International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS): A First-of-Its-Kind Waterless Stabilization Technique for Desert Sands Using Encapsulated Lime and Recycled Plastic Mesh Fibers

Abararahemad Abdulrazzak Khalak[a,*]

[a]Assistant Professor,GrowMore Faculty of Engineering, Affiliated to Gujarat Technological University, Himatnagar, Gujarat, India,383001.
DOI : *https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0725.2660*

A B S T R A C T :

The Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) presents a novel, water-independent approach for stabilizing desert sands through the dry injection of encapsulated lime and recycled plastic mesh fibers. This system addresses the limitations of conventional methods that rely on moisture-dependent chemical reactions or surface treatments, which are unsuitable for arid regions. In DIBS, encapsulated lime is pressure-activated during compaction, enabling chemical bonding without external water input, while mesh-structured plastic fibers provide internal reinforcement to improve cohesion and shear strength. This dual-action stabilization is designed for dry, loose sands where traditional lime, cement, or polymer methods often fail due to poor moisture availability and dispersion challenges [5][9][14][20][34]. The integration of dry injection, encapsulated activation, and recycled mesh reinforcement has not been previously combined in this form, making DIBS a unique contribution to geotechnical engineering, with potential applications in desert infrastructure, erosion control, and sustainable soil improvement.

Keywords: Soil stabilization; Desert sand; Dry injection; Encapsulated lime; Plastic mesh fibers; Waterless geotechnical engineering

1. Introduction

Background on Desert Sand Challenges

Desert sands, prevalent in arid and semi-arid regions, are among the most problematic natural soils for construction and geotechnical applications. These sands are typically fine to medium-grained, poorly graded, and exhibit minimal cohesion, which leads to low shear strength, high permeability, and vulnerability to displacement under environmental stresses [1], [2]. Their loose, granular structure makes them highly susceptible to wind erosion, surface instability, and compaction under minimal loads, which contributes to widespread problems such as dust storms, dune migration, and foundation failure in desert infrastructure. One of the fundamental issues with desert sands is their lack of moisture retention and plasticity, which makes conventional stabilization techniques—especially those dependent on water—largely ineffective [3], [4]. Traditional binders like cement and lime rely on moisture to initiate chemical reactions (hydration, pozzolanic activity), but desert conditions offer minimal ambient water, and any added moisture often evaporates or drains too quickly for proper curing [5], [6]. This result in incomplete bonding, low durability, and premature failure of treated layers. Furthermore, desert environments are marked by extreme diurnal temperature variations, which impose additional stress on untreated or poorly stabilized soils. These fluctuations exacerbate cracking, shrinkage, and disintegration of the soil matrix, undermining long-term performance [2].

In addition to mechanical and environmental constraints, water scarcity is a critical logistical and ecological barrier in desert soil stabilization. Transporting water to remote desert sites increases project costs and environmental impact, making water-intensive methods impractical and unsustainable in the long term [7], [13]. Collectively, these challenges underscore the need for a waterless, durable, and cost-effective stabilization approach specifically tailored for desert sands. It is within this context that the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) is introduced as a first-of-its-kind solution that bypasses the limitations of traditional methods through pressure-activated encapsulated lime and recycled plastic mesh fiber reinforcement, offering hope for scalable and sustainable desert infrastructure development.

Limitations of conventional soil stabilization methods

Traditional soil stabilization techniques—such as cement stabilization, lime treatment, and polymer grouting—have long been applied to enhance soil properties for civil engineering projects. While effective in many soil types, these methods face serious limitations when applied to desert sands, particularly in arid environments where moisture availability is critically low.

1.2.1 Moisture Dependence

Most chemical stabilization techniques require adequate water content to trigger reactions necessary for strength gain. For example, lime stabilization involves hydration of quicklime and subsequent pozzolanic reactions with silica and alumina present in the soil [5], [6]. In desert sands, however, the lack of fine particles (like clay and silt) and scarce moisture significantly hinder these chemical processes, leading to incomplete or ineffective stabilization [3], [14], [16].

1.2.2 Rapid Water Loss

Even when water is added during treatment, high permeability and intense surface evaporation in desert environments often result in rapid drainage or drying before the stabilizer can react effectively [4], [5]. This premature moisture loss leads to non-uniform curing, poor bonding, and low strength development.

1.2.3 Ineffectiveness in Sandy Soils

Conventional binders like cement and lime are generally designed for cohesive soils, particularly clays with high plasticity index [4], [6]. Desert sands, by contrast, are non-cohesive, have minimal surface area for chemical reactions, and provide limited interaction with traditional binders, even under optimal moisture conditions [1], [3].

1.2.4 Environmental and Logistical Burdens

Cement and lime production are highly energy-intensive and contribute significantly to carbon emissions. Moreover, transporting water and stabilizers to remote desert areas raises both environmental concerns and project costs [13], [7]. In water-scarce regions, the use of water for soil treatment also competes with human and agricultural needs, making it unsustainable [2].

1.2.5 Poor Long-Term Performance

Due to inadequate bonding and the harsh desert climate (with wide thermal variations), many traditional stabilization methods exhibit cracking, disintegration, or leaching over time. These effects are exacerbated in areas prone to wind erosion or occasional flash floods, which quickly deteriorate suboptimal stabilization treatments [2], [4].

The primary limitations of conventional soil stabilization in desert sands include:

- Water dependency and rapid moisture loss
- Low reactivity in cohesionless, dry sands
- Environmental costs of material and water usage
- Logistical impracticality in remote desert terrains
- Reduced durability under harsh desert conditions

These limitations highlight the need for a waterless, durable, and environmentally responsible alternative, such as the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS), specifically engineered to function under desert conditions without the limitations posed by traditional methods.

Importance of waterless approaches

In arid and semi-arid regions, water scarcity is not just a constraint—it is a defining characteristic of the environment. These areas receive minimal annual rainfall, have high evaporation rates, and often rely on limited groundwater reserves for essential human and agricultural use. Under such conditions, using water for soil stabilization is both logistically difficult and environmentally unsustainable [2], [13].

1.3.1 Water as a Limiting Factor

Conventional soil stabilization methods, such as lime and cement treatment, depend heavily on the presence of water to initiate chemical reactions like hydration, pozzolanic activity, and curing [5], [6], [14]. However, in desert sands, the absence of naturally occurring moisture and the rapid loss of any added water undermine the effectiveness of these reactions, often resulting in incomplete bonding, delayed strength gain, or outright failure [3], [16], [17].

1.3.2 Cost and Logistical Burden

Transporting water to remote desert construction sites increases cost, fuel consumption, and carbon footprint, particularly in large-scale stabilization projects such as highways, airstrips, or embankments. In some cases, the cost of importing water can exceed the cost of the stabilizing agent itself, making traditional methods economically unviable [2], [7].

1.3.3 Environmental Responsibility

Waterless approaches are aligned with sustainable development goals (SDGs), especially in ecosystems already stressed by desertification, overuse of aquifers, and extreme weather [13]. In regions where potable water is scarce, diverting it for geotechnical applications raises ethical and environmental concerns. A waterless stabilization solution minimizes competition for water resources, preserves the local hydrological balance, and reduces environmental degradation.

1.3.4 Necessity for Remote or Emergency Applications

Waterless methods are particularly valuable in emergency stabilization scenarios, such as temporary access roads, helipads, or military operations in desert regions where water availability is unpredictable. They also open possibilities for autonomous or robotic deployment, which is critical in hard-to-reach or hazardous zones.

Developing a truly waterless soil stabilization system:

- Reduces environmental and economic pressure
- Enables practical deployment in remote or water-deprived areas
- Eliminates the dependency on external curing agents
- Provides a sustainable pathway for geotechnical engineering in arid zones

The Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) addresses all these needs by offering a mechanically activated, water-independent solution, leveraging encapsulated lime and recycled plastic mesh fibers to achieve strength, cohesion, and durability without any added water.

Aim and scope of the DIBS technique

The Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) is proposed as a first-of-its-kind, waterless soil stabilization solution specifically engineered for the challenges of desert sands. Its development is motivated by the need to overcome the fundamental limitations of conventional methods in arid environments, including water dependence, low cohesion in sandy soils, and poor long-term durability under extreme conditions.

Aim of the DIBS Technique

The primary aim of DIBS is to: Provide an effective, sustainable, and water-independent method for improving the strength, cohesion, and durability of desert sands by utilizing pressure-activated encapsulated lime and recycled plastic mesh fibers through a dry injection process. This system is designed to function entirely without the addition of external water, addressing the chemical, mechanical, and environmental shortcomings of traditional stabilizers in desert terrains.

Scope of the DIBS Technique

The scope of this study includes the following key objectives:

- To develop and test a dry injection system capable of simultaneously delivering encapsulated lime (as a chemical binder) and recycled plastic mesh fibers (as a mechanical reinforcement) into loose desert sand.
- To evaluate the pressure-activation mechanism of the encapsulated lime during compaction, ensuring sufficient release and bonding even in ultra-low moisture conditions [34].
- To investigate the in-situ formation of a mesh-like structure using randomly oriented but engineered plastic fibers that interlock during compaction, improving internal cohesion and resisting wind or water erosion [20], [25], [30].
- To analyze and compare the geotechnical performance (e.g., unconfined compressive strength, shear strength, CBR, erosion resistance) of DIBS-treated sands against untreated and conventionally stabilized sands.
- To assess the environmental and economic advantages of using recycled plastics and eliminating water from the process, promoting sustainability and circular material use in infrastructure [20], [24], [25].
- To explore real-world applications of DIBS in desert road construction, embankment stabilization, airfields, border roads, and erosion-prone zones.

By achieving these objectives, the DIBS technique aims to establish a new paradigm in geotechnical engineering—one that not only matches but potentially outperforms conventional stabilization in desert environments, while reducing ecological burden and resource dependency.

Nomenclature

Term / Abbreviation	Definition
DIBS	Dry Injection Binding System – A proposed method for stabilizing desert sands using dry injection of encapsulated lime and recycled plastic mesh fibers
Encapsulated Lime	Lime (typically CaO or $Ca(OH)_2$) enclosed in a pressure-sensitive or time-release coating to delay activation until compaction or ambient exposure
Plastic Mesh Fibers	Recycled synthetic polymer fibers (e.g., PET or polypropylene) designed to interlock and reinforce the soil matrix upon dry injection
Dry Injection	The process of injecting powdered or particulate materials into soil without the use of water or slurry mediums
Soil Stabilization	A process used to improve the physical properties of soil to enhance its strength, durability, and load-bearing capacity
Cohesion	The component of shear strength in soil that is independent of interparticle friction; often influenced by additives like lime or clay content
Shear Strength	The maximum resistance of soil to shearing forces; increased through mechanical reinforcement or chemical bonding
Arid Soil / Desert Sand	Highly permeable, poorly graded soils with minimal organic matter and moisture retention capacity, typical in desert environments
Pneumatic Delivery	A system that uses air pressure to inject materials (such as lime or fibers) into soil or underground formations
Fiber Reinforcement	Technique of introducing synthetic or natural fibers to soil to increase its tensile strength, ductility, and resistance to erosion
Curing	The process through which a chemical stabilizer like lime undergoes reaction (e.g., pozzolanic reactions) with soil components over time to harden and bind the matrix

2. Literature Review

Dry Injection and Mixing Technologies

Dry injection and mixing technologies have evolved significantly in the field of soil stabilization, primarily focusing on minimizing environmental disruption, reducing moisture requirements, and improving constructability in difficult soil conditions. These techniques are particularly valuable in situations where wet mixing is impractical due to groundwater presence, poor drainage, or lack of available water—conditions common in desert and arid regions [7], [8].

2.1.1 Dry Soil Mixing (DSM)

Dry soil mixing (DSM) is a widely used technique where dry powdered binders—typically cement or lime—are mechanically mixed with soft, high-moisture soils to form a composite material known as soilcrete [7]. This method is especially effective in stabilizing organic soils, clays, and peats and is praised for its low vibration, quiet operation, and minimal spoil generation. However, DSM primarily targets wet or saturated soils and is less commonly applied in dry, cohesionless sands, where uniform mixing and bonding become more difficult.

2.1.2 Surface Spreading of Dry Additives

Another conventional technique involves spreading dry stabilizers—such as lime, fly ash, or Portland cement—on the soil surface, followed by mechanical mixing and compaction [8]. While suitable for near-surface improvements, this method lacks the ability to penetrate deeper soil layers and typically requires water to initiate the curing process, limiting its utility in desert sands.

2.1.3 Pneumatic Injection of Dry Binders

Technologies such as ProStream Multicell and Injection Cement systems have shown the feasibility of injecting dry powdered materials directly into the soil using pressurized pneumatic systems [10], [11]. These systems allow for targeted delivery into deep layers without creating excessive disturbance or needing added water. For example, Soiltac®, a patented dry polymer stabilizer, is pneumatically applied for dust control and surface stabilization in water-scarce regions. It bonds particles through moisture-activated polymers or post-application wetting [9]. However, these systems are typically single-component based (i.e., only polymer or cement) and are not optimized for multi-component injections, such as binder plus reinforcement materials.

2.1.4 Limitations of Existing Dry Techniques

Despite their advantages, current dry injection and mixing technologies lack the ability to simultaneously deliver and uniformly disperse multiple dry-phase components—particularly fibrous reinforcements along with chemical binders. Ensuring homogeneity and consistent interaction between materials like encapsulated lime and recycled plastic mesh fibers within a single injection process remains a significant engineering challenge.

Furthermore, most existing systems depend on ambient moisture, rainfall, or post-application wetting to activate binders [9], [10], which again poses a problem in extremely dry and permeable sands where water may rapidly dissipate or be completely absent.

Waterless Soil Stabilization Attempts

The concept of waterless soil stabilization has gained increasing attention in recent years, especially in response to the growing need for infrastructure development in arid and semi-arid regions. Traditional stabilization methods depend on water to initiate chemical reactions, particularly in the case of lime and cement-based treatments. However, in desert conditions where water is either unavailable or rapidly evaporates, such techniques prove inefficient or entirely ineffective. This has driven researchers and industry practitioners to explore low-moisture and truly waterless alternatives [2], [3], [6].

2.2.1 Water-Repelling Polymer Technologies

One common strategy involves the use of hydrophobic polymers or chemical agents that do not require external water. For instance, StaLok® Waterless Paving Technology uses a patented polymer blend that coats soil particles, forming a water-resistant surface by repelling moisture entirely [13]. While effective in creating a durable, impermeable layer, these systems primarily serve surface sealing or dust control applications and do not address internal soil binding or cohesion, making them insufficient for deep stabilization or load-bearing applications.

2.2.2 Ambient Moisture–Activated Binders

Other commercially available solutions, such as Soiltac® and InjectProECO-Soil, promote their functionality in water-scarce environments by relying on ambient humidity or residual soil moisture to activate chemical bonding [9], [12]. Soiltac®, for example, can be applied in powder form and activates upon contact with residual moisture or after rain, forming a durable crust for dust suppression. Similarly, InjectProECO-Soil is a polyurethane grout capable of stabilizing soils even in dry conditions, though it still requires at least minimal moisture to expand and cure [12]. These products are best suited for temporary or surface-level stabilization, not deep or structural improvement.

2.2.3 Challenges of Waterless Binders

A key limitation of most so-called "waterless" binders is that they are often not entirely independent of water. Rather, they are low-moisture systems that rely on:

- Ambient humidity
- Condensation
- Post-application wetting
- Natural precipitation

In genuinely arid conditions—such as deserts with extremely low relative humidity and rapid water loss—even these minimal moisture levels may not be present or may not persist long enough for full chemical curing. Furthermore, true pozzolanic reactions in lime-based stabilization fundamentally require water, making such systems unsuitable in dry sand unless an innovative activation method is introduced [3], [5], [16].

2.2.4 The Novelty Gap

While there has been notable progress in developing water-resistant and low-moisture solutions, none of the existing technologies offer complete waterless activation of lime-based binders, particularly in cohesionless desert sands. This gap highlights the need for a radically new approach—one that not only bypasses water dependence but also provides internal structural integrity through reinforcement.

DIBS directly addresses this unmet need by proposing:

- A pressure-activated encapsulated lime system, which does not require external or ambient water for reaction
- The use of recycled plastic mesh fibers to reinforce and bind the treated soil mass structurally
- A delivery mechanism via dry injection, enabling deployment in remote and water-scarce terrains
- This truly waterless nature of DIBS distinguishes it from all prior approaches in both mechanism and application.

Lime-Based Stabilization Techniques and Their Water Dependency

Lime stabilization has long been a proven and widely adopted technique in geotechnical engineering, especially for improving the strength and durability of fine-grained, cohesive soils. The technique involves the chemical reaction of lime—usually in the form of quicklime (CaO) or hydrated lime ($Ca(OH)_2$)—with clay particles, silica, and alumina in the presence of water to form cementitious compounds [5], [6], [14].

2.3.1 Mechanism of Lime Stabilization
The effectiveness of lime stabilization is governed by two primary reactions:

Short-Term Cation Exchange and Flocculation:
Water enables cation exchange between calcium ions from the lime and ions in the soil, resulting in flocculation of clay particles and improved workability.

Long-Term Pozzolanic Reaction:
In the presence of moisture, silica and alumina in the soil react with calcium hydroxide to form calcium silicate hydrates (CSH) and calcium aluminate hydrates (CAH), which increase strength over time [6], [14], [15].

Both processes are highly dependent on the presence of water to proceed. Without adequate moisture, neither hydration nor pozzolanic bonding occurs effectively, leading to a failure of the stabilization process.

2.3.2 Limitations in Desert Sands
Desert sands present significant challenges for lime stabilization:

- They lack fines (silt or clay) necessary for pozzolanic reactions.
- They exhibit high permeability, causing any added water to drain rapidly.
- They have extremely low moisture content, making it difficult to maintain the conditions required for chemical bonding [3], [4], [16].

Research shows that lime is generally ineffective in sandy or granular soils unless clay or silt is added as a pozzolanic source and enough water is supplied for proper curing [3], [5], [14]. In one study, the surface application of lime on sandy soils resulted in negligible reaction due to insufficient moisture and lack of reactive minerals, even under favorable temperatures [3].

2.3.3 Practical Challenges in Arid Zones
In desert environments, even if lime is transported to the site, there are practical and economic obstacles:

- Securing and transporting large quantities of water
- Ensuring uniform mixing and curing under rapid evaporation
- Managing dust during application due to dry air and loose sand
- Reduced reaction rates and incomplete curing, resulting in poor strength gain [5], [16], [17]

These limitations restrict lime's use in arid zones to only heavily modified or hybrid systems, often involving additional water-retaining agents or polymer support—none of which eliminate the fundamental water requirement.

2.3.4 Need for Water-Independent Activation
To make lime stabilization feasible for desert sands, a non-traditional activation mechanism is required—one that:

- Does not rely on external water input
- Can initiate chemical bonding during compaction or mechanical action
- Maintains stabilization performance in extremely dry conditions

Such an approach could unlock the potential of lime as a binder for cohesionless soils, especially if delivered via a dry injection system and activated through pressure-sensitive encapsulation.

This challenge sets the stage for the innovation of DIBS, which introduces a pressure-activated encapsulated lime system—engineered to function even in zero external moisture conditions—thus redefining lime's role in soil stabilization for arid regions.

Recycled Plastic Fibers and Mesh Reinforcement in Soils

The use of recycled plastic materials for soil reinforcement is gaining global attention as a sustainable and cost-effective alternative to traditional stabilizers. In particular, plastic fibers, strips, flakes, and mesh have shown promise in improving the mechanical properties of various soils, especially sandy and granular soils that typically lack cohesion [20], [21], [25].

2.4.1 Advantages of Using Recycled Plastic Fibers

Recycled plastic fibers, particularly those derived from waste PET bottles, polyethylene, and polypropylene, offer multiple advantages:

- Improved shear strength and cohesion through interlocking and frictional resistance
- Increased ductility and deformation control
- Higher resistance to wind and water erosion
- Reduced plastic waste accumulation, contributing to circular economy goals [20], [22], [24]

Studies indicate that even small percentages (0.5%–1.5% by weight of soil) of plastic fibers can significantly enhance parameters like California Bearing Ratio (CBR), unconfined compressive strength (UCS), and resilient modulus, especially in poorly graded sands [20], [21].

2.4.2 Reinforcement Mechanisms

Plastic fibers contribute to reinforcement primarily through:

- Mechanical interlocking with soil particles
- Tensile bridging of potential failure surfaces
- Frictional resistance, especially in loose or cohesionless soils

When fibers are randomly distributed in the soil mass, they act similarly to a 3D network, improving overall load transfer, reducing settlement, and enhancing post-peak strength [23], [25].

2.4.3 Plastic Mesh and Netting Structures

Beyond individual fibers, plastic mesh and netting are commonly used in erosion control blankets, soil wraps, and geotextile composites. These materials provide surface stability, retain soil on slopes, and protect against wind-blown sand movement [26], [28].

Recent explorations have also examined the use of fragmented or engineered plastic mesh fibers, which could form in-situ fabric-like patterns when compacted with soil. This offers a new class of reinforcement combining the benefits of random fibers and structured meshes [30].

2.4.4 Challenges and Considerations

While the benefits of plastic fiber reinforcement are well established, challenges remain:

- Uniform distribution of fibers during mixing or injection
- Compatibility with other stabilizers (e.g., cement, lime)
- Durability under UV exposure, heat, and freeze–thaw cycles
- Environmental concerns over long-term degradation or microplastic leaching [24], [25]

Despite these concerns, the use of recycled plastics in soil engineering presents a powerful opportunity to divert waste from landfills and reduce reliance on virgin geosynthetic materials.

DIBS proposes to utilize recycled plastic mesh fibers in a dry-injected form, with the aim of:

- Creating an in-situ mesh structure during compaction
- Providing internal reinforcement without the need for surface placement
- Enhancing the cohesion and tensile strength of dry desert sands

If successful, this technique would represent a significant advancement over both random fiber mixing and traditional surface-laid mesh systems.

Encapsulation Technology for Binders in Soil Engineering

Encapsulation technology, widely used in fields such as pharmaceuticals, food sciences, and chemical delivery systems, has recently found promising applications in civil and geotechnical engineering, particularly for the controlled release of soil stabilizing agents. In the context of soil stabilization, encapsulation enables precise, condition-triggered release of chemical binders—addressing one of the main limitations of conventional techniques: uncontrolled hydration and premature reactions in unpredictable field conditions.

2.5.1 Principles of Encapsulation

Encapsulation involves enclosing active agents—such as lime, cement, or polymers—within a protective shell that isolates them from external environmental factors (moisture, temperature, mechanical impact). The capsule's integrity is preserved until a trigger condition (e.g., pressure, temperature, or pH) causes it to rupture, releasing its contents in a controlled manner [31], [32], [34].

In geotechnical applications, such an approach is particularly advantageous in dry, loose soils where traditional binders might fail to disperse or activate effectively due to lack of water or inconsistent mixing.

2.5.2 Applications in Soil Engineering

Although still an emerging area, several experimental and patented systems have applied encapsulation for soil treatment:

- Encapsulated lime or cement particles activated during compaction or when exposed to moisture, ensuring on-demand reaction and improved dispersion [34], [35].
- Microcapsules in polymer grout systems, releasing agents only when mechanical force or ground movement occurs, thereby enhancing the responsiveness of the soil [12], [33].
- Dual-component capsules, where multiple agents (e.g., a binder and an activator) are enclosed in separate layers for sequential release [32].

These technologies aim to enhance field performance, shelf-life, and control over reaction kinetics, especially in unpredictable site conditions.

2.5.3 Limitations of Existing Encapsulated Systems

Despite their benefits, existing encapsulated binder systems face several constraints:

- Moisture-dependent activation, which limits use in hyper-arid zones
- Complex manufacturing and cost factors
- Limited field validation in deep or large-scale applications
- Most existing systems target clay-rich or organic soils, with little focus on cohesionless sands [30], [34]

Furthermore, there is no current system that effectively combines pressure-activated encapsulated lime with mechanical fiber reinforcement in a dry-injection platform—a critical innovation area addressed by the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS).

2.5.4 DIBS and Novel Encapsulation Strategy

DIBS introduces a novel approach by:

- Using mechanically rupturable encapsulated lime particles that release binder upon compaction pressure, bypassing water dependence entirely
- Ensuring uniform dispersal within desert sand via dry pneumatic injection
- Combining encapsulation with recycled plastic mesh fibers, allowing dual stabilization—chemical and structural

This synergistic technique has not yet been implemented in current literature or practice, making DIBS a first-of-its-kind contribution to soil stabilization technologies in arid regions.

3. DIBS Concept and Innovation Framework

Problem Identification

Infrastructure development in desert and arid regions faces persistent geotechnical challenges, primarily due to the poor engineering properties of desert sands, such as:

- Low cohesion and shear strength
- High permeability
- Susceptibility to wind and water erosion
- Extreme scarcity of water, rendering conventional stabilization methods ineffective

Traditional stabilization techniques, including lime, cement, and polymer-based methods, rely heavily on the availability of moisture for chemical reactions and proper dispersion [5], [14], [16]. In the case of desert sands, these requirements become impractical due to:

- Zero or near-zero in-situ moisture
- Rapid drainage and evaporation of added water
- Inability to retain moisture for long curing durations

Additionally, desert sands are granular and cohesionless, lacking sufficient fine particles (clay or silt) that are typically necessary for pozzolanic reactions with lime or cement. This significantly reduces the effectiveness of traditional chemical stabilization [3], [14].

Efforts to enhance stabilization using water-retaining agents, surface polymers, or synthetic mats have largely addressed only surface-level dust control or temporary erosion protection, with limited penetration or strength improvement in deeper layers [9], [13], [24].

Moreover, the logistical and economic challenges of transporting large volumes of water to remote desert sites—along with the environmental burden—further discourage the use of wet mixing systems.

Key Identified Gaps:

- No true waterless technique for stabilizing desert sands using conventional binders
- No existing system that co-injects binder and reinforcement in dry form
- No field-validated technique using mechanically activated (non-moisture-based) encapsulated binders
- Insufficient integration of waste plastic reuse in subsurface geotechnical applications

These limitations highlight a critical gap in current soil stabilization technologies, necessitating the development of a novel, fully water-independent, sustainable, and scalable solution tailored to desert environments.

DIBS (Dry Injection Binding System) is proposed to directly address this problem by integrating:

- Pressure-activated encapsulated lime (not dependent on water)
- Recycled plastic mesh fibers (as internal mechanical reinforcement)
- Pneumatic dry-injection delivery (ensuring uniform in-situ mixing in dry soils)

This concept shifts the paradigm from moisture-dependent stabilization to mechanically triggered chemical binding, opening new possibilities for infrastructure development in extreme arid zones.

DIBS System Design

The Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) is a first-of-its-kind waterless soil stabilization technology, engineered specifically to overcome the unique challenges of desert sands. It combines mechanically-activated encapsulated lime and recycled plastic mesh fibers, delivered via pneumatic dry injection, to improve both the chemical bonding and mechanical properties of loose, cohesionless soils.

3.2.1 Core Components of the DIBS System

Encapsulated Lime Granules:

- Composed of lime (CaO or $Ca(OH)_2$) encapsulated within a mechanical shell or polymer coating.
- Designed to rupture under compaction pressure, triggering pozzolanic reactions without any external water.
- Ensures on-demand release during site application, enhancing safety, handling, and long-term shelf stability.
- Addresses the moisture dependency of traditional lime stabilization [6], [17], [34].

Recycled Plastic Mesh Fibers:

- Engineered from waste plastic (e.g., PET, HDPE, or PP).
- Cut into mesh-like or netted forms, which interlock during compaction to form a 3D reinforcement matrix.

- Improves shear strength, ductility, and erosion resistance, especially in dry sands [20], [25], [30].

Pneumatic Dry Injection Mechanism:

- A dual-hopper injection system injects both encapsulated lime and plastic mesh fibers into the soil through compressed air pressure.
- Achieves uniform distribution across the treated soil volume without the use of water or wet mixing.
- Can be applied in layers and compacted in situ using vibratory or plate compactors.
- Based on existing dry soil mixing and air-injection technologies adapted for dry binders [7], [11].

3.2.2 Operational Workflow

Table 1: Operational Workflow of the DIBS System

Stage	Description
1. Material Loading	Encapsulated lime and plastic mesh fibers are loaded into separate hoppers.
2. Injection Setup	Pneumatic injection system is calibrated to deliver precise ratios of each component.
3. Dry Injection	Materials are injected into the desert sand sublayer using compressed air.
4. Compaction	Soil is compacted using mechanical compaction tools, causing capsules to rupture and fibers to interlock.
5. In-situ Curing	No external water or curing required; strength gain begins from pressure-activated lime reaction.

3.2.3 Key Features and Benefits

- Water-Free Operation – Enables soil stabilization in extreme arid zones where water is not available.
- Sustainable – Utilizes recycled plastic waste and minimizes resource consumption.
- Dual Stabilization Action – Chemical bonding via lime + mechanical reinforcement from plastic mesh.
- Encapsulation Advantage – Enhances safety, storability, and performance predictability of lime.
- Scalable – Can be adapted to road construction, embankments, military outposts, and desert infrastructure.

3.2.4 Novelty Compared to Existing Systems

Table 2: Comparative Features of DIBS vs. Conventional Soil Stabilization Methods

Aspect	Conventional Methods	DIBS System
Water Requirement	High	None
Lime Activation	Requires moisture	Pressure-triggered
Fiber Type	Loose synthetic fibers	Mesh-structured recycled plastic
Delivery	Wet mixing or surface application	Pneumatic dry injection
Target Soil	Clay or silty soil	Cohesionless desert sand
Reinforcement Integration	Partial	Full in-situ integration

The DIBS system stands out as a complete in-situ dry stabilization solution, offering both environmental and performance advantages, and is especially relevant in the context of climate-resilient, resource-efficient infrastructure.

Functional Mechanism: Pressure Activation of Encapsulated Lime

A critical innovation in the DIBS (Dry Injection Binding System) is the pressure-activated encapsulated lime, which replaces the traditional moisture-dependent activation process with a mechanical triggering mechanism. This functional shift enables chemical stabilization in completely dry environments, such as desert soils.

3.3.1 Encapsulation Structure and Composition

- The lime is encapsulated within a polymer-based or mineral shell, forming micro- to millimeter-scale granules.
- The encapsulant acts as a barrier to atmospheric moisture, ensuring the lime remains inert during storage, transport, and dry injection.
- Encapsulation materials are selected for their ability to:
- Maintain structural integrity during handling
- Fracture or disintegrate under specific compaction pressures
- Dissolve slowly if trace amounts of in-situ moisture are present (optional dual-trigger behavior) [31], [32], [34]

3.3.2 Activation via Mechanical Compaction

Unlike conventional lime applications that require water for activation, DIBS relies on compactive energy during soil treatment to rupture the encapsulated shells:

- Upon rolling, ramming, or vibratory compaction, the granules experience localized shear and compression stress.
- This ruptures the encapsulant, exposing the lime to fine particles and trace moisture within the sand or environment.
- The high surface area and freshly fractured lime initiate pozzolanic or carbonation reactions, even at minimal or ambient humidity levels [6], [17], [34].

This mechanism ensures that stabilization begins only when needed, i.e., during compaction, avoiding premature reactions during storage or injection.

3.3.3 Advantages Over Traditional Lime Activation

Table 3: Comparative Features of Traditional vs. Pressure-Activated Encapsulated Lime Stabilization

Feature	Traditional Lime Activation	DIBS Encapsulated Lime
Activation method	Requires water (mixing or in-situ)	Triggered by compaction pressure
Storage behavior	Highly reactive, moisture-sensitive	Inert, stable encapsulated form
Application in arid zones	Ineffective due to lack of water	Fully functional without water
Mixing method	Wet mixing with soil	Dry injection, then compaction
On-site logistics	Water transport, mixing setup needed	Simplified, mobile, no water required

3.3.4 Long-Term Reactivity and Performance

Even though initial activation is mechanical, long-term strength development occurs through:

- Carbonation: Reaction of lime with CO_2 in the air to form calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$)
- Pozzolanic reactions: With any available silicates/aluminates in fine dust fractions of sand or externally added pozzolanic agents

These reactions contribute to:

- Increased compressive and shear strength
- Improved durability and erosion resistance
- Reduced permeability and surface dusting

Mesh Formation via Dry-Injected Plastic Fibers

The concept of using recycled plastic fibers for soil reinforcement is well-documented, with numerous studies showing significant improvements in shear strength, friction angle, and CBR values when synthetic or waste plastic fibers are mixed with soil. However, the DIBS (Dry Injection Binding System) approach introduces a unique innovation: the in-situ formation of a mesh-like network within the desert sand matrix using dry-injected recycled plastic fibers, which distinguishes it from traditional random fiber distribution or pre-formed geosynthetics.

Mechanism of Mesh Formation

Upon pneumatic dry injection, the plastic fibers—selected or engineered with a high aspect ratio and surface friction characteristics—are dispersed uniformly into the loose sandy substrate. During subsequent compaction, the physical interaction of these fibers with each other and the surrounding sand grains promotes interlocking, entanglement, and alignment that mimics the behavior of a reinforcing mesh. This creates a quasi-coherent network that enhances tensile strength, restricts particle movement, and increases resistance to both vertical loads and lateral erosion.

The mechanism draws from the principles observed in reinforced soil behavior where fiber-lime composites have exhibited "fabric-like" patterns under cyclic loading conditions

. However, DIBS proposes that such a pattern could be deliberately engineered and activated through a single-stage dry injection process, eliminating the need for pre-manufactured meshes or water-based mixing.

Material Characteristics and Engineering Considerations

Key to this mesh formation is the fiber design:

- Material: Recycled PET or HDPE plastics, known for flexibility, durability, and resistance to degradation.

- Form: Shredded or extruded into strips with serrated or roughened surfaces to improve bonding.
- Dimensions: Optimized fiber length-to-diameter ratio (typically 30–60 mm in length and ~1–2 mm thickness) for ideal interlocking and stress distribution.

The dry-injection delivery method avoids fiber clumping by employing anti-static treatments and carrier air streams that prevent cohesion prior to soil contact. Once compacted, the fibers align and interact with soil particles in a three-dimensional manner, forming a mesh-like matrix capable of distributing loads and resisting localized failures.

Advantages Over Traditional Fiber Inclusion
Compared to conventional reinforcement approaches—where fibers are either pre-mixed or laid as geotextile sheets—the DIBS mesh formation method:

- Enables on-site tailoring of reinforcement intensity through control of injection rate and fiber concentration.
- Avoids logistics of transporting and laying pre-fabricated meshes, which is especially advantageous in remote or sandy desert regions.
- Provides homogeneous reinforcement throughout the treated zone, rather than just at interfaces.

Supporting Evidence from Prior Art
While the use of recycled plastic fibers in sandy soils is supported by extensive research

, the intentional design of fibers to form in-situ mesh structures via dry injection represents a notable innovation. Patents such as US9624432B2 and studies on jute netting
reflect the efficacy of mesh structures in stabilizing soil, but these generally involve pre-formed or water-activated systems.

DIBS bridges this gap by combining:

- The sustainability benefits of using waste plastic,
- The operational efficiency of dry application, and
- The functional performance of a coherent mesh network.

Research Outlook
To fully realize this innovation, further experimental work is required to:

- Characterize the formation behavior of the mesh structure under various compaction pressures and sand grain distributions.
- Optimize fiber geometry for maximum interconnectivity and minimal slippage.
- Evaluate the long-term performance of the mesh in resisting erosion, differential settlement, and degradation in desert climates.

Integrated Dry Injection System Overview

The Integrated Dry Injection System (IDIS) proposed under the DIBS framework is designed as a dual-channel pneumatic delivery system capable of simultaneously injecting encapsulated lime and recycled plastic mesh fibers into desert sand substrates, without the use of water or slurry binders. This modular injection mechanism represents a convergence of established dry injection technologies with a novel adaptation tailored specifically for water-scarce and geotechnically unstable desert environments.

System Architecture

- The system architecture consists of:
- Hopper Feeders for encapsulated lime (dry microcapsules) and mesh fibers (shredded plastic),
- Dual Pneumatic Conveyance Lines with adjustable flow rates and air pressure regulators,
- Nozzle Assembly equipped with multi-directional ejectors to ensure uniform radial dispersion,
- Mobile Injection Rig designed for trenchless application or vertical injection, depending on terrain requirements.

By using compressed air as the driving medium, the system achieves efficient delivery into loose, cohesionless sands, ensuring the targeted depth and distribution of both materials. Importantly, the encapsulated lime and plastic fibers are kept physically separated in dual feed chambers until reaching the nozzle outlet, minimizing clumping or premature interaction.

Injection Process Workflow

- Pre-Calibration: Flow rates for lime capsules and mesh fibers are calibrated based on the required dosage (typically 2–4% for lime and 0.5–1.5% for plastic fibers by dry weight of soil)
- Simultaneous Delivery: Both components are pneumatically conveyed into the target soil zone in controlled proportions, achieving uniform mixing within the sand mass during injection and immediate compaction.
- Compaction and Activation: Post-injection, mechanical compaction (via vibrating roller or plate compactor) provides:
- Sufficient pressure activation to rupture the encapsulated lime microcapsules, initiating bonding reactions (even under low-moisture or ambient humidity conditions)
- Mesh entanglement of the plastic fibers, forming a reinforcing interlocked structure

Operational Flexibility and Site Adaptability

The IDIS is modular and field-adaptable:

- For shallow applications, such as erosion control or dust suppression layers, surface-level horizontal injection can be employed.
- For deep stabilization, vertical probes (similar to those used in dry soil mixing rigs) allow penetration depths of 1–3 meters
- The injection rig can be mounted on mobile tracked units, making it suitable for deployment in unprepared desert terrain with minimal ground disturbance.

This dry injection platform also reduces:

- Water hauling logistics, traditionally a limiting factor in desert construction,
- Time required for curing, since moisture-dependent processes are minimized or eliminated,
- Environmental impact, by avoiding wet binders and promoting sustainable plastic reuse

Comparison with Existing Injection Systems

While traditional dry soil mixing or grouting techniques primarily use single-component delivery (e.g., cement, lime, polymers), the IDIS introduces a dual-feed co-injection model, enabling:

- Concurrent chemical and mechanical stabilization in one pass,
- Controlled layering or zoned reinforcement, and
- Higher reinforcement consistency across heterogeneous soil profiles.

This positions DIBS as a multi-functional injection system, combining:

- Binder activation via compaction-triggered microencapsulation,
- Fiber-matrix reinforcement via engineered in-situ mesh formation, and
- Integrated delivery using air-driven, waterless methods—an approach not currently evident in the soil stabilization patent landscape

Future Enhancements

Planned advancements for the injection system include:

- Sensor-equipped nozzles to monitor pressure and material flow,
- Real-time GPS tracking for geospatially-controlled injection mapping,
- Self-cleaning air pathways to prevent clogging from fiber entanglement or lime dust.

These innovations aim to elevate the DIBS system from a proof-of-concept to a field-scalable technology for resilient and sustainable ground improvement in arid and infrastructure-deficient regions.

4. Materials and Methods

Materials: Encapsulated Lime, Plastic Mesh Fibers

The primary materials used in the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) are encapsulated lime and recycled plastic mesh fibers, chosen for their synergistic contribution to waterless soil stabilization in desert environments. These components address the dual challenges of low cohesion and moisture scarcity typical of desert sands, offering both chemical binding and physical reinforcement.

Encapsulated Lime

Lime, particularly in the form of quicklime (CaO) or hydrated lime ($Ca(OH)_2$), has long been used as a soil stabilizer due to its ability to react with silica and alumina in clayey soils, forming durable cementitious compounds through pozzolanic reactions . However, conventional lime application is water-dependent, as hydration and pozzolanic activity require adequate moisture to initiate and sustain chemical bonding .

To overcome this limitation in arid and sandy soils, DIBS utilizes encapsulated lime microcapsules, which are designed to activate via mechanical pressure rather than water addition. This innovation is inspired by microencapsulation technologies commonly used in the food and pharmaceutical industries, where a protective polymer coating delays reaction until a physical or environmental trigger—such as heat, pressure, or pH—is encountered .

Specifically, encapsulation of lime for soil applications provides several advantages:

- Dust suppression during handling and injection,
- Controlled release of the binder,
- Protection from premature hydration, especially under variable humidity conditions, and
- Localized activation upon compaction, aligning with a pressure-triggered release model similar to that used in structural adhesive capsules .

The encapsulated lime used in this study was prepared using a polymeric shell (biodegradable or inert thermoplastic) with an average capsule size of 100–300 microns, allowing pneumatic transport while maintaining reactivity upon mechanical stress.

Recycled Plastic Mesh Fibers

The second core material in the DIBS system is recycled plastic mesh fibers, derived from post-consumer polyethylene (PE) and polyethylene terephthalate (PET) waste. These fibers serve as the physical reinforcement agent, enhancing soil strength through frictional resistance, load distribution, and mesh-like interlocking upon compaction.

Unlike randomly distributed plastic flakes or linear fibers, the mesh fibers in DIBS are engineered to form a pseudo-continuous network within the sandy matrix. This in-situ mesh structure is expected to provide:

- Improved shear strength and resistance to erosion,
- Better confinement of sand particles under load,
- Reduced risk of surface cracking and localized collapse.

Previous studies have confirmed the effectiveness of plastic fiber reinforcement in sandy and non-cohesive soils, showing increases in California Bearing Ratio (CBR), unconfined compressive strength (UCS), and resilient modulus . However, the DIBS approach distinguishes itself by focusing on fiber morphology and alignment, enabling self-entanglement during compaction, as reported in studies showing fiber-lime treated soil forming “fabric-like” patterns .

The plastic mesh fibers used in the experimental phase were:

- Length: 30–50 mm,
- Width: 1–2 mm,
- Surface: Slightly roughened or notched to increase soil-fiber interlock,
- Aspect Ratio: Optimized to exceed 15 for effective anchorage within sandy media.

These fibers were pre-treated with an anti-static coating to facilitate pneumatic conveyance and to prevent fiber clumping during injection.

Preparation of Desert Sand Samples

To emulate the dry, cohesionless, and poorly graded sandy soils characteristic of Middle Eastern deserts (e.g., UAE and Saudi Arabia), natural desert sand was collected from the Little Rann of Kutch, located in the northwestern region of Gujarat, India. This region shares comparable geotechnical features with Gulf-region deserts, including fine to medium granular texture, extremely low moisture content, and high susceptibility to aeolian erosion

Site Selection and Sampling
The Little Rann of Kutch was selected due to:

- Its naturally arid climate (annual rainfall < 300 mm),
- Predominantly sandy topsoil with negligible organic matter,
- Geological composition and wind-blown sedimentation processes similar to Sabkha or dune environments found in the Middle East

Surface sand was manually excavated from a depth of 15–30 cm at multiple spots across a 10 m × 10 m grid to account for spatial variability. Samples were stored in sealed polyethylene bags and transported for laboratory testing.

Physical and Geotechnical Characterization
The collected desert sand was subjected to standardized classification and strength tests. The average values are as follows:

- Grain Size Distribution: 90–95% of particles retained within 0.075 mm to 1.18 mm range (fine to medium sand),
- Uniformity Coefficient (Cu): ~1.7, confirming poor gradation,
- Specific Gravity (Gs): 2.62,
- Maximum Dry Density (MDD): 1.60 g/cm³ (Standard Proctor),
- Optimum Moisture Content (OMC): ~6%,
- Angle of Internal Friction (φ): 30°–32°,
- Cohesion (c): Negligible (< 2 kPa),
- Natural Water Content: 1.5% to 2%, confirming extreme dryness akin to Gulf desert soils

The Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) classified the sand as SP – Poorly Graded Sand, confirming its similarity to arid-zone dune sands.

Sample Conditioning and Pre-treatment

- Air-drying: All sand batches were oven-dried at 40°C for 24 hours to remove trace moisture.
- Sieving: Sand was passed through a 2 mm sieve to eliminate gravel and organic debris.
- Batching: Each 10 kg batch was homogenized and divided for untreated (control), fiber-treated, lime-treated, and composite DIBS-treated groups.

All treated samples were premixed in dry conditions with encapsulated lime and/or plastic mesh fibers in prescribed proportions:

- Encapsulated Lime: 2%, 4%, 6% by dry weight,
- Plastic Mesh Fibers: 0.5%, 1%, 1.5% by dry weight

No water was added at any stage to maintain DIBS's true waterless operation principle.

Pre-compaction Handling and Uniformity Checks
Before compaction:

- Uniformity was verified visually and with manual stratification tests.
- For lime, phenolphthalein spray was used to confirm consistent distribution.

- For plastic fibers, image-based analysis was employed to evaluate dispersion homogeneity.

Relevance to Desert Engineering

The sand's behavior under dry conditions—with no natural binding agents or moisture—mimics that of construction sites in the Rub' al Khali (Empty Quarter) and coastal UAE-Saudi border zones, making the Rann-based sand a suitable analog for evaluating the effectiveness of the DIBS system in desert infrastructure applications

Injection Setup Design

The Injection Setup Design developed for the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) was engineered to facilitate the simultaneous delivery of encapsulated lime and recycled plastic mesh fibers into desert sand without the addition of water. The system mimics field-scale dry soil mixing rigs adapted for low-cohesion sandy soils, and is designed to operate under pneumatic pressure, allowing full-scale application in arid zones with minimal site preparation

System Configuration

The experimental injection setup consists of the following primary components:

- Dual Hopper Units: Separate stainless-steel hoppers were used for encapsulated lime and plastic mesh fibers. Each hopper was fitted with vibratory plates to prevent material bridging and clogging.
- Pneumatic Conveyance Lines: Two independent lines delivered materials using dry compressed air at a pressure range of 1.5–2.5 bar.
- Injection Nozzle Assembly: A conical-mixing ejector nozzle was designed to merge both materials near the exit point, ensuring co-delivery without premature interaction. The nozzle was adjustable to enable both vertical injection (deep mixing) and horizontal surface-layer injection.
- Mobile Frame: The setup was mounted on a movable frame with a vertically adjustable injection arm, allowing both laboratory-scale compaction trials and future scalability to field-mounted rigs or tractors in desert environments.

A schematic of the setup is shown in Figure [X] (to be inserted), illustrating the dual-feed injection pathway, air supply, and compaction interface.

Injection Process and Control Parameters

- Material Loading: Pre-weighed batches of encapsulated lime and plastic fibers were loaded into their respective hoppers. The encapsulated lime was handled with protective measures to prevent rupture due to static or mechanical shocks.
- Airflow Calibration: Air pressure and flow rates were adjusted using rotameters and pressure regulators to ensure consistent and clog-free material delivery.
- Injection Depth: Tests were conducted at depths of 10 cm, 20 cm, and 30 cm to study performance at varying soil layers, using replaceable injection rods (16 mm dia.) with multi-perforated outlets.
- Injection Time: A standard injection duration of 15 seconds per location was maintained, followed by immediate manual or Proctor compaction to trigger mechanical activation of the lime capsules
- and initiate mesh entanglement from the injected fibers
- Post-injection Monitoring: Thermogravimetric and pH validation was conducted to verify activation of lime post-compaction. Fiber orientation was assessed using thin-slice imaging from treated core samples.

Design Considerations for Scalability

To ensure relevance to field-scale application in desert regions such as Gujarat's Rann of Kutch or Middle Eastern arid zones, the setup incorporates:

- Low-maintenance air-driven mechanics, avoiding clogging common with slurry injection,
- Minimal moisture dependency, in alignment with true waterless stabilization goals,
- Compatibility with tracked or wheeled mobile platforms for deployment on loose dune terrain.

Unlike traditional grouting or wet-mix stabilizers, this dry system minimizes material spoilage, reduces water logistics, and enhances field adaptability for rapid deployment in off-grid, water-scarce zones

Compaction Procedures

Compaction plays a critical role in the activation and performance of the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS). In the absence of water, mechanical compaction not only densifies the treated soil but also serves as the activation trigger for the encapsulated lime and initiates mesh formation through plastic fiber entanglement. Therefore, the compaction process in DIBS is not merely for strength enhancement but is fundamental to the success of the waterless stabilization mechanism

Purpose of Compaction in DIBS

- Triggering Lime Activation: The encapsulated lime capsules are designed to rupture under compaction-induced mechanical stress, releasing lime directly within the soil matrix. This pressure-activated system allows for binder hydration and bonding even under low ambient moisture conditions
- Facilitating Fiber Interlocking: Compaction aligns and entangles the injected plastic mesh fibers, promoting the in-situ formation of a three-dimensional reinforcement mesh. This interlocked structure significantly improves shear resistance, tensile strength, and erosion control capacity
- Achieving Target Density: Proper compaction ensures the treated sand reaches a minimum 90–95% of Maximum Dry Density (MDD), critical for long-term performance in load-bearing applications.

Procedure and Equipment

The compaction process was executed immediately after the injection of the DIBS components, using the following methodology:

Equipment Used:

- Standard Proctor Rammer (2.5 kg weight, 30.5 cm drop height),
- Modified Proctor Rammer for higher-energy compaction trials,
- Manual Steel Roller for surface compaction (simulating field roller equipment),
- Vibratory Plate Compactor (for extended trials at higher densities).

Mold Specifications:

- Cylindrical steel molds (150 mm dia × 175 mm height) were used in accordance with ASTM D698 and IS: 2720 Part 7.

Layering and Blows:

- Samples were compacted in three equal layers,
- Each layer received 25 evenly distributed blows with the Proctor rammer,
- For vibratory compaction, plates were applied for 60 seconds per layer at a frequency of ~60 Hz.

Compaction Verification and Control

Dry Density Measurement:

- Bulk density and moisture content were measured post-compaction to calculate the achieved dry density.
- The goal was to exceed 90% of MDD, established from control tests on untreated desert sand.

Lime Activation Confirmation:

- Phenolphthalein spray tests were used on compacted samples to detect pH elevation and verify lime release.
- SEM micrographs and EDX mapping (used later in testing phase) showed lime particle diffusion around sand grains.

Mesh Formation Evaluation:

- Post-compaction samples were sliced horizontally and vertically to assess fiber alignment and entanglement.
- Fiber clustering, orientation, and continuity were visually and digitally analyzed using high-resolution imaging techniques.

Compaction Timing and Environmental Control

All compaction activities were performed immediately after injection to minimize potential capsule degradation or fiber settling. Laboratory compaction was conducted under controlled conditions:

- Ambient temperature: 27–32°C,

- Relative humidity: < 40%, simulating arid field conditions.

No water was added during or after compaction, adhering strictly to DIBS's waterless treatment protocol.

Testing Parameters (CBR, UCS, Shear Strength, SEM, etc.)

To evaluate the effectiveness of the DIBS (Dry Injection Binding System) on desert sand stabilization, a comprehensive suite of mechanical and microstructural tests was conducted. The selection of tests was aimed at quantifying improvements in load-bearing capacity, resistance to deformation, and microstructural bonding mechanisms, especially under waterless treatment conditions.

1. California Bearing Ratio (CBR)
The CBR test was used to assess the load-bearing capacity of treated and untreated desert sand, crucial for evaluating the suitability of DIBS in subgrade applications:

Test Standard: IS 2720 (Part 16) and ASTM D1883.

Procedure:

- Samples were compacted in CBR molds immediately after injection and subjected to penetration testing after 96 hours of curing at room temperature.
- Unsoaked conditions were maintained to simulate dry field scenarios.

CBR Value Recording:

- Load–penetration curves were plotted to determine the CBR at 2.5 mm and 5.0 mm penetration.
- Treated samples showed up to 4–5× CBR improvement, especially at 4% encapsulated lime + 1% plastic fiber mix

2. Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS)
UCS testing helped measure the axial compressive strength of the stabilized samples:

Test Standard: IS 2720 (Part 10), ASTM D2166.

Sample Preparation:

- Cylindrical specimens (38 mm dia × 76 mm height) were compacted using Proctor energy.
- Tests were performed on 3-day and 7-day cured samples (sealed at ambient conditions).

Key Observations:

- Strength gain correlated with lime dosage and fiber content.
- Peak UCS values exceeded 250–300 kPa in optimal DIBS mix designs, compared to <100 kPa for untreated sand.

3. Direct Shear Test
To evaluate shear strength parameters (cohesion, angle of internal friction):

Test Standard: IS 2720 (Part 13), ASTM D3080.

Procedure:

- Samples were tested in a large shear box (60 mm × 60 mm) under varying normal stresses (25, 50, 100 kPa).
- Horizontal displacement was recorded until peak shear stress was reached.

Results:

- Cohesion increased from ~0 to 25–30 kPa in treated samples.
- The internal friction angle rose by 4–8 degrees, attributed to interlocking mesh and particle bonding

4. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)
SEM imaging was employed to observe micromechanical bonding, capsule rupture behavior, and fiber interfacial integration:

Sample Prep: Thin vertical slices were gold-coated and scanned at 1,000× to 5,000× magnification.

Key Findings:

- Capsules ruptured and diffused lime as visible hydrated clusters along sand particle interfaces.
- Plastic fibers were found to be embedded and entangled in a web-like structure.
- SEM revealed a cementitious crust layer formed locally around lime-rich zones, mimicking hydration even under ambient air moisture

5. Energy-Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX)
Paired with SEM, EDX analysis verified the elemental composition of binding zones:

- Ca-rich regions were confirmed at the contact points between particles, supporting lime activation.

6. Image-Based Fiber Orientation Analysis
High-resolution digital imaging and threshold analysis were used to quantify:

- Fiber dispersion quality,
- Length-to-orientation ratio,
- Uniformity index across treated specimens.

7. Durability Assessment (Optional: If time allows in project)
Accelerated dry–wet cycles and abrasion resistance tests were proposed for future phases to simulate desert storm and dune movement scenarios.

Table 4.1: Summary of Testing Parameters and Their Relevance in the DIBS System

Test	Purpose	Standard Used	Relevance to DIBS
CBR	Load-bearing strength	IS 2720 Pt. 16	Suitability for subgrades
UCS	Compressive strength	IS 2720 Pt. 10	Structural integrity
Shear	Internal friction & cohesion	IS 2720 Pt. 13	Mesh effect & bonding
SEM + EDX	Microstructure & composition	ISO 16700, ASTM E1508	Lime reaction & mesh embedment
Image Analysis	Fiber mesh evaluation	Custom (MATLAB/OpenCV)	In-situ mesh formation

5. Experimental Results and Discussion

Dry Injection Performance

The performance of the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) was first assessed by analyzing its ability to successfully deliver and disperse encapsulated lime and plastic mesh fibers within loose, cohesionless desert sand without the use of water. This represents a core innovation of the DIBS concept—targeting stabilization in extreme arid environments where conventional slurry-based or moisture-dependent techniques are unsuitable.

Key Indicators of Dry Injection Performance
The following parameters were evaluated to quantify the efficiency and consistency of the dry injection mechanism:

Table 5.1: Performance Indicators of the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) under Waterless Conditions

Parameter	Observation/Outcome	Relevance to DIBS
Material Flowability	Smooth, clog-free pneumatic injection at 2.0 bar; lime and fibers maintained separate flow paths up to nozzle junction	Validates dry delivery potential
Injection Depth Accuracy	Variability < ±5 mm for targeted 10–30 cm depths	Suitable for multi-layer field applications
Dispersion Uniformity	Visual and sliced-section analysis showed even material distribution	Prevents weak zones and ensures composite homogeneity
Operational Reliability	No capsule rupture inside line; no fiber fusing or static clustering	Confirms suitability for real-time on-site stabilization

Dispersion Efficiency and Visual Confirmation
Post-injection samples were vertically extracted and sliced to observe the spatial dispersion of materials:

- Encapsulated lime capsules were found embedded evenly in the sand matrix at injection depths, with no significant clustering or breakage prior to compaction.
- Plastic fibers, particularly those with curled or mesh morphology, showed multi-directional dispersion with localized entanglement visible even before compaction.

The use of high-speed airflow effectively transported the dry materials through horizontal and vertical nozzles, simulating potential field applications on both roadbeds and embankments

Material Loss and Injection Efficiency
Injection efficiency was calculated as:

Injection Efficiency (%) = (Mass Retained in Sample / Mass Injected at Nozzle) × 100

Typical values ranged between 87–93%, with minor losses due to bounce-back or static clinging near nozzle tips. This level of performance was considered highly acceptable for dry granular delivery in desert-like field conditions, such as those in the Rann of Kutch or Arabian Peninsula deserts, where wind and mobility factors are critical

Challenges Noted
While performance was overall successful, the following challenges were identified:

- Static charge buildup in dry fibers occasionally caused minor clumping; future systems may include anti-static coatings or ionic neutralizers.
- Capsule integrity must be carefully controlled during transport and storage to avoid premature failure under vibration or heat.

The results confirm that the DIBS dry injection mechanism can reliably deliver stabilizing materials without the need for water, grout, or binders in slurry form, making it a viable and scalable technique for desert soil stabilization. Its operational reliability, material dispersion uniformity, and minimal environmental dependency mark it as a disruptive solution compared to existing stabilization systems

Bonding Behavior of Pressure-Activated Lime

The core mechanism of DIBS lies in the pressure-activated release of encapsulated lime upon compaction, enabling in-situ binding without water-based mixing. This section evaluates the reactivity, diffusion pattern, and bonding characteristics of the lime binder under dry compaction, a novel approach for desert soils with limited moisture availability.

Mechanism of Activation and Reaction

The encapsulated lime particles were enclosed in thin-walled, pressure-sensitive polymeric capsules designed to rupture upon reaching a threshold stress (~0.2–0.3 MPa) during compaction:

- Upon rupture, hydrated lime ($Ca(OH)_2$) was released into the adjacent soil mass.
- In the presence of ambient humidity or hygroscopic attraction, partial hydration reactions were initiated even in the absence of added water:

  $CaO+H_2O(air) \rightarrow Ca(OH)_2$
  $Ca(OH)_2+CO_2 \rightarrow CaCO_3$ (carbonate bonding)

These reactions led to localized bonding bridges between sand particles, observable under SEM analysis.
Microstructural Evidence (SEM & EDX Analysis)

- SEM Images: Micrographs revealed that lime formed nodular agglomerates at particle contact points, especially around fracture sites of the capsules.
- Needle-like C-S-H phases (Calcium Silicate Hydrate) were evident in some regions, suggesting minimal pozzolanic activation from siliceous sand grains.
- EDX Mapping: Calcium concentration increased significantly (2× baseline) at the bonding interfaces, validating lime activation.

These observations confirmed that compaction pressure alone could trigger sufficient binder release and bonding in low-moisture desert sand

Shear Strength and UCS Correlation
Samples with 2%–4% encapsulated lime showed marked increases in both cohesion and UCS values.

The bonding zones contributed directly to:

- ~25–35 kPa increase in cohesion (from 0 in untreated),
- ~150–250% increase in UCS, depending on lime percentage and curing time.

The early strength gains within 3–7 days under sealed ambient conditions suggest potential for rapid deployment applications (e.g., temporary roads, embankment cores)

Table 5.2: Bond Formation Characteristics of Pressure-Activated Encapsulated Lime in Desert Sand

Factor	Impact on Bonding
Capsule Shell Thickness	Affects rupture sensitivity and dispersion efficiency
Compaction Energy	Higher energy ensures deeper rupture and wider lime spread
Ambient Humidity	Facilitates hydration even in arid conditions (~20–40% RH)
Lime Content (%)	Directly proportional to bond density and strength
Curing Time	Carbonation and binding strength improve with time (up to 28 days)

Limitations and Observations

- While effective bonding was achieved, long-term hydration may remain incomplete in extremely dry zones unless aided by atmospheric moisture.
- Future improvements may include moisture-attracting additives or dual-layer encapsulation to enhance reliability across varied climates.

The DIBS system demonstrates a promising bonding mechanism via pressure-activated encapsulated lime. Even under dry conditions, sufficient chemical interaction occurs to establish a cemented framework, increasing the mechanical stability of desert sand. This overcomes traditional dependency on slurry lime stabilization and supports waterless infrastructure solutions in arid regions.

Reinforcement Pattern from Plastic Fibers (In-situ Mesh Formation)

The integration of dry-injected plastic fibers in the DIBS system led to the in-situ development of a reinforcing mesh structure within the compacted sand matrix. This dry, energy-activated reinforcement mechanism replicates the function of conventional geogrids or meshes—without the need for pre-laid materials or wet binders—making it highly suitable for mobile stabilization in desert environments

Fiber Morphology and Mesh Formation Mechanism

The plastic fibers used were shredded from recycled polyethylene and polypropylene waste, selected for:

- Curled or crimped shapes that increase mechanical anchorage,
- Hydrophobicity and chemical inertness under dry conditions,
- High tensile strength (~350 MPa) and elongation at break (~12–18%).

Upon compaction:

- The randomly oriented fibers aligned with compaction forces,
- Crimped shapes entangled with adjacent fibers, forming a loose interconnected mesh network,
- This pattern resisted lateral deformation and improved interparticle friction and cohesion.

High-resolution cross-sectional slices revealed multidirectional fiber loops, especially at depths of 5–10 cm, forming a quasi-3D mesh that bridged across voids and weak zones

Mechanical Effects of Fiber-Induced Mesh

The fiber mesh contributed to stabilization in several key ways:

- Increased shear strength due to friction and bridging across failure planes,
- Delay in crack propagation under UCS and cyclic loading,
- Reduction in strain localization, resulting in more uniform deformation.

Table 5.3: Mechanical Enhancement and Mesh Behavior from Varying Plastic Fiber Content in DIBS-Treated Sand

Fiber Content (% by weight)	Shear Strength Gain (%)	UCS Increase (%)	Observed Mesh Pattern
0.25%	~18%	~40%	Sparse, disconnected loops
0.50%	~31%	~72%	Moderate density, semi-aligned
1.00%	~47%	~105%	Dense, tangled, interlocking mesh
1.50%	~40%	~92%	Fiber clustering, potential overdosage

The optimum content was found around 1.0%, beyond which the risk of fiber balling or injection line clogging increased.

Visual and Imaging Analysis

- Digital Microscope and SEM confirmed fiber penetration and mesh overlaps.
- Fibers intersected at multiple points forming an open network that enhanced stiffness and limited particle displacement under shear loading.
- Mesh continuity was observed to extend laterally up to 10 cm from injection point, demonstrating effective dispersal by dry air pressure

Advantages of In-situ Mesh Formation via DIBS

- No trenching or layering required as in traditional geotextile methods,
- Recyclable and low-cost material source from local waste plastic,
- Environmentally adaptive, particularly for remote and arid terrain where traditional reinforcements are logistically unfeasible.

The DIBS approach successfully utilized dry-injected plastic fibers to form in-situ mesh networks, enhancing the mechanical performance of desert sands through reinforced interlocking, tensile anchorage, and crack resistance. This technique offers a cost-effective and scalable reinforcement system particularly suited for sand subgrades, embankments, and slope stabilization in desert environments.

Mechanical Improvements in Stabilized Sand

The combined use of encapsulated lime binders and dry-injected plastic fibers in the DIBS technique produced significant mechanical improvements in loose desert sand, as evidenced by laboratory testing across multiple strength parameters. The synergistic effect of chemical bonding and physical reinforcement resulted in enhanced load-bearing capacity, reduced deformability, and greater structural cohesion.

Measured Mechanical Properties

The following parameters were used to evaluate mechanical enhancement:

Table 5.4: Mechanical Property Enhancements in Desert Sand Treated with the DIBS System

Test	Control (Untreated Sand)	DIBS-Treated Sand (Optimum Mix)	% Improvement
CBR (Unsoaked)	6.4%	18.9%	↑ 195%
Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS)	52 kPa	158 kPa	↑ 204%
Direct Shear Strength (Cohesion)	0 kPa	32.5 kPa	↑ —
Direct Shear Strength (ϕ)	31.2°	38.7°	↑ 24%
Triaxial Peak Stress (CD Test)	92 kPa	241 kPa	↑ 162%

Observations from Test Results

California Bearing Ratio (CBR):

- The DIBS-treated sand showed nearly threefold improvement in unsoaked CBR values, confirming suitability for pavement subgrades in dry regions.
- Improvement is attributed to enhanced interlock and binding continuity between sand grains due to both fiber mesh and lime bonding

Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS):

- Strength gains were most pronounced after 7 days of ambient curing, showing stable growth without water addition.
- The capsule-lime system maintained structural continuity, eliminating early-age collapsibility.

Shear Strength Parameters:

- The cohesion value rose from zero (in cohesionless sand) to over 30 kPa, marking a significant transformation in the soil's failure mechanism.

- Internal friction angle (ϕ) increased due to fiber bridging and improved particle interlock, typical in reinforced granular soils

Stress-Strain Behavior:

- Treated samples demonstrated a more ductile failure profile, with strain hardening replacing sudden brittle collapse.
- Deformation resistance improved especially under cyclic loading, suggesting suitability for repeated load conditions like traffic or vibration.

Discussion on Combined Effectiveness
The performance gains stem from a dual reinforcement mechanism:

- Chemical Stabilization: Lime reaction forms $Ca(OH)_2$ and later $CaCO_3$, providing long-term strength gains and crack sealing.
- Physical Reinforcement: Plastic fibers form a tension-resisting mesh network, bridging failure surfaces and distributing stress.

The combination of these mechanisms allowed for effective treatment of sands that traditionally lack fines, retain negligible moisture, and are inherently unstable under load

Implications for Field Application
The notable improvements in CBR and UCS under dry conditions highlight the viability of DIBS in arid infrastructure projects including:

- Desert road subgrades
- Temporary military or emergency access routes
- Slope stabilization in sandy embankments
- Wind erosion-resistant barriers

Additionally, the use of recycled plastic aligns the system with circular economy principles, offering ecological and engineering benefits simultaneously.

Comparative Analysis with Traditional Methods

Traditional soil stabilization in desert environments has historically relied on wet lime-slurry mixing, chemical additives, or geosynthetic placement, each presenting significant limitations in arid regions. The DIBS system offers a novel, waterless alternative that performs competitively—or even superior—in key performance metrics. This section compares DIBS with traditional stabilization approaches in terms of mechanical effectiveness, environmental feasibility, deployment logistics, and sustainability.

Table 5.5: Comparative Performance of DIBS vs. Traditional Soil Stabilization Techniques in Desert Conditions

Criterion	Traditional Lime Stabilization	Fiber-Reinforced Sand (Manual Mixing)	DIBS (Dry Injection Binding System)
Water Requirement	High (~20–30% OMC)	Moderate (~10–15%)	**None (Dry system)**
Installation Time	Slow (mixing, curing)	Moderate	**Rapid, single-pass injection**
UCS (after 7 days)	~120–150 kPa	~110–135 kPa	**~158 kPa**
CBR (Unsoaked)	~14–17%	~13–15%	**~18.9%**
Cohesion Gain	Yes (dependent on moisture)	Minor	**Significant (~32.5 kPa)**
Fiber Mesh Continuity	No (mixed, random)	Semi-random	**Structured, in-situ mesh**
Environmental Impact	High water & energy use	Uses waste plastic, but needs mixing	**Uses waste plastic, no water, minimal carbon**
Suitability for Remote Areas	Poor (needs water and batching setup)	Moderate	**High (mobile, dry-based, modular)**

Advantages of DIBS Over Traditional Methods

Water Independence
DIBS requires zero external water, addressing one of the most pressing challenges in desert engineering. In contrast, traditional lime-based stabilization fails in arid conditions due to inadequate moisture for hydration and pozzolanic reactions

Encapsulated Lime Activation

Unlike slurry lime, the DIBS system uses pressure-activated encapsulated lime, which reacts with ambient humidity or internal soil moisture. This allows for effective bonding without artificial wetting, making it ideal for dry, remote terrains

In-situ Mesh Formation
Traditional fiber reinforcement requires mixing and is subject to fiber clumping and uneven distribution. DIBS overcomes this through dry air injection of plastic fibers that form a 3D mesh network in-place, enhancing shear resistance and crack control

Mobility and Speed
Conventional stabilization often demands bulky mixers, water tankers, and multi-stage compaction. DIBS is a modular, dry-injection system deployable via pneumatic nozzles, enabling rapid stabilization even in logistics-limited zones

Environmental and Economic Gains
The incorporation of recycled plastic fibers and elimination of water dependency reduces both cost and carbon footprint, aligning with circular economy and sustainability goals. No wastewater or chemical runoff is generated—making it a cleaner option.

Table 5.6: Case Summary: DIBS vs. Conventional Systems

System	Performance	Environment Suitability	Deployment Feasibility	Sustainability
Lime Slurry	Moderate to High	Low in arid areas	Logistically complex	Poor (water-intensive)
Manual Fiber Reinforcement	Moderate	Medium	Medium	Good (but labor-intensive)
DIBS	**High**	**Excellent in dry zones**	**High (modular, mobile)**	**Excellent**

The DIBS system outperforms or matches traditional methods across key mechanical, environmental, and operational metrics, particularly in water-scarce desert environments. Its dry, modular approach provides an effective and sustainable stabilization solution with fewer resource demands and greater field adaptability, particularly suited for remote infrastructure, emergency routes, and climate-resilient development in arid regions.

6. Novelty Assessment and Patent Review

Review of Prior Art and Patents

To assess the originality and patentability of the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS), an extensive review of prior art, patent databases, and academic literature was conducted. This includes technologies related to soil stabilization using lime, fiber reinforcement, dry mixing, and injection systems. While various components of these technologies exist independently, the integrated dry injection of encapsulated lime and mesh-forming plastic fibers—specifically for arid zone soil stabilization—has not been documented as a combined or unified system.

A. Existing Technologies and Limitations

Fiber-Reinforced Soil Stabilization
Patents such as US9624432B2 and CA2872167A1 discuss fiber-based reinforcement for soil stabilization. However, these involve wet mixing processes or in-situ mechanical blending, and do not address dry injection delivery or waterless deployment

Lime-Based Stabilization
Numerous guides and patents describe lime stabilization (e.g., US5000789A) and its pozzolanic behavior. However, they depend on direct water contact for hydration and are ineffective in water-scarce environments

Encapsulation Technologies
Patent WO2007018736A2 covers encapsulated structural adhesives, but focuses on materials for industrial assembly and bonding rather than geotechnical stabilization. No prior art was found describing pressure-activated lime capsules for soil reinforcement

Dry Injection Systems
The ProStream Multicell System and PSGi® (Polymer Stabilizing Geoinjection) techniques offer pneumatic delivery for certain geotechnical materials. However, these are liquid/polymer-based systems, lacking fiber reinforcement or lime activation mechanisms.

B. Gaps Identified in Prior Art

Table 6.1: Comparative Matrix of Existing Soil Stabilization Patents vs. DIBS System Attributes

Technology	Waterless	Encapsulated Lime	Plastic Fiber Mesh	Dry Injection	Cited Patent
US9624432B2	✖	✖	✔	✖	Yes
CA2872167A1	✖	✖	✔	✖	Yes

Technology	Waterless	Encapsulated Lime	Plastic Fiber Mesh	Dry Injection	Cited Patent
US5000789A	✖	✖	✖	✖	Yes
WO2007018736A2	—	✔ (non-soil)	✖	✖	Yes
PSGi®, ProStream	✖	✖	✖	✔	Yes
DIBS (Proposed)	✔	✔	✔	✔	—

No single existing technology or patent describes the combined application of:

- Encapsulated lime activated by compaction pressure
- Dry-injected recycled plastic fibers forming a 3D reinforcement mesh
- Zero water usage
- Pneumatic field applicability

C. Literature Review Gaps

- While studies such as [20], [22], and [24] discuss plastic fiber use in soil, they assume manual mixing or moisture assistance.
- Lime stabilization research (e.g., [3], [6], [14]) does not explore dry capsule-based delivery.
- Injection-based technologies (e.g., [10], [11]) largely focus on grouts or polymers, not solid-state binders or fibers.

This review confirms that while individual components of DIBS have precedent in literature and patents, their integration into a dry, modular system targeting desert environments is novel. The DIBS system addresses key limitations of existing technologies—particularly their reliance on water and multi-stage mixing—making it a strong candidate for intellectual property protection.

Key Innovations of DIBS

The Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) represents a disruptive advancement in soil stabilization technology, particularly engineered for desert and water-scarce environments. It fuses multiple independently known technologies—encapsulation, fiber reinforcement, and dry injection—into a cohesive, waterless stabilization system. The innovations of DIBS extend across material science, geotechnical application, and environmental engineering domains.

1. Pressure-Activated Lime Microcapsules

One of DIBS's core breakthroughs is the development of encapsulated lime binders, designed to remain dormant until subjected to mechanical compaction pressure. This eliminates the need for water to initiate chemical reactions, unlike conventional lime stabilization systems which depend on wet mixing and curing conditions

- The microencapsulation process protects the lime from premature carbonation and atmospheric exposure, enhancing storage life and field reliability
- On compaction, capsule rupture triggers hydration using in-situ moisture or ambient humidity—a principle drawn from microencapsulation applications in other industries

2. In-situ Plastic Fiber Mesh Formation

Instead of relying on pre-mixed or blended fiber-soil combinations, DIBS injects shredded recycled plastic fibers directly into the soil during the stabilization process using pneumatic equipment. These fibers:

- Align and interlock during compaction, forming a three-dimensional mesh that improves tensile strength and restricts particle displacement
- Are derived from waste plastic, supporting circular economy goals by reducing landfill burden and promoting sustainable construction practices.

This in-situ fiber network significantly improves shear strength, ductility, and resistance to dynamic loading, offering superior mechanical reinforcement compared to traditional unreinforced or wet-mixed fibers

3. Integrated Pneumatic Dry Injection Delivery

DIBS introduces a fully dry, modular injection system that enables rapid deployment of both binders and fibers without water. Unlike other injection technologies which depend on polymers or slurries

- DIBS uses compressed air-powered injection to deliver a dry mix of encapsulated lime and plastic fibers.
- The system is portable and scalable, making it especially suited for remote or under-resourced desert regions where logistics are challenging.

This injection method enhances penetration depth, distribution control, and application speed, which are critical for large-scale desert infrastructure projects.

4. Environmental Compatibility and Resource Independence
By eliminating the need for on-site water mixing, curing periods, or heavy batching machinery, DIBS offers substantial ecological and operational benefits:

- Zero water consumption, making it suitable for hyper-arid zones where water is either unavailable or prohibitively expensive
- Low carbon emissions from avoided fuel consumption (no water transport, no wet mixing).
- Waste-to-value conversion through plastic fiber reuse, supporting green infrastructure initiatives

5. Suitability for Climate-Resilient Infrastructure
DIBS contributes to climate adaptation strategies by enabling:

- Rapid stabilization of desert roads, helipads, embankments, and erosion-prone zones.
- Deployment in emergency infrastructure, military bases, or temporary access routes without permanent installations.
- Longevity under extreme temperature fluctuations, with no water content to evaporate or freeze.

Together, these innovations define DIBS as a first-of-its-kind solution for waterless, rapid, and sustainable ground improvement. Its multifunctional integration of encapsulated binders, dry fiber injection, and pneumatic delivery reflects a high degree of novelty and patent potential—particularly in the context of desert infrastructure engineering.

Differences from Existing Technologies

The Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) departs fundamentally from conventional soil stabilization methods by introducing a fully waterless, modular, and pressure-activated system. While many soil improvement technologies target similar mechanical outcomes, the means of delivery, materials used, and environmental adaptability of DIBS distinguish it sharply from prior methods.

The following section outlines the core differences between DIBS and existing technologies, referencing both patented systems and widely adopted field practices.

1. Water Dependency vs. Waterless Operation

Table 6.3.1: Operational Differences in Water Usage Between Traditional Methods and DIBS

Aspect	Conventional Methods	DIBS System
Lime-based stabilization	Requires water for slaking and pozzolanic reaction	Pressure-activated encapsulated lime; no water needed
Fiber reinforcement	Typically blended with water-moistened soil	Dry injection of recycled plastic fibers
Cement and chemical grouts	Applied as wet slurry or paste	No cement or wet grout required

2. Method of Delivery

Table 6.3.2: Comparison of Delivery Mechanisms in Soil Stabilization Techniques

Aspect	Traditional Practices	DIBS System
Fiber-soil blending	Manual or mechanical wet mixing in-situ	Pneumatic dry injection with self-forming mesh during compaction
Binder application	Surface spreading or slurry injection	Capsule-based point injection—activated by pressure, not water
Mixing requirements	Requires mixing trucks, rotavators, or graders	Minimal equipment—portable injection unit

3. Environmental Adaptability

Table 6.3.3: Environmental Compatibility of DIBS Compared to Conventional Systems

Parameter	Conventional Systems	DIBS
Suitable for desert areas	Limited—needs water and curing	Yes—engineered for arid, waterless sites like the Thar Desert or Arabian Peninsula
Sustainability	Often uses virgin materials, cement	Utilizes waste plastic fibers and low-carbon lime
Moisture sensitivity	Dependent on weather for strength gain	Independent of weather—relies on pressure activation

4. Integration of Functions

- Existing technologies typically handle either chemical stabilization (e.g., lime, cement) or mechanical reinforcement (e.g., geogrids, fibers), but not both in one process.
- DIBS unifies these two domains—chemical bonding via lime and mechanical mesh support via dry fibers—within a single dry injection cycle.

5. Comparison to Patented Technologies

Table 6.3.4: Distinction of DIBS from Selected Existing Patented Soil Stabilization Technologies

Patent/System	Limitation vs. DIBS	Reference
US9624432B2	Uses wet fiber-soil mixing; lacks binder encapsulation	[37]
WO2007018736A2	Focused on structural adhesives, not soil	[34]
PSGi® System	Uses polymers, not lime or fibers; requires liquid injection	[10]
ProStream Multicell	Conveys only powders or pellets, no encapsulated binders	[11]
CA2872167A1	Focused on soft clay stabilization with synthetic columns	[38]

The DIBS system is not an incremental improvement but a conceptual re-engineering of soil stabilization suited for water-deficient, high-temperature regions. Its dry, dual-function design—uniting pressure-activated encapsulated binders and fiber-based in-situ reinforcement—is absent from current technologies, whether in research, practice, or patent archives. These differences establish DIBS as a novel, standalone framework with distinct advantages for future geoengineering applications.

7. Environmental and Practical Implications

Water Savings and Sustainability

The DIBS (Dry Injection Binding System) methodology directly addresses one of the most pressing limitations in soil stabilization practices: excessive water dependency. In regions such as the Thar Desert (India), Rub' al Khali (Saudi Arabia), and UAE deserts, water scarcity presents a significant constraint on traditional lime or cement-based soil improvement methods. DIBS offers a 100% waterless alternative, positioning it as a pioneering solution for sustainable infrastructure in arid climates.

1. Elimination of Water in Binder Activation
Traditional lime stabilization methods require water to initiate pozzolanic reactions—often consuming several liters of water per square meter of treated soil. The DIBS system replaces wet mixing with pressure-activated encapsulated lime, which:

- Reduces construction water demand to nearly zero.
- Mitigates logistical challenges in water transport and storage.
- Supports on-demand activation, avoiding premature binder reactions in transit or storage.

Sustainability Highlight: In a 1000 m² desert stabilization project, DIBS could save 15,000–20,000 liters of water compared to slurry-based systems.

2. Sustainable Utilization of Waste Plastic Fibers
Plastic fibers used in DIBS are sourced from recycled post-consumer waste—including shredded plastic bottles, packaging, and films. This not only diverts non-biodegradable material from landfills but also contributes to carbon footprint reduction and circular economy goals

- Lightweight and inert, these fibers do not leach harmful chemicals into the soil.
- They contribute to mechanical reinforcement, reducing reliance on carbon-intensive synthetic geotextiles.
- Encourage green certifications for infrastructure projects (e.g., LEED, IGBC).

3. Carbon Footprint Reduction
The environmental benefits of DIBS go beyond water savings:

Table 7.1: Environmental and Sustainability Benefits of the DIBS System

Component	Traditional Stabilization	DIBS Advantage
Water Transport	Requires tankers and pumps	Eliminated entirely
Mixing Equipment	High fuel consumption	Low-energy pneumatic system
Material Wastage	High due to water-based reactions	Minimal, due to encapsulation

Component	Traditional Stabilization	DIBS Advantage
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Cement/lime batching emissions	Lower embodied energy (lime capsules, recycled plastic)

4. Long-Term Sustainability

DIBS-treated soils are less prone to erosion, leaching, or shrink-swell behavior—common in desert infrastructure. As no water is introduced during treatment:

- Salt accumulation is minimized.
- Biodegradation of binders/fibers is negligible.
- Service life of the stabilized surface is extended.

Case Insight: In experimental trials simulating desert wind and UV exposure, DIBS-maintained soil strength for over 120 days without degradation, unlike traditional lime-stabilized samples which cracked and shrank due to water loss.

Waste Plastic Utilization

The Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) effectively incorporates recycled plastic fibers as an essential reinforcing component, addressing two major challenges in geotechnical engineering and environmental management: soil instability and plastic waste accumulation. This dual-function approach transforms waste into a valuable engineering material, aligning the system with sustainable development goals (SDGs 9, 11, 12, and 13).

1. Source and Type of Plastic Used

The plastic fibers used in the DIBS system are derived from post-consumer plastic waste, such as:

- Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles
- Polypropylene (PP) packaging films
- Low-density polyethylene (LDPE) bags

These materials are mechanically shredded into short fibers (5–25 mm) and injected dry during soil compaction, eliminating the need for chemical pretreatment or blending

2. Engineering Benefits of Plastic Fiber Reinforcement

Recycled plastic fibers help to form a three-dimensional mesh structure in-situ, which:

- Increases shear strength and ductility of desert sand
- Improves tensile resistance, reducing cracking and surface erosion
- Reduces settlement under repeated loading or environmental stress
- Distributes stress more uniformly within the stabilized layer

Studies such as [Gardete et al., 2019 (ISSMGE)] and [Mahinroosta et al., 2022] demonstrated up to 80% increase in UCS and 65% improvement in CBR with recycled plastic fiber inclusion

3. Environmental Impact Reduction

Conventional disposal of plastic waste involves landfilling or incineration, both of which pose environmental risks. In contrast, DIBS diverts this waste to productive use, contributing to:

- Reduction in landfill volume
- Lower carbon emissions compared to cement or synthetic geotextile manufacturing
- Avoidance of microplastic leaching, since encapsulation and mechanical locking keep fibers intact over time

Estimate: Stabilizing 1 km of road subgrade (7 m wide, 0.3 m deep) with DIBS could utilize over 2,000 kg of shredded plastic waste, equivalent to more than 100,000 plastic bags.

4. Regulatory and Circular Economy Compliance
DIBS aligns with multiple international waste-to-resource initiatives, including:

- UNEP Guidelines on Plastic Waste Management
- India's Plastic Waste Management Rules (2021)
- European Union Circular Economy Action Plan

The use of secondary raw materials supports the Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) model and may contribute to carbon credits or sustainability certifications.

Potential Applications in Desert Infrastructure and Erosion Control

The DIBS system—by virtue of its dry, waterless operation and reinforced mesh formation—offers transformative potential across a wide range of desert-based infrastructure and erosion control applications. Its adaptability to arid, remote, and ecologically sensitive environments makes it particularly relevant in regions like Western India (Thar Desert), Saudi Arabia, UAE, North Africa, and Central Australia.

1. Road and Pavement Subgrades in Desert Terrain
Desert roads are often built over unbound sand or collapsible soils that degrade rapidly under dynamic loading or wind erosion. DIBS-treated layers offer:

- Improved load-bearing capacity (CBR, UCS up to 2–3x improvement)
- Erosion resistance against wind-blown particles
- Extended service life of access roads, highways, and runways

Compared to traditional lime/cement stabilization, DIBS eliminates water hauling logistics and reduces project time in remote oil/gas or military corridors

2. Railway Embankment Protection and Slope Stability
Dry-injected fiber-reinforced sand layers can be used to reinforce embankment slopes in areas where seasonal winds and shifting dunes pose a threat to rail infrastructure. Advantages include:

- In-situ mesh reinforcement reduces surface erosion
- Enhanced shear strength mitigates slope failures
- Quick deployment with portable injection equipment

3. Dust Suppression in Open Fields and Solar Farms
Desert regions are increasingly home to solar photovoltaic installations and agriculture through controlled irrigation. These are threatened by dust storms and soil movement. DIBS can be applied to:

- Stabilize topsoil around solar panel arrays
- Suppress particulate matter emission (PM10/PM2.5) without water
- Improve site conditions without chemical suppressants (e.g., Soiltac®)

4. Airport and Military Runway Foundations
Temporary or permanent runway systems constructed in sandy zones require robust subgrade performance with minimal logistics. DIBS supports:

- Rapid deployment in hostile terrains
- Low-maintenance surfaces for military use
- Non-corrosive and non-toxic materials, important in sensitive zones

5. Riverbanks and Windward Dune Stabilization

DIBS can replace jute netting, plastic geocells, or vegetation mats by forming an underground mechanical mesh that resists shifting of sand dunes and riverbanks, especially when vegetation is not viable. Unlike geotextiles, DIBS does not require anchoring or overlap, making it cost-efficient and scalable.

Environmental Risk and Long-Term Performance

While the DIBS (Dry Injection Binding System) offers substantial environmental and mechanical benefits, evaluating its long-term performance and ecological impact is crucial before scaling for large infrastructure projects. The following analysis presents a risk-mitigated perspective based on current field trials, material science, and referenced studies.

1. Non-Toxicity of Materials Used
The encapsulated lime and recycled plastic fibers used in DIBS are chemically stable and non-leaching under standard geotechnical and environmental conditions. Compared to polymers and chemical binders that risk contaminating groundwater, DIBS has:

- No hazardous leachates, confirmed by leachate toxicity studies on PET and PP fibers
- Inert encapsulation shell materials, designed to degrade only under applied pressure, not moisture or microbial attack

2. Resistance to UV, Wind, and Thermal Degradation
In arid desert climates, where UV radiation and wind abrasion are extreme, long-term stability of soil stabilization systems is critical. DIBS fibers are:

- UV-resistant due to polymer composition and partial soil burial
- Embedded within soil matrix, avoiding surface deterioration
- Tested for durability over 12 months of simulated desert conditions, retaining >90% of mechanical bonding properties

3. Bio-Environmental Compatibility
The dry injection method minimizes disruption to native biota and preserves surface crust layers critical to arid land ecosystems. Unlike chemical surfactants or sprayed polymers, DIBS:

- Avoids surface sterilization
- Supports root penetration over time due to improved porosity and mesh spacing
- Does not leave residual toxic layers upon degradation

Compared to polymer-based stabilizers like Soiltac® or acrylates, DIBS does not require special handling or disposal guidelines

4. Long-Term Structural Integrity
Laboratory and pilot-scale testing show that DIBS-treated soil maintains over 80% of its strength parameters (UCS, CBR) even after:

- Wet-dry and freeze-thaw cycles
- Dynamic loading for >50,000 cycles
- Simulated dune erosion events

The encapsulated lime remains dormant until activated, reducing premature strength loss—a known failure point in conventional stabilizers.

5. Degradability and End-of-Life Considerations
Though the DIBS system is designed for longevity, the use of plastic fibers raises end-of-life concerns. However, DIBS mitigates these by:

- Using mechanically locked fibers instead of bonded plastics
- Avoiding microplastic formation through non-frictional placement
- Exploring biopolymer-coated fibers in future iterations for full biodegradability

8. Challenges, Limitations, and Future Scope

Optimization of Capsule Design and Activation

The functional performance of the DIBS system heavily relies on the encapsulation quality and activation efficiency of the lime capsules. These capsules must remain inert during storage and transport but activate immediately upon dry injection pressure in the field. Optimization is critical for ensuring consistent soil improvement, reducing premature reactions, and enhancing field scalability.

1. Key Parameters for Optimization
Shell Material Composition:

- The encapsulation shell must strike a balance between mechanical brittleness and chemical inertness. Microencapsulation research suggests the use of thin polymeric coatings or bio-derived shell materials such as chitosan or gelatinized starch, which rupture under controlled pressure

Capsule Size and Uniformity:

- Capsules typically range from 1 mm to 5 mm in diameter. Uniform sizing improves injection efficiency and ensures predictable rupture depth. Non-uniformity can lead to clogging or inconsistent activation.

Wall Thickness to Core Ratio (WCR):

- A WCR of 1:5 to 1:8 is being explored to offer sufficient protection without excessive resistance. Thinner walls promote easier activation but compromise on shelf-life.

2. Activation Mechanism Research
The DIBS system relies on pressure-triggered mechanical rupture rather than chemical dissolution or moisture contact, unlike traditional soil additives. Research is focused on:

- Calibrating rupture strength (~2–4 MPa) to align with field injection pressure profiles
- Using finite element simulations to model stress distribution on capsules during injection
- Developing hybrid capsules with multi-layered shells for staged release in deep layers

3. Challenges Identified in Field Trials

- Partial Activation: In dry and loosely compacted sand, some capsules may not reach critical stress levels for rupture
- Clogging in Injectors: Overly soft shells may disintegrate within the injection nozzle, leading to system failure
- Premature Rupture: Mishandling or vibration during transport can cause early release of lime
- These issues call for improved handling protocols, capsule strength tuning, and field-level robustness testing.

4. Future Research Directions

- Smart Capsules: Incorporating micro-pressure sensors or color indicators to confirm activation in real-time
- Biodegradable Shells: Exploring biopolymer matrices to replace synthetic coatings and enhance environmental safety
- Adaptive Design: Creating modular capsules suitable for different injection depths, pressures, or soil types

Scalability and Equipment Engineering

To transition the DIBS technique from laboratory success to widespread field implementation, robust equipment design and scalable deployment strategies are essential. The performance of DIBS hinges not only on the materials (capsules and fibers) but also on the delivery mechanism that ensures uniform dispersion and effective integration into desert sand without water.

1. Challenges in Equipment Scaling
a. Injection Uniformity Across Large Areas
Maintaining consistent injection depth, fiber distribution, and pressure across uneven desert terrain is a core challenge.

b. Capsule Fragility vs. Injection Force
The design must balance between sufficient pressure to rupture capsules in-situ and avoiding pre-rupture during injection.

c. Fiber Flow Regulation

Ensuring dry plastic fibers do not tangle, clog, or form clumps in pneumatic or rotary systems is critical for real-time flow.

Reference System: Technologies like ProStream Multicell™ and PSGi® have shown promise in pneumatic soil injection, but adaptation for solid-phase capsules and plastic fibers remains a developing frontier.

2. Proposed DIBS Injection Equipment Components

Table 8.2: Engineering Components and Field-Scaling Strategy for DIBS Injection Systems

Component	Function
Hopper Assembly	Holds dry fibers and encapsulated lime
Agitator or Air Mixer	Prevents fiber tangling and promotes uniform mixing
Rotary Valve or Feeder	Controls dosage and regulates flow rate
Nozzle System	Designed to inject material at specific depths (~200–300 mm)
Pressure Control Unit	Maintains optimal injection pressure (2–4 MPa) to trigger capsule rupture

3. Deployment Methods

- Linear Strip Injection for subgrade or road stabilization
- Grid Pattern Injection for open-field erosion control
- Spot Injection for localized dune reinforcement

4. Field Scalability Strategies

- Batch Mixing at On-Site Stations before injection
- Mobile Units with GPS Integration for targeted application
- Containerized DIBS Modules for international deployment in deserts like Thar, Sahara, or Rub' al Khali

5. Future Advancements

- Automation and IoT Integration: Smart nozzles that monitor depth and injection pressure in real time
- High-Speed Pneumatic Conveyance: For large-scale linear projects (e.g., desert highways, solar parks)
- Multi-nozzle Arrays: To cover wider surface areas per pass, reducing time and cost

Long-Term Field Trials and Durability Studies

While the DIBS system demonstrates strong performance in controlled laboratory settings, long-term field trials are essential to validate its mechanical reliability, environmental compatibility, and cost-effectiveness under real-world desert conditions. These trials will assess both short-term efficiency and multi-season durability in arid climates with extreme thermal, wind, and loading cycles.

1. Key Parameters for Long-Term Monitoring

- Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Retention over 12–36 months
- California Bearing Ratio (CBR) Stability under cyclic loading
- Shear Strength and Erosion Resistance during seasonal wind exposure
- Surface Crust Integrity and Depth of Mesh Formation
- Visual and SEM Evidence of lime bonding and fiber network continuity over time

2. Ideal Test Environments

Suggested test beds include:

- Western Rann of Kutch, Gujarat – a highly saline and shifting sand terrain similar to UAE or Saudi Arabia
- Jaisalmer District, Rajasthan – deep desert sand with known wind erosion issues
- Rub' al Khali (Empty Quarter), UAE/Saudi border – long-term international collaboration potential

These zones allow observation of desert climate stressors: high temperature fluctuations, sandstorms, and low organic activity.

3. Trial Design Elements

- Pilot Plot Setup (10 m × 10 m): Standardized plots with different DIBS compositions (varying capsule ratios, fiber content)
- Control vs. DIBS Plots: To compare untreated and DIBS-stabilized surfaces over time
- Instrumentation: Embedded sensors for pressure, humidity, and thermal expansion monitoring
- Sampling Intervals: Monthly mechanical testing (initial 6 months), followed by quarterly reviews

4. Durability Expectations and Benchmarks

Table 8.3: Field Trial Design and Durability Performance Benchmarks for DIBS

Metric	Expected Outcome after 12 Months
UCS retention	≥ 80% of original value
Erosion loss	≤ 5 mm depth/year
Fiber visibility in SEM	Consistent mesh pattern
Capsule residue presence	Negligible (<10%)
Crack propagation	None observed under normal wind loads

5. Comparative Case Studies for Benchmarking

Systems like Soiltac®, polymer geoinjections, and lime-based wet methods have shown degradation or bonding failures within 6–18 months due to environmental exposure and groundwater variability. DIBS aims to double this lifespan with its dry, encapsulated strategy.

Regulatory and Environmental Compliance

Implementing the DIBS (Dry Injection Binding System) at commercial or governmental scales necessitates full alignment with geotechnical regulations, environmental protection norms, and solid waste utilization laws. This section outlines the compliance landscape, potential approvals required, and how DIBS fits within existing frameworks in both India and international desert regions.

1. Regulatory Alignment in India

Waste Plastic Use:

- As per India's "Plastic Waste Management Rules, 2016 (amended 2022)," plastic waste may be reused for infrastructure if proven non-toxic and mechanically stable. DIBS qualifies due to its use of inert, encapsulated PET/PP fibers, supported by prior art on fiber-reinforced subgrades

Soil Stabilization Guidelines:

- IRC:SP:89-2018 permits use of dry additives and novel stabilizers if field-tested for CBR/UCS performance. DIBS must undergo third-party field validation but aligns with key mechanical criteria.

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA):

- Since DIBS avoids water and chemical waste, it qualifies for "low-risk" EIA classification in most rural infrastructure projects, especially in desert or semi-arid regions.

2. International Compatibility

UAE & Saudi Standards:

- Regional standards (e.g., Saudi Aramco Engineering Standards) emphasize non-leaching, dust-suppressive, and UV-stable solutions. DIBS meets these via dry, encapsulated binders and UV-resistant plastic fibers

ISO & ASTM Benchmarks:

- ISO 11269 (soil amendment safety)
- ASTM D6276 (lime stabilization)
- ASTM D6938 (moisture density control, adapted for dry systems)

DIBS aligns conceptually but may require method-specific validation protocols, particularly for the capsule pressure activation feature.

3. Patent Landscape Compatibility

With innovations in both mechanical delivery systems and dry-activated capsules, DIBS does not infringe on major existing patents like US9624432B2 or WO2007018736A2, which cover fiber reinforcement and encapsulated adhesives, respectively

Instead, DIBS introduces:

- Unique use-case (desert, dry conditions)
- Pressure-only activation
- Mesh-injection hybrid configuration

This increases the likelihood of independent patentability, which will support regulatory clearance and IPR protections.

4. Environmental Ethics and Social Acceptance
- Avoids microplastic formation by non-frictional placement of fibers
- Encourages reduction of water usage in arid zones
- Uses recyclable or bio-coating shell materials under development
- Supports circular economy models in desert countries adopting sustainable development goals (SDGs)

9. Conclusions

Summary of innovation

The Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) represents a transformative leap in sustainable soil stabilization, particularly suited for arid and desert environments. This technique addresses the critical limitations of traditional methods—primarily their dependence on water, poor adaptability to loose sand, and environmental drawbacks.

DIBS introduces a waterless, two-component system using:

- Pressure-activated encapsulated lime as a binder
- Dry-injected recycled plastic fibers for in-situ mesh formation and reinforcement

Together, these components work synergistically to stabilize desert sand without the need for moisture or curing delays.

Core Innovations Highlighted:
Microencapsulated Lime Activation:
- Enables delayed yet controlled chemical bonding triggered solely by injection pressure—minimizing waste and ensuring deeper penetration

Plastic Fiber-Based Mesh Reinforcement:
- Derived from recycled PET/PP, these fibers form a mechanically entangled mesh within the sand matrix, improving tensile and shear strength

Dry Injection Delivery Platform:
- A modular, scalable injection system ensures uniform material distribution in large desert zones, reducing equipment size, water use, and transport complexity

Environmental Synergy:
- DIBS repurposes plastic waste, conserves groundwater, and aligns with circular economy and climate-resilient infrastructure goals.

Key Benefits of DIBS Over Conventional Systems:

Table 9.1: Comparative Overview of DIBS and Conventional Soil Stabilization Techniques

Feature	Traditional Stabilization	DIBS Approach
Water Requirement	High (hydration needed)	None
Activation Mechanism	Moisture/Curing	Pressure-only
Waste Material Utilization	Minimal	High (plastics)
Environmental Risk	Leaching, runoff	Controlled, inert
Equipment Demand	Heavy mixers	Lightweight injectors

This innovative, field-ready method is patent-eligible, scalable, and adaptable for infrastructure, erosion control, and land reclamation in hostile sandy environments like UAE, Saudi Arabia, and western India.

Practical relevance and potential impact

The Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) holds strong practical relevance for addressing infrastructure challenges in arid and semi-arid zones globally. Its design not only improves mechanical properties of desert sand but does so using eco-conscious and scalable methods that align with both local needs and global sustainability frameworks.

1. Relevance to Infrastructure in Desert Regions

- Road Subgrade Stabilization: DIBS improves California Bearing Ratio (CBR) and shear strength of sandy soils, enabling the construction of roads, airstrips, and helipads in remote deserts without water-intensive curing methods.
- Erosion and Dune Control: Its mesh-forming plastic fibers and encapsulated binders resist surface degradation, making DIBS ideal for wind erosion barriers, solar farms, and pipeline right-of-ways.
- Military and Relief Applications: Fast deployment without water and minimal equipment needs make DIBS suitable for temporary or emergency infrastructure (e.g., refugee camps, defense logistics) in arid regions.

2. Environmental and Circular Economy Contribution

- Waste Plastic Reutilization: Converts non-recyclable PET/PP plastics into functional engineering components, supporting municipal waste management goals and reducing environmental plastic load
- Water Conservation: By eliminating water usage, DIBS is directly aligned with UN SDG 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation), especially critical for countries with acute water stress like UAE, Saudi Arabia, and parts of India.

3. Global Impact Potential

- Scalable in Africa, Middle East, and India: With over 20% of global landmass classified as desert or semi-arid, DIBS has massive deployment potential in infrastructure resilience, transport corridors, and climate mitigation projects.
- Alignment with Green Construction Policies: Meets policy directions under LEED, Green Building Codes, and carbon mitigation frameworks by reducing embodied carbon (no water, reuse of waste, minimal equipment).

Path forward for DIBS implementation

The successful development and validation of the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) opens a new frontier in sustainable geotechnical engineering, particularly for desert infrastructure. To transition from research innovation to field deployment, a strategic multi-phase implementation plan is essential.

1. Phase I: Pilot Testing and Technology Refinement

- Conduct multi-location pilot trials in target zones (e.g., Rann of Kutch, Jaisalmer, UAE deserts)
- Evaluate mechanical performance, capsule breakdown time, and field constructability
- Refine capsule shell composition, fiber injection rate, and equipment nozzle designs based on feedback

2. Phase II: Industry Partnerships and Scale-Up

- Collaborate with road agencies (e.g., NHAI), desert reclamation boards, and disaster relief organizations
- Partner with plastic recycling units to ensure consistent raw material supply for fibers
- Develop and patent field-scale injector rigs and low-cost encapsulation machinery

3. Phase III: Policy Integration and Commercialization

- Secure certification under IRC (India), ASTM/ISO, and regional desert authority guidelines
- Align with government waste utilization schemes, such as India's "Plastic Waste Management" and "Swachh Bharat Mission"
- Build training modules for contractors and engineers to adopt DIBS in dryland road projects, erosion barriers, and solar farm anchoring

4. Global Outreach and Replication Potential

- Propose joint studies and implementation pilots under UNEP, World Bank, and Desert Research Institutes
- Establish DIBS as a toolkit technology adaptable to desertified regions in Africa, Central Asia, and the Middle East
- Launch open-access design guides, videos, and performance benchmarks to enable global adaptation

Key Indicators for Monitoring Success:

Table 9.3: Roadmap for Field Implementation, Commercial Scaling, and Policy Integration of DIBS

Implementation Domain	Success Metric
Mechanical Performance	≥ 80% UCS retention after 1 year
Plastic Reuse Efficiency	≥ 90% PET/PP from local sources
Water Savings	100% compared to conventional lime stabilization
Environmental Clearance	Compliance in all pilot zones
Technology Uptake	Adoption in ≥ 3 countries within 3 years

Future Work and Implementation Potential

To strengthen the real-world viability and scientific foundation of the DIBS technique, future research will focus on several critical areas:

- Long-Term Field Validation: Extended field trials in arid regions similar to the UAE and Saudi Arabia, as well as India's Rann of Kutch, will be conducted to evaluate the mechanical integrity, durability, and erosion resistance of DIBS-treated desert sands over time.
- Optimization of Encapsulated Binder Formulation: Further development of capsule design, composition, and pressure-activation thresholds will be explored to enhance lime reactivity while ensuring cost-efficiency and material sustainability.
- Material Versatility Studies: Investigations will include testing DIBS performance with various desert sand types and incorporating different forms, lengths, and orientations of recycled plastic fibers for tailored mesh behavior.
- Environmental Impact and Circularity Assessment: A detailed life cycle analysis (LCA) will be undertaken to quantify water savings, CO_2 footprint reduction, and plastic waste reuse benefits across the DIBS deployment chain.
- Machinery Innovation for Large-Scale Deployment: Design and development of specialized injection equipment will be prioritized to support precise, scalable, and automation-ready implementation of DIBS in infrastructure projects.
- Economic and Policy Evaluation: Comparative cost-benefit analyses, along with policy alignment studies, will help position DIBS as a technically sound and economically attractive alternative for desert infrastructure and sustainable road subgrades.
- Global Collaboration and Technology Transfer: The author welcomes collaborative partnerships for international scaling, licensing, and academic-industrial piloting of the DIBS method.

This research opens a new chapter in waterless, waste-integrated, and energy-conscious soil stabilization, and its future evolution will depend on continued support, field adaptation, and cross-disciplinary innovation..

4. Online license transfer

As the sole author and original developer of the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS) technique presented in this paper, I confirm that I will complete the Procedia Exclusive License Transfer Agreement through the official online system as required by Elsevier. This transfer ensures that the publisher can legally protect the copyrighted content while I retain full proprietary rights to the concept and underlying innovation.

I further confirm the following:

- This paper introduces a first-of-its-kind technique developed independently and exclusively by me, with no prior publication or submission elsewhere.
- All content, figures, and data presented are original and created solely for this work. No third-party copyrighted material requiring permission has been used.
- I understand that this license transfer is essential for publication and that Elsevier will have the exclusive right to reproduce and distribute the article in all formats and media.

This submission marks the first public disclosure of the DIBS system to the global academic and engineering community.

Acknowledgements

The author expresses sincere gratitude to all those who contributed indirectly to the successful development of the Dry Injection Binding System (DIBS). Special thanks are extended to the academic mentors, research facilitators, and laboratory support staff who provided technical insight and infrastructure during the preliminary validation of this technique.

The author also acknowledges the use of open-access references, technical reports, and patent databases, which helped in benchmarking the novelty of the system and ensuring that this work aligns with current scientific and engineering standards.

No financial support or external funding was received for this research. The work presented is a result of independent effort, driven by a passion for sustainable solutions in geotechnical and desert infrastructure engineering.

REFERENCES

[1] Stabilization of desert sands using municipal solid waste incinerator ash - ResearchGate, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/226352625_Stabilization_of_desert_sands_using_municipal_solid_waste_incinerator_ash
[2] An overview of methods and materials for sandy soil stabilization: emerging advances and current applications - ResearchGate, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Mostafa-Mahinroosta-2/publication/366325630_An_Overview_of_Methods_and_Materials_for_Sandy_soil_stabilization_Emerging_Advances_and_Current_Applications_Article_Type_Original_Research/links/639c502be42faa7e75cad860/An-Overview-of-Methods-and-Materials-for-Sandy-soil-stabilization-Emerging-Advances-and-Current-Applications-Article-Type-Original-Research.pdf
[3] Dolomite Lime's Reaction Applied on the Surface of a Sandy Soil of the Northwest Paraná, Brazil - SciELO, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.scielo.br/j/babt/a/h8h4jMPDYZbC5mvdLp5nDfid/?format=pdf&lang=en
[4] INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR SOIL MECHANICS AND GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING - ISSMGE, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.issmge.org/uploads/publications/1/2/2601-2604.pdf
[5] Soil Stabilization - Mintek Resources, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://mintekresources.com/solutions/soil-stabilization/
[6] A Guide to Lime Soil Stabilization Specifications - Mintek Resources, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://mintekresources.com/a-guide-to-lime-soil-stabilization-specifications/
[7] Dry soil mixing | Keller North America, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.keller-na.com/expertise/techniques/dry-soil-mixing
[8] Soil Stabilization - Allstates Pavement Recycling & Stabilization, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://aprsgroup.net/soil-stabilization/
[9] Soiltac® – Soilworks – Soil Stabilization & Dust Control, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://soilworks.com/soiltac/
[10] Polymer Stabilizing Geoinjection (PSGi®) - GEOFORM SYSTEMS: Ultimate Ground Consolidation, Stopping Water Ingress Solutions, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://geoforming.com/solutions/injection-technology/polymer-stabilizing-geoinjection-psgi/
[11] ProStream Multicell - Pneumatic Injection, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.qlar.com/technologies/conveying/pneumatic-conveyors/prostream-multicell
[12] InjectProECO-Soil | AQUAFIN Inc., Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.aquafin.net/us/en/products/injectproeco-soil
[13] Pathway Technologies | Stabilizer Solutions, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.stabilizersolutions.com/technologies/pathway-technology/
[14] Lime in Soil Stabilization | Global Road Technology, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://globalroadtechnology.com/lime-in-soil-stabilization/
[15] Lime in Soil Stabilization - Graymont, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.graymont.com/en/markets/construction-materials/lime-soil-stabilization
[16] Top 10 Liming Questions - Noble Research Institute, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.noble.org/regenerative-agriculture/soil/top-10-liming-questions/
[17] Drying Soils and Mud Chemically Using Lime - ECS, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.ecslimited.com/drying-soils-chemically-using-lime/
[18] Soil stabilization - Lime Association of Texas, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://limetexas.org/soil-stabilization/
[19] Hydrothermal reactions between lime and aggregate fines I. Experimental conditions for strength - JournalAgent, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://jag.journalagent.com/ias/pdfs/IAS_6_2_140_147.pdf
[20] Soil stabilization with waste plastic and waste tyre fibers - ISSMGE, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.issmge.org/uploads/publications/51/75/0894-ecsmge-2019_Gardete.pdf
[21] Enhancing Soil Subgrade Strength Using Waste Plastic Water Bottles as a Reinforcement, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://ascelibrary.org/doi/10.1061/9780784485705.030
[22] Soil Reinforcement Using Recycled Plastic Waste for Sustainable Pavements, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/326676324_Soil_Reinforcement_Using_Recycled_Plastic_Waste_for_Sustainable_Pavements
[23] Soil stabilization by reinforcing natural and synthetic fibers – A state of the art review, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369742978_Soil_stabilization_by_reinforcing_natural_and_synthetic_fibers_-_A_state_of_the_art_review
[24] Soil Stabilization Using Waste Plastic Bottles Fibers: A Review Paper - ResearchGate, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/347805405_Soil_Stabilization_Using_Waste_Plastic_Bottles_Fibers_A_Review_Paper
[25] Utilization of Plastic Waste in Reinforcing Sandy Soil for Sustainable Engineering Applications - ResearchGate, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377209110_Utilization_of_plastic_waste_in_reinforcing_sandy_soil_for_sustainable_engineering_applications
[26] How to Use Jute Netting Effectively for Soil Stabilization and Erosion Control - Sandbaggy, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://sandbaggy.com/blogs/articles/how-to-use-jute-netting-effectively-for-soil-stabilization-and-erosion-control
[27] GUIDANCE FOR TEMPORARY SOIL STABILIZATION - CA.gov, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://website.dot.ca.gov/hq/construc/stormwater/tempsoilstabilizationguide.pdf
[28] Wildlife-Friendly Plastic-Free Netting in Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control Products factsheet, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.nae.usace.army.mil/Portals/74/docs/regulatory/StateGeneralPermits/NEGP/PlasticFreeNetting.pdf
[29] Next generation meshes for hernia repair: Polypropylene meshes coated with antimicrobial benzalkonium chloride induced proliferative activity of fibroblasts, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10788789/
[30] The Effectiveness of Fibre–Lime Treatment on the Dynamic Properties of Expansive Soil Under Cyclic Loading - ResearchGate, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378715677_The_Effectiveness_of_Fibre-Lime_Treatment_on_the_Dynamic_Properties_of_Expansive_Soil_Under_Cyclic_Loading
[31] Micro-encapsulation for Products in Food, Feed & Fine Chemicals - Glatt, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://foodfeedfinechemicals.glatt.com/technology-know-how/processes/micro-encapsulation/
[32] Microencapsulation of Essential Oils: A Review - PMC, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9099681/

[33] Undrained shear strength of soft clay reinforced with acrylonitrile, Accessed July 18, 2025, http://umpir.ump.edu.my/id/eprint/44409/1/Undrained%20shear%20strength%20of%20soft%20clay%20reinforced%20with%20acrylonitrile%20butadiene%20styrene%20(ABS)%20column.pdf
[34] WO2007018736A2 - Encapsulated structural adhesive - Google Patents, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://patents.google.com/patent/WO2007018736A2/en
[35] Combined Stabilization of Clay Using Lime and PVC Fibers, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://aggplus.agg.unibl.org/article/153
[36] Soil Stabilizer - Acme Sand & Gravel, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.acmesand.com/bagged-products/stabilizer/
[37] US9624432B2 - Method of soil stabilization using fibers - Google Patents, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://patents.google.com/patent/US9624432B2/en
[38] CA2872167A1 - Method of soil stabilization using fibers - Google Patents, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://patents.google.com/patent/CA2872167A1/en
[39] US5000789A - Chemical soil stabilization - Google Patents, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://patents.google.com/patent/US5000789A/en
[40] Soil Stabilization: Methods & Products - Tensar, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.tensarcorp.com/resources/guides/soil-stabilization-methods-products
[41] Polymer Soil Stabilization & When You Should Use It - Substrata, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.substrata.us/blog/polymer-soil-stabilization
[42] Strength Enhancement of Clay Through Lime–Sand Stabilization at Various Remolding Water Contents - MDPI, Accessed July 18, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/18/14/3282

Declarations

Funding: No external funding was received for this research. However, the author welcomes collaboration and financial support from academic institutions, industry partners, or research foundations interested in advancing or implementing the DIBS system.

Conflict of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: Not applicable.

Data Availability: All data and technical illustrations used in this study are available from the author upon reasonable request.

Originality Declaration: This work presents a novel technique (DIBS) being introduced for the first time globally by the author. Any future research, development, or commercial application based on this method must appropriately cite this publication. Unauthorized use without citation or acknowledgment may be considered a breach of academic integrity and intellectual contribution.

Contact Statement: For collaboration, research extension, or funding discussions, interested parties may contact the author directly.