusions and Recommendations

8.1 Conclusions

The study concludes that strategic planning in selected private universities within Lusaka District plays a crucial role in driving organizational success, though its practice varies across institutions. While strategic planning processes are generally formalized and documented, they are predominantly top-down, with limited engagement from faculty, students, and external stakeholders. Strong leadership, administrative commitment, and institutional clarity of purpose were identified as key enablers of effective planning. However, challenges such as resource constraints, fragmented implementation, and weak communication often hinder the translation of strategic plans into tangible outcomes. Overall, the findings suggest that strategic planning has significant potential to improve governance, academic performance, and institutional sustainability when implemented inclusively and systematically.

8.2 Recommendations

To enhance strategic planning and organizational effectiveness, it is recommended that private universities in Lusaka District:

- Promote inclusive planning processes that actively involve faculty, staff, students, and external stakeholders.

- Conduct regular planning workshops and mid-term reviews to ensure alignment between departmental and institutional goals.

- Link strategic plans with realistic budgets, staffing priorities, and resource allocation to support feasibility and sustainability.

- Develop continuous professional development programs to build staff capacity in strategy formulation, execution, and monitoring.

- Adopt digital tools, including dashboards and automated monitoring systems, to strengthen transparency, performance tracking, and decision-making.

- Ensure continuity of strategic initiatives during leadership transitions to maintain implementation momentum.

- Foster communication channels between leadership and operational staff to clarify roles and responsibilities in strategic execution.

- Integrate student feedback and external stakeholder input into planning documents to enhance relevance and responsiveness.

8.3 Recommendations for Future Research

Future studies should explore additional dimensions of strategic planning in higher education, including:

- Cross-Institutional Comparisons: Comparative research across public and private universities to examine differences in planning processes and outcomes.

- Longitudinal and Quantitative Analyses: Tracking performance indicators such as academic quality, research output, and financial health to evaluate the sustained impact of strategic initiatives.

- Organizational Culture and Learning: Investigating how institutional values, leadership styles, and communication norms influence the effectiveness of planning.

- Technology Integration: Assessing the role of digital dashboards, AI analytics, and automated M&E tools in supporting strategy execution and transparency.

- Stakeholder Engagement: Examining how greater involvement of students, mid-level staff, and external partners affects plan implementation and institutional trust.

- Capacity Building: Evaluating structured training programs in strategy formulation, monitoring, and data use on implementation competence.

- Policy and Regulatory Influence: Studying how changes in national education policies and funding frameworks shape strategic planning behavior.

8.4 Recommendations for Practice and Policy

To strengthen the practical application of strategic planning in private universities:

- University administrators should adopt participatory planning models that engage all institutional levels.

- Governing boards and management teams must link strategic plans to budgets and institutional performance monitoring systems.

- Mid-level managers and heads of departments should receive regular training to improve strategic execution and leadership capacity.

- ICT and planning units should invest in dashboards and real-time monitoring systems to support evidence-based decisions.

- Quality assurance offices must establish feedback mechanisms incorporating student and faculty input into strategic reviews.

- Human resource units should prioritize strategic literacy programs to empower staff to contribute effectively.

- External relations and alumni offices should engage industry stakeholders and alumni in shaping institutional strategies for relevance and market alignment.

- Policy makers and regulatory bodies should encourage adaptive, participatory, and data-driven strategic planning practices.

- The Ministry of Education should ensure alignment of university strategies with national development priorities through funding and oversight.

- University councils should institutionalize annual strategy retreats and mid-term evaluations to foster adaptive learning and flexible execution.

REFERENCES

1. Avedi, F. O., & Anyieni, A. G. (2023). Strategic capabilities and organizational performance in public universities in Western Kenya. *The Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management, 10*(4), 417–437. https://doi.org/10.61426/sjbcm.v10i4.2763

2. Baban, S. M. J. (2021, May). Private university governance and management in developing countries (ATINER 2021 Education Conference presentation). Athens Institute for Education and Research. https://www.atiner.gr/presentations/EDU2021-0218.pdf (Athens Institute)

3. Bryson, J. M. (2018). *Strategic planning for public and nonprofit organizations: A guide to strengthening and sustaining organizational achievement* (5th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

4. Christensen, C. M., & Eyring, H. J. (2011). *The innovative university: Changing the DNA of higher education from the inside out*. Jossey-Bass.

5. David, F. R., & David, F. R. (2017). *Strategic management: A competitive advantage approach, concepts and cases* (16th ed.). Pearson.

6. Deming, W. E. (1986). *Out of the crisis*. MIT Press.

7. Drucker, P. F. (1999). *Management challenges for the 21st century*. HarperBusiness.

8. Fernandez, S., & Rainey, H. G. (2006). Managing successful organizational change in the public sector. *Public Administration Review, 66*(2), 168–176. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2006.00570.x

9. focusEDU. (n.d.). Strategic planning during times of uncertainty for higher education. https://focusedunow.com/strategic-planning/

10. Global Focus Magazine. (2025). Navigating challenges in higher education: The impact of capacity building and international collaboration in developing countries. https://www.globalfocusmagazine.com/higher-education

11. Hayward, F. M. (2008). Strategic planning for higher education in developing countries: Challenges and lessons. *Planning for Higher Education, 36*(3), 5–21. (ERIC No. EJ813844).

12. HESPA. (n.d.). What is strategic planning in higher education? https://hespa.ac.uk/about/what-is-strategic-planning-in-he/

13. Higher Education Authority. (2023). 2022–2026 strategic plan. https://hea.org.zm/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/HEA-2022-2026-STRATEGIC-PLAN-Final.pdf

14. Higher Education Authority. (2023). Higher education in Zambia: Progress and challenges. https://hea.org.zm/higher-education-in-zambia-progress-and-challenges/

15. Himbondo, M., & Kayombo, K. M. (2023). Impact of strategic management on effective implementation of organisational change in public sector organisations in Zambia – A literature review. *International Journal of Management and Economics Invention, 9*(11). https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmei/v9i11.03

16. Hill, C. W. L., Jones, G. R., & Schilling, M. A. (2014). *Strategic management: Theory: An integrated approach* (11th ed.). Cengage Learning.

17. Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). *The balanced scorecard: Translating strategy into action*. Harvard Business School Press.

18. Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading change*. Harvard Business School Press.

19. Matar, S. (2025). Challenges of strategic planning in higher education institutions. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology, 8*(0), 215–223. https://doi.org/10.36959/422/512

20. Ministry of Education (Zambia). (2022). *Strategic plan 2022–2026*. Ministry of Education. (See UNESCO Planipolis record). (Ministry of Education Zambia)

21. Mintzberg, H., Ahlstrand, B., & Lampel, J. (2005). *Strategy safari: A guided tour through the wilds of strategic management*. Free Press. (Amazon, Google Books)

22. Muungo, M. (2016). An assessment of the nature and extent of adoption of strategic planning processes in the public sector: A case study of Zambia (Unpublished master's thesis). Heriot-Watt University. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/77036225.pdf

23. Mulawa, S. (2020). Strategy implementation in public universities: A review. University of Zambia Repository. https://dspace.unza.zm/bitstreams/3f21ee6d-311a-4647-8a8c-0fe3c7be2b9c/download

24. Mwanza, M. (2020). A review of strategy implementation by public universities in Zambia [Master's dissertation, University of Zambia]. University of Zambia Repository. https://dspace.unza.zm/handle/123456789/9071

25. Mwila, V. (2024). Assessing the impact of strategic planning on organizational success in public educational institutions: A case study of Kabwe District, Zambia. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR), 6*(3), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i03.19564

26. Niven, P. R. (2006). *Balanced scorecard step-by-step: Maximizing performance and maintaining results* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons. (Amazon, Wiley)

27. Okumus, F. (2003). A framework to implement strategies in organizations. *Management Decision, 41*(9), 871–882. https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740310499555

28. Porter, M. E. (1980). *Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and competitors*. Free Press.

29. Spider Strategies. (2025). Best practices in strategic planning for higher education. https://www.spiderstrategies.com/resources/best-practices

30. Spider Strategies. (2025). Strategic planning in higher education: A comprehensive guide. https://www.spiderstrategies.com/blog/strategic-planning-in-higher-education/

31. UNESCO. (2016). *Education 2030: Incheon Declaration and Framework for Action—for the implementation of Sustainable Development Goal 4: Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all*. UNESCO. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000243278

32. University of Zambia. (2018). *Strategic plan 2018–2022*. UNZA Press.

33. University of Zambia. (2025). *Strategic plan 2023–2027*. University of Zambia Publications. https://www.unza.zm/sites/default/files/inline-files/UNZA%20Strategic%20Plan%202023-2027_1.pdf (University of Zambia)

34. ZCAS University. (2022). *Strategic plan 2022–2026*. ZCAS University. https://www.zcas.ac.zm/strategic-plan