WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

A Systematic Review of AI-Powered Writing Assistance for EFL Undergraduate English Students: Benefits, Limitations, and Future Directions

Aisha Omran Salem Alghahwash

University of Zawia, Abuissa College of Education, English Department, Zawia, Libya, 00218, a.alghawash@zu.edu.ly

A B S T R A C T :

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) has transformed the landscape of academic writing support, particularly for English as a Foreign Language (EFL) undergraduate students who face the dual challenge of mastering both language proficiency and academic conventions. This systematic review synthesizes current research on the pedagogical benefits, limitations, and future directions of AI-powered writing assistance in EFL contexts. Findings indicate that AI tools can enhance linguistic accuracy, refine text structure and coherence, and deliver immediate, personalized feedback, thereby fostering learner autonomy, increasing motivation, and building writing confidence. For EFL learners, such features can help bridge the gap between their current abilities and the demands of English-medium academic writing. However, challenges persist, including the risk of overreliance on AI-generated suggestions, limitations in contextual and disciplinary accuracy, concerns over academic integrity, unequal access to technology, and potential data privacy vulnerabilities. The review underscores the importance of purposeful integration of AI into writing curricula, refinement for domain-specific applications, the establishment of robust ethical guidelines, teacher training in hybrid feedback models, and targeted strategies to reduce the digital divide. By addressing these considerations, AI-powered writing assistance can evolve into a valuable complement to human instruction, supporting both linguistic development and higher-order academic skills, and enabling EFL undergraduate students to participate more confidently in the global academic community.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Academic Writing, EFL Students, Undergraduate Education, Writing Assistance.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into educational settings has transformed the way students approach learning, communication, and academic production. Among the most prominent applications of this technological shift is AI-powered writing assistance, which encompasses a range of digital tools designed to enhance the writing process through grammar correction, stylistic improvement, structural organization, and even content generation. From early rule-based grammar checkers to advanced machine learning–driven language models, these systems have evolved into sophisticated platforms capable of delivering personalized, real-time feedback that was once the sole domain of human instructors.

Undergraduate students in English academic programs face unique challenges in mastering the conventions of scholarly writing. This includes the need to adhere to complex grammatical structures, maintain clarity and coherence, employ appropriate academic tone, and follow discipline-specific formatting and citation standards. Traditional forms of writing support, such as peer review sessions, writing centers, and instructor feedback, have been invaluable but are often constrained by limited availability, time pressures, and variations in feedback quality. In this context, AI-powered writing assistance emerges as a scalable and accessible solution, offering immediate and consistent guidance that can complement human instruction.

The growing adoption of AI writing tools in higher education raises critical questions about their pedagogical impact, ethical implications, and potential to reshape writing pedagogy. Advocates highlight their ability to enhance linguistic accuracy, promote learner autonomy, and reduce the time needed for revision. Critics, however, caution against overreliance, the erosion of critical thinking skills, and the risks of plagiarism or diminished originality. Moreover, the diversity in tool design, from grammar checkers like Grammarly to generative AI systems like ChatGPT, means that their benefits and drawbacks can vary significantly depending on context, student proficiency level, and the nature of the writing task.

Given the accelerating pace of AI development and its increasing presence in university classrooms, there is a pressing need to consolidate existing research to understand the real benefits, persistent limitations, and emerging future directions of AI-powered writing assistance in undergraduate English academic writing. This paper undertakes a systematic review of current literature to synthesize findings across studies, identify key trends, and offer insights for educators, policymakers, and technology developers aiming to balance innovation with pedagogical integrity. This review seeks to address the following research question: What are the key benefits, limitations, and future directions of AI-powered writing assistance in supporting EFL undergraduate students' English academic writing?

2. Foundations of AI-Powered Writing Assistance

It is essential to examine the technological, pedagogical, and theoretical foundations that underpin AI-powered writing assistance in undergraduate English academic writing. Such an examination not only clarifies how these tools have evolved but also highlights the educational principles and learning theories that inform their development, implementation, and impact in academic contexts.

2.1 The Evolution of AI-Powered Writing Assistance

Artificial intelligence in writing support has undergone a rapid transformation over the past three decades, fundamentally reshaping how students engage with the writing process. In its early stages, AI support was limited to rule-based grammar checkers and basic spell-correction functions embedded within word processors (Parra Escartín & Arcedillo, 2015). These tools, while useful for catching obvious surface-level mistakes, offered minimal contextual understanding and provided little in the way of meaningful pedagogical guidance. As an EFL university-level teacher, I observed that such systems, though helpful for basic proofreading, often failed to address the deeper linguistic and structural challenges faced by students writing in a second language.

The advent of machine learning and natural language processing (NLP) brought a significant shift, enabling writing assistance tools to move beyond simple error detection toward more adaptive feedback systems capable of interpreting context, identifying stylistic weaknesses, and offering suggestions tailored to individual writing needs (Nguyen et al., 2022). For EFL learners, this development meant that feedback could be more relevant to their unique linguistic backgrounds and writing goals, bridging gaps that previously required extensive teacher intervention.

More recently, the emergence of large language models (LLMs), such as OpenAI's GPT series and Google's PaLM, has dramatically expanded the scope of AI writing assistance. These advanced systems can now provide not only grammatical corrections but also generate content, rephrase sentences for greater clarity, and even assist in structuring arguments (Foltynek et al., 2023). In the EFL classroom, such capabilities open new possibilities for supporting students in producing coherent, academically appropriate texts, while also presenting fresh pedagogical considerations regarding the balance between AI guidance and the development of independent writing skills.

2.2 Pedagogical Relevance in English Academic Writing

Undergraduate English academic writing is a cognitively demanding task that requires the simultaneous mastery of several interrelated competencies, including linguistic accuracy, logical coherence, and adherence to specific genre conventions (Hyland, 2016). For EFL students, these demands are often magnified by the need to navigate complex academic vocabulary, discipline-specific discourse patterns, and the subtleties of academic tone. In my experience as a university-level EFL teacher, many learners find it challenging not only to generate ideas but also to shape them into well-organized, grammatically accurate texts that meet institutional and disciplinary expectations.

Traditional pedagogical frameworks, most notably the process writing approach and genre-based instruction, recognize these challenges and address them through iterative drafting, structured feedback, and targeted revision activities (Badger & White, 2000). These approaches place the writing process at the center of instruction, encouraging students to refine their work over multiple drafts and to develop a deeper understanding of academic genres through practice and reflection. However, such methods can be time-intensive, and the availability of consistent, high-quality feedback is often limited by teacher workload and class size.

AI-powered tools align closely with the principles of these pedagogical models by offering real-time formative feedback that supports self-regulated learning and enables students to make immediate improvements without waiting for the next class session or instructor comments (Li et al., 2022). For EFL learners, this immediacy is particularly valuable, as it allows them to address language gaps at the point of need and reinforces learning through instant application. In particular, AI-driven systems can facilitate the critical process of error noticing, a fundamental step in language acquisition, by immediately highlighting deviations from academic norms and drawing students' attention to recurring patterns of error (Ferris, 2018). This not only accelerates skill development but also fosters greater learner autonomy, enabling students to take more responsibility for their own writing improvement.

3. Theoretical Perspectives on AI and Writing Development

Two theoretical lenses are especially relevant for understanding the role of AI in writing assistance

3.1 Sociocultural Theory

Vygotsky's concept of the *Zone of Proximal Development* (ZPD) posits that learners make the most effective progress when supported by tools, peers, or instructors that bridge the gap between their current abilities and their potential performance (Vygotsky, 1978). In the EFL academic writing classroom, this principle is especially relevant, as many students possess partial competence in applying academic language but require targeted guidance to reach full proficiency. AI writing assistants can act as a form of "digital scaffolding," offering timely, specific cues that help students refine their work within their individual ZPD. For instance, when a learner produces a sentence that is grammatically correct but lacks academic formality, an AI tool might suggest more precise vocabulary or a more discipline-appropriate phrasing. This type of support enables students to make improvements in real time, reinforcing correct usage while the task is still cognitively active. From my experience as a university EFL instructor, such immediate, scaffolded intervention can be particularly effective for reinforcing writing conventions and helping students internalize patterns of academic discourse.

3.2 Cognitive Load Theory

According to Sweller (1988), effective learning occurs when extraneous cognitive load is minimized, allowing learners to concentrate their mental resources on tasks that require deeper processing and higher-order thinking. In the context of EFL undergraduate academic writing, this theory is highly relevant, as students often juggle multiple demands simultaneously: generating ideas, organizing arguments, selecting appropriate vocabulary, and ensuring grammatical accuracy. These combined demands can overwhelm working memory, leaving less capacity for critical analysis and coherent argument construction. By automating many of the mechanical aspects of writing, such as grammar correction, spelling checks, and basic sentence restructuring, AI tools can help reduce this extraneous cognitive burden. This allows students to shift their attention toward more complex and intellectually demanding aspects of writing, such as developing a clear thesis, integrating evidence, and engaging in critical evaluation of sources (Kellogg, 2008). From my experience as an EFL university instructor, when students are relieved of constant low-level error monitoring, they often demonstrate greater fluency in expressing ideas and are more willing to take intellectual risks in their writing, leading to richer and more analytically developed academic work.

4. Ethical and Pedagogical Considerations

Despite their potential, AI writing assistants also raise important concerns in academic contexts that educators cannot overlook. Issues of academic integrity are particularly pressing, as students may be tempted to use generative AI outputs with minimal or no modification, thereby blurring the line between legitimate assistance and actual authorship (Foltynek et al., 2023). In my experience working with EFL undergraduates, this temptation can be especially strong when learners face linguistic or time-related pressures, making it essential to address these boundaries explicitly in class. The challenge lies in helping students distinguish between using AI as a developmental aid, such as for language refinement or structural suggestions, and using it as a shortcut that replaces their own intellectual and linguistic effort.

In addition, overreliance on AI feedback could hinder the cultivation of essential academic skills, particularly the ability to critically evaluate and independently edit one's own work (Bai & Hew, 2022). If students accept AI recommendations uncritically, they may bypass the cognitive engagement necessary for language acquisition and higher-order thinking. For EFL learners, this could mean missed opportunities to develop an intuitive grasp of academic language patterns and genre conventions. These concerns highlight the importance of integrating AI tools within pedagogically sound frameworks that emphasize transparency, ethical usage, and critical engagement. In practical terms, this means designing activities where AI feedback is a starting point for student reflection and revision, rather than the final step in the writing process.

5. Benefits of AI-Powered Writing Assistance

AI-powered writing assistance offers a range of pedagogical and practical advantages that can significantly enhance undergraduate students' performance in English academic writing, spanning linguistic accuracy, structural coherence, feedback accessibility, and learner motivation.

5.1 Improved Language Accuracy and Grammar

One of the most widely recognized benefits of AI-powered writing assistance is its capacity to enhance language accuracy through automated grammar, spelling, and punctuation correction. Tools such as Grammarly and Writefull make use of advanced natural language processing (NLP) algorithms to detect surface-level linguistic errors and suggest contextually appropriate corrections (Nguyen et al., 2022). In the EFL university classroom, this capability is particularly valuable, as students often struggle with recurrent grammatical patterns, such as verb tense consistency, article usage, and preposition choice, that can persist despite repeated instructor feedback. For undergraduate English learners, especially those for whom English is an additional language, timely feedback from AI tools can help reduce these fossilized errors and promote greater linguistic precision in academic writing (Bai & Hew, 2022).

From my teaching experience, immediate corrective input not only helps students produce cleaner drafts but also serves as a learning opportunity in itself. By drawing attention to the exact point of error and offering a possible correction, AI systems can foster the development of metalinguistic awareness, the conscious understanding of language form and function, which is essential for long-term writing improvement. This awareness allows learners to gradually internalize grammatical rules and apply them independently in future writing tasks (Ferris, 2018). When combined with reflective activities, such as asking students to explain the rationale behind an AI correction, this process can deepen engagement with language learning and make AI assistance a meaningful complement to traditional instruction rather than a passive editing tool.

5.2 Enhanced Academic Writing Structure and Coherence

Beyond grammar correction, AI writing tools are increasingly capable of offering suggestions that improve sentence structure, paragraph organization, and overall cohesion. These higher-level feedback features align closely with principles from genre-based pedagogy, which stresses the importance of understanding and applying structural conventions in academic writing (Hyland, 2016). In EFL undergraduate contexts, where students may have strong ideas but struggle to organize them into a clear academic framework, such support can be transformative. For instance, AI systems can identify repetitive sentence openings that make the writing monotonous, flag thesis statements that lack clarity or specificity, and recommend logical connectors that strengthen the relationship between ideas.

From my perspective as a university EFL teacher, this type of feedback is particularly valuable for novice academic writers, who often find it difficult to maintain coherence across longer texts (Li et al., 2022). Many students are unaware of subtle structural weaknesses, such as abrupt transitions or

poorly signposted arguments, that can undermine the overall readability of their work. By highlighting these issues in real time, AI tools provide learners with concrete, actionable strategies to improve the flow of their writing. This process not only results in a more polished final product but also encourages students to think critically about how ideas are sequenced and connected, reinforcing skills that are essential for advanced academic writing.

5.3 Personalized and Immediate Feedback

Unlike traditional instructor feedback, which may take days or even weeks to receive due to grading schedules and workload, AI-powered writing tools provide instantaneous and individualized responses (Wang et al., 2023). For EFL undergraduate students, this immediacy can be especially impactful, as it allows them to identify and address issues while their ideas are still fresh in mind, reducing the gap between error recognition and correction. This timely support fosters self-directed learning, enabling students to make iterative improvements in real time rather than waiting for the next class or consultation.

Moreover, adaptive AI systems have the ability to tailor feedback according to user proficiency, offering simpler, more focused guidance for beginners, such as clarifying basic sentence structure—while providing more advanced stylistic suggestions for proficient writers, such as improving rhetorical flow or refining argumentation (Nguyen et al., 2022). From my experience as a university EFL teacher, this adaptability is crucial in mixed-ability classrooms, where a one-size-fits-all approach to feedback often fails to meet the needs of all learners.

Such personalization aligns with the formative assessment approach, where feedback is not merely a tool for evaluating performance but an integral part of the learning process that actively guides improvement (Black & Wiliam, 2009). When students engage with AI-generated feedback as a learning resource rather than simply a correction service, they begin to develop greater autonomy, taking ownership of their progress and applying these insights to future writing tasks. This shift can lead to more sustainable language development and higher levels of writing confidence over time.

5.4 Increased Confidence and Motivation in Writing

Access to consistent, supportive feedback can significantly boost students' confidence in their academic writing abilities, a factor that is particularly important for EFL undergraduates who may feel uncertain about their command of academic English. Several studies have reported that learners perceive AI writing assistance as a "safe" space for experimentation, where they can test phrasing, reorganize ideas, or explore new vocabulary without the pressure or anxiety associated with formal grading (Bai & Hew, 2022; Wang et al., 2023). In my own teaching experience, students often express a greater willingness to try out complex sentence structures or discipline-specific terminology when they know they can receive immediate, non-judgmental feedback from AI tools before submitting their work to an instructor.

This psychological benefit aligns closely with self-efficacy theory, which suggests that positive and constructive feedback experiences enhance learners' belief in their capacity to succeed (Bandura, 1997). When students see tangible improvements in their writing, whether in reduced grammar errors, clearer argumentation, or better organization, their confidence in handling future writing tasks grows. Over time, this increased self-assurance can lead to stronger intrinsic motivation, greater persistence in tackling challenging writing assignments, and a more proactive attitude toward revision. This last element, willingness to engage in revision, is a key driver of writing improvement, as it encourages students to view writing as an iterative process rather than a one-time product (Kellogg, 2008). In an EFL academic context, such a mindset shift can make the difference between minimal progress and sustained, long-term development in writing proficiency.

6. Limitations and Challenges

While AI-powered writing assistance offers numerous benefits, its adoption in undergraduate English academic writing is accompanied by a range of pedagogical, ethical, and practical challenges that educators and institutions must address to ensure its responsible and effective use.

6.1 Overreliance on AI Tools

One of the most prominent concerns is the potential for students to become overly dependent on AI-generated suggestions, which may, over time, reduce their capacity for independent editing and critical thinking (Bai & Hew, 2022). In the EFL undergraduate classroom, I have observed that some learners, particularly those lacking confidence in their language abilities, are inclined to accept AI recommendations automatically, without questioning their accuracy or suitability for the specific academic context. This tendency can lead to what might be called "passive revision," where changes are made mechanically rather than as a result of genuine linguistic or rhetorical understanding.

Overreliance on AI can also result in superficial revisions, where students correct surface-level errors but fail to engage with deeper issues such as argument coherence, paragraph unity, or the appropriateness of evidence. When learners do not take the time to understand the rationale behind a suggested correction, they miss valuable opportunities to internalize the underlying grammatical or stylistic principles (Foltynek et al., 2023). In the long term, such habits can hinder the development of essential writing skills, particularly the ability to self-edit critically, and diminish the value of formative learning experiences. For EFL writers, whose long-term progress depends on actively noticing and correcting errors, this overreliance risks creating a dependency loop in which the AI tool becomes the primary editor rather than a supplementary aid.

6.2 Accuracy and Contextual Limitations

Despite significant advances in natural language processing, AI systems still face notable challenges when it comes to nuanced language use, domain-specific terminology, and context-sensitive meaning (Nguyen et al., 2022). While these tools may perform well on general academic English, they often

struggle with the specialized vocabulary, rhetorical conventions, and stylistic expectations unique to particular disciplines. In my experience teaching EFL undergraduates, I have seen students follow AI suggestions that, while grammatically correct, inadvertently alter the intended meaning of a sentence or dilute the technical precision required in their field of study.

Incorrect or overly generic suggestions can be especially problematic in academic contexts where precision, clarity, and adherence to discipline-specific conventions are critical for credibility and scholarly acceptance (Wang et al., 2023). For instance, an AI tool might recommend substituting a technical term with a more common synonym, inadvertently undermining the accuracy of the text. Similarly, it may propose rephrasing that changes the nuance of an argument or the tone of an academic claim. These limitations highlight the need for careful human oversight, not only to verify AI-generated feedback but also to adapt it so that it serves the intended academic purpose. In EFL settings, this oversight is particularly important, as students may lack the linguistic confidence or subject knowledge to question AI corrections, making instructor guidance an essential part of the revision process.

6.3 Ethical and Academic Integrity Concerns

Generative AI technologies such as ChatGPT are capable of producing substantial amounts of original-sounding text, a feature that has raised serious concerns about plagiarism, authorship, and the gradual erosion of academic integrity (Cotton et al., 2023). For EFL undergraduate students, the temptation to use AI to generate entire paragraphs, or even full assignments, can be particularly strong when they feel under pressure due to linguistic limitations, time constraints, or a lack of confidence in their own writing ability. While some may view AI-generated text as merely a form of advanced assistance, using such output without appropriate modification or citation can constitute a breach of academic conduct, even if the intention was not to deceive.

Without clear and consistently enforced institutional guidelines, students may remain uncertain about what constitutes ethical AI use, leading to unintentional violations. In my own teaching experience, I have found that many EFL learners are unaware of the boundary between legitimate support, such as using AI for grammar checking or brainstorming ideas, and inappropriate substitution of their own intellectual effort with AI-generated content. These risks make it essential to integrate AI literacy and explicit ethical training into writing instruction. Such training should involve not only clarifying rules and expectations but also encouraging students to reflect on the role of originality, critical thinking, and personal voice in academic writing. By fostering this awareness, educators can help students use AI as a tool for learning and skill development, rather than as a shortcut that undermines both their academic progress and the integrity of their work.

6.4 Digital Divide and Accessibility Issues

Access to AI-powered writing tools often depends on stable internet connectivity, institutional subscriptions, or personal financial resources, creating clear disparities between students from different socio-economic backgrounds (Mhlanga, 2023). In my experience working with EFL undergraduates, these disparities can significantly influence students' ability to engage with AI-assisted writing on an equal footing. While some learners benefit from premium versions of tools like Grammarly or Writefull, others must rely on limited free versions or have no access at all, leaving them without many of the advanced features that could meaningfully support their writing development.

This digital divide has the potential to exacerbate existing inequalities in educational outcomes, particularly in academic writing tasks where nuanced feedback and stylistic refinement can make the difference between passing and excelling. For EFL students, who often require more sustained and detailed support to master academic English conventions, lack of access to high-quality AI tools can mean fewer opportunities to practice, revise, and receive feedback outside the classroom. In large classes, where individual instructor feedback time is limited, students without AI support are at an even greater disadvantage. Addressing this gap requires institutional strategies, such as providing campus-wide licenses, integrating AI tools into learning management systems, or offering open-access alternatives, to ensure that all learners have equitable opportunities to benefit from AI-enhanced writing support.

6.5 Data Privacy and Security Risks

Many AI writing platforms collect user data to refine their algorithms, a practice that raises legitimate privacy concerns about how student information is stored, shared, and potentially misused (Veletsianos et al., 2023). For EFL undergraduate students, this issue is particularly sensitive, as their writing often contains personal reflections, original arguments, or research data that they may not wish to share beyond the classroom. In academic contexts, students may also upload sections of unpublished essays, reports, or even final-year projects to AI systems, not always realizing that these materials could be stored on external servers or used to further train proprietary models.

Such risks underscore the necessity for robust data protection measures and transparent user agreements that clearly explain how submitted content will be handled. In my experience as a university-level EFL instructor, I have found that many students are unaware of the extent to which their data might be retained or repurposed once it is entered into an AI tool. Without explicit instruction, they may unknowingly compromise the confidentiality of their academic work. Institutions therefore need to play an active role in educating students about these issues, ensuring that AI use in writing instruction is paired with clear guidelines on data privacy. This could involve selecting AI tools that comply with institutional security standards, offering secure university-hosted platforms, and teaching students to critically evaluate the privacy policies of the technologies they use.

7. Future Directions

As AI-powered writing assistance continues to evolve, its future development and integration into undergraduate English academic writing will be shaped by advancements in technology, pedagogical innovation, and ethical governance. The following directions represent key areas for research, policy, and practice.

7.1 Integration into Curriculum Design

Rather than functioning solely as supplemental tools for occasional use, AI writing assistants could be embedded into structured academic writing curricula to provide scaffolded, iterative feedback throughout the learning process (Li et al., 2022). In the EFL university classroom, such integration could ensure that students engage with AI support at multiple stages of writing—from initial brainstorming and outlining to drafting, revising, and final editing. This would help learners understand AI not as a last-minute correction tool, but as an active learning partner that supports the gradual development of writing skills.

Embedding AI into the curriculum would also allow educators to design assignments and assessments that explicitly leverage the strengths of these tools, such as grammar detection, vocabulary refinement, and structural analysis, while still requiring students to engage in independent critical thinking and creativity. For example, instructors might require students to compare AI feedback with their own revisions, thereby encouraging metacognitive reflection on language choices and rhetorical strategies.

Curriculum-based adoption can further promote a shared understanding of appropriate AI usage among both students and faculty (Tsai et al., 2023). In my experience, when AI integration is left unstructured, students often develop inconsistent or incomplete understandings of when and how to use these tools ethically and effectively. A planned, pedagogically driven approach can align AI use with learning outcomes, set clear ethical boundaries, and ensure that technological assistance enhances rather than replaces the cognitive and creative processes essential to academic writing.

7.2 Enhancing Contextual and Disciplinary Awareness

Current AI systems often struggle to tailor feedback to the specific disciplinary writing conventions that students must master in order to succeed academically (Nguyen et al., 2022). While these tools can provide general language corrections and organizational suggestions, they frequently lack the capacity to recognize the subtle rhetorical, stylistic, and citation norms that differ between fields. For example, the tone, argument structure, and evidence integration expected in a linguistics research paper differ considerably from those required in a literary analysis or an applied linguistics case study. In my experience working with EFL undergraduates, this limitation can lead to feedback that is technically correct in a general sense but misaligned with the expectations of the target discipline, potentially confusing students rather than clarifying their writing approach.

Future developments could address this gap by focusing on building domain-specific language models capable of recognizing and applying the rhetorical norms of distinct academic fields, such as literary studies, linguistics, or applied linguistics in English programs. Such refinement would enable AI tools to deliver feedback that is not only linguistically accurate but also contextually appropriate, supporting students in meeting the discourse conventions of their disciplines. This improvement would be particularly valuable for advanced undergraduate writing projects like theses or research reports, where alignment with disciplinary expectations is critical for academic credibility and assessment success (Deng et al., 2023). For EFL learners, who may already be navigating the dual challenge of mastering both language and subject-specific conventions, this kind of targeted AI support could significantly improve the quality and relevance of feedback, ultimately enhancing both learning outcomes and confidence in disciplinary writing.

7.3 Developing Ethical Guidelines and Institutional Policies

As the use of generative AI becomes more prevalent, higher education institutions will need to establish comprehensive ethical guidelines to govern its application in academic writing (Cotton et al., 2023). For EFL undergraduates, such guidelines are especially important, as many are still developing their understanding of academic integrity within an English-medium academic culture and may be uncertain about what constitutes acceptable use of AI tools. Without clear institutional direction, students may unintentionally misuse AI, either by relying too heavily on generated text or by failing to acknowledge the extent of AI involvement in their work.

These policies should explicitly address key areas such as authorship attribution, permissible levels of AI involvement, plagiarism prevention, and the requirement for transparency in disclosing AI-assisted work. For example, institutions might specify that AI tools can be used for grammar correction or idea generation but not for producing complete paragraphs without substantial student revision. From my experience, when expectations are not clearly defined, students often default to their own interpretation of what is acceptable, which can lead to inconsistencies and, at times, breaches of academic conduct.

Clear, accessible frameworks can reduce ambiguity for students and help uphold academic integrity across the institution. Ideally, these guidelines should be paired with classroom-based AI literacy training, where students actively discuss scenarios of appropriate and inappropriate use, reflect on the value of maintaining their own voice in academic writing, and learn strategies for integrating AI assistance without compromising originality. By embedding both policy and pedagogy, institutions can help ensure that AI is used as a tool for learning and skill development rather than as a shortcut that undermines the educational process.

7.4 Teacher Training and Hybrid Feedback Models

Professional development programs for writing instructors should incorporate targeted training on how to effectively integrate AI feedback with human instruction (Bai & Hew, 2022). In the EFL university context, such training is essential because students often require both the linguistic precision offered by AI and the deeper rhetorical and critical engagement that only a human mentor can provide. Many instructors are still unfamiliar with the full capabilities and limitations of AI tools, and without proper preparation, they may either underutilize these resources or, conversely, rely on them in ways that diminish their own pedagogical impact.

Hybrid feedback models, where AI handles initial surface-level corrections such as grammar, punctuation, and basic sentence structure, while instructors focus on higher-order concerns like argumentation, evidence integration, and critical analysis, can optimize the feedback process (Wang et al., 2023). In my experience, this approach allows classroom time to be devoted to developing students' analytical and organizational skills, while routine error correction can occur more efficiently outside of class. For EFL learners, this balance is particularly valuable, as it ensures they receive immediate help with mechanical accuracy while still engaging in the more cognitively demanding work of constructing logical, well-supported arguments in academic English.

Such approaches can maximize the pedagogical benefits of AI while preserving the irreplaceable role of human mentorship in academic writing development. When instructors are confident in blending AI assistance with their own expertise, they can guide students in critically evaluating AI feedback, adapting it to disciplinary conventions, and maintaining their own authorial voice. This not only enhances writing quality but also promotes a more reflective and independent approach to learning, qualities that are essential for long-term academic success in EFL contexts.

7.5 Improving Accessibility and Reducing the Digital Divide

Future initiatives should prioritize making AI-powered writing assistance accessible to all students, regardless of socio-economic status, geographic location, or institutional resources (Mhlanga, 2023). In EFL higher education contexts, unequal access to such tools can have a particularly pronounced impact, as many students rely heavily on additional language support outside the classroom to refine their academic writing. Without equitable access, learners from under-resourced backgrounds risk being left behind, widening the achievement gap between those who can afford premium AI services and those who cannot.

Practical solutions to this challenge could include the development and promotion of open-source AI tools that offer robust language support without high subscription costs, the provision of subsidized institutional licenses so all enrolled students can benefit from full-featured platforms, and the integration of AI services into low-bandwidth or offline-capable platforms for learners in regions with unstable internet connections. From my own teaching experience, when such tools are readily available and easy to use, students are more likely to engage with them consistently and integrate feedback into their writing process.

Ensuring accessibility is not only a matter of fairness but also of maximizing the pedagogical potential of AI-powered writing assistance. When every student, regardless of background, has equal opportunity to experiment with, learn from, and critically engage with AI feedback, the technology can truly serve as an inclusive educational resource that supports language development, fosters confidence, and enhances academic writing outcomes for all EFL learners.

8. Conclusion

The growing integration of AI-powered writing assistance into undergraduate English academic writing represents a significant shift in how students, particularly those in EFL contexts, engage with the writing process. As this review has shown, these tools offer clear pedagogical advantages, including improvements in linguistic accuracy, structural coherence, and access to immediate, personalized feedback. For EFL learners, who often face additional linguistic barriers, such support can be especially valuable in bridging gaps between their current writing abilities and the expectations of academic English. These tools also have the potential to boost learners' confidence and motivation, fostering more autonomous and reflective writing practices that extend beyond individual assignments.

However, these benefits are tempered by notable challenges. Overreliance on AI feedback, limitations in contextual and disciplinary accuracy, ethical concerns surrounding academic integrity, and inequities in access remain pressing issues that must be addressed to ensure responsible and equitable adoption. Furthermore, questions regarding data privacy and the necessity of human oversight underscore the importance of maintaining a balanced relationship between technology and pedagogy. In my experience as an EFL instructor, it is clear that AI should not function as a replacement for teacher feedback but rather as a complementary support that encourages learners to engage critically with their writing.

Looking forward, the effective future of AI-powered writing assistance will depend on purposeful integration into curricula, refinement for disciplinary specificity, the establishment of robust ethical guidelines, teacher training in hybrid feedback approaches, and targeted initiatives to close the digital divide. When implemented thoughtfully, these tools can serve not only as mechanical correctors but also as catalysts for deeper engagement with language, structure, and argumentation.

Ultimately, the challenge for educators, policymakers, and developers lies in harnessing the strengths of AI while safeguarding the pedagogical values that underpin higher education. By striking this balance, AI-powered writing assistance can evolve from a novel technological aid into a transformative educational resource, one that supports both the technical and intellectual growth of undergraduate English students and empowers EFL learners to participate more confidently in the global academic community.

REFERENCES

1. Badger, R., & White, G. (2000). A process genre approach to teaching writing. *ELT Journal, 54*(2), 153–160. https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.2.153
2. Bai, B., & Hew, K. F. (2022). Effects of artificial intelligence on students' academic writing performance: A systematic review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3*(1), 100041. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100041
3. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.
4. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2009). Developing the theory of formative assessment. *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 21*(1), 5–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-008-9068-5
5. Cotton, D. R. E., Cotton, P. A., & Shipway, J. R. (2023). Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 60*(2), 178–189. https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2190148
6. Deng, L., Yang, Y., & Wang, S. (2023). Domain-specific language models for academic writing assistance. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange, 16*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.18785/jetde.1601.01
7. Ferris, D. (2018). *Writing in a second language: Process and product*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315147402
8. Foltynek, T., Sivasubramaniam, S., & Gai, S. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence text generators on academic integrity. *Journal of Academic Ethics, 21*(1), 45–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-023-09466-w
9. Hyland, K. (2016). *Teaching and researching writing* (3rd ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315832438
10. Kellogg, R. T. (2008). Training writing skills: A cognitive developmental perspective. *Journal of Writing Research, 1*(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.17239/jowr-2008.01.01.1
11. Li, Z., Zou, D., Xie, H., & Wang, F. L. (2022). Artificial intelligence in language education: A review. *Educational Technology & Society, 25*(1), 53–66.
12. Mhlanga, D. (2023). Open AI in education: The responsible and ethical use of ChatGPT towards lifelong learning. *E-Learning and Digital Media, 20*(3), 230–249. https://doi.org/10.1177/20427530231160540
13. Nguyen, D., Do, T., & Le, H. (2022). AI writing assistants in higher education: Opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 17*(4), 160–175. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v17i04.28791
14. Parra Escartín, C., & Arcedillo, M. (2015). A review of grammar checking tools for English as a second language. *Research in Language, 13*(3), 353–369. https://doi.org/10.1515/rela-2015-0026
15. Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science, 12*(2), 257–285. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog1202_4
16. Tsai, Y. H., Hung, C. M., & Hwang, G. J. (2023). Integrating AI-assisted feedback into academic writing courses: Effects on learning performance and perceptions. *Computers & Education, 193*, 104657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104657
17. Veletsianos, G., Johnson, N., & Belikov, O. (2023). Ethics, privacy, and data protection in learning analytics and AI. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 54*(3), 653–668. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13290
18. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
19. Wang, Y., Xu, X., & Yu, S. (2023). Exploring students' perceptions of AI writing assistants in academic writing. *Language Learning & Technology, 27*(2), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100041