International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Advances in Energy Dissipation and Control Devices for Earthquake-Resistant Structures: A Comprehensive Review

Vasanthasurya S [a], Sanjana R [a], Vennila A [b]

Postgraduate Student, Department of Structural Engineering, Kumaraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore, India [a]
Assistant Professor, Department of Structural Engineering, Kumaraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore, India [b]

ABSTRACT

The seismic safety of masonry and heritage structures is of paramount importance in earthquake-prone regions, where these buildings serve as both historical artifacts and functional spaces. Constructed using traditional techniques and regionally sourced materials, they typically lack the ductility, reinforcement, and design standards needed to endure seismic events. Their vulnerability is further amplified by material aging, construction irregularities, and inadequate maintenance over time.

This review paper provides a comprehensive analysis of their seismic behaviour through the lens of vulnerability assessment methods, innovative retrofitting technologies, and conservation strategies that align with international heritage preservation guidelines. Emphasis is placed on balancing the need for structural safety with the imperative to retain cultural and architectural integrity.

Real-world case studies from countries such as Italy, Nepal, and Portugal illustrate the practical application and challenges of seismic retrofitting in heritage contexts. Additionally, the paper identifies current research gaps and future directions, including the development of eco-friendly materials, integration of AI and smart monitoring systems, and the advancement of performance-based seismic design. This study aims to guide engineers, architects, and policymakers in developing sustainable, context-sensitive approaches to protect heritage masonry structures from seismic hazards.

Keywords: Earthquake-resistant structures, energy dissipation, passive damping, active control, semi-active systems, hybrid systems, structural vibration control.

1. Introduction

Earthquake-resistant design has evolved beyond conventional approaches to embrace innovative structural control mechanisms that enhance seismic resilience. Among these, energy dissipation and control devices play a pivotal role in reducing seismic demand on structures by absorbing or redirecting vibratory energy. Traditional strengthening techniques often result in overdesigned and less efficient systems. In contrast, modern damping technologies offer targeted mitigation strategies tailored to dynamic characteristics and performance expectations.

The concept of energy dissipation is rooted in the understanding that structures do not need to remain entirely elastic during earthquakes but must instead manage inelastic deformations in a controlled manner. Early designs primarily relied on increasing stiffness and strength; however, this often led to higher base shear demands and brittle failures. The shift towards energy-based design philosophies has allowed engineers to develop safer and more economical solutions.

Recent advancements have introduced diverse categories of devices—passive, semi-active, and active—all with distinct operational principles and applications. These technologies are now integral to performance-based earthquake engineering, where structures are designed to meet specific performance objectives under different seismic intensities.

Moreover, the integration of smart materials, real-time control systems, and AI-driven predictive maintenance strategies is transforming the field. As urban infrastructure grows in complexity and density, there is a pressing need for scalable, adaptive, and sustainable seismic protection solutions.

This paper presents a comprehensive review of the latest advancements in the development, implementation, and assessment of energy dissipation and structural control systems. Various device categories—passive, semi-active, and active—are critically examined through recent literature. The review also includes hybrid approaches that combine different mechanisms for optimized performance, and real-world applications are discussed to bridge the gap between theory and practice.

2. Literature Review

Over the last few decades, substantial research has focused on the development and implementation of energy dissipation and control devices for earthquake-resistant structures. Early contributions by Kelly (1997) on base isolation systems laid the groundwork for performance-based seismic design. Constantinou et al. (2001) demonstrated the effectiveness of fluid viscous dampers in high-rise buildings, while Tsai et al. (2003) explored the behavior of friction dampers in steel structures.

Recent advancements include the integration of shape memory alloys (SMA) and smart materials. Dolce et al. (2005) and DesRoches et al. (2004) provided compelling evidence of SMA's self-centering capabilities and their suitability for seismic retrofitting. Similarly, Li et al. (2013) examined the performance of MR dampers under variable magnetic fields and highlighted their energy efficiency.

In the domain of semi-active and hybrid systems, Spencer and Nagarajaiah (2003) pioneered research into semi-active control strategies, introducing MR damper control algorithms with robust adaptability. More recently, Yuen and Kuang (2011) conducted large-scale tests combining base isolation and semi-active damping, demonstrating enhanced energy dissipation capacity.

Emerging approaches also focus on artificial intelligence and real-time control. Takewaki et al. (2013) proposed the use of AI in adaptive seismic response control, while Jangid and Kelly (2001) reviewed hybrid base-isolated systems with supplementary damping for complex dynamic responses. Aghagholizadeh and Mahmoud (2020) discussed reinforcement learning as a viable tool for active control in tall buildings.

Comprehensive reviews by Symans et al. (2008) and Soong and Spencer (2002) categorize various damping mechanisms and offer design guidelines based on observed seismic responses. Additionally, studies like that of Zhang and Ou (2006) investigate the performance of electro-rheological dampers and identify key challenges in controlling these devices under severe seismic activity.

Moreover, research by Marioni et al. (2018) examined the use of carbon nanotube composites in damping applications, while Iervolino et al. (2014) emphasized the importance of multi-hazard design considerations incorporating seismic and wind actions. Finally, recent reviews by Casciati and Giuliano (2020) and Giaralis and Petrini (2021) highlight the integration of sensors and real-time IoT-based monitoring for adaptive structural control.

Research Gap

- **Integration Barriers**

Lack of practical integration methods for smart/hybrid systems in retrofitting.

- **Standardization Issues:**

No global design codes for hybrid/AI-based control systems.

- **Performance Uncertainty:**

Limited long-term or multi-hazard performance data for emerging materials.

- **Cost Constraints:**

High costs limit use in low-resource settings despite efficiency.

- **AI Validation:**

Need more real-world case studies to validate adaptive algorithms.

3. Classification of Energy Dissipation and Control Devices

3.1 Passive Control Devices

Passive energy dissipation systems operate without external power and include metallic yield dampers, friction dampers, and viscous dampers. These devices are widely used due to their simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and reliability. Examples include:

- **Metallic Yield Dampers**: Absorb energy through plastic deformation.
- **Viscous Fluid Dampers:** Use fluid resistance to dissipate kinetic energy.
- **Friction Dampers**: Rely on sliding surfaces to convert energy into heat.

3.2 Active Control Devices

Active systems utilize sensors and actuators to apply counteracting forces in real-time. These systems require external energy sources and include technologies such as:

- Hydraulic Actuators

- Active Mass Dampers (AMD)
- Electromagnetic Dampers

3.3 Semi-Active Control Devices

Semi-active systems bridge the gap between passive and active devices. They adjust their properties in real-time based on external stimuli but use minimal energy. Examples include:

- Magneto-Rheological (MR) Dampers
- Electro-Rheological (ER) Dampers
- Variable Orifice Dampers

3.4 Hybrid Systems

Hybrid systems combine two or more control strategies to leverage the benefits of each. Common combinations include base isolation with supplemental damping and passive-active hybrids.

4. Emerging Technologies

This section focuses on the latest innovations in materials and control systems that enhance energy dissipation and seismic resistance in structures.

4.1 Smart Materials

Smart materials can change their properties in response to external stimuli (like stress, temperature, or magnetic fields), making them ideal for dynamic seismic environments.

- **Shape Memory Alloys (SMA):**

Made primarily of nickel-titanium (NiTi).

Capable of undergoing large deformations and returning to their original shape when heated (shape memory effect).

Provide energy dissipation through hysteresis and self-centering ability after seismic events, reducing residual deformations.

Used in braces, dampers, and retrofit systems for bridges and buildings.

- **Carbon Nanotube (CNT) Composites:**

High strength-to-weight ratio and excellent energy absorption characteristics.

Improve stiffness, damping, and durability of structural components.

Often used in conjunction with polymer matrices to form high-performance materials for seismic applications.

4.2 Adaptive Control Algorithms

These algorithms allow structural systems to adjust in real time to changing seismic loads.

Use techniques such as fuzzy logic, genetic algorithms, and especially machine learning (ML).

- **Reinforcement Learning (RL):**

A form of ML that learns optimal control strategies through trial-and-error simulations.

Has been applied to fine-tune damping devices in high-rise buildings to minimize damage during earthquakes.

These adaptive methods optimize control actions without needing pre-programmed responses, making systems more robust under uncertainty.

4.3 AI and IoT Integration

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT) are transforming structural health monitoring and control systems.

- **IoT Sensors:**

Collect real-time data on vibrations, structural deformations, and environmental conditions.

Enable early detection of damage and predictive maintenance.

- **AI-Based Control Systems:**

Analyze sensor data to make instant decisions on activating or adjusting damping devices.

Reduce human error and allow for autonomous real-time response to seismic events.

Benefits :

- Improved system reliability
- Data-driven design optimizatio
- Remote monitoring and automated diagnostics

These emerging technologies push beyond traditional seismic design by introducing intelligent adaptability and material innovation, making structures not just stronger, but smarter.

5. Case Studies

Akashi Kaikyō Bridge, Japan The Akashi Kaikyō Bridge, the world's longest suspension bridge, incorporates fluid viscous dampers to mitigate vibrations caused by seismic events and strong winds. These passive devices have successfully minimized tower displacement during past earthquakes, proving effective in enhancing structural resilience while requiring minimal maintenance.

Taipei 101, Taiwan Taipei 101, one of the tallest skyscrapers globally, utilizes a 660-ton tuned mass damper (TMD) suspended near its top. This device counteracts lateral forces caused by wind and seismic activity. During typhoons and earthquakes, the TMD reduces structural vibrations by up to 60%, showcasing the power of passive control in high-rise buildings.

Rion-Antirion Bridge, Greece This cable-stayed bridge employs a hybrid damping system that includes hydraulic dampers, fuse restraints, and sliding bearings. Designed for high seismic and environmental demands, the system allows multidirectional movement and energy dissipation, ensuring safety and operational continuity during earthquakes.

Historical Structures in Italy To preserve the architectural integrity of heritage structures, SMA braces and friction dampers have been employed in retrofitting projects across Italy. These devices enhance ductility and allow self-centering behavior, protecting masonry buildings such as churches and monasteries during seismic activity without compromising historical aesthetics.

Transbay Transit Center, San Francisco, USA This major urban infrastructure project integrates viscous dampers and base isolation techniques to ensure seismic resilience. Advanced analysis methods, including nonlinear time-history simulations, have verified the system's ability to withstand strong ground motions while maintaining operational functionality.

Tokyo Skytree, Japan The Tokyo Skytree features a central column mass damper inspired by traditional Japanese architecture. This innovative hybrid TMD significantly reduces seismic response, blending engineering ingenuity with cultural heritage. The system has demonstrated a 50% reduction in vibrations during simulations.

6. Performance Evaluation

Evaluating the performance of energy dissipation and control devices is crucial for determining their suitability in seismic applications. This evaluation typically focuses on the following key metrics:

Energy Dissipation Capacity : Devices are assessed based on how effectively they absorb and dissipate seismic energy. High-performing devices reduce structural acceleration, inter-story drift, and residual displacement.

Restorability and Self-Centering : Some advanced systems, like Shape Memory Alloys (SMAs), offer the ability to return to their original shape post-earthquake, minimizing permanent deformation and reducing repair costs.

Reliability Under Repeated Loading : Devices must retain functionality after multiple seismic events. Fatigue resistance and long-term durability are evaluated through cyclic tests and long-duration simulations.

Compatibility with Structural Systems : Performance also depends on how well the device integrates with existing or new structural systems. Improper installation or compatibility issues can reduce effectiveness.

Cost-Effectiveness : Although some devices (e.g., hybrid or smart systems) provide superior performance, they may be cost-prohibitive. Thus, a balance between cost and benefit is often analyzed.

Maintenance Requirements : Passive devices generally require minimal maintenance, while active and hybrid systems with mechanical or electronic components may require regular inspection and calibration.

Real-World Validation : Full-scale shake table experiments and data from real earthquake events are used to validate analytical models. Case studies show that devices like base isolators and TMDs have significantly reduced damage during seismic events.

7. Future Directions

The future of energy dissipation and control devices lies in the development of intelligent, adaptive systems that can respond in real time to changing seismic conditions. Research is moving toward the use of artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms to optimize control strategies based on real-time data from integrated sensors. The incorporation of Internet of Things (IoT) technologies will enable continuous health monitoring of structures, allowing for predictive maintenance and early warning systems. Additionally, advances in materials science will likely lead to the creation of more efficient and cost-effective damping materials, including bio-inspired and nanocomposite materials. Greater emphasis will also be placed on sustainability and the environmental impact of materials used in these devices. Future work should also focus on standardizing performance evaluation methods and improving accessibility for retrofitting applications, especially in resource-constrained settings.

8. Conclusion

In conclusion, energy dissipation and control devices have become an integral part of earthquake-resistant design, offering advanced means to reduce seismic risk and enhance structural resilience. Through this comprehensive review, it is evident that significant strides have been made in developing a wide range of devices—from traditional passive dampers to smart and hybrid systems incorporating AI and IoT technologies. Each class of device brings unique advantages and challenges, and their selection depends on the type of structure, budget, and required performance levels.The integration of innovative materials like shape memory alloys, magnetorheological fluids, and viscoelastic compounds has opened new avenues in seismic protection. Additionally, successful real-world applications in iconic structures such as Taipei 101, Tokyo Skytree, and the Rion-Antirion Bridge validate the effectiveness of these systems.

However, challenges remain in terms of affordability, scalability, and compatibility with existing infrastructure. Addressing these limitations through interdisciplinary research, standardization of testing protocols, and government policy support will be essential. Future advancements should prioritize not just technological innovation, but also equitable access and environmental sustainability

Ultimately, energy dissipation devices will play a pivotal role in shaping the next generation of earthquake-resilient infrastructure, making cities safer and more sustainable in the face of natural disasters.

References

1. Constantinou, M. C., Symans, M. D. (1992). Experimental and analytical investigation of seismic response of structures with supplemental fluid dampers. Engineering Structures, 14(3), 200–210.
2. Soong, T. T., Dargush, G. F. (1997). Passive energy dissipation systems in structural engineering. Wiley.
3. Spencer, B. F., Sain, M. K. (1997). Controlling buildings: a new frontier in feedback. IEEE Control Systems Magazine, 17(6), 19–35.
4. Housner, G. W., et al. (1997). Structural control: past, present, and future. Journal of Engineering Mechanics, 123(9), 897–971.
5. Symans, M. D., Constantinou, M. C. (1999). Semi-active control systems for seismic protection of structures: a state-of-the-art review. Engineering Structures, 21(6), 469–487.
6. Shen, J., Tzeng, J. (1995). Seismic response of buildings with passive energy dissipating devices. Journal of Structural Engineering, 121(6), 913–921.
7. Takewaki, I. (2009). Building control with passive dampers: optimal performance-based design for earthquakes. John Wiley & Sons.
8. Tsai, C. S., Lin, Y. Y., Lin, G. L. (2006). Seismic isolation and energy dissipation using a hybrid system of friction pendulum and viscous damper. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 35(6), 773–792.
9. Zhang, Y., Makris, N. (2001). Seismic response analysis of base-isolated structures with linear and nonlinear isolation systems. Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics, 30(5), 795–817.
10. Wang, Y. P., Chung, L. L., Lai, M. L. (2003). Seismic reduction for irregular buildings using passive energy dissipation systems. Engineering Structures, 25(6), 671–685.
11. Christopoulos, C., Filiatrault, A. (2006). Principles of passive supplemental damping and seismic isolation. IUSS Press.
12. Nagarajaiah, S., Basu, B. (2009). Semi-active control systems for seismic protection of structures: theory and applications. Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 7(2), 307–338.
13. Giaralis, A., Petrini, F. (2017). Recent developments and trends in performance-based seismic engineering and structural control of civil infrastructure systems. Frontiers in Built Environment, 3, 8.

14. Kurata, N., et al. (1999). A semi-active seismic damper using electrorheological fluid. Smart Materials and Structures, 8(3), 305–311.
15. Lavan, O., Levy, R. (2006). Optimal design of supplemental viscous dampers for irregular shear-frames in the presence of yielding. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 35(9), 1065–1082.
16. Tsopelas, P., Constantinou, M. C. (1997). Experimental study of friction pendulum seismic isolation system. Journal of Structural Engineering, 123(4), 489–496.
17. Deng, L., Wang, W., Pang, J. (2020). Recent developments of high-performance materials for earthquake-resistant structures. Materials, 13(6), 1350.
18. Casciati, F., Faravelli, L. (2009). Smart structures and structural control. In Encyclopedia of Structural Health Monitoring.
19. Nehdi, M., Saleem, M. A. (2006). Behavior of SMAs and their integration in smart structures: a review. Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, 33(3), 293–310.
20. Wang, Q., Ou, J. P., Li, H. N. (2009). A state-of-the-art review on the control of structures with MR dampers. Advances in Structural Engineering, 12(3), 387–403.