WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Mathematics Education & Learning Strategies for UG and PG Students

Sejal Dhiman* , Manu Gupta

** Department of Mathematics, J.V.Jain College, Saharanpur UP-247001, India*

A B S T R A C T

Mathematics education and learning strategies focus on enhancing students understanding, problem solving skills, and overall performance in mathematics. This field explores various teaching approaches, such as collaborative learning, use of technology, visual aids, and differentiated instruction to meet diverse student needs. Effective learning strategies like practice-based learning, conceptual understanding, and real-life application help students grasp complex mathematical concepts more easily. Additionally, the integration of digital tools and interactive platforms has made math learning more engaging and accessible. The paper studied mathematics education and learning strategies for UG and PG students aiming to develop critical thinking, improve academic achievement, and foster a positive-attitudes towards math.

Keywords: Education, Mathematics, Learning strategies, Digital technology, Peer discussion

Introduction

Mathematics education plays a crucial role in developing logical reasoning, problem solving skills, and analytical thinking, which are essential for both academic success and - real world applications. Over the years, educators and researchers have explored various teaching methodologies and learning strategies to enhance students' understanding and performance in mathematics. However, despite these efforts, challenges such as math anxiety, lack of engagement, and difficulties in conceptual understanding persist among learners of different age groups. This paper aims to examine effective mathematics education practices and learning strategies that can improve students' comprehension and retention of mathematical concepts. It explores traditional and modern pedagogical approaches, including active learning, inquiry-based learning, technology integration, and instruction. Furthermore, it investors how factors such as motivation, cognitive development, and classroom environment influence students' mathematical learning outcomes. By analyzing existing literature, case studies, and empirical research, this study seeks to provide insights into the most effective instructional strategies for mathematical educators. The finding will contribute to the ongoing discourse on improving mathematics education and offer practical recommendations for educators, policymakers, and curriculum designers. Mathematics education is a cornerstone of academic and professional success, forming the foundation for such as science, engineering, recommendation technology. The ability to understand and apply mathematical concepts is essential for problem- solving, critical thinking, and Logical reasoning. However, many students face challenges in leaning mathematics due to factors such as abstract concepts, procedural difficulties, and varying levels of motivation. As a result, researchers and educators continue to explore effective teaching methodologies and learning strategies to enhance mathematical comprehension and engagement. The evolution of mathematics education has seen a shift from traditional rote memorization and direct instruction to more interactive and student -centered approaches. Strategies such as inquiry-based learning, problem- based learning, collaborative learning, and the integration of technology have shown promise in improving Students outcomes. Additionally, cognitive and affective factors, including motivation, self -efficient and mindset, play a critical role students' attitudes and performance in mathematics. Understanding these Element can help educators design instruction that fosters deeper conceptual understanding and long- term retention of mathematical knowledge.

Mathematics education is a fundamental component of academic worldwide, playing a vital role in the development of critical thinking, problem solving abilities, and logical reasoning. A strong foundation in mathematics not only enhances students' academic success has prepared them for careers in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) fields. Despite its importance, mathematics remains a challenging subject for many learners due to its abstract nature complex problem- solving processes, and varying individual cognitive abilities. As a result, the field of mathematics education has continuously evolved, with researchers and education exploring innovative strategies to improve teaching effectiveness and student learning outcomes. Over the years, traditional approaches to mathematics instruction have relied heavily on direct instructions, memorizations, and repetitive practice. While these methods have been effective certain contexts, they often fail to foster deep conceptual understanding, critical thinking and engagement. In contrast, contemporary pedagogical approaches such as inquiry -based learning, problem -based learning, and constructivist teaching methods emphasize active exploration, and additionally, the integration of technology – such as digital intelligence- has introduced new opportunities for personalized and adaptive learning, catering to diverse student needs.

Beyond instructional methodologies, various cognitive, psychological, and environmental factors influence students' mathematical learning experiences. Math anxiety, self-efficacy, motivation, and mindset significantly impact Students ability to engage with mathematical content and persist through challenges. Moreover, classroom dynamics, teacher effectiveness, curriculum design, and assessment practices play crucial roles in shaping learning outcomes. Understanding the interplay of these factors is essential for developing comprehensive strategies that support Students success in mathematics education. In addition to strategies, various psychological and cognitive factors influence students' ability to learn mathematics effectively. Math anxiety, self – efficacy, motivation, and mindset play significant roles in shaping students, attitude and performance in the subject. Research has shown that fostering a growth mindset, promoting positive learning experiences, and creating a supportive classroom environment can significantly improve students' confidence and willingness to engage with mathematical challenges.

1. LITERATURE REVIEW

[1] According to Stewart and Felicetti (1992), learning is the influenced by the educational conditions under which a student learns. Therefore, learning style is not just concerned about what students need to learn but rather how they want to learn in the most effective way. Studies by Schoenfeld (1992) and Zimmerman (2002) suggest that self-regulation, planning, monitoring, and evaluating one's learning process significantly improve Students mathematical performance. [2] This model integrates individual teaching and learning styles and demonstrates how the stylistic qualities of teachers and students can enhance the nature and quality of the learning experience (Grasha, 1996). It is based on the notion that to maximize learning; one must truly understand individual learning styles. To do this, differences in student attitudes must be taken into account. Grasha (1996) identified six distinct learning styles based on the individual student's attitude towards learning. [3] According to Wilson (1999), the core concepts of basic math must be mastered before students are able to move into a more advanced study. Repetition is a simple tool that makes it easier for students to master concepts without wasting time. A strategy which connects other subject matter in other subject area is called integrative approach. However, [4] Grasha and Hicks (2000 in Shaari, Yusoff, Ghazali, Osman) argue that to ensure the effectiveness of a teaching and learning process, teaching strategies also need to be considered as an important element in the success of a lesson. Most students perceive mathematics subjects negatively (Fonseca, 2007). Teachers should apply appropriate teaching strategies that best suit specific objectives and competencies to secure and facilitate the process of knowledge transmission. Until today, questions about the effectiveness of teaching strategies on student learning have consistently raised considerable interest in the thematic field of educational research (Hightower, Delgado, Lloyd, Wittenstein, Sellers & Swanson, 2011). To bring a fundamental change in the learner is the primary purpose of teaching at any level of education (Tebabal & Kahssay, 2011).[5] Gujjar and Tabassum (2011) used the Grasha-Riechmann learning style survey to determine the learning styles of student teachers at the Federal College of Education in order to develop teaching strategies in them. Their findings showed a significant difference in all the dimensions of learning styles among the classes and that dependent learning style was found to be the best learning style for the student teachers. [6] According to Hamzeh (2014), there are several teaching strategies that can be used by teachers to improve the academic performance of the students in mathematics. [7] Those teaching strategies are accounted for in different time periods and applied inside the classroom. Cooperative learning (Javed, Saif & Kundi, 2013), lecture type, deductive approach (Baig, 2015), inductive approach (Atta, Ayaz & Nawaz, 2015; Padmavathy & Mareesh, 2013), demonstrative approach (Ramadhan & Surya, 2017), repetitive exercises (Warthen, 2017), and integrative approach (Panicker, 2014) [8]. The most common one is lecture type. Cooperative learning is a simple strategy that allows students to work and solve a problem with a pair or a group (Razak, 2016). Teachers need to understand the process of individual learning. In the learning process, individuals are interacting with the environment, i.e., uniquely processing the information and requiring a unique environment for learning. Thus, addressing the challenge in facilitating learning conditions while organizing such interactions should be taken into consideration to help individuals to optimize their learning (Sighn, 2017). When a teacher has provided the basic instruction, s/he will then split the class into pairs or groups to work on problems (Chan & Idris, 2017). Since the pairs are working as a team, the students can discuss the problems and work together to solve them. [9] The goal of cooperative learning is to teach students critical thinking skills that are necessary for future math problems and real life (Sari, Mulyono, & Asih, 2019). A simple strategy teacher can use to improve math skills is repetition or repetitive exercise. By CARDINO & ORTEGA-DELA CRUZ (2020) 23 repeating and reviewing previous formulas, lessons, and information, students are better able to comprehend concepts at a faster rate (Bates, 2020) [10]. The literature reveals that mathematics education benefits greatly from the application of varied student-centered learning strategies.

2. Research Design

2.1 Significance of the Study:

Mathematics Education and Learning Strategies is highly significant in today's academic and professional landscape. Mathematics serves as a foundational discipline that enhances logical reasoning, problem-solving skills, and analytical thinking, all of which are essential in a wide range of fields. Mathematics is not just a subject confined to the classroom; it is a critical life-skills that influences decision-making, scientific advancement, and technological innovation. This topic is important because it not only helps identifying the barriers to effective learning in mathematics but also provides practical methods to overcome them, ultimately leading to improved academic performance and better preparation for real-world application.

2.2 Objective of study:

The objective of this study are as follows:

- To understand the importance of mathematics education in modern society.

- To explore the various learning strategies and techniques used in mathematics education.
- To analyze the effectiveness of different teaching methods and approaches in mathematics education.

2.3 Scope of the study:

The scope of mathematics education and learning strategies is broad and multidisciplinary, encompassing various aspects of teaching, learning, curriculum design and educational psychology. It includes the exploration of effective teaching method, the integration of technology in mathematics instruction, and the development of learning materials that cater to diverse learners. The topic also involves studying Students cognitive processes, motivation levels, and attitudes towards mathematics to identify strategies that enhances understanding retention. It extends to all educational levels- from primary to higher education- and is relevant in both formal and informal learning environments.

Sample frame- 100

Sample- 86

2.4 Research Methodology:

- **Participants**

The sample are consisting of B.Sc. and M.Sc. students of J.V. Jain College.

- **Instrument**

Difficulties in learning mathematics questionnaire is administered to obtain data on student like and dislikes, motivational beliefs, learning strategies and their perception regarding difficulties in learning mathematics. The questionnaire includes open ended as well as scaled item.

- **Procedure**

Before data collection, good report will be established with the student and their safety will be ensured. To collect data, a questionnaire of 15 question was made. Which was converted google form through internet. The language of questions has been kept simple and readable by everyone. With the help of google form we received responses from 86 students.

Hypothesis and Objective of research:

This study is to examine the difficulties by UG and PG students of J.V. Jain College in learning mathematics. This study considers difficulties related to cognitive processes, effective beliefs, instructions and some issues to management of learning environment.

Hypothesis 1:

H0: Peer discussion is not useful in solving mathematical problem.

Particulars	**No. of Respondents**	**Percentage**
Yes	70	82.4
No	16	17.6
Total	86	100

H1: Peer discussion is useful in solving mathematical problem.

S. No	O_i	E_i	O_i-E_i	$(O_i-E_i)^2$	$(O_i-E_i)^2/ E_i$
1	70	43	27	729	16.9
2	16	43	-27	729	16.9
Total	86	86			33.8

Calculated value = 33.8 Degree of freedom 5% level of significance = n-1 =2-1 =1

Tabulated value =3.841 thus we can accept the internal hypothesis i.e. 'H_1'

Conclusion:

The computed estimation of chi square is 33.8. The table estimation of chi-square is 3.841, with the level of opportunity at 5% noteworthy level is since the ascertained esteem is more than the table estimation of the chi-square. Along these lines, H0 is rejected and conclude that peer discussion is useful in solving mathematical problem.

Hypothesis II

H0: Students do not use any digital tools or apps for learning mathematics.

H1: Students use any digital tools or apps for learning mathematics.

Particulars	**No. of Respondents**	**Percentage**
Yes	64	74.4
No	22	25.6
Total	86	100

S. No	**O_i**	**E_i**	**O_i-E_i**	**$(O_i-E_i)^2$**	**$(O_i-E_i)^2/ E_i$**
1	64	43	21	441	10.25
2	22	43	-21	441	10.25
Total	86	86			20.5

Calculated value = 20.5 Degree of freedom 5% level of significance = n-1 =2-1 =1

Tabulated value =3.841 thus we can accept the internal hypothesis i.e. 'H_1'

Conclusion:

The computed estimation of chi square is 20.5. The table estimation of chi-square is 3.841, with the level of opportunity at 5% noteworthy level is since the ascertained esteem is more than the table estimation of the chi-square. Along these lines, H_0 is rejected and conclude that students use any digital tools or apps for learning mathematics.

Hypothesis III

H0: Technology does not make it easier to understand mathematical concepts.

H1: Technology makes it easier to understand mathematical concepts.

Particulars	**No. of Respondents**	**Percentage**
Yes	75	87.2
No	11	12.8
Total	86	100

S. No	**O_i**	**E_i**	**O_i-E_i**	**$(O_i-E_i)^2$**	**$(O_i-E_i)^2/ E_i$**
1	75	43	32	1024	23.81
2	11	43	-32	1024	23.81
Total	86	86			47.62

Calculated value = 47.62 Degree of freedom 5% level of significance = n-1 =2-1 =1

Tabulated value =3.841 thus we can accept the internal hypothesis i.e. 'H_1'

Conclusion:

The computed estimation of chi square is 47.6. The table estimation of chi-square is 3.841, with the level of opportunity at 5% noteworthy level is since the ascertained esteem is more than the table estimation of the chi-square. Along these lines, H_0 is rejected and conclude that technology makes it easier to understand mathematical concepts.

Limitations:

However, limitation of using peer discussion, digital tools, and technology in solving mathematical problems is these methods may not always ensure deep conceptual understanding for all students. While peer discussion can promote collaborative learning, it may lead to confusion if peers share incorrect explanations or if group dynamic discourage participation. Similarly, although many students use digital apps or learning mathematics, over reliance on these resources can reduces critical thinking and problem- solving skills if they are used merely for answers rather than understanding. Moreover, technology can make abstract concepts more visual and interactive, but it may also distract from the learning process if not integrated thoughtfully into instruction.

Findings:

- Studies consistently show that the use of diverse learning strategies enhance students understanding and performance in mathematics.
- Traditional lecture-based teaching is often less effective than interactive strategies like group work, inquiry- based learning, and use of technology.
- Integration of digital tools in math classrooms leads to increased engagement and helps in visualizing abstract concept.
- Students who taught to reflect on their own thinking processes tend to platform better. Strategies like self-assessment, goal, setting, and error analysis improve conceptual understanding.
- Teachers need professional development to effectively implement modern learning strategies. The success on how well educators understand and apply them.
- Formative assessment techniques such as quizzes, feedback, and peer review, encourage continuous learning improvement, more than summative-only assessment method.

Conclusion:

To conclude mathematics education and learning strategies play a vital role in shaping students, understanding, problem-solving skills, and logical thinking. Effective teaching methods, such as the use of digital tools, interactive learning, peer collaboration, and rile life application, enhance student engagement and comprehension of mathematical concepts. By adopting diverse ad student centred strategies, educators can address different learning style and overcome common challenges in mathematics learning. Continuous innovation in teaching practices, supported by technology and research-based approaches, ensure that mathematics education remains relevant, accessible, and effective for all learners. Furthermore, the integration of well-planed learning strategies in mathematics education not only improves academic performance but also builds confidence and a positive attitude towards the subject. As mathematics is essential in everyday life and various professional fields, strengthening its teaching and learning process is crucial for individual and societal growth.

Recommendation:

• Cultivate a growth mindset by embracing challenges and persisting in the face of obstacles to develop mathematical understanding and confidence.

• Utilize a range of learning resources, including digital tools, textbooks, and peer support, to develop a comprehensive understanding of mathematical concepts.

• Conduct research to identify effective learning strategies for mathematics education and explore their impact on Students outcomes.

• Investigate the role of technology in mathematics education and its impact on learning outcomes.

• Develop evidence-based interventions to support students struggling with mathematics and evaluate their effectiveness.

• Encourage the use of various learning strategies, such as problem-solving, inquiry-based learning, and collaborative learning, to cater to diverse student's needs.

References

1. Stewart, K. L., & Felicetti, L. A. (1992). Learning Styles of Marketing Majors. Educational Research Quarterly, 15(2), 15–23.

2. Grasha, A. F. (1996). An Integrated Model of Teaching and Learning Style. Teaching with Style: A Practical Guide to Enhancing Learning by Understanding Teaching and Learning Styles. Alliance Publishers.
3. Wilson, E. O. (1999). Consilience: The Unity of Knowledge (Vol. 31). Vintage.
4. Grasha, A. F., & Yangarber-Hicks, N. (2000). Integrating teaching styles and learning styles with instructional technology. College teaching, 48(1), 2–10.
5. Gujjar, A. A., & Tabassum, R. (2011). Assessing learning styles of student teachers at federal college of education. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 30, 267–271.
6. Hamzeh, M. A. W. (2014). Teaching Strategies Used by Mathematics Teachers in the Jordan Public Schools and Their Relationship with Some Variables. American Journal of Educational Research, 2(6), 331–340.
7. Javed, A., Saif, N., & Kundi, G. M. (2013). The Study of Effectiveness of Cooperative Learning Approach in Teaching of Mathematics at Secondary Levels in Pakistan. Mathematical Theory and Modeling, 3(10), 24–33.
8. Warthen, S. (2017). Instructional Strategies of Effective Mathematics Teachers of African American Upper Elementary Students.
9. Sari, D., Mulyono, M., & Sri Noor Asih, T. (2019). Mathematical Problem Solving Ability Viewed from Extrovert Introvert Personality Types on Cooperative Learning Models Type Rally Coach. Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research, 8(2), 141–146. Retrieved from https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/ujmer/article/view/28019
10. Bates, A. (2020). Basic Math Teaching Strategies. Retrieved 03 April 2020 from https://resilienteducator.com/classroom-resources/basic-math-teaching-strategies/
11. Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work. A review of the research. Journal of Engineering Education, 93, 223-231. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00809.x
12. Barbosa, A., & Vale, I. (2018). Math Trails: a resource for teaching and learning. In V. Gitirana, T. Miyakawa, T., M. Rafalska, S. Soury-Lavergne, & L. Trouche (Eds.), Proceedings of the Re(s)sources 2018 international conference (pp. 183-186). ENS de Lyon.
13. Erickson, F. (1986). Qualitative methods in research on teaching. In M. C. Wittrock (Ed.), Handbook of research on teaching (pp. 119-161). Macmillan.
14. Hannaford, C. (2005). Smart moves: Why learning is not all in your head. Great River Books.
15. Clements, D. H., & McMillen, S. (1996). Rethinking concrete manipulatives. Teaching Children Mathematics, 2, 270-279. https://doi.org/10.5951/TCM.2.5.0270.