WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

The Role of Financial Literacy in Mitigating Behavioral Biases: A Path to Smarter Investing.

Mitesh kadakia[1], Dr. Sathayanarayana G.[2]

[1] Research Scholar School of Commerce, Presidency University, Bengaluru
8919339490
mkadakia7@gmail.com
[2] Assistant Professor School of Commerce, Presidency University, Bengaluru

1.0 Introduction:

In recent years, the examination of individual savings and associated decisions has garnered significant attention, particularly in directions that traditionally would not be part of the study of economics. This has grown concurrently with the increasing recognition of the importance of financial literacy. Notwithstanding this growing interest, the number of instances of below-effective performance by individuals on a wide range of monetarily relevant fronts continues to grow as well. A potential element that might explain the disappointing performance of many individuals could be the traditional inclusion of simplifying assumptions within the decision models, such as full information, rationality, and no financial frictions. This would mean that a model-driven description of those individual decisions would turn into an oversimplification of the real decisions taken.
A possible way to proceed that would take those behavioral variables into consideration is to assume that the individual acts with different decision-making variables that coexist with traditional ones. Although the question is not new, and although it has been tackled using different informative frameworks, there is no agreement on an analytical template. Financial literacy emerged as a very promising lead, as it is a form of human capital directly linked to individual finances: it is relevant to individual economic well-being and it is also a policy tool for allowing individual access to information and the appropriate decoding of that information, allowing for positive developments in financial markets and reducing the possibility of making ineffective decisions.

2.0 Review of Literature

The intersection of financial literacy and behavioral biases has garnered significant attention in recent years, as researchers seek to understand how improved financial education might help individuals make better financial decisions. This literature review examines the relation between existing information financial literacy and behavioral biases.

2.1 The State of Financial Literacy

Research in this field has consistently shown concerning altitudes of financial lieteacy globally. As stated by Lusardi and Mitcell (20140 in their seminal work showing that financial literacy is not widespread even in countries those have well developed state of financial markets. Their study found that only one-third of the adults across the world could demonstrate understanding of basic financial concepts like inflation, interest (Simple and Compound) and risk divergence.
Financial literacy is different in different demographic groups. Chen and Volpe (2002) identified the presence of financial literacy to be of low levels particularly among women, minorities, and young generation. This disparity in financial knowledge has been associated to suboptimal financial outcomes, including higher levels of debt, lower savings rates,and poor investment decision making abilities.

2.2 Common Behavioral Biases in Financial Decision-Making

During the process of reviewing the literature the authors have identified several
The author during the RoL process identifies several predominant behavioral biases that impact financial decisions:

2.2.1. Loss Aversion: It was established by Kahneman and Tversky (1979) by Prospect theory that individual feel more about the losses as compared to an equivalent amount of gains or returns or profits. This psychological tendency leads to risk-averse behaviour that can be harmful for long-term financial result. Research by Benartzi and Thaler (1995) showed how loss aversion contributes to the equity premium puzzet and the sub-optimal allocation of portfolio.

2.2.2 Overconfidence: Research by Barber and Odean (2001) established that overconfident investors, specially men, trade excessively, leading to reduced returns. This bias appears particularly resistant to change, even with the investors who show high level of financial literacy.

2.2.3. Present Bias: The study by O'Donoghue and Rabin (1999) showed how individuals unreasonably value short term returns over the future or long term benefits, leading to under-saving or over-borrowing behaviours.

2.3. Impact of Financial Literacy on Behavioural Biases.

2.3.1 Direct Effects: Several studies have identified that higher financial literacy correlates with reduced based behaviour. Gerardi et.al. (2013) have found that individuals with stronger numerical ability were less suspectible to behavioural biases in mortgage choice. Similarly, Calvet et al. (2009) found that households with higher financial literacy made fewer investment mistakes.

2.3.2. Mediating Factors: The relationship between financial literacy and bias mitigation is not direct or straightforward. Van Rooij et al. (2011) identified that while financial literacy improved decision-making abilities, its effectiveness differed based on cognitive ability and investment experience. This suggests that financial education alone may not be sufficient to counter the behavioural biases.

2.4. Educational Interventions and their Effectiveness

Various researchs on educational interventions shows mixed results:

2.4.1. Traditional Education: A meta analysis of 201 studies on financial education programs, was conducted by Fernandes et al. (2014); finding that traditional financial education has limited long-term effects on financial behaviour. The effects of interventions often decline over time, suggesting the need for continuous education.

2.4.2 Alternative Approaches: More promising results have emerged from alternative educational approaches. Willis (2011) found that on time financial education delivered at the point of decision-making, was more applicable than traditional classroom instruction. Similarly, Lusardi et al. (2017) showed the potential of technology based interventions in improving financial decision making.

3.0 Research Gaps and Future Directions

During the review of literature it was observed that several important areas remain understudied:

1. The long-term effectiveness of different educational interventions in mitigating specific behavioral biases.
2. The role of technological tools in supporting financial decision-making.
3. The interaction between financial literacy and emotional factors in decision-making.
4. Cultural variations in the effectiveness of financial literacy programs.

4.0 Objectives of the Study

This study or the paper is mainly based on the following 2 objectives –

1. To understand the association between financial literacy and behavioural biases on financial decision making.
2. To Explore the role of financial literacy in improving financial decision making outcomes.

5.0 Scope and Limitations:

The research for the paper is limited to the geographical limits of Hyderabad City (including Secundrabad), which is due to logistic and time restraints. The number of respondents was limited and restricted to diverse ages, genders, etc. within the twin city. The survey was done during the 07 days timeframe from 08th January 2025 to 14th January 2025. The information given by the participants may be biased and may not signify the actual facts or the responses or the feeling of the whole population.

The focus of the study was mainly on the understanding of the baises and whether the level of financial literacy would have any effect in reducing the impact of behavioural biases on their investment decisions. The research provides observation and information based on several bases such as – age, experience, gender, income, education.

6.0 Research Methodology:

6.1 Sources of Data:

The complete information for the study is accumulated through sources which include both the secondary and primary. The Primary information was collected by distributing a structured questionnaire to the investors residing in Hyderabad. Remaining data is obtained through newspapers – local and national, articles, blogs, websites, etc.

6.2 Sample size:

The sample size is of 92 respondents belonging to various age groups, gender, educational qualifications, financial literacy, marital status, kind of employment, etc.

6.3 Statistical tools:

To analyse and interpret the data, various statistical tools like SPSS, Chi Square test, One Way Annova test, Correlation and regression are used. For better understanding and presentation various types of graphs are used along with tables.

7.0 Understanding Behavioural Finance Biases and Financial Literacy

7.1 Behavioural Finance/Biases

Behavioural Biases denotes to a systematic patterns of thinking and decision making that digress from rational thinking or rational judgement. These biases result from cognitive limitations, emotional influences, and mental shortcuts that people use to navigate complex information and make quick decisions. Behavioural biases can impact various decisions in life, including financial ones. As an example we can state that confirmation bias makes people to seek information that confirms their pre-existing beliefs, similarly another bias called as loss aversion bias makes investors more motivated to avoid losses then to earn gains. It is important to understand behavioural biases to recognise how they have an impact on our choices and to create methods and means to mitigate their impacts. By being able to identify such biases the investors can make more informed and rational decisions to improve their overall performance from investments.

7.2 Financial Literacy

Financial Literacy denotes to the ability to understand and manage personal finances more efficiently and effectively, by making more rational and informed decisions with reference to spending, savings, earnings, and investments. It incorporates knowledge of financial concepts such as interest (simple and compound), budgeting, risk management, etc. along with the ability to understand and interpret the financial markets and products. It is possible for the people with financial literacy to decode the financial information, asses the possible risk, and avoid debt traps. Financial literacy can be a tool that would help an individual investor to achieve financial stability and security by making them capable to make smart investment choices for all their needs in this everchanging financial landscape.

8.0 Data Analysis and Interpretation:

8.1 Chi – Square Test

Variables Tested: **Gender Vs. Financial Literacy**

Chi – Square Statistic: 4.175

P – Value: 0.243

Table - 1

Statistic	**Value**
Number of categories(k)	4
Sample size(n)	92
Chi square test statistic(X^2)	4.175
Degree of Freedom (DF)	3
Phi effect(Φ)	0.213
DF min	1
Cramer's V effect	0.213

Interpretation: Based on the details of the information in the Table -1 we can interpret that there is **no significant association between Gender and Financial Literacy** ($p > 0.05$)

8.2 ANOVA Test

Variables Tested: **Financial Literacy across Age Groups**

F – Statistic: 2.211

p – Value: 0.074

Table - 2

Source	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom (df)	Mean Square	F - Statistic	p - Value
C(Age)	318.097	4	79.524	2.211	0.074
Residual	2912.391	87	33.470		
Total	3230.488	91			

Interpretation: Based on the information as stated in Table – 1 we can state that there is **no significant difference in Financial Literacy across Age Groups** ($p > 0.05$), though it is close to being marginally significant.

8.3 Correlation

Variables Tested: **Financial Literacy and Behavioural Biases**

Correlation Coefficient (r): -0.949

p – Value: 6.07×10^{-47}

Table – 3

Statistic	Value
Variable 1	Financial Literacy
Variable 2	Behavioural Biases
Sample Size (n)	92
Correlation Coefficient (r)	-0.949
p-Value	6.07×10^{-47}

Interpretation: The Table – 3 above shows the analysis of the data for correlation. There is a **strong and highly significant inverse relationship between Financial Literacy and Behavioural biases.** Higher Financial Literacy correspond to a reduced impact of Behavioural biases.

8.4 Regression Analysis

Dependent Variable: **Behavioural Biases**

Independent Variables: **Financial Literacy, Age, and Gender**

R – Squared: 0.906 (90.6% of the Behavioural Bias is explained by the model)

Significant Predictor:

Financial Literacy: Coefficient = -0.932, $p < 0.001$

Interpretation: Based on the details available in Table – 4 above we could say that for every 1 – point increase in Financial Literacy, Behavioural Biases reduce by 0.93 points on average, holding other factors constant.

Table – 4

Predictor	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-value	p-value	(95% Confidence Interval)
Intercept	96.396	2.832	34.038	0	[90.766, 102.028]
Age (26-35)	2.935	2.686	1.093	0.278	[-2.406, 8.277]
Age (36-45)	0.763	2.759	0.277	0.783	[-4.723, 6.249]
Age (46-55)	-2.133	3.174	-0.672	0.503	[-8.443, 4.177]
Age (56+)	5.158	3.61	1.429	0.157	[-2.019, 12.336]
Gender (Male)	-1.739	2.019	-0.862	0.391	[-5.753, 2.274]
Financial Literacy	-0.931	0.034	-27.677	0	[-0.999, -0.865]

Regression Equation:

Behavioural Biases = **96.40 – 0.932 X Financial Literacy + (Age and Gender Effects, not significant)**

9.0 Findings and Conclusion:

1. 49 out of the total 92 responses that we received were from the males and the remaining were from females.
2. 73 of the respondents were highly educated – 12 PhD's, 61 Post Graduates, 12 graduates and the balance 7 were under graduates.
3. Almost 72% of the respondents showed a respectable level of Financial Literacy.
4. The data analysis done above shows that there exists a strong relationship between the financial literacy and impact of behavioural biases.
5. This study indicates that Financial Literacy is a critical factor in reducing the impact of behavioural biases.
6. The regression analysis confirms that Financial Literacy significantly influences Behavioural Biases making it a significant forecaster.
7. The other factors or variables like – Age, Gender, Etc. are not the significant forecasters for the model.
8. Financial Education Programs could help mitigate the common Behavioural Biases, leading to more rational investment decisions.

REFERENCES:

1. Lusardi and Mitchell The Economic Importance of Financial Literacy: Theory and Evidence, Journal of Economic Evidence, Vol. 52, No.1, March 2014.
2. Chen H and Volpe R Gender Differences in Personal Financial Literacy among College Students, Financial Services Review, January 2002, Vol. 11(3) pp. 289 – 307.
3. Kadakia, M. A Study on Savings and Investments Pattern of Degree Lecturers with Special Reference to Hyderabad.
4. Klapper et al., Financial Literacy and It's Consequences: Evidence from Russia during financial crisis, EconPapers, 2013, vol. 37, issue 10, pp. 3904-3923
5. Kahneman D. and Tversky's, Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk, JSTOR, Mar. 1979, Vol. 47
6. Benartzi S. and Thaler R. Myopic Loss Aversion and the Equity Premium Puzzle, JSTOR, Feb. 1995, Vol. 110, No.1, pp. 73-92.
7. Barber BM and Odean T, Gender, Overconfidence, and Common Stock Market Investment Feb. 2001, The Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 116, Issue 1.
8. O'Donoghue T. and Rabin M., Doing it now or Latter, JASTOR, March 1999, Vol. 89, Issue 1, pp. 103-124.
9. Gerardi K, Goette L, Gerardi K, Numerical Ability Predicts Mortgage Defaults, PNATS, July 2013, Vol. 110, No. 28, pp 11267 – 11271
10. Calvet LE, Laurent E, Campbell JY, Sodini P, Measuring the Financial Sophistication of Households, American Economic Review, 2009, Vol. 99(2), pp393-398.
11. Van Rooij M, Lusardi A, Alessie R, Financial Literacy and Stock Market Participation, Journal of Financial Economics, Aug. 2011, Vol. 101, Issue 2 pp 449-472
12. Fernandes D, Lynch J, Netemeyer R, (2014) Financial Literacy, Financial Education and Downstream Financial Behaviours, Management Science10.1287
13. Willis, (2011) The Financial Education Fallacy, American Economic Review, Vol. 101, No. 3, pp429-34.