WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

IMPROVING OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY IN INDIA'S CONSTRUCTION SECTOR: A FIELD STUDY

Anju Justin[1], Sethuraman[2]

[1] Student, ME II year, Department of Civil Engineering, Sivaji College of Engineering and Technology,Manivila.

[2] Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Sivaji College of Engineering and Technology,Manivila, Kanyakumari Dist

ABSTRACT –

The construction industry is one of the most high-risk sectors globally, known for physically demanding tasks, dynamic work environments, and potential exposure to hazardous conditions. This study investigates the status of occupational health and safety in India's construction sector. Drawing on a structured field survey of 100 workers, the study highlights key gaps in training, PPE availability, emergency readiness, and ergonomic practices. In addition to analyzing the critical safety shortcomings, this research also explores the role of management commitment and the influence of regulatory enforcement. Recommendations include introducing structured training modules, on-site medical support, and inclusive safety culture reforms to reduce workplace accidents and improve employee welfare.

Keywords: Construction Safety, Health Hazards, PPE, Ergonomics, Occupational Risk, Mental Health, Regulatory Compliance, Worker Engagement

I. INTRODUCTION

The likelihood of occupational injuries and long-term health problems.

The sector is also marked by its highly informal workforce, with a significant portion being migratory laborers with limited formal education. These workers are often unaware of occupational health and safety (OHS) protocols, labor rights, or access to compensation schemes. Many small- and medium-scale contractors do not enforce standard safety practices due to cost constraints, lack of awareness, or absence of regulatory oversight. The result is an alarming rate of workplace injuries, some of which are fatal or permanently disabling.

Although legal frameworks such as the Building and Other Construction Workers (BOCW) Act of 1996 and the Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code of 2020 aim to provide protection, implementation remains uneven and inadequate. Additionally, the increasing pace of urbanization and project delivery pressures have led to the sidelining of worker safety in favor of economic gains and project deadlines. Despite the existence of regulations and guidelines, the real-world scenario continues to reveal a lack of commitment from stakeholders in ensuring safety standards.

Previous studies and accident statistics have repeatedly highlighted deficiencies in areas such as safety training, use of personal protective equipment (PPE), emergency response mechanisms, and ergonomic practices. However, comprehensive field-level research that captures the lived experiences of construction workers and the practical shortcomings in safety systems remains limited. This study attempts to bridge that gap by conducting a detailed field investigation and proposing context-sensitive recommendations aimed at transforming the safety landscape of the construction sector in India.

II. OBJECTIVE OF STUDY

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate and enhance occupational health and safety (OHS) measures in the Indian construction sector by identifying prevalent hazards, assessing existing safety practices, and recommending evidence-based improvements. Given the complex and dynamic nature of construction environments, the study aims to bridge the gap between regulatory standards and on-ground implementation, thereby protecting the physical and psychological well-being of workers.

Specific objectives include:

1. To investigate the most common causes of injuries and health issues among construction workers.
2. To examine the availability, usage, and effectiveness of personal protective equipment (PPE) and other safety devices.
3. To assess workers' awareness and attitudes toward safety protocols, emergency response mechanisms, and reporting systems.
4. To evaluate the ergonomic conditions and their impact on workers' long-term health and performance.
5. To identify psychological stress factors arising from poor working conditions, job insecurity, or lack of support systems.

6. To analyze gender- and age-related safety vulnerabilities in construction sites.
7. To understand the role of site supervisors, contractors, and safety officers in enforcing safety compliance.
8. To explore the impact of safety training programs on accident reduction and overall safety culture.
9. To propose a model safety framework that can be realistically implemented in small, medium, and large construction projects.
10. To encourage inclusive safety governance that involves worker feedback, transparency, and continuous monitoring.
11. To determine the frequency and effectiveness of current safety audits in Indian construction projects.
12. To compare safety conditions between organized and unorganized construction sectors.
13. To assess the role of language and literacy barriers in safety training and communication.
14. To evaluate how legal compliance and documentation (licenses, insurance, worker registration) impact safety outcomes.
15. To study the feasibility of introducing digital safety tracking tools on construction sites.

III. METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a descriptive and empirical design using a mixed-methods approach. It integrates quantitative data from structured surveys with qualitative insights from field observations and interviews. The study was carried out across five large-scale construction sites located in a metropolitan region of South India, representing both residential and commercial construction projects.

1. *Sample Selection*: A purposive sampling method was used to ensure inclusion of a diverse group of workers across trades such as masons, welders, carpenters, steel fixers, electricians, scaffolders, and general laborers. A total of 100 respondents were selected from a workforce of approximately 369, ensuring sufficient representation and reliability of results.
2. *Primary Data Collection*:
    1. *Structured Questionnaires*: The questionnaire was developed based on guidelines from ILO and OSHA standards, covering safety training, PPE usage, emergency preparedness, and ergonomic awareness. It was translated into the local language to eliminate comprehension barriers.
    2. *Semi-Structured Interviews*: Conducted with 10 site engineers and safety officers to capture managerial perspectives on challenges in safety implementation.
    3. *Field Observations*: Researchers conducted systematic on-site visits over a 3-week period, noting safety signage, hazard exposure, PPE availability, and worker behavior. Photos were documented with consent to support findings.
3. *Secondary Data Sources*: Included literature reviews from academic journals, regulatory manuals (BOCW Act, 1996), reports by the Indian Institute of Public Health (IIPH), and OSHA India bulletins.
4. *Ethical Considerations*: Respondents were informed about the purpose of the research, assured of confidentiality, and participated voluntarily. All data was anonymized to protect identities.
5. *Sample Selection*: A purposive sampling method was used to ensure inclusion of a diverse group of workers across trades such as masons, welders, carpenters, steel fixers, electricians, scaffolders, and general laborers. A total of 100 respondents were selected from a workforce of approximately 369, ensuring sufficient representation and reliability of result
6. *Secondary Data Sources*: Included literature reviews from academic journals, regulatory manuals (BOCW Act, 1996), reports by the Indian Institute of Public Health (IIPH), and OSHA India bulletins.
7. *Ethical Considerations*: Respondents were informed about the purpose of the research, assured of confidentiality, and participated voluntarily. All data was anonymized to protect identities.

- *Data Analysis Techniques*:
    - *Descriptive Statistics*: Frequency distributions and percentages were used to summarize categorical data such as PPE compliance and training history.
    - *Chi-Square Test*: Applied to test the association between independent variables (e.g., safety training) and outcomes (e.g., accident involvement).
    - *Correlation Analysis*: Used to identify relationships between ergonomic measures and reported satisfaction or health complaints.
    - *Thematic Analysis*: Interview data was categorized into themes such as barriers to training, perceptions of safety culture, and suggested improvements.

This methodology ensures a robust and holistic understanding of safety practices in the construction sector, facilitating practical and evidence-based

recommendations.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Material Handling Risks

Manual lifting of heavy loads without mechanical aids was observed at 80% of the sites. Workers were unaware of load-carrying limits and lifting postures. Tools such as wheelbarrows or lifting straps were rarely used. These poor practices led to frequent lower back injuries, slips, and falls, especially on uneven surfaces or improperly scaffolded areas.

B. Training Gaps

A majority of respondents (64%) confirmed they had not received any formal safety training. Even those who attended briefings described them as generic or outdated. Many employers did not have certified safety officers. As a result, workers had poor understanding of hazard symbols, scaffolding standards, electrical safety measures, and fall protection procedures.

C. Inadequate First-Aid and Emergency Readiness

Less than one-third of construction sites maintained fully equipped first-aid stations. Emergency drills were not conducted, and only 12% of workers could identify a designated safety responder on-site. Fire extinguishers, where available, were often expired or non-functional.

D. Ergonomic Neglect

Workers complained of prolonged crouching, bending, and standing without rest. No anti-fatigue mats or adjustable workstations were provided. Over 90% of respondents reported persistent joint or back pain. These discomforts not only lower productivity but increase accident proneness due to fatigue and reduced alertness.

E. Psychological Stressors

Factors such as job insecurity, poor accommodation, delayed payments, and harsh supervision contributed to psychological strain. Workers often reported anxiety, insomnia, and reduced morale. Only 4% were aware of any mental health or counseling services.

F. Gender and Age Vulnerabilities

Although women made up a small percentage of the labor force, their needs were overlooked. No provision existed for private sanitation, and no female-specific PPE (smaller sizes, anatomical fit) was available. Older workers (50+) showed higher absenteeism due to untreated chronic pain.

G. Worker Inclusion in Safety

Despite nearly all respondents indicating willingness to participate in safety improvement programs, only 7% reported being asked for feedback. Suggestion boxes were either absent or unused. Safety meetings, where held, lacked worker representation.

H. Management Accountability and Supervision

In many cases, supervisors lacked safety credentials or did not enforce rules. Penalties were rarely issued for PPE non-compliance. In contrast, sites with active safety officers showed a 40% reduction in minor accidents, suggesting the value of institutional accountability.

I. Statistical Highlights

- Workers with safety training were 55% less likely to be involved in incidents.
- PPE usage compliance was positively correlated (r=0.68) with site supervision intensity.

V. FINDINGS AND SUGGETIONS

Key Findings:

1. Material handling practices are outdated and risky.
2. Structured safety training is not integrated into most sites.
3. Emergency preparedness is practically absent in smaller projects.
4. Ergonomic design is disregarded, increasing fatigue and injury.
5. Workers lack physical and emotional health support systems.
6. Management rarely includes workers in safety policy discussions
7. Gender-specific safety needs are ignored.
8. Supervisory systems are inconsistent and reactive.
9. Safety audits, where conducted, are often superficial and lack follow-up.
10. A significant number of workers are unaware of the grievance redressal systems.
11. Language barriers exist in safety signage and communication, particularly among migrant workers.
12. High accident rates correlate with absence of proactive safety officers on site.
13. Workers often lack clarity on compensation rights following injury or illness.

14. Many small contractors view safety as a cost rather than an investment.
15. Project deadlines frequently override safety enforcement.

Strategic Recommendations:

1. *Mandatory Induction Training*: All new workers should receive a compulsory safety orientation tailored to their roles.
2. *Ergonomics and Manual Handling Aids*: Provide mechanical lifting devices, anti-fatigue mats, and height-adjustable platforms.
3. *On-Site Health Support*: Basic clinics staffed with a nurse, first-aid kits at regular intervals, and partnerships with nearby hospitals.
4. *Mental Health Initiatives*: Introduce confidential counseling sessions, peer support groups, and relaxation zones.
5. *Inclusive Safety Committees*: Include labor representatives in safety meetings to capture ground-level concerns.
6. *Incident Reporting Systems*: Install anonymous reporting tools and educate workers on how to use them.
7. *Periodic Safety Audits*: Conduct third-party audits with action-tracking mechanisms.
8. *PPE Management Programs*: Log usage, conduct routine checks, and standardize across roles.
9. *Digital Safety Dashboards*: Track key metrics like near misses, training coverage, and compliance trends.
10. *Cultural and Language Adaptation*: Use visuals, multilingual materials, and audio announcements for better communication.
11. *Women-Friendly Facilities*: Ensure gender-specific PPE, private restrooms, rest shelters, and policies against harassment.
12. *Supervisor Certification*: Make basic safety certification compulsory for all site-level supervisors.
13. *Grievance Helpline*: Create a centralized helpline accessible via mobile phones for safety complaints.
14. *Legal Awareness Drives*: Distribute simple brochures about workers' compensation, insurance, and safety laws.
15. *Incentives for Safety Compliance*: Recognize individuals and teams that demonstrate high safety compliance.
16. Material handling practices are outdated and risky.
17. Structured safety training is not integrated into most sites.
18. Emergency preparedness is practically absent in smaller projects.
19. Ergonomic design is disregarded, increasing fatigue and injury.
20. Workers lack physical and emotional health support systems.
21. Management rarely includes workers in safety policy discussions.
22. Gender-specific safety needs are ignored.
23. Supervisory systems are inconsistent and reactive.
24. Safety audits, where conducted, are often superficial and lack follow-up.
25. A significant number of workers are unaware of the grievance redressal systems.

VI. CONCLUSION

The Indian construction sector has the potential to lead not just in infrastructure development but also in worker welfare. However, current safety practices are fragmented and inconsistent. Findings from this study underline the need for institutional reforms that go beyond checklists and symbolic PPE distribution. The path forward involves investing in human capital through education, ergonomic innovation, inclusive governance, and psychological support. Empowering workers to become stakeholders in their own safety will build a resilient and productive workforce, reduce delays from injuries, and improve project outcomes

VII. REFERENCES

1. C.R. Kothari, Research Methodology, New Age Publishers.
2. OSHA Guidelines, India, 2023.
3. Judith Colla, Occupational Health in Construction, Dartmouth.
4. Burkel M., Construction Ergonomics, Tulane University.
5. Building and Other Construction Workers Act, 1996.
6. Indian Institute of Public Health (IIPH) 2022 Safety Report.

7. Health and Safety Executive (HSE), UK Construction Guidelines.
8. Mamoria C.B., Personnel Management, Himalaya Publishing.
9. International Labour Organization (ILO), Global Construction Risk Report, 2021.
10. Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020.