

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Bioelectronic Devices for Customized Drug Delivery System

Shashank Soni*, Ananya Singh

Department of Pharmaceutics, Amity Institute of Pharmacy Lucknow, Amity University, Sector 125, Noida Uttar Pradesh, India ssoni@lko.amity.edu

ABSTRACT

Bioelectronic parts can be incorporated into customized medication delivery systems to revolutionize personalized medicine. Bioelectronics--the integration of biological signals and electronic systems--enable a novel approach to drug delivery with unmatched precision and control. Recent advances in bioelectronic devices for drug delivery emphasizes on enhancing therapeutic response with lesser side effects and better compliance recent advances include specifics of those devices such as implantable and wearables responsible for real-time event monitoring and responsive delivery of medication upon monitoring. It employs biosensors to detect changes in physiology and microelectronic elements to regulate drug delivery accordingly. Advances in material science, such as biocompatible and flexible substrates, facilitate the fabrication of sophisticated devices that can integrate suture-less with human tissues more minimally invasively. Usage of these devices are now flexible and more efficient because with the help of internet they can be monitored wirelessly e.g.: implantable insulin pumps, wearable fitness tracker etc. It also highlights potential clinical applications of these bioelectronic devices in managing chronic diseases such as diabetes, epilepsy, and cardiovascular diseases. It often experiences challenges like device miniaturization, long-term biocompatibility and energy efficiency and highlights emerging market vectors that include the trend to AI based algorithms with predictive dosing and closed-loop systems in drug delivery.

Keywords: Bioelectronic devices, Personalized medicine, Drug Delivery, Biosensors

Introduction

Bioelectronics is an intriguing interdisciplinary field concentrating on developing and enhancing links between biological materials and electrical systems. These devices bridge biology and electronics to monitor, quantify, and in some cases, control various physiological activities, making them invaluable assets to the healthcare industry and adaptable by design.¹ Bioelectronic devices are crafted to seamlessly integrate with parts of the body such as the skin, eyes, or internal organs providing therapeutic functions without impeding natural movement.²

Recent advancements in bioelectronics have opened new potential for precision medicine by offering both sensation and actuation for real-time feedback control. Bioelectronic devices have been designed for a multitude of functions, including monitoring blood glucose levels, guiding stem cell differentiation, offering personalized electric stimulation, detecting hemodynamic states via electrocardiography, utilizing biomechatronic and biomimetic devices and administering pharmaceutical treatment ³. Ion pumps, for instance, have been researched as highly efficient drug delivery methods in micro and bioelectronics. They can administer medicines to specific organs, increasing therapeutic efficacy and lowering systemic adverse effects ⁴.

Effective applications in bioelectronics demand precise, controlled medicine delivery matched to each patient's individual physiology. However, hurdles continue in standardizing bioelectronic devices for widespread clinical adoption ⁵. Factors such as device variability, operating range constraints, and changes in device features over time due to significant usage could complicate the feedback control process. Differences in manufacturing also induce unpredictability, contributing to performance discrepancies between devices of the same sort. These difficulties underline the need for robust engineering to provide long-term reliability and uniformity ⁶.

One of the key benefits of bioelectronic devices is their potential to monitor biochemical markers and physiological data in real time, enabling on-thespot dosage changes based on quick biological input ⁷. Examples of bioelectronic systems in practice include electro-responsive drug delivery systems that release medication in response to electrical signals, spinal cord stimulators that manage pain by modulating nerve signals, and microneedle patches that provide localized drug delivery for specific conditions ⁸. In diabetic patients, for instance, these devices may monitor blood glucose levels and administer insulin as needed. This customization enhances the precision of therapy, by enabling bioelectronic devices to respond to unique patient needs, taking into consideration factors such as metabolic rate, disease progression, and variation in individual genetic makeup ⁹.

The potential of bioelectronic devices lies in adaptability, making them useful in customized therapy. These technologies have the potential to transform the management of chronic conditions by aligning therapeutic actions with each patient's distinct physiological profile, facilitating more efficient, patient-centered healthcare solutions $^{1-6,6-9}$.

Concept of Customized Drug Delivery Systems

A novel approach to modern medication is the Customized Drug Delivery System (CDDS), which is specifically designed to begin recovery in particular body tissues and is tailored to the individual with the disorder in question¹⁰. These new technologies try to improve the efficiency of drug treatments by making sure that the right drug is given at the best time and place. With the aid of adjusting the distribution method in line with a patient's biological and physiological factors, CDDS not only simply raises the success of the treatment but also lowers the occurrence of adverse reactions¹¹.

The need for Personalized Drug Delivery:

CDDS is a multifaceted approach, already having a major impact on clinical research and patient care aspects as it emphasizes targeted delivery, which is based on patient's unique genetic makeup and helps in optimizing treatment outcomes. Traditional drug delivery is based on the concept of "One dose fit all", therefore having numerous pitfalls such as poor bioavailability, target non-specificity, unwanted treatment outcomes, high dose dumping, etc. The standardized drug application may additionally fail to account the differences between male and female during the treatment process as an instance, a treatment that works well for one person maybe dangerous to another as genetic differences could affect drug absorption. CDDS addresses this complexity, ensuring that every patient obtains a treatment plan personalized specifically to their biological and clinical needs. This personalized method is important within the case of serious illnesses, where small treatment choices could make a huge impact on patient results¹².

Persistent disease therapy: Many ongoing diseases, including many types of cancers, diabetes, metabolic issues and cardiovascular diseases, require extended drug regimen for treatment. Patients with these diseases generally meet the problem that may result in missed doses and poor treatment outcomes. Customized drug delivery functions by allowing controlled release of medicine over extended period ¹³. As an example, a device that constantly administers can maintain steady blood levels, reducing the need for frequent doses and supporting patient adherence. This controlled release not only makes treatment more convenient for patients but also improves their quality of life by reducing the need for frequent doses ¹⁴.

Minimizing side effects: Conventional treatment of drug delivery (such as oral or intravenous) can result in systemic toxicity. Customized drug delivery focuses on recognition of treatment, giving medicines directly to the affected organs or tissues ¹⁴ this personalized method helps to reduce off-target exposure, reducing any unwanted side effects. For instance, in cancer treatment, applying nanoparticles to carry medication without delay to tumor cells may significantly lessen the impact of the drug on the healthy tissues, resulting in better effects of the medication on the patient. This degree of consideration is crucial in precision medicine, where medications are increasingly tailored to a patient's genetic background, current health, and physical parameters in addition to their diagnosis.¹⁵

Conventional vs. Modern Drug Delivery methods

<u>Conventional Drug delivery methods:</u>

There are a wide variety of conventional dosage forms available in the market and can be classified in many ways, based on their physical state, route of administration, intended purpose or site of application etc. Conventional drug delivery methods include oral, parenteral and topical dosage form. Any desirable dosage form should have properties like convenient to store, use and handle for better patient compliance; should be stable during the shelf life and maintain their physical, chemical and therapeutic features; must be able to be presented in different drug strengths, provide the required therapeutic effect in a predictable manner; and many more. While these ways are thoroughly understood and often applicable, they deliver the drugs in a non-pulsatile form, which leads to the fluctuations in the blood concentration. For example, oral drugs undergo first-pass metabolism in the liver reducing the drug concentration into the systemic circulation. This makes the treatment less effective resulting in high doses and increasing the risk of side effects ¹⁶. Another point being when a therapeutic drug is being administered, it does not only hit the targeted site but also may damage the other systems and cells along its way to targeted site. As an instance, a drug created for a certain tumor could erroneously kill nearby healthy cells, leading to side effects that could range from mild to extreme poisoning. As a result, medical doctors frequently find themselves suggesting more doses to reach the targeted site, which may heighten the threat of poisoning and lead to main negative outcomes. In some situations, these results can be life-threatening, causing higher risks in the affected person.¹⁶

Modern Drug Delivery Methods:

Bioelectronic devices:

These new technologies are meant to mix electrical and biological links, allowing for quick delivery of drugs. For an example, imagine a little small sensor implanted in a diabetic patient that continuously monitors the blood glucose levels. When glucose level exceeds or fall below a set threshold, the device can immediately release insulin as needed¹⁷. The innovation of the device lies in its ability to constantly change the drug release in real-time, improving treatment effectiveness and patient compliance. Bioelectronic devices solve important issues of the conventional drug delivery techniques by lowering systemic drug exposure, improving overall therapeutic results.¹⁸

Nanotechnology:

This technology makes use of nanoparticles or nanocarriers to especially reach cells or tissue. The accuracy of nanotechnology allows for a more customized treatment plan, boosting the performance of drugs at the same time as reducing the risk of unwanted side effects on healthy cells. As an

example, nanoparticles are made to specifically target and bind to the cancer cells, delivering high concentration of drug to the tumor leaving the healthy cells safe.¹⁹

Microfluidic systems:

These systems can give small, regular amounts of medicine over lengthy intervals, which is important for treating chronic diseases. The versatility of microfluidic technology enables healthcare providers to deliver customized drug formulations tailored precisely to individual patient needs.²⁰ Frequently paired with sensors, these systems allow actual time tracking and modification, ensuring that medicine amounts are saved at the same time as lowering the risks of overmedication or under-treatment.

Imagine a patient with persistent ache who gets a steady amount of medicine through a microfluidic device, letting them control their ache correctly without the rush of negative results related to delivery methods.²¹ The shift from conventional to modern drug delivery methods shows a key development in treatment techniques. These innovations improve accuracy, usefulness, and protection in patient care, making the treatment effective. By utilizing technologies like bioelectronic devices, nanotechnology, and microfluidic systems, present day drug delivery methods deal with the flaws/ of earlier techniques, opening the course for more personalized and powerful drugs.

Types of Bioelectronic Devices

Bioelectronic devices are an exciting domain in medicine, merging technology and biology to build devices that can greatly enhance patient care. They range from fully implanted devices that stay within the body to wearable sensors that monitor health from the outside. Each type of device performs specific roles and meets diverse medical demands, giving novel solutions for diagnosis, treatment, and continuing health care. Some of the bioelectronic devices are as follows:

Implantable bioelectronics:

Implantable bioelectronics are devices designed to be inserted into the body with the cause of recognizing, tracking, or curing scientific disorders.²² These devices normally operate by interacting with biological systems, and using electrical signals to convince physiological strategies, by stimulating nerves, managing heart beats, or delivering medical therapies, these devices can restore lost functions of those that suffer chronic medical conditions.²³

These devices often comprise several important components:

- > Sensors: To exhibit different biological parameters like temperature, strain, glucose levels or cardiac pulse rate.
- > Actuators: Mechanisms that deliver therapeutic therapies, with the help of electric waves.
- Microprocessors: Devices that analyze the data from sensors and determine when and how to prompt the motors.
- Power sources: most interior devices are powered by batteries, even the study for wireless energy transmission is underway to lengthen device lifespan and lessen maintenance demands.
- Biocompatible chemicals: Compounds which might be safe for insertion, minimizing the possibility of rejection or adverse consequences within the body.^{24,25}

Some examples and impact of Implantable Bioelectronics are:

Cardiac Pacemakers and Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillators (ICDs): Cardiac devices including pacemakers and implanted cardioverter-defibrillators (ICDs) are the most extensively utilized examples of implantable bioelectronics. These technologies are used to adjust heart rates in individuals with arrhythmias (irregular heartbeats) or other cardiovascular diseases that may cause the coronary heart to pulse too quickly, too slowly, or inconsistently.²⁶

Pacemakers: A pacemaker is a tiny device inserted below the skin, generally around the collarbone. It uses electric signals to change the heart's beat by means of urging the heart to beat at an ordinary rate. Pacemakers are typically employed to treat bradycardia (sluggish coronary heart charge), assuring the heart maintains a normal beat and halting dizziness, falling, or coronary heart failure.²⁶

Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillators (ICDs): ICDs are modern devices that not only manage heart rate but can also offer an electric shock whenever the device detects a threat of arrhythmias, together with ventricular fibrillation. This shock may restore the blood flow in the heart and save an afflicted person's life. ICDs are usually installed in people who are at a higher risk for sudden cardiac arrest because of a history of heart assaults or other acute coronary heart circumstances. Each pacemaker and ICDs considerably enhance the lives of people with heart diseases, minimizing the threat of sudden cardiac loss of life and enhancing normal cardiovascular fitness.²⁷²⁸

Deep brain Stimulators (DBS): Some other innovative examples of internal bioelectronics are the deep brain stimulation (DBS), a technology largely utilized to cope with mobility difficulties like Parkinson's disease. Parkinson's condition is a neurological issue that disrupts motion control, causing indications including tremors, pressure, and bradykinesia (slowness of movement).²⁹

DBS entails the insertion of wires into distinct parts of the brain, consisting of the subthalamic nucleus or globus pallidus. Those sensors are coupled to a pulse generator, that is placed in the chest. The heartbeat generator provides electric impulses to the focal areas of the brain, which assist and manage

the unusual neural activity that explains Parkinson's symptoms.³⁰ For persons with Parkinson's illness, DBS may considerably enhance motor feature and minimize the requirement for drugs. It has been found to relieve symptoms inclusive of twitches, stiffness, and bradykinesia, permitting patients to reclaim more control over their movements and everyday activities.³¹

Semi-Implantable Devices:

Semi-implantable bioelectronic devices are medical technologies which are partly inserted into the frame, with a mix of inner and outside components. Typically, the inner components of such devices are meant to be implanted beneath the pores and skin or in vicinity to exact organs, where they might interface without delay with the body's physiology.³² Semi-implantable bioelectronic devices provide non-stop monitoring and far distant modifications, they promote patient compliance and protection. With typical outside equipment or invasive operations, patients are usually responsible for dealing with their own therapies, which might result in blunders or disregarded dosages.³³ Semi-implantable structures lower this hardship by imparting computerized changes based on actual-time facts, making sure that therapies are constantly suited to the patient's distinctive demands. Moreover, these devices usually include incorporated safety measures, inclusive of emergency alarms or automatic shutdowns in case of failure, which may prevent any dangerous occurrences.³⁴ For instance, a semi-implantable glucose monitoring and insulin transport system may continually modulate insulin level depending upon the patient's real-time glucose readings.³⁵ If the system senses an unexpected glucose surge, it might consistently deliver insulin to offset the rise, all while informing the healthcare practitioner of the incident. This proactive strategy greatly decreases the threat of hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia, increasing the patient's health and lowering medical institution visits.³⁶

Cochlear Implants: Cochlear implants are the most used semi-implantable devices that supply a perception of sound to people with intense to severe sensorineural hearing loss, who cannot benefit from conventional listening aids. In contrast to traditional listening aids, which increase sound, cochlear implants bypass damaged amounts of the ear and instantly stimulate the hearing nerve with electric impulses.²⁴ The instrument comprises of an external microphone that captures sound, a speech processor that transforms the sound into electric impulses, and an implanted electrode array that conveys the messages to the auditory nerve. This input permits the mind to process sound, effectively "restoring" hearing in those who might otherwise remain quiet.²⁵

Applications of Semi-Implantable Bioelectronic device:

Chronic illness management

Semi-implantable electronics are beneficial in addressing chronic conditions that need continual care and monitoring. As an instance, diabetes, epilepsy, and cardiovascular ailments all benefit the non-stop, real-time facts given via those products. With regular remarks, physicians may control medication regimens or regulate healing procedures based completely on patient-specific wishes, minimizing the probability of problems and assuring superior outcomes.³⁷

Neurostimulation for movement difficulties

Devices comprised of deep mind stimulators (DBS) are generally used to treat diseases like Parkinson's disease. While implanted DBS devices proved strong in regulating signs and symptoms, semi-implantable variants allowed for alterations in stimulation settings over the years without necessitating in addition surgical operations. This helps the overall management of the illness, lessening indications like tremors, tightness, and bradykinesia.³⁸

Ache management

In the domain of pain management, semi-implantable neurostimulators, inclusive of spinal wire stimulators (SCS), have been confirmed to be effective for constant pain disorders. The internal device may offer electrical pulses to the spinal cord to mask pain signs, while the outside manipulates unit and lets the patient or healthcare supplier to alter the degree or frequency of the stimulation relying completely at the affected person's case.³¹

Drug delivery systems

These devices are intended to release medicinal substances at the target site in a specified time period, making sure that the medicine reaches the target area in the proper dosage and at the right time.³⁹ For instance, semi-implantable drug delivery systems are being designed to cure problems like cancer or chronic pain by delivering the drug straight to the damaged tissues, limiting systemic adverse consequences. External devices enable healthcare providers to alter the dose and time schedule according to the patient's need.⁴⁰

Biosensors

These are the analytical devices which measure the changes in the biological processes and then convert them into electrical signals. Biological processes can be either biological elements or the materials such as enzymes, cells, tissues etc. The output of the transducer (current or voltage) depends upon the types of enzymes, or the materials used in the biological element.³¹

Biosensors consist of different sections like:

- ✤ Analyte: It is a specific target molecule that is detected by the biosensor.
- Bioreceptor: the bio particle binds with the target analyte and undergoes a conformational change.
- Transducer: It converts the response from the analyte into an electrical signal.

They are further classified into 5 types:

- Electrochemical
- Piezoelectric
- Optical
- Thermometric
- Magnetic
- Amplifier: It amplifies the signal from the transducer so that it's easier to analyze and interpret.
- Signal Processor: By filtering the amplified signal, it processes the proper signal.
- Reader Display: It visually represents the data on the screen.

Some examples of Biosensors are:

Glucose Monitoring Biosensors: These devices are used to detect the levels of glucose in the blood stream. These devices help with real time monitoring and early detection. The major advantage of these devices is that the individual can measure their blood glucose levels and can check if the medicines are showing their therapeutic response or not.

Based on their mechanism biosensors are classified as:

- Enzymatic glucose biosensors
 - First generation glucose biosensor
 - Second generation glucose biosensor
 - Third generation glucose biosensor
- Non- Enzymatic glucose biosensor.32

Wearable Sensors

These sensors gather real-time information about several factors of health, bringing in insights that have been as fast as entirely accessible in medical situations. ³³. From examining coronary heart rate and blood oxygen levels to monitoring hyperglycemia and sleep routines, wearable sensors are starting up new possibilities for personalized medicinal therapy and preventive healthcare. ³⁵ With developments in age, those devices have grown smaller, more precise, and extra obtainable, permitting humans to deal with their health and nicely-being like never earlier than. ³⁶

Ordinary use of Wearable Sensors includes:

Smartwatches

Designed as a basic timekeeping tool, smartwatches have turned into complicated fitness-tracking gear capable of recording the ramification of vital fitness indicators. In recent times smartwatches were made with sensors that assess coronary heart rate, step depend, energy burned or even sleep types.

In addition to fundamental fitness monitoring, modern smartwatches gradually incorporate functions that offer additional intensity health surveillance. As an instance, several more modern styles are prepared with sensors capable of measuring blood oxygen saturation (SpO2) and appearing electrocardiograms (ECGs).⁴¹

Wearable Electroencephalogram (EEG) gadgets:

Another great innovation in the realm of wearing bioelectronics is the wearable electroencephalogram (EEG).⁴¹Wearable EEG devices can monitor mental health by positioning sensors at the scalp to locate electric powered activity inside the thoughts. These technologies are getting substantially smaller, more portable, and less highly priced, creating new opportunities for research, private exercise, and cognitive development. One of the innovative uses of EEGs is within the domain of intellectual fitness monitoring. With the ability to degree brainwave patterns in actual time, these devices may also screen key insights about someone's mental condition, offering the opportunity for early identification of intellectual health issues like strain, melancholy, or pressure.^{31,32}

Mechanism of Bioelectronic devices

Bioelectronic devices work at the interface of electronics and biology, giving a nonpharmacological approach to treating ongoing diseases and improving normal health. These devices are meant to be applied directly on the body's biological structures—which includes nerves, cells, or biomolecules—to impact bodily processes in real-time. This power to change the body's internal environment allows bioelectronics to give a new means of controlling conditions formerly dealt with using pills.³⁹

Bioelectronic devices usually work using a 3-step procedure: target identification, signal pathway, and device design.

Target identification

The initial process in making a bioelectronic device is finding out the biological target. This process includes finding exact biomarkers or bodily factors that need to be watched or changed. These targets deal with what is happening in the body biochemically or with the glucose levels for diabetes, inflammatory signs for neurotransmitters³⁹ which are generally important for managing diseases such as diabetes, Parkinson's, or chronic inflammation, respectively. Target determination is vital because it gives a basis for building tailored treatments. By focusing on specific signs or signals, bioelectronic devices may be created to address the basic reasons of disease rather than simply easing symptoms.⁴²

Signal Pathway:

Once the target is identified, researchers should map the signal pathways—the complicated neural or metabolic processes that influence the biological target. This stage demands neuroscientists to examine the body's natural electric signals.³⁹ For instance, in neurological issues like Parkinson's disease, abnormal neural firing is usually the core cause of signs including twitches and muscle strain. By means of studying the mind's "circuitry," researchers might become aware of specific areas of the mind whereby electric input could fix proper function. These neuromodulation methods describe the idea of bioelectronic treatment and are utilized to restore proper function. By applying electric shocks to block concentrated mind locations, bioelectronic devices may change brain activity, assisting to ease symptoms.⁴²

Devices Design:

The last step in the bioelectronic device improvement process is the creation and production of the device itself.³⁹This includes teamwork between engineers and scientists to build a technology that may interact effectively with biological cells at the same time as being safe, sturdy, and minimal invasiveness. The design focuses on making sure that the device can be worn or inserted for longer time without causing harm or pain.⁴²

Materials used in Bioelectronic Devices

Bioelectronic devices are usually made from safe material, which includes bendable electronics or light plastics, which lessen the chance of tissue damage or refusal. These devices also perform actual time monitoring of bodily data, together with heart rate, glucose levels, or brain activity.⁴² The information gained by deploying these sensors is handled by means of small onboard computer systems that study the data and send the records to outside devices or healthcare workers.

Bioelectronic devices must be capable of working longer times without regular recharging or repair.⁴³ This is done through the usage of tiny batteries, energy-harvesting technologies, or even wi-fi strength transfer systems. The end goal is to create a device that is the most effective, strong but also unnoticeable and tidy to use.

Bioelectronic devices are changing the scientific picture with the aid of mixing the advantage of electronic structures with the properties of biological tissues.⁴⁴ These devices, including personal monitors, brain prosthetics, and implantable scientific devices, are designed to connect directly with the human body. However, the success of these devices in large part rests on the materials used in their production. The right substances ensure capability, longevity, low immune reaction, and ease of interaction with biological systems.⁴⁵

In latest years, these devices have caused full-size improvements in bio-interface materials that act as the middleman between electronic devices and the body. Bioelectronic devices require materials that are not only electrically conductive but also bendy, safe, and sturdy.⁴⁶ The most widely studied materials are conductive polymers, bio-based materials, carbon-based materials, hydrogels, and bioactive substances.⁴²

Conductive Polymers

Conductive polymers are natural polymers capable of conducting electricity, making them ideal for few bioelectronic applications. These polymers are appealing because of their flexibility, electric conductivity, and biocompatibility, which makes them well-suitable for use in implantable bioelectronics and reusable devices.⁴⁷

The power of conductive polymers to conduct strength is credited to their conjugated π -electron system, which allows electrons to go with the flow through the polymer chain. ⁴⁸This unique form became first noted via Bolto et al in 1963, and since then, conductive polymers have grown to be more and more important within the growth of natural electronics, sensors, and different bioelectronic devices.

Good Conductive Polymers -

Poly(three,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT): PEDOT is a commonly used conductive polymer in bioelectronics, especially in brain-machine connections. Its famous incredible charge transport conductivity, which is important for strong nerve sign transfer. Furthermore, its biocompatibility makes it ideal for internal devices as it lowers the inflammation reaction when used with living organs. PEDOT and its derivatives are utilized in brain sensors, providing high- quality sign communication between the mind and artificial devices.⁴⁹

Polyaniline (PANI): PANI is every other conductive polymer used in bioelectronic devices. It's far renowned for its safety, flexibility, and ease of synthesis. PANI is widely utilized in sensors and motors, in addition to in situations where flexible electronics are wanted, including wireless health-monitoring devices.⁵⁰

Conductive polymers are particularly valuable in neural prosthetics, along with auditory implants and eye implants, in which the ability to send electrical signals is important for recovering sense features. The flexibility of these substances is wonderful in growing devices which can agree to the complex forms of biological tissues.⁴⁹⁻⁵¹

Bio-based substances

Bio-based products are obtained from herbal, renewable sources together with plant and animal waste. Those substances are recyclable, safe, and, in a lot of cases, environmentally sustainable, making them an attractive choice for bioelectronic applications. With the growing focus on sustainability, bio-based materials are being studied for use in bioelectronics as they blend higher with live systems.⁵²

Bio-primarily based chemicals can be split into three groups based on their starting place:

First-generation Biomass: These materials come from meals-based sources together with sugar, starchy plants, vegetable oils, and animal fat. Even though they're widely used and sustainable, their use in bioelectronics has limits, in particular due to the resistance to food sources.⁵³

Second generation Biomass: Derived from non-meals resources including farm trash, wood, and nature with the help of-products, 2nd-generation biomass substances offer greater sustainable options. These materials are growing utilized in bioelectronics, as they do not compete with meals production and are considerable in nature.⁵⁴

Third-generation Biomass: The 3rd generation of biomass is produced from algae, which may be grown quickly and used to provide excellent bio-based materials. Algae-based materials are especially useful for bioelectronic uses, as they can be made into harmless ingredients for electronics, reducing environmental effect.⁵⁵

Bio-based materials are particularly useful for projects in drug delivery and tissue engineering. As an example, the ability to use biodegradable materials in implants or sensors is to get them to dissolve or degrade within the body, without dealing with the need for invasive elimination processes. Additionally, bio-mass based substances aid environment friendly product development, adding to the sustainable future of bioelectronics.⁵²

Carbon-based substances

Carbon-based substances, which include graphene and carbon nanotubes (CNTs), are at the center of study in bioelectronics because of their great mechanical properties, electric conductivity, and biocompatibility. These materials are used widely in applications ranging from biosensors to neural interfaces, where their unique properties offer more desirable performance.⁵⁶

Graphene

Graphene is a layer of carbon atoms grouped in a -dimensional hexagonal lattice. It is famous for its extra electrical conductivity, dynamic energy, and flexibility, making it an excellent material for bioelectronics. Graphene's biocompatibility allows its use in bio signal recording, including in electroencephalograms (EEGs) for tracking brain monitoring.^{57,58} In brain interfaces, graphene's flexibility allows it to comply to the surface of biological tissues, making it a promising material for non-invasive Brain-computer interfaces (BCIs). Moreover, it's higher surface area improves the performance of biosensors, allowing extra sensitive detection of biomarkers.⁵⁹

Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs)

Carbon nanotubes are circular tubes of carbon atoms, showing super strength, electrical conductivity, and flexibility. CNTs are used in bioelectronic along with electrodes for neural recording and drug delivery systems. Their nanoscale structure provides excellent communication and makes them likeminded with living tissues. CNTs can enhance the sensitivity and efficiency of biosensors, allowing the discovery of a huge variety of biological signs, along with glucose ranges and neurotransmitter amounts.⁶⁰ Additionally, CNTs may be used to build electrodes that improve the general performance of implantable devices, which include pacemakers and brain implants.

The combination of graphene and CNTs gives considerable benefits in bioelectronics, especially in tools that require high sensitivity, longevity, and flexible interaction with biological tissues. The electrical properties of these materials may be finely tuned for specific applications, which include neural prosthetics or diagnostic sensors.⁶¹

Hydrogels

Hydrogels are water-swollen plastic networks which can mimic the mechanical properties of soft tissues, making them ideal for bioelectronic interfaces. Hydrogels are biocompatible, flexible, and able to take up huge quantities of water, which makes them suitable for applications which require contact with biological fluids, consisting of wound healing, drug transport, and electrodes in neural interfaces.⁶²

Gelatin-based Hydrogels

Gelatin-based hydrogels are obtained from collagen, a rigid protein found in animal tissues. Gelatin hydrogels are nontoxic, allowing them to merge easily with organic cells. These hydrogels can be used in implantable devices that have contact with gentle tissues or in biosensors where a hydrogel matrix can support the release of medicine.⁶³

Alginate Hydrogels

Alginate is an herbal polymer taken from seaweed that consists of a gel-like system when exposed to divalent cations which include calcium. Alginate hydrogels are widely used in wound restoration and management of drug transport as they provide a safe, flexible base for interaction with living tissues. In bioelectronics, alginate hydrogels are used as matrix materials for sensors and electrodes, as they could support electrical materials and improve the total performance of bioelectronic devices.^{64,65}

The hydrophilic nature of hydrogels makes them quite suitable for implantable bioelectronics, as they mimic the mechanical houses of biological tissues and make proper contact with soft tissue surfaces. Those chemicals are essential in developing devices for persistent sickness control, wound recovery, and neural stimulation.^{20,66}

Bioactive substances

Bioactive substances are those which contact organic systems to trigger organic reactions. These materials are important in situations in which tissue regeneration, wound healing, and biomedical implants are needed.⁶⁷ Bioactive substances encourage organic reactions inclusive of cellular increase, protein production, and tissue repair, making them valuable in tissue engineering and regenerative remedy.⁶⁸

Bioactive substances are used in bioelectronics for applications like bone regeneration (e.g., in orthopedic implants), skin repair (e.g., in wound repair), and nerve regeneration (e.g., in neural prosthetics). These substances can release bioactive compounds or stimulate the production of the growth factors that promote tissue recovery and repair.⁶⁴ Moreover, bioactive substances for implants can enhance tissue integration and reduce the risk of implant rejection.⁶⁹

Recent advancements in Bioelectronic devices

In latest years, bioelectronic devices —bridging biology with electronics have witnessed significant discoveries that promise to adjust healthcare. With the aid of the use of electrical impulses to interact with the body's neurological system, these devices offer unique techniques for detecting, controlling, or even treating various health conditions.

Neural Interfaces for Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs):

The development in BCIs displays a chronic force to grow the gap between the humans and machines. Early BCIs were usually intrusive, requiring surgical implantation, along with cochlear implants, which allowed individuals with hearing impairments to repair auditory capability. ³⁰ But, with traits in sensor era and information of mind impulses, the focus has switched to non-invasive methods. New non-invasive BCIs, utilize outside devices like EEG gaps that gather brain indicators immediately from the scalp, making BCIs more secure, handy, and patient friendly.

Neuralink Brain Chips:

Neuralink's state-of-the-art step forward, the N1 Implant, created as a part of the "prime observe," intends to permit paralyzed patients to operate devices like computers and phones by just using their thoughts.⁷⁰The chip decodes mind impulses related to motion and delivers them wirelessly to other devices. The R1 robotic, which performs minimally invasive surgery, inserts ultra-thin electrical threads into the motor brain. Once inserted, the chip uses Bluetooth to ship neurological indicators, allowing finger-less device control. These devices are designed to evolve with each use, reducing unwanted outcomes including irritation and restricting injury to incorporated tissues. Their bio integration provides lengthy-time monitoring of essential fitness parameters, correct diagnoses, and feedback-based therapy custom designed according to the patient situations.⁷¹

Deep brain Stimulation (DBS) has emerged as a main remedy alternative for movement problems, substantially in Parkinson's disorder. Devices like Medtronic's Activa DBS machine can alleviate motor signs and symptoms by presenting centered electrical impulses to mind areas. With increase in precision and programmability, these systems allow more tailor-made and powerful treatment options for neurological illnesses.⁷²

Advantages of Bioelectronic Devices

Bioelectronic devices are changing the face of medical treatment, bringing novel approaches that affect person care and improve effects. These devices combine the world of electronics with biology, keeping in mind various uses that make treatments accurate, customized, and patient friendly.⁷³ Here are several huge benefits of bioelectronic devices:

Precision and tailored treatment

One of the prominent elements of bioelectronic devices is their ability to provide personalized treatments. This precision is especially seen in brain connections, which can also impact body functions with brilliant accuracy.⁷⁴

Focused Drug delivery:

Bioelectronic devices change the way medicines are given. Rather than a general method that affects the whole body, these devices can trigger unique nerves or give medicines exactly to the targeted area. As an instance, in pain therapy, a bioelectronic device can also give painkillers mainly to the area where the pain is induced, providing for effective relaxation without introducing the whole body to immoderate pharmaceutical levels.³⁶

Adaptive structures:

Superior bioelectronic devices hire closed-loop systems, which control treatment in actual time mainly based on the patient's bodily needs. This is especially needed for the diseases including the diabetes, where insulin pumps can change instantly to glucose level. If a patient's blood sugar climbs, the machine can right away release insulin to position it back into a healthy range.⁷⁵

Minimize patient discomfort

Every other key benefit of bioelectronic devices is their capability to ease both physical and mental pain. Conventional treatment methods frequently include pain, and the pressure of daily medical doctor visits. Bioelectronic devices, by means of measurement, can ease this load.⁷⁴

Customized Therapeutics by using Neural control

The possibility of bioelectronic devices to change mind reactions forms a step forward in custom made treatment. With the aid of controlling the brain networks that change organ function, these devices can adapt therapy to the wants of patient.⁷⁵

For instance, in issues like epilepsy or depression, bioelectronic devices can be set to focus directly on the electrical impulses to precise parts of the mind. This clarity allows for a subtle method to fix, changing to the exact types of every patient's condition. The results are an extra effective strategy that treats no longer simply the signs but the core reasons of the diseases.⁷⁶

Reduced or minor aspect affects

Due to their limited movement and accuracy, bioelectronic devices generally tend to have much less harmful effects in comparison to conventional treatment tactics. Conventional medicines can subject the body to broad drug results, resulting in various shocking results that could block recovery. As an example, people getting chemotherapy may additionally be affected by terrible side outcomes such hair loss, nausea, and tiredness due to the systemic nature of the drugs. In comparison, bioelectronic devices can give medicines directly to cancerous areas for the safety of healthy cells.^{77,78}

The benefits of bioelectronic devices are remaking the future of healthcare. From accuracy and personalized treatment to lessened patient pain, these devices provide novel solutions that cope with some of the most important concerns in hospital therapy today.

Future directions and research trends:

As the area of bioelectronics continues to grow, researchers are exploring numerous paths that promise to increase security, effectiveness, and use of those pioneering technologies. From better biocompatibility to adding digital era, the future offers interesting possibilities in the treatment of patients

Improved Safety and Biocompatibility

Safety and biocompatibility are important for the development of bioelectronic devices, because these factors directly impact the patient's outcomes and the longevity of the device in the body.

Nanomaterials:

Latest breakthroughs in nanotechnology have led to the development of recyclable nanomaterials, such as polymer or lipid, which are designed in the manner that they could decrease immune reactions. The goal is to build systems which could carry medicines properly and effectively to the targeted area so that the adverse reactions are minimized in the patients.⁷⁹ But issues remain in consistently growing nanoparticles that keep balance even in different physiological conditions. This variation may harm the effectiveness of drug delivery, requiring rigorous testing and standardization methods.⁸⁰

Closed-Loop structures:

The mixing of real-time feedback and adaptable algorithms into bioelectronic devices has the capability to change treatment distribution. These closedloop devices may also change pharmaceutical doses based on real-time bodily information, therefore warding off bad outcomes and improving normal treatment results.⁸¹ However, the complexity of these systems adds additional technological limits, making safety and reliability important problems for medical uses.⁸²

Stimuli-Responsive substances:

Stimuli-responsive substances that release medicines in reaction to specific signs, which include pH changes or temperature swings, provide a modern way to focused transfer.⁸³ For example, a drug stored in a substance that breaks at a particular pH can be brought especially where it is needed most, minimizing exposure to healthy tissues. However, ensuring consistent performance across numerous patients and medical conditions remains a problem. Organizing research aims to enhance the reliability of the materials and widen the application of those materials.⁸⁴

Lively targeting:

Another approach involves using ligands or antibodies to deliver medical drugs to specific receptors on target cells. This targeted approach promotes precision and helps prevent unwanted effects. While the potential benefits are significant, challenges remain, particularly concerning immune reactions and off-target binding. Ongoing research aims to enhance these methods to ensure effectiveness without triggering adverse immune responses.⁷⁴

Microfluidics:

Microfluidic tools offer specific manipulation over drug transport via customizable cubicles. This method can ease the giving of medicines in a controlled way, perhaps with better treatment outcomes.²¹ However, the making process for these products may be tough and might need materials and method. Overcoming these manufacturing challenges is important for the larger application of microfluidics in therapeutic applications.

Miniaturization:

One of the important areas in bioelectronic devices is reduction. Smaller tools that permit slightly invasive placement can significantly increase patient satisfaction. A device that can be inserted with limited disturbance to the body is exciting, but it does include limits.²

Wearable era Integration:

Wearable technology is fast turning into a fundamental factor of healthcare, and its combination with bioelectronic devices has the good ability for supporting individualized treatment.³⁷

Customized delivery:

Wearable devices can help adjust drug release by way of assessing patient-specific information. Through knowing a patient's specific bodily responses, healthcare practitioners can also personalize treatments more effectively. ^{36,85}

Multimodal transport:

Combining drugs, genes, and cells into a device can give large benefits for healing a couple of issues. As an example, a device that jointly gives a remedy and gene therapy would possibly boost the effectiveness of treatment for diseases like cancer. However, the more complexity of multimodal systems offers problems in terms of regulation, control and efficiency.⁸⁶

The future of bioelectronic devices is shiny, packed with promises to improve healthcare via better safety, accuracy, and patient-targeted care. As research advances in areas like nanotechnology, stimuli- responsive materials, wireless communication, and wearable technology continue to thrive, we can also count on key improvements in a good way to enhance patient results and reports.^{5,6,16,18}

Conclusion

This review summarizes the emergence of bioelectronic devices in the modern medicine to offer personal, controlled and stimuli responsive drug delivery systems. These devices combine biological signals with the electronic formation to deliver drugs accurately and actively to counter the drawbacks of the prior methods of delivering drugs in a therapeutic manner.

The concept being used in developing bioelectronic devices is the integration of biology with electronics to interface with the body's biological systems. These devices incorporate higher level parts such as biosensors, actuators, micro fluidics and wireless communication technology. This feature of bioelectronic drug delivery systems is most importantly useful around personalized medicine where drug delivery is intended to correspond with individual's genetic, environmental and lifestyle characteristics. Bioelectronic devices can in a way be designed to integrate with a patient's specific physiological conditions, deliver accurate dosages when required without the need of human input. One advantage of this level of customization is in enhancing the therapeutic value in addition to reducing the likelihood of adverse drug reactions, a major drawback of conventional methods of drug delivery. So, bioelectronic devices represent a very exciting strategy for personal medication delivery and can form the foundation for a new age in chronic disease therapy and patient treatment. If connecting the biological-sensing features with perfect and pulsatile drug delivery, then these devices could greatly improve therapeutic outcomes with minimal side effects.

Despite enormous advancements, several major obstacles still stand in the way of the broad clinical application of bioelectronic devices. Uniformity and dependability are jeopardized by device discrepancies brought on by manufacturing variability and performance variations. Ensuring safety and efficacy of these devices is important because of the cases of deterioration. One major obstacle is the cost-effective, scalable production of intricate, biocompatible devices. Furthermore, creating reliable, closed-loop feedback systems and accomplishing accurate, focused medication administration are made more difficult by the biological variability that exists naturally. Finally, further clinical acceptance is hampered by ethical and regulatory issues, especially those pertaining to brain-computer interfaces. To fully realize the therapeutic potential of bioelectronic devices, these issues must be resolved.

References:

[1] Chen, P., Sun, X., & Peng, H. (2020). Emerging soft bioelectronics. Advanced Functional Materials, 30(29), 2001827.

[2] Jiang, X., Wu, H., Xiao, A., Huang, Y., Yu, X., & Chang, L. (2024). Recent advances in bioelectronics for localized drug delivery. *Small Methods*, 8(1), 2301068.

[3] Zlobina, K., Jafari, M., Rolandi, M., & Gomez, M. (2022). The role of machine learning in advancing precision medicine with feedback control. *Cell Reports Physical Science*, *3*(11).

[4] Marquez, G., Dechiraju, H., Baniya, P., Li, H., Tebyani, M., Pansodtee, P., ... & Gomez, M. (2024). Delivering biochemicals with precision using bioelectronic devices enhanced with feedback control. *Plos one*, *19*(5), e0298286.

[5] Malliaras, G. G. (2013). Organic bioelectronics: A new era for organic electronics. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-General Subjects*, 1830(9), 4286-4287.

[6] Owens, R., Kjall, P., Richter-Dahlfors, A., & Cicoira, F. (2013). Organic bioelectronics-novel applications in biomedicine. Preface. *Biochimica et biophysica acta*, 1830(9), 4283-4285.

[7] Park, K. (2014). Controlled drug delivery systems: past forward and future back. Journal of Controlled Release, 190, 3-8.

[8] Ezike, T. C., Okpala, U. S., Onoja, U. L., Nwike, C. P., Ezeako, E. C., Okpara, O. J., ... & Nwanguma, B. C. (2023). Advances in drug delivery systems, challenges and future directions. *Heliyon*, 9(6).

[9] Ranade, V. V., Hollinger, M. A., & Cannon, J. B. (2003). Drug delivery systems. CRC press.

[10] Goole, J., & Amighi, K. (2016). 3D printing in pharmaceutics: A new tool for designing customized drug delivery systems. *International journal of pharmaceutics*, 499(1-2), 376-394.

[11] Xiong, Y., Wang, J., Zhou, X., & Li, X. (2024). The Development of a Stable Peptide-Loaded Long-Acting Injection Formulation through a Comprehensive Understanding of Peptide Degradation Mechanisms: A QbD-Based Approach. *Pharmaceutics*, *16*(2), 266.

[12] Elkasabgy, N. A., Mahmoud, A. A., & Maged, A. (2020). 3D printing: An appealing route for customized drug delivery systems. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 588, 119732.

[13] Soni, A., Gowthamarajan, K., & Radhakrishnan, A. (2018). Personalized medicine and customized drug delivery systems: the new trend of drug delivery and disease management. *International journal of pharmaceutical compounding*, 22(2), 108-121.

[14] Khan, F. A., Narasimhan, K., Swathi, C. S. V., Mustak, S., Mustafa, G., Ahmad, M. Z., & Akhter, S. (2018). 3D printing technology in customized drug delivery system: current state of the art, prospective and the challenges. *Current pharmaceutical design*, 24(42), 5049-5061.

[15] Spencer, D. S., Puranik, A. S., & Peppas, N. A. (2015). Intelligent nanoparticles for advanced drug delivery in cancer treatment. *Current opinion in chemical engineering*, 7, 84-92.

[16] Jain, K. K. (2019). An overview of drug delivery systems. Drug delivery systems, 1-54.

[17] Kumar, A., & Gupta, R. K. (Eds.). (2022). Bioelectronics: Materials, Technologies, and Emerging Applications.

[18] Głowacki, E. D., Stavrinidou, E., & Khodagholy, D. (2020). Bioelectronics Research Reaches New Heights. *Advanced Materials Technologies*, 5(3), 2000106.

[19] Gibney, S., Hicks, J. M., Robinson, A., Jain, A., Sanjuan-Alberte, P., & Rawson, F. J. (2021). Toward nanobioelectronic medicine: Unlocking new applications using nanotechnology. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology*, 13(3), e1693.

[20] Li, G., Huang, K., Deng, J., Guo, M., Cai, M., Zhang, Y., & Guo, C. F. (2022). Highly conducting and stretchable double-network hydrogel for soft bioelectronics. *Advanced Materials*, *34*(15), 2200261.

[21] Liu, Y., Yang, T., Zhang, Y., Qu, G., Wei, S., Liu, Z., & Kong, T. (2019). Ultrastretchable and wireless bioelectronics based on all-hydrogel microfluidics. *Advanced Materials*, *31*(39), 1902783.

[22] Hanein, Y., & Goding, J. (2024). Guest Editorial: Implantable Bioelectronics. APL bioengineering, 8(2).

[23] Parikh, P. M., & Venniyoor, A. (2024). Neuralink and Brain–Computer Interface—Exciting Times for Artificial Intelligence. South Asian Journal of Cancer, 13(01), 063-065.

[24] Zeng, F. G., Rebscher, S., Harrison, W., Sun, X., & Feng, H. (2008). Cochlear implants: system design, integration, and evaluation. *IEEE reviews in biomedical engineering*, *1*, 115-142.

[25] Eshraghi, A. A., Nazarian, R., Telischi, F. F., Rajguru, S. M., Truy, E., & Gupta, C. (2012). The cochlear implant: historical aspects and future prospects. *The anatomical record: advances in integrative anatomy and evolutionary biology*, 295(11), 1967-1980.

[26] Swindle, J. P., Rich, M. W., McCann, P., Burroughs, T. E., & Hauptman, P. J. (2010). Implantable cardiac device procedures in older patients: use and in-hospital outcomes. *Archives of internal medicine*, *170*(7), 631-637.

[27] Choi, Y. S., Yin, R. T., Pfenniger, A., Koo, J., Avila, R., Benjamin Lee, K., ... & Rogers, J. A. (2021). Fully implantable and bioresorbable cardiac pacemakers without leads or batteries. *Nature biotechnology*, *39*(10), 1228-1238.

[28] Friedman, P., Murgatroyd, F., Boersma, L. V., Manlucu, J., O'Donnell, D., Knight, B. P., ... & Crozier, I. (2022). Efficacy and safety of an extravascular implantable cardioverter–defibrillator. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *387*(14), 1292-1302.

[29] Krauss, J. K., Lipsman, N., Aziz, T., Boutet, A., Brown, P., Chang, J. W., ... & Lozano, A. M. (2021). Technology of deep brain stimulation: current status and future directions. *Nature Reviews Neurology*, 17(2), 75-87.

[30] Lozano, A. M., Lipsman, N., Bergman, H., Brown, P., Chabardes, S., Chang, J. W., ... & Krauss, J. K. (2019). Deep brain stimulation: current challenges and future directions. *Nature Reviews Neurology*, *15*(3), 148-160.

[31] Fang, J., Huang, S., Liu, F., He, G., Li, X., Huang, X., Chen, H. J., & Xie, X. (2022). Semi-Implantable Bioelectronics. *Nano-micro letters*, 14(1), 125.

[32] Koo, J. H., Song, J. K., Kim, D. H., & Son, D. (2021). Soft implantable bioelectronics. ACS Materials Letters, 3(11), 1528-1540.

[33] Huang, X., Wang, L., Wang, H., Zhang, B., Wang, X., Stening, R. Y., ... & Yin, L. (2020). Materials strategies and device architectures of emerging power supply devices for implantable bioelectronics. *Small*, *16*(15), 1902827.

[34] Zhang, J., Xu, J., Lim, J., Nolan, J. K., Lee, H., & Lee, C. H. (2021). Wearable glucose monitoring and implantable drug delivery systems for diabetes management. *Advanced Healthcare Materials*, *10*(17), 2100194.

[35] Heo, Y. J., & Takeuchi, S. (2013). Towards smart tattoos: implantable biosensors for continuous glucose monitoring. Advanced healthcare materials, 2(1), 43-56.

[36] Choi, C., Lee, Y., Cho, K. W., Koo, J. H., & Kim, D. H. (2018). Wearable and implantable soft bioelectronics using two-dimensional materials. *Accounts of chemical research*, 52(1), 73-81.

[37] Sheng, H., Zhang, X., Liang, J., Shao, M., Xie, E., Yu, C., & Lan, W. (2021). Recent advances of energy solutions for implantable bioelectronics. *Advanced Healthcare Materials*, *10*(17), 2100199.

[38] Perlmutter, J. S., & Mink, J. W. (2006). Deep brain stimulation. Annu. Rev. Neurosci., 29(1), 229-257.

[39] Wu, H., Gao, W., & Yin, Z. (2017). Materials, devices and systems of soft bioelectronics for precision therapy. Advanced Healthcare Materials, 6(10), 1700017.

[40] Sim, K., Ershad, F., Zhang, Y., Yang, P., Shim, H., Rao, Z., ... & Yu, C. (2020). An epicardial bioelectronic patch made from soft rubbery materials and capable of spatiotemporal mapping of electrophysiological activity. *Nature Electronics*, *3*(12), 775-784.

[41] Britton, J. W., Frey, L. C., Hopp, J. L., Korb, P., Koubeissi, M. Z., Lievens, W. E., ... & St Louis, E. K. (2016). Electroencephalography (EEG): An introductory text and atlas of normal and abnormal findings in adults, children, and infants.

[42] Sabu, F., & Abraham, E. (2019). BIOELECTRONIC MEDICINE-A REVIEW. World Journal of Pharmaceutical and Life Sciences, 5(11), 66-68.

[43] Alam, F., Ahmed, M. A., Jalal, A., Siddiquee, I., Adury, R., Hossain, G., & Pala, N. (2024). Recent progress and challenges of implantable biodegradable biosensors. Micromachines, 15 (4), 475.

[44] Zou, Y., Bo, L., & Li, Z. (2021). Recent progress in human body energy harvesting for smart bioelectronic system. *Fundamental Research*, *1*(3), 364-382.

[45] Rolandi, M., Noy, A., Inal, S., & Rivnay, J. (2021). Advances in bioelectronics: Materials, devices, and translational applications. *APL Materials*, 9(7).

[46] Fattahi, P., Yang, G., Kim, G., & Abidian, M. R. (2014). A review of organic and inorganic biomaterials for neural interfaces. Advanced materials, 26(12), 1846-1885.

[47] Lee, Y., Kim, J., Koo, J. H., Kim, T. H., & Kim, D. H. (2018). Nanomaterials for bioelectronics and integrated medical systems. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 35, 1-11.

[48] Wang, Z., Bai, H., Yu, W., Gao, Z., Chen, W., Yang, Z., ... & Wang, S. (2022). Flexible bioelectronic device fabricated by conductive polymer– based living material. *Science Advances*, 8(25), eabo1458.

[49] Jia, M., & Rolandi, M. (2020). Soft and ion-conducting materials in bioelectronics: From conducting polymers to hydrogels. *Advanced healthcare materials*, 9(5), 1901372.

[50] Bolto, B. A., McNeill, R., & Weiss, D. E. (1963). Electronic conduction in polymers. III. Electronic properties of polypyrrole. *Australian Journal of Chemistry*, *16*(6), 1090-1103.

[51] Bettucci, O., Matrone, G. M., & Santoro, F. (2022). Conductive polymer-based bioelectronic platforms toward sustainable and biointegrated devices: a journey from skin to brain across human body interfaces. *Advanced Materials Technologies*, 7(2), 2100293.

[52] Heinze, J. (2005). Electronically conducting polymers. In Electrochemistry IV (pp. 1-47). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

[53] Sharma, S., Khosla, R., Das, S., Shrimali, H., & Sharma, S. K. (2018). High-performance CSA-PANI based organic phototransistor by elastomer gratings. *Organic Electronics*, 57, 14-20.

[54] Dong, H., & Hu, W. (2012). Conducting Polymers: Applications in Electronics and Photovoltaics. *Encyclopedia of Radicals in Chemistry, Biology* and Materials.

[55] Heck, J., Goding, J., Lara, R. P., & Green, R. (2022). The influence of physicochemical properties on the processibility of conducting polymers: A bioelectronics perspective. *Acta Biomaterialia*, *139*, 259-279.

[56] Zhou, M., & Dong, S. (2011). Bioelectrochemical interface engineering: toward the fabrication of electrochemical biosensors, biofuel cells, and self-powered logic biosensors. *Accounts of chemical research*, 44(11), 1232-1243.

[57] Baptista, A., Ferreira, I., & Borges, J. (2013). Cellulose-based bioelectronic devices. In Cellulose-medical, pharmaceutical and electronic applications. IntechOpen.

[58] Zhang, T., Liu, J., Wang, C., Leng, X., Xiao, Y., & Fu, L. (2017). Synthesis of graphene and related two-dimensional materials for bioelectronics devices. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 89, 28-42.

[59] Islam, A., Usman, K., Haider, Z., Alam, M. F., Nawaz, A., & Sonar, P. (2023). Biomass-derived materials for interface engineering in organic/perovskite photovoltaic and light-emitting devices. *Advanced Materials Technologies*, 8(7), 2201390.

[60] Angione, M. D., Pilolli, R., Cotrone, S., Magliulo, M., Mallardi, A., Palazzo, G., ... & Torsi, L. (2011). Carbon based materials for electronic biosensing. *Materials today*, 14(9), 424-433.

[61] Meenakshi, Shukla, S. K., Narang, J., Kumar, V., Govender, P. P., Niv, A., ... & Babu, R. S. (2020). Switchable graphene-based bioelectronics interfaces. *Chemosensors*, 8(2), 45.

[62] Yuk, H., Lu, B., & Zhao, X. (2019). Hydrogel bioelectronics. Chemical Society Reviews, 48(6), 1642-1667.

[63] Zheng, M., Wang, X., Yue, O., Hou, M., Zhang, H., Beyer, S., ... & Guo, J. (2021). Skin-inspired gelatin-based flexible bio-electronic hydrogel for wound healing promotion and motion sensing. *Biomaterials*, 276, 121026.

[64] Ma, Z., Song, W., He, Y., & Li, H. (2020). Multilayer injectable hydrogel system sequentially delivers bioactive substances for each wound healing stage. *ACS applied materials & interfaces*, *12*(26), 29787-29806.

[65] Ohm, Y., Pan, C., Ford, M. J., Huang, X., Liao, J., & Majidi, C. (2021). An electrically conductive silver–polyacrylamide–alginate hydrogel composite for soft electronics. *Nature Electronics*, 4(3), 185-192.

[66] Yang, Q., Hu, Z., & Rogers, J. A. (2021). Functional hydrogel interface materials for advanced bioelectronic devices. Accounts of Materials Research, 2(11), 1010-1023.

[67] Jha, S., Akula, B., Enyioma, H., Novak, M., Amin, V., & Liang, H. (2024). Biodegradable Biobased Polymers: A Review of the State of the Art, Challenges, and Future Directions. Polymers, 16(16), 2262.

[68] Do, N. H., Truong, Q. T., Le, P. K., & Ha, A. C. (2022). Recent developments in chitosan hydrogels carrying natural bioactive compounds. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 294, 119726.

[69] Tsou, Y. H., Khoneisser, J., Huang, P. C., & Xu, X. (2016). Hydrogel as a bioactive material to regulate stem cell fate. *Bioactive materials*, *1*(1), 39-55.

[70] Musk, E. (2019). An integrated brain-machine interface platform with thousands of channels. Journal of medical Internet research, 21(10), e16194.

[71] Fiani, B., Reardon, T., Ayres, B., Cline, D., Sitto, S. R., Reardon, T. K., ... & Cline, D. D. (2021). An examination of prospective uses and future directions of neuralink: the brain-machine interface. *Cureus*, *13*(3).

[72] Fraczek, T. M., Ferleger, B. I., Brown, T. E., Thompson, M. C., Haddock, A. J., Houston, B. C., ... & Chizeck, H. J. (2021). Closing the loop with cortical sensing: the development of adaptive deep brain stimulation for essential tremor using the activa PC+ S. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *15*, 749705.

[73] Rivnay, J., Owens, R. M., & Malliaras, G. G. (2014). The rise of organic bioelectronics. Chemistry of Materials, 26(1), 679-685.

[74] Wang, R. C., & Wang, Z. (2023). Precision medicine: disease subtyping and tailored treatment. Cancers, 15(15), 3837.

[75] Wang, C., Shirzaei Sani, E., & Gao, W. (2022). Wearable bioelectronics for chronic wound management. *Advanced functional materials*, 32(17), 2111022.

[76] Lee, K. H., Blaha, C. D., Garris, P. A., Mohseni, P., Horne, A. E., Bennet, K. E., ... & Cho, Z. H. (2009). Evolution of deep brain stimulation: human electrometer and smart devices supporting the next generation of therapy. *Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface*, *12*(2), 85-103.

[77] Goyal, A., Goetz, S., Stanslaski, S., Oh, Y., Rusheen, A. E., Klassen, B., ... & Lee, K. (2021). The development of an implantable deep brain stimulation device with simultaneous chronic electrophysiological recording and stimulation in humans. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, *176*, 112888.

[78] Huang, Y., Yao, K., Zhang, Q., Huang, X., Chen, Z., Zhou, Y., & Yu, X. (2024). Bioelectronics for electrical stimulation: materials, devices and biomedical applications. *Chemical Society Reviews*.

[79] Lim, E. K., Kim, T., Paik, S., Haam, S., Huh, Y. M., & Leec, K. (2021). Nanomaterials for theranostics: recent advances and future challenges. *Nanomaterials and Neoplasms*, 587-775.

[80] Pomerantseva, E., Bonaccorso, F., Feng, X., Cui, Y., & Gogotsi, Y. (2019). Energy storage: The future enabled by nanomaterials. *Science*, *366*(6468), eaan8285.

[81] Güemes Gonzalez, A., Etienne-Cummings, R., & Georgiou, P. (2020). Closed-loop bioelectronic medicine for diabetes management. *Bioelectronic medicine*, *6*, 1-7.

[82] Bhave, G., Chen, J. C., Singer, A., Sharma, A., & Robinson, J. T. (2021). Distributed sensor and actuator networks for closed-loop bioelectronic medicine. *Materials Today*, 46, 125-135.

[83] Nandivada, H., Ross, A. M., & Lahann, J. (2010). Stimuli-responsive monolayers for biotechnology. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 35(1-2), 141-154.

[84] Mendes, P. M. (2008). Stimuli-responsive surfaces for bio-applications. Chemical Society Reviews, 37(11), 2512-2529.

[85] Khan, W., Muntimadugu, E., Jaffe, M., & Domb, A. J. (2014). Implantable medical devices. Focal controlled drug delivery, 33-59.

[86] Zhao, G., Chen, Z., Wang, S., Chen, S., Zhang, F., Andrabi, S. M., ... & Yan, Z. (2024). Sustainable Nanofibril Interfaces for Strain-Resilient and Multimodal Porous Bioelectronics. *Advanced Materials*, *36*(46), 2411587.