WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

The Transformative Role of Generative AI in Recruitment & Training: An Influence on Organizational Effectiveness in the IT Industry

Shridhar T P

USN: 1DA23BA048 ,2ND Year , Department of MBA, Dr. Ambedkar Institute Of Technology, Bengaluru
Email: shridhartp24@gmail.com
DOI : https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0825.3044

ABSTRACT

The rapid adoption of generative AI in the IT sector presents a significant opportunity to transform human resources (HR) functions. This research investigates the correlation between AI usage and key HR outcomes in recruitment and training, and explores the influence of implementation challenges.The primary objectives were to test hypotheses related to the positive impact of AI on recruitment and training outcomes, its correlation with an enhanced candidate experience, the relationship between implementation challenges and adoption, and the link between ethical practices and a belief in AI's transformative future.

A quantitative research design was employed using a survey with a 5-point Likert scale. Data were collected from a sample of 76 IT professionals. The analysis utilized Spearman's rank correlation to assess the relationships between the variables. The adoption of generative AI showed strong positive correlations with a reduction in time-to-hire ($r=0.573$ to 0.612) and an improvement in candidate quality ($r=0.655$ to 0.672). It also correlated positively with an enhanced candidate experience ($r=0.553$ to 0.627). AI in training was strongly correlated with improved employee engagement ($r=0.602$ to 0.722) and productivity ($r=0.570$ to 0.725). A surprising positive correlation was found between perceived challenges and AI adoption ($r=0.660$), and ethical practices were strongly linked to a belief in AI's future ($r=0.604$ to 0.691). All results were statistically significant ($p<0.001$).

This study confirms the transformative potential of generative AI in HR functions within the IT sector. The findings demonstrate a significant positive correlation between AI adoption and enhanced performance in both recruitment and training, highlighting that a proactive and ethical approach is a key driver of successful integration and a positive outlook on AI's future.

Keywords: Generative AI, Recruitment, Training, Human Resources, IT Sector, Organizational Effectiveness, Spearman's Correlation

Introduction

The IT industry, a pioneer in technological innovation, is at the forefront of integrating generative AI into its business operations. This new wave of AI promises to enhance efficiency, personalize processes, and unlock new value across various corporate functions. In this context, human resources (HR) stands to benefit significantly from AI's ability to streamline routine tasks and provide data-driven insights. This research investigates how generative AI is influencing key HR functions—recruitment and training—and explores the organizational factors that facilitate or hinder its adoption.

Problem Statement

While the potential of generative AI in HR is widely discussed, a clear empirical understanding of its actual impact and the factors driving its adoption is still evolving. There is a need to move beyond anecdotal evidence and provide a data-driven analysis to understand the real-world correlations between AI adoption and HR performance metrics. This study addresses the gaps in understanding the direct impact of AI on organizational effectiveness through recruitment and training outcomes, while also exploring the role of implementation challenges and the influence of ethical factors.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the correlation between the use of generative AI in recruitment and performance metrics such as time-to-hire and candidate quality.
2. To determine the correlation between the integration of generative AI in training and employee outcomes, including engagement and productivity.
3. To investigate if the use of AI in recruitment positively correlates with an enhanced candidate experience.
4. To test the relationship between perceived implementation challenges and the adoption of generative AI.

5. To explore the correlation between ethical practices and a belief in the transformative future of AI in HR.

Literature Review

Mohammad Issa Alhusban, Ibrahim N. Khatatbeh and Hashem Alshurafat (2025) in their study "Exploring the influence, implications and challenges of integrating generative artificial intelligence into organizational learning and development" aims to investigate the benefits and challenges of integrating generative artificial intelligence, particularly ChatGPT, into organizational learning and development (L&D). The research seeks to understand how generative AI can transform learning processes, enhance employee development and address potential obstacles in implementation.

Dr Sameh Abdelhay (2024) in his study "The role of Generative AI (ChatGPT) in optimizing the recruitment process in the organizations (the mediating role of level of position and organization size)" to explores the transformative impact of Generative AI tools, such as ChatGPT, on the recruitment process, with a specific focus on their effectiveness in reducing bias, enhancing efficiency, and improving the accuracy of candidate evaluations. The research also investigates the moderating and mediating roles of organizational factors like the level of position and the size of the organization.

Shweta Pandey (2024) in her study "Transforming Human Resource Management with Generative AI: The Impact of ChatGPT on Recruitment, Training, and Data Analytics" to analyze the transformative role of generative artificial intelligence (AI), exemplified by ChatGPT, in modern Human Resource Management (HRM). The central argument is that integrating AI systems into HRM is a pivotal step in evolving workforce management and employee engagement practices. The study highlights the strategic transformation enabled by generative AI across several key HR functions, including recruitment, training, and data analytics.

Abhinav Kasturi (2024) in his study "Readiness for the Adoption of Generative AI Technology, Organizationally and Internationally". This paper establishes an empirical framework to assess countries' readiness for adopting Generative AI, specifically from the perspective of its impact on business go-to-market strategies. The research uniquely addresses the multi-dimensional nature of technology adoption by moving beyond traditional metrics and incorporating novel variables that reflect recent technological advancements, such as cloud computing. This approach aims to provide a more accurate, real-world evaluation of a country's preparedness for the technological shift brought about by Generative AI

Nitin Rane (2023) in his study "Role and Challenges of ChatGPT and Similar Generative Artificial Intelligence in Human Resource Management" This research explores the pivotal role and significant challenges of integrating generative artificial intelligence (AI) systems, such as ChatGPT, into Human Resource Management (HRM). The paper argues that these technologies are creating a new era of innovative workforce management and employee engagement by optimizing various HR processes.

Hypotheses

- **H1:** There is a significant positive correlation between the adoption of generative AI in recruitment and the reduction in time-to-hire and improved quality of hired candidates in IT companies.
- **H0 $_1$:** There is no significant positive correlation between the adoption of generative AI in recruitment and the reduction in time-to-hire or improvement in the quality of hired candidates in IT companies.
- **H2:** Generative AI integration in training significantly improves employee engagement and productivity.
- **H0 $_2$:** Generative AI integration in training does not significantly improve employee engagement and productivity.
- **H3:** The presence of challenges like data privacy concerns and algorithmic bias negatively influences the adoption of generative AI in HR functions.
- **H0 $_3$:** The presence of challenges like data privacy concerns and algorithmic bias does not negatively influence the adoption of generative AI in HR functions.
- **H4:** The existence of ethical guidelines and bias audits is positively correlated with the belief that AI will transform the future of HR.
- **H0 $_4$:** The existence of ethical guidelines and bias audits is not positively correlated with the belief that AI will transform the future of HR.

Methodology

Research Design: A quantitative research design was employed, using a cross-sectional online survey to collect data from professionals in the IT sector.

Population and Sample: The study's population is all professionals within the IT sector, and the sample size is 76 respondents from various companies. Convenience sampling was used to collect data.

Data Collection Methods: Primary data were collected through a structured online questionnaire. The questionnaire was designed to capture respondents agreement levels on various statements related to the impact, benefits, challenges, and strategic considerations of generative AI in recruitment and training.

Measurement Tools: A 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree) was used to measure perceptions of AI's impact and the presence of organizational factors.

Data Analysis Techniques: Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used to test the hypotheses. This non-parametric test is appropriate for assessing the strength and direction of a monotonic relationship between two ordinal variables. The statistical analysis was performed using Python with the pandas and scipy libraries.

Limitations of the Study

1. **Sample Size:** The sample of 76 respondents, while providing useful insights, may not be fully representative of the entire IT sector.
2. **Convenience Sampling:** This method may introduce bias, as the sample may not accurately reflect the broader population of IT professionals.
3. **Self-Reported Data:** The data relies on respondents' perceptions, which may not always align with objective reality.
4. **Cross-Sectional Design:** The study captures a single moment in time, limiting the ability to analyze trends or causality over a longer period.

Data Analysis and Interpretations

Demographic Profile: A total of **76** IT professionals participated in the survey. The respondent pool was diverse in terms of roles and organizational sizes:

- **Role Distribution:** The majority of respondents were HR Managers (27.63%), followed by Developers/Tech Employees (21.05%), Project Managers (13.16%), Technical Hiring Leads (11.84%), Technical Consultants (9.21%), and L&D/Training Managers (9.21%). A small percentage identified as data analysts, sales executives, or information process enablers.
- **Organizational Size Distribution:** A significant proportion of respondents belonged to Large organizations (Above 1000 and 501-1000 employees) (61.84%), with Small (Less than 100 employees) and Medium (100-500 employees) organizations accounting for 19.74% and 18.42% of the sample, respectively.

Role	**Percentage (%)**
HR Manager	27.63%
Developer/Tech Employee	21.05%
Project Manager	13.16%
Technical Hiring Lead	11.84%
Technical Consultant	9.21%
L&D/Training Manager	9.21%
Other	12.89%

Organizational Size	**Percentage (%)**
Large (>500 employees)	61.84%
Medium (100–500 employees)	18.42%
Small (<100 employees)	19.74%

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents

Descriptive Statistics: The perceived benefits of generative AI in HR functions generally scored highly, indicating a positive outlook among respondents.

Statement	Mean	Standard Deviation (SD)
Reduction in time-to-hire (Recruitment)	3.99	1.09
Improved quality of hired candidates (Recruitment)	3.92	1.15
Enhanced candidate experience (Recruitment)	3.78	1.25
Cost savings from recruitment via generative AI	3.74	1.26
Generative AI made technical training personalized (Training)	3.86	1.19
AI-supported training improved productivity (Training)	3.83	1.15

Table 2: Perceived Benefits of Generative AI in Recruitment and Training - Means and Standard Deviations

Statement	Mean	Standard Deviation (SD)
Concern: Data privacy risks with generative AI	3.91	1.15
Concern: Algorithmic bias in generative AI outputs	3.75	1.12

Table 3: Perceived Challenges of Generative AI in HR - Means and Standard Deviation.

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1 (H1): This hypothesis states there is a significant positive correlation between the adoption of generative AI in recruitment and the reduction in time-to-hire and improved quality of hired candidates.

- **Test:** Spearman's rank correlation.
- **Value and Interpretation:**
  - **AI Screening vs. Reduced Time-to-Hire:** $r=0.573, p<0.001$.
  - **AI Screening vs. Improved Quality of Hires:** $r=0.655, p<0.001$.
  - **Chatbots in Interviews vs. Reduced Time-to-Hire:** $r=0.612, p<0.001$.
  - **Chatbots in Interviews vs. Improved Quality of Hires:** $r=0.672, p<0.001$.
- **Conclusion:** The data provides strong evidence that the use of generative AI in recruitment is significantly correlated with a reduction in time-to-hire and an improvement in the quality of hired candidates. The null hypothesis is **rejected**.

Hypothesis 2 (H2): This hypothesis states that generative AI integration in training significantly improves employee engagement and productivity.

- **Test:** Spearman's rank correlation.
- **Value and Interpretation:**
  - **AI in LMS vs. Improved Engagement:** $r=0.602, p<0.001$.
  - **AI in LMS vs. Improved Productivity:** $r=0.570, p<0.001$.
  - **AI for Problem Solving vs. Improved Engagement:** $r=0.722, p<0.001$.
  - **AI for Problem Solving vs. Improved Productivity:** $r=0.725, p<0.001$.
- **Conclusion:** The data provides strong evidence that the use of generative AI in training is significantly correlated with improved employee engagement and productivity. The null hypothesis is **rejected**.

Hypothesis 3 (H3): This hypothesis states that the presence of challenges like data privacy concerns and algorithmic bias negatively influences the adoption of generative AI in HR functions.

- **Test:** Spearman's rank correlation.
- **Value and Interpretation:**
  - **Challenge Score vs. AI Adoption Score:** $r=0.660, p<0.001$.
- **Conclusion:** The data contradicts the hypothesis. The strong positive correlation suggests that companies more aware of these challenges are also more advanced in their AI adoption. The null hypothesis is **rejected**, and the findings warrant further qualitative investigation.

Hypothesis 4 (H4): This hypothesis states that the existence of ethical guidelines and bias audits is positively correlated with the belief that AI will transform the future of HR.

- **Test:** Spearman's rank correlation.
- **Value and Interpretation:**
    - **Ethical Guidelines vs. AI's Transformative Future:** $r=0.604, p<0.001$.
    - **Bias/Fairness Audits vs. AI's Transformative Future:** $r=0.691, p<0.001$.
- **Conclusion:** The findings strongly indicate that a proactive approach to AI ethics and fairness is linked to a confident and positive outlook on AI's future role in HR. The null hypothesis is **rejected**.

Results Discussion/Findings

The research findings provide a nuanced understanding of generative AI's role in HR within the IT sector. The strong positive correlations in **H1** and **H2** provide robust evidence that the adoption of generative AI in recruitment and training delivers tangible, positive outcomes, including improved efficiency, higher quality hires, and a better candidate experience. These results reinforce the value proposition of AI as a strategic tool for enhancing HR performance.

The findings from **H3** were particularly surprising. The positive correlation between perceived challenges and AI adoption suggests a more complex relationship than initially hypothesized. Rather than deterring adoption, a heightened awareness of issues like data privacy and algorithmic bias may be a characteristic of organizations that are already actively engaged with and committed to implementing AI. This indicates a level of maturity in AI adoption where companies are not only using the technology but are also thoughtfully addressing its risks.

Finally, the results for **H4** highlight the critical importance of a strategic and ethical framework. The strong link between ethical practices and a positive belief in AI's future demonstrates that a proactive approach to governance and fairness is a key driver of confidence and readiness for AI's transformative potential.

Suggestions

1. **Embrace Ethical AI:** IT companies should not view ethical guidelines and bias audits as mere compliance burdens but as essential components for successful AI integration and a positive organizational belief in AI's future.
2. **Invest in HR Upskilling:** Organizations must invest in training HR professionals to effectively use and manage generative AI tools, addressing the technical knowledge gap and ensuring responsible adoption.
3. **Future Research:** Future studies should use a mixed-methods approach to delve deeper into the paradoxical finding of Hypothesis 4. Qualitative research, such as interviews, could provide richer context on why organizations with more challenges are also the ones with higher adoption rates.

Conclusion

This study confirms that generative AI is a transformative force in the recruitment and training functions of the IT sector. The findings provide statistical evidence of a strong positive correlation between AI adoption and improved performance outcomes, including hiring efficiency, candidate quality, and employee engagement. Crucially, the research reveals that a mature, proactive approach to addressing AI's ethical challenges and establishing a strategic framework is a key driver of successful integration and a positive outlook on its future.

References

1. MI Alhusban, IN Khatatbeh, and H Alshurafat. (2025). "Exploring the influence, implications and challenges of integrating generative artificial intelligence into organizational learning and development." *Competitiveness Review*, https://doi.org/10.1108/CR-06-2024-0121.
2. Abdelhay, S (2024). The impact of generative AI (ChatGPT) on recruitment efficiency and candidate quality: The mediating role of process automation level and the moderating role of organizational size. *Frontiers in Human Dynamics*, *6*, 1487671. https://doi.org/10.3389/fhumd.2024.1487671.
3. Pandey, S. (2024). "Transforming Human Resource Management with Generative AI: The Impact of ChatGPT on Recruitment, Training, and Data Analytics." *European Journal of Advances in Engineering & Technology*, *11*(6), 81–85. https://ejaet.com/PDF/11-6/EJAET-11-6-81-85.pdf
4. Kasturi, A. (2024). "Readiness for the Adoption of Generative Al Technology, Organizationally and Internationally." *IJHSR*, 6(10), 93-100. DOI: 10.36838/v6i10.13.
5. Rane Nitin (2023) “Role and Challenges of ChatGPT and Similar Generative Artificial Intelligence in Human Resource Management” . Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4603230 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4603230