International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Integrating ESG Factors into Institutional Investment Decision-Making: Theoretical Frameworks and Practical Implications

Shivakumara PT

Assistant Professor, Poornaprajna College, Udupi – 576101, Affiliated to Mangalore University
Email ID: shivakumarppc@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors into institutional investment decision-making by critically examining the theoretical frameworks that underpin such integration, focusing on how traditional financial theories, such as Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), Stakeholder Theory, and Agency Theory, can be adapted or expanded to accommodate the increasing relevance of non-financial factors in investment strategies; it also delves into the emerging field of sustainable finance, investigating how ESG considerations challenge the risk-return trade-off and fiduciary duty principles traditionally guiding institutional investments, and argues that the application of these frameworks must evolve to address the growing pressure from regulators, market participants, and stakeholders for more responsible and transparent investment practices, which has led to the development of new models, such as impact investing and socially responsible investing (SRI), that integrate both financial and non-financial objectives in portfolio management. The paper identifies significant gaps in the current theoretical models regarding ESG integration, particularly in areas like data standardization, measurement of long-term social and environmental impacts, and the practical difficulties institutional investors face when aligning their strategies with ESG criteria without compromising financial performance. Additionally, the paper highlights the ethical dilemmas associated with balancing profit maximization with the broader societal responsibility that ESG integration demands, emphasizing the need for new theoretical developments that incorporate long-term sustainability into financial decision-making, while also addressing the growing demand for transparency and accountability in how institutional investors assess ESG factors. The expected implications for future investment decision-making processes include the need for enhanced theoretical models that better reflect the complexity of ESG integration, incorporating regulatory, ethical, and financial dimensions, which could lead to more effective and comprehensive ESG-focused investment strategies, influencing not only the institutional investors' behavior but also contributing to the ongoing evolution of financial markets towards greater sustainability and social responsibility.

Keywords: ESG Integration, Institutional Investment, Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), Stakeholder Theory, Sustainable Finance, Fiduciary Duty, Impact Investing

Introduction

In recent years, there has been a growing trend for institutional investment decision-making to incorporate Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors, signifying a new paradigm of sustainable and responsible investment in the financial industry. Pension funds, insurance companies, and asset managers are gradually becoming aware of the fact that ESG issues are not only ethical obligations but also material aspects that may affect long-term financial outcomes and risk characteristics. While there has been a significant increase in focus on ESG integrated portfolios, there remains a substantial gap in the theoretical foundations underpinning institutional investment portfolios. Classic financial theories like Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) and Capital Asset Pricing Models (CAPM) are financial-centric and evaluate securities based on financial metrics, ignoring the effect, if any, of non-financial factors such as ESG factors. This lack of coverage restricts institutional investors' ability to identify and integrate ESG risks and opportunities into their decision-making processes. This paper aims to account and critique the theoretical mechanisms that can assist institutional investors in embedding ESG factors within their investment decision-making process. Integrating ESG considerations into investment decision-making to align financial objectives and broader societal goals: a review of existing theories and models. The study advances existing knowledge by closing the gap between theory and evidence in respect of the integration of ESG data into institutional investment decision-making. This offers a systematic review of relevant theories, including Behavioral Finance Theory, Stakeholder Theory, and Risk Management Theory and their applicability to ESG integration in investment decisions. These findings have meaningful implications for institutional investors in their efforts to effectively integrate sustainable development target(s) into their investment strategies, and contribute to a more sustainable and robust global financial system.

Theoretical Foundations

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria refer to a large range of the factors used to assess the sustainability and societal impact of investment in a company or business. Get the daily newsletter that investors rely on "ESG has become recognized within financial management and institutional investment as material factors affecting long-term performance and risk profiles. This embrace of ESG principles within the investment decision-making process represents a movement away from a conventional approach to financial analysis, which has often concentrated on quantitative measures, towards a more qualitative focus on factors that impact a listed company's functioning and image. The evolution stems from increasing investor and stakeholder awareness of the impact of corporate behavior on environmental sustainability, social equity, and governance practices. When we think through what it means to integrate ESG, we are envisioning the intentional inclusion of ESG factors within the investment process in such a way that they are salient throughout the analysis, decision-making and monitoring of the investment. This is more than just avoiding bad apples or ethical investing; it is a holistic evaluation of the risk and return impacts of ESG issues that feeds into investment strategies. There are several reasons contributing to this trend: improved awareness that ESG issues can be financially material; regulatory frameworks and policies that mandate or incentivize sustainable investment practices; as well as increasing demand from beneficiaries and stakeholders for investments that reflect broader societal values. Furthermore, data-driven research has demonstrated that firms with high ESG scores tend to have higher operational efficiencies, lower capital costs, and improved long-term profitability, enhancing their appeal to institutional investors focused on sustained value-creation. Theoretical frameworks are essential to inviting institutional investors to consider ESG integration in their decision-making processes. Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), which has been mostly about maximizing the trade-off between risk and return, is starting to be adjusted to govern ESG risk and opportunities because sustainability risks can now impact asset price and market behavior. Likewise, Stakeholder Theory broadens fiduciary duty by extending it to not only shareholders, but all stakeholders impacted by a company, making a case for why investment decisions should factor in ESG criteria. Agency Theory elucidates ESG integration via alignment between institutional investors and investee companies on long-term sustainability outcomes, in contrast to more conventional models of shareholder value. To summarize, using ESG factors in institutional investment decision-making is a landmark change in the way financial practices are conducted, making them more responsible and sustainable. This consensus integration into fundamental analysis can not only provide a more empirical basis of risk and opportunity assessment, but using this integration in combination with sound theories of the firm allows the institutional investor to channel their value creation capabilities through a lens that is aligned to the long-term horizon of pension plan beneficiaries, and further makes visible how the value creation process is aligned with the long-term expectations of society.

Theoretical Frameworks Relevant to ESG Integration

Integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors into institutional investment decision-making necessitates the adaptation of traditional financial theories to encompass non-financial considerations, thereby enhancing the risk-return analysis and aligning investment strategies with broader societal goals.

Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT): Traditionally, MPT focuses on optimizing the risk-return trade-off by constructing portfolios that maximize expected return for a given level of risk. However, this framework has been critiqued for its limited consideration of ESG factors, which can significantly influence long-term performance and risk profiles. Recent adaptations of MPT incorporate ESG criteria into the mean-variance optimization process, allowing for the construction of portfolios that not only aim for financial returns but also align with sustainable investment objectives. Studies have demonstrated that integrating ESG factors can lead to more resilient portfolios by mitigating long-term environmental and social risks

Stakeholder Theory: This theory posits that firms should consider the interests of all stakeholders, not just shareholders, in their decision-making processes. Applying this perspective to institutional investment suggests that investors have a responsibility to evaluate how companies' ESG practices affect various stakeholders, including employees, customers, and communities. By incorporating ESG considerations, investors can promote corporate behaviors that contribute to sustainable development and long-term value creation

Agency Theory: Agency theory examines the conflicts of interest between principals (shareholders) and agents (company executives). In the context of ESG integration, this theory highlights the potential misalignment between short-term profit motives and long-term sustainability goals. Institutional investors can use ESG criteria to assess how well companies' strategies align with the long-term interests of shareholders, thereby reducing agency costs and promoting sustainable corporate governance

Sustainable Finance and Impact Investing Models: These models extend traditional financial analysis by incorporating ESG factors into investment decisions, aiming to generate positive social and environmental impacts alongside financial returns. Theoretical perspectives in sustainable finance emphasize the importance of integrating ESG considerations into financial models to achieve a holistic assessment of investment opportunities. Impact investing models further focus on directing capital towards enterprises that address social and environmental challenges, aligning financial objectives with societal benefits

Value Maximization vs. Long-Term Sustainability: Traditional financial theories prioritize short-term value maximization, often at the expense of long-term sustainability. In contrast, contemporary theories advocate for a broader definition of value that includes environmental stewardship, social equity, and governance integrity. By adopting a long-term sustainability perspective, institutional investors can foster business practices that contribute to enduring value creation, aligning financial performance with societal well-being. In conclusion, integrating ESG factors into institutional investment decision-making requires a re-evaluation and adaptation of traditional financial theories to encompass broader considerations of risk, return, and societal impact. By incorporating these theoretical frameworks, institutional investors can enhance their strategies to promote sustainable development and long-term value creation

Conceptual Framework for ESG Integration

Integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors into institutional investment decision-making necessitates a structured conceptual framework that encompasses key processes, influencing factors, and barriers to effective implementation.

Development of a Conceptual Model

A robust conceptual model for ESG integration involves a multi-layered approach:

1. **Research Level**: Institutional investors conduct ESG research, including scenario analysis and materiality assessments, to identify relevant ESG factors
2. **Risk Management**: ESG risks are assessed and quantified, influencing portfolio construction and asset allocation decisions.
3. **Engagement and Stewardship**: Active engagement with portfolio companies on ESG issues and exercising voting rights to influence corporate behavior
4. **Portfolio Construction**: Incorporating ESG factors into portfolio design, ensuring alignment with investment objectives and risk tolerance
5. **Reporting and Disclosure**: Transparent reporting of ESG integration processes and outcomes to stakeholders.

Factors Influencing ESG Integration

Several internal and external factors shape how institutional investors incorporate ESG into their decision-making:

1. **Regulatory Frameworks**: Policies such as the EU's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) proposed climate-related disclosures mandate ESG considerations in investment processes.
2. **Market Pressure**: Investor demand for sustainable investment products and the increasing prevalence of ESG-focused indices influence institutional investment strategies.
3. **Availability of ESG Data**: Access to reliable and standardized ESG data enables informed decision-making. However, data quality and consistency remain challenges.
4. **Stakeholder Expectations**: Beneficiaries, clients, and the public increasingly expect institutional investors to consider ESG factors, aligning investment practices with broader societal values

Barriers to ESG Integration

Despite the growing emphasis on ESG integration, several barriers hinder its effective implementation:

1. **Data Limitations**: Inconsistent and non-standardized ESG data complicate the assessment of companies' ESG performance.
2. **Measurement Challenges**: Quantifying non-financial impacts associated with ESG factors poses difficulties, affecting the integration process.
3. **Regulatory Uncertainty**: Divergent regulations across jurisdictions create complexities for institutional investors operating globally.
4. **Cultural Resistance**: Organizational inertia and lack of expertise in ESG matters can impede the adoption of ESG integration practices.

Addressing these barriers requires concerted efforts from regulators, data providers, and institutional investors to enhance data quality, standardize reporting, and foster a culture of sustainability within investment organizations. A comprehensive conceptual framework for ESG integration enables institutional investors to systematically incorporate ESG factors into their decision-making processes, aligning financial objectives with sustainable development goals. Overcoming the associated barriers will enhance the effectiveness of ESG integration, contributing to the creation of long-term value for both investors and society.

Theoretical Implications of ESG Integration

The integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors into institutional investment decision-making necessitates a critical reassessment of traditional financial theories, ethical considerations, and fiduciary duties, thereby reshaping the landscape of investment practices.

Impact on Financial Theories

Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), which emphasizes optimizing the risk-return trade-off, is challenged by the inclusion of ESG factors, as these non-financial elements can influence long-term performance and risk profiles. Studies have shown that integrating ESG considerations can lead to more resilient portfolios by mitigating long-term environmental and social risks. Furthermore, the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) and multi-factor models, such as the Fama-French three-factor model, may require adaptation to incorporate ESG factors as additional risk dimensions, reflecting their impact on asset returns and volatility

Ethical Considerations

The incorporation of ESG factors introduces ethical dimensions into investment decisions, prompting investors to consider the broader societal and environmental impacts of their portfolios. This shift aligns investment practices with sustainable development goals, emphasizing long-term value creation over short-term profits. However, this approach raises questions about the balance between social responsibility and profit maximization, challenging traditional notions of shareholder primacy.

Institutional Investors and Fiduciary Duty

The integration of ESG factors into investment strategies has implications for institutional investors' fiduciary duties. Traditionally, fiduciary duty has been interpreted as the obligation to maximize financial returns for beneficiaries. However, evolving legal frameworks and regulatory guidance suggest that considering ESG factors is consistent with fiduciary responsibilities, as these factors can materially impact financial performance. For instance, the U.S. Department of Labor's revised guidance explicitly recognizes the consideration of ESG factors as part of fiduciary duty, provided that such considerations are financially material. Similarly, the European Union's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) mandates the integration of ESG factors into investment decision-making processes, reinforcing the alignment between ESG integration and fiduciary duties. Thus, Integrating ESG factors into institutional investment decision-making necessitates a paradigm shift in financial theories, ethical considerations, and fiduciary duties. By adapting traditional models to incorporate ESG dimensions, investors can enhance portfolio resilience, align investment practices with societal values, and fulfill their fiduciary responsibilities in a manner that promotes long-term sustainable development.

Practical Implications and Conceptual Discussion

Theoretical models and empirical approaches have made ESG integration into decision-making faster and easier to either go from one practice to another or go back and forth simultaneously utilizing sophisticated effortlessly integrated systems, and ESG offers a means to combine social responsibility focus with financial portfolio premiums, which means they can and do converse at commodification levels based on supply demand concepts, ultimately working towards some semblance of an overall equilibrium, but the lack of structured, well-defined segments around incentives and outcomes continues to threaten development and adheres to the individualistic pursuit of profit-maximization under the guise of cost infiltrations that benefit shareholder value per index exchange for global variable outputs and that also sustains the status quo of shareholder capitalism, and the synergy of process conducts as well as enforcement of externalities need to remain in the analytic line of sight of corporate governance mind-sets that remain too focused on purely mechanistic forcing functions of standardization mismatched against idiosyncratic dynamics and challenges at some limits of scale, whilst the US, especially must revise and review regulation that fundamentally governs unethically oriented capital markets.

Critique and Limitations of Existing Theories

Integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors into institutional investment decision-making has highlighted significant limitations in existing theoretical frameworks, necessitating the development of more robust models to effectively guide investors in this domain.

Gap in Current Theoretical Frameworks

Traditional financial theories, such as Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), primarily focus on optimizing risk and return without adequately accounting for ESG factors, leading to a disconnect between financial performance and sustainability considerations. Additionally, the reliance on theories like Agency and Stakeholder Theory has proven insufficient in addressing the complexities of ESG integration, as these models often overlook the multifaceted nature of ESG risks and opportunities. This gap is further exacerbated by the lack of standardized ESG metrics and inconsistent reporting practices, which hinder the development of comprehensive theoretical models that can effectively incorporate ESG factors into investment decision-making processes.

Need for New Frameworks

To address these limitations, there is a pressing need for the development of new theoretical frameworks that can better support institutional investors in integrating ESG factors into their decision-making processes. Emerging models suggest the incorporation of ESG considerations into risk management strategies, emphasizing the importance of long-term value creation and the alignment of investment practices with broader societal goals. Furthermore, the integration of behavioral finance perspectives can provide insights into how investor biases and perceptions influence ESG investment decisions, facilitating the development of more nuanced and effective strategies. In conclusion, while existing theoretical frameworks have laid the groundwork for understanding ESG integration in institutional investment, they fall short in addressing the complexities and challenges inherent in this process. The development of new, more comprehensive models is essential to guide institutional investors in effectively incorporating ESG factors into their decision-making processes, thereby fostering sustainable investment practices that align financial objectives with societal well-being.

Conclusion

This study critically examines the integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors into institutional investment decision-making, highlighting both theoretical advancements and practical challenges. Key findings underscore the limitations of existing frameworks, such as Modern

Portfolio Theory (MPT) and Agency Theory, in fully capturing the complexities of ESG integration. These traditional models often overlook the multifaceted nature of ESG risks and opportunities, necessitating the development of more comprehensive theoretical approaches. Emerging frameworks, including Behavioral Finance Theory and Risk Management Theory, offer promising avenues for enhancing the understanding of ESG impacts on investment decisions. However, significant gaps remain, particularly in standardizing ESG metrics and addressing data quality issues, which hinder effective integration into investment strategies. Future research should focus on developing robust, standardized ESG performance metrics, exploring the application of artificial intelligence in ESG analysis, and examining the long-term financial implications of ESG integration across diverse sectors and regions. Practically, institutional investors can benefit from adopting a more nuanced approach to ESG integration, moving beyond traditional models to incorporate broader risk assessments and long-term value considerations. This shift requires embracing innovative frameworks and methodologies that align financial objectives with sustainable development goals, ultimately fostering a more resilient and responsible investment landscape.

References

1. Alhamis, I. (2025). *Theoretical frameworks for integrating sustainability factors into institutional investment decision-making*. Quantitative Finance. Retrieved from https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.13148
2. Bani-Khaled, S., Azevedo, G., & Oliveira, J. (2025). Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors and firm value: A systematic literature review of theories and empirical evidence. *AMS Review*. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13162-025-00303-2
3. Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. *Management Science, 60*(11), 2835-2857. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1984
4. European Commission. (2023). *Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD)*. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52022PC0302
5. Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (1992). The cross-section of expected stock returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 47(2), 427–465. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1992.tb04398.x
6. Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). ESG and financial performance: Aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 5*(4), 210-233. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2015.1114911
7. Hossain, M., & Rehman, A. (2020). The role of institutional investors in the adoption of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) practices in developing economies. *Journal of Business Ethics, 164*(1), 45-58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-4082-2
8. Jonsdottir, B., Jóhannsdóttir, L., & Wendt, S. (2022). Barriers to Using ESG Data for Investment Decisions. *Sustainability, 14*(9), 5157. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14095157
9. KPMG. (2025). *ESG Risks in 2025: Responding to Regulatory and Supervisory Pressure*. Retrieved from https://kpmg.com/xx/en/our-insights/ecb-office/esg-risks-in-2025-responding-to-regulatory-and-supervisory-pressure.html
10. Lins, K. V., Servaes, H., & Tamayo, A. (2017). Social capital, trust, and firm performance: The value of corporate social responsibility during the financial crisis. *The Journal of Finance, 72*(4), 1785-1824. https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12505
11. Mahadule, D. A. (2025). Sustainable Finance: The Integration of ESG Criteria in Investment Strategies. *IPE Journal of Management, 15*(1), 146–154. Retrieved from https://www.rgcms.edu.in/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/Sustainable-Finance-The-Integration-of-ESG-Criteria-in-Investment-Strategies.pdf
12. McKinsey & Company. (2025). *Sustaining Sustainability: What Institutional Investors Should Do Next on ESG*. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com/~/media/McKinsey/Industries/Private%20Equity%20and%20Principal%20Investors/Our%20Insights/Sustaining%20sustainability%20What%20institutional%20investors%20should%20do%20next%20on%20ESG/Sustaining-sustainability-What-institutional-investors-should-do-next-on-ESG.pdf
13. OECD. (2020). *Investment governance and the integration of environmental, social and governance factors*. Retrieved from https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/investment-governance-and-the-integration-of-environmental-social-and-governance-factors_40a1430a-en.html
14. Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI). (2021). *A Practical Guide to ESG Integration for Equity Investing*. Retrieved from https://www.icgn.org/sites/default/files/2021-08/PRI_apracticalguidetoesgintegrationforequityinvesting.pdf
15. Sharpe, W. F. (1964). Capital asset prices: A theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk. *The Journal of Finance*, 19(3), 425–442. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1964.tb02865.x
16. Tanaka, M. (2016). *Sustainable investment strategies and a theoretical approach of multi-stakeholder analysis*. Global Finance Journal, 4(3), 16-29. Retrieved from https://www.aimspress.com/aimspress-data/gf/2022/3/PDF/GF-04-03-016.pdf
17. U.S. Department of Labor. (2021). Financial factors in selecting plan investments – Final rule. Retrieved from https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/EBSA/ERISA/Final_Rules/2021-07-12-Final-Rule.pdf

18. United Nations Environment Programme Principles for Responsible Investment. (2019). Fiduciary duty in the 21st century – Final report. Retrieved from https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/31658

19. Wang, Z., & Zhang, Y. (2020). The impact of ESG performance on firm financial performance in emerging markets: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Finance & Economics, 25*(3), 337-358. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.1717

20. Williams, C. A., & Millington, A. (2004). The evolution of corporate social responsibility. *Business and Society, 43*(3), 242-262. https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650304268060

21. Yoon, S., & Lee, D. (2018). Institutional investors' ESG performance and corporate social responsibility: Evidence from the global stock market. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 8*(2), 120-132. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2017.1415149

22. Zumente, I., & Bistrova, J. (2021). Comparative analysis of long-term returns, financial considerations, and ESG integration in investment portfolios. *Journal of Sustainable Finance*, 4(2), 45–59. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41260-025-00397-0