International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Solid Phase Microextraction: A Game Changer in Modern Analytical Science

Thalluri Keerthana[1], Varala Akhila[1], Barla Karuna Devi[2], Anumolu Durga Pani Kumar[1], Dr. Naraparaju Swathi[2, *]

[1]*Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Gokaraju Rangaraju College of Pharmacy, Hyderabad-500 090, Telangana, India*

[2] Professor, *Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Gokaraju Rangaraju College of Pharmacy, Hyderabad-500 090, Telangana, India*

E-mail: swathi8006@grcp.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

Solid Phase Micro Extraction (SPME) is a versatile and widely used sample preparation technique that has revolutionized the field of analytical chemistry. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the recent advances and applications of SPME. The fundamental principles of SPME, including the theory of extraction and this review also covers the recent developments in SPME. And The applications of SPME in various fields, including environmental monitoring, food safety, pharmaceutical analysis, and biomedical research, are reviewed. The challenges and limitations of SPME and highlights its potential for future applications.

Keywords: Solid phase micro extraction, SPME Arrow, SPME fibers.

Introduction:

Sample preparation is a critical step in analytical chemistry, often determining the success and reliability of the entire analytical process. It serves to isolate, concentrate, and purify the analytes of interest from complex matrices, thereby enhancing sensitivity, improving accuracy, and minimizing matrix interferences.[1] Given the complexity of real-world samples such as biological fluids, environmental water, food, and pharmaceuticals, proper sample preparation is essential to achieve trace-level detection and reproducible results. It also significantly reduces instrument downtime and maintenance by removing potential contaminants before analysis (Kataoka, 2000).[2]

Over the past few decades, there has been a significant evolution in sample preparation techniques, shifting from traditional exhaustive extraction methods like liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) and solid-phase extraction (SPE) to more miniaturized, solventless, and environmentally friendly approaches. The limitations of classical techniques—such as high solvent consumption, laborious workflows, and sample losses—spurred the development of microextraction methods.[3]

Among these, Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME), introduced by Pawliszyn and co-workers in the early 1990s, revolutionized sample preparation by integrating sampling, extraction, concentration, and sample introduction into a single solvent-free step.[4] SPME offers numerous advantages, including simplicity, speed, automation potential, and minimal environmental impact, aligning well with the principles of green chemistry. Initially designed for volatile organic compounds, SPME has since expanded into a wide array of applications, encompassing environmental analysis, food safety, biomedical research, and pharmaceutical studies. The technique's versatility, along with innovations such as fiber coatings, thin-film microextraction, and *in vivo* SPME, continues to position it as a game changer in modern analytical science.

Thus, the growing demand for rapid, sensitive, and sustainable analytical workflows has made SPME and other microextraction techniques indispensable tools in the evolving landscape of analytical chemistry.

Fundamental Principles of SPME

SPME has revolutionized analytical workflows due to its simplicity, speed, and compatibility with chromatographic systems like GC and LC.[3]

Mechanism of Extraction: Adsorption vs. Absorption

SPME operates on two primary extraction mechanisms—adsorption and absorption—depending on the nature of the fiber coating:

- Absorption involves the partitioning of analytes into the bulk phase of the fiber coating, typically associated with polymeric coatings such as polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS). The amount of analyte extracted is proportional to the analyte's affinity for the coating and its concentration in the sample matrix.[4]
- Adsorption, on the other hand, involves the interaction of analytes with active sites on the surface of the fiber, characteristic of solid sorbent coatings such as Carboxen or divinylbenzene (DVB). These interactions can be influenced by factors such as polarity, hydrogen bonding, and molecular size.[5]

The choice between adsorption and absorption mechanisms is determined by the analyte's chemical nature, matrix complexity, and the detection system used.

Fiber Coating Types and Selection Criteria

SPME fibers are commercially available in various coatings, each designed for specific analytical needs. Common types include:

- PDMS: Non-polar, suitable for volatile and semi-volatile non-polar compounds (absorption-based).
- DVB/PDMS: Biphasic coating for a broad range of volatiles and semi-volatiles.
- CAR/PDMS: Ideal for light volatiles due to high surface area (adsorption-based).
- PA (Polyacrylate): Polar coating suited for polar analytes and aqueous samples.

Selection Criteria:

- Polarity match between fiber and analyte (like dissolves like).
- Thermal and chemical stability for the analysis conditions.
- Coating thickness, which affects sensitivity and extraction time.
- Reusability and mechanical durability for high-throughput needs.[6]

Theory of Equilibrium and Kinetics in SPME

SPME is a non-exhaustive extraction technique governed by the principles of partition equilibrium and kinetic diffusion.[7] At equilibrium, the concentration of the analyte in the fiber coating is directly proportional to its concentration in the sample matrix, described by the partition coefficient K_{fs}:

$$n = \frac{K_{fs} V_f V_s C_0}{K_{fs} V_f + V_s}$$

Where, n = amount of analyte extracted; K_{fs} = fiber/sample partition coefficient; V_f = volume of fiber coating; V_s = sample volume; C_0 = initial snalyte concentration.

In practical applications, equilibrium is not always reached, and the kinetics of analyte diffusion becomes a critical factor. Extraction time, agitation, temperature, and sample matrix viscosity can significantly influence the rate and efficiency of mass transfer to the fiber. Thus, optimizing extraction parameters is essential for reproducibility and sensitivity.

Applications of SPME

Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME) has emerged as a transformative tool in various fields of analytical science due to its versatility, solvent-free nature, and ability to couple directly with techniques such as gas chromatography (GC) and liquid chromatography (LC). Below are key domains where SPME has proven invaluable:

1. Environmental Monitoring

SPME is widely applied in **air, water, and soil analysis** for detecting **volatile organic compounds (VOCs)**, **pesticides, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs),** and other environmental contaminants. Its high sensitivity and in-field applicability make it ideal for trace-level detection.

- In **air quality studies,** SPME has been effectively used to detect benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) compounds in indoor and outdoor environments.[8]

- For **water and soil analysis,** headspace SPME has facilitated the detection of organochlorine pesticides and emerging pollutants such as pharmaceuticals and endocrine-disrupting compounds.[9]

2. Food Safety and Quality Control

In the **food industry,** SPME is utilized for **aroma profiling, flavor analysis,** and **contaminant detection** (e.g., pesticides, toxins, plasticizers).

- **Flavor compounds** such as aldehydes, esters, and terpenes in fruits, beverages, and dairy products can be profiled using headspace SPME, helping in authenticity verification and sensory evaluation.[5]
- SPME is also effective in detecting **food contaminants,** including mycotoxins, acrylamide, and migration products from packaging materials.[6]

3. Pharmaceutical and Forensic Analysis

SPME is extensively applied in **drug development, doping control, and forensic toxicology** for detecting **active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs), drug metabolites**, and **illicit substances** in complex matrices.

- SPME enables rapid, solvent-free, and highly selective extraction of pharmaceutical residues from complex matrices, enhancing detection sensitivity in drug analysis using chromatography and mass spectrometry.[10]
- In **forensic science,** SPME is valuable for screening **drug residues** in hair, urine, and blood, with advantages like minimal sample volume and fast processing.[11]

4. Biomedical and Clinical Research

In **clinical diagnostics and biomedical studies,** SPME offers a non-invasive and rapid approach for detecting **biomarkers, metabolites,** and **volatile organic compounds** in human samples.

- SPME enables non-invasive, sensitive detection of volatile biomarkers in breath, aiding clinical diagnosis, monitoring, and research into metabolic and disease-related processes in biomedical studies.[12]
- **Biofluids** such as plasma, saliva, and urine can be analyzed for **metabolomics studies,** helping identify physiological changes or drug responses without extensive sample workup.[13]

Recent Developments in SPME

The continuous evolution of Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME) has addressed key analytical challenges in sensitivity, selectivity, portability, and automation. These advancements have widened its scope across environmental, pharmaceutical, forensic, and clinical research fields.

1. Advances in Fiber Materials and Coatings

Recent innovations in SPME fiber coatings have significantly enhanced analyte selectivity, extraction efficiency, and thermal stability. Traditional coatings like polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), polyacrylate (PA), and Carboxen/PDMS have gradually been complemented or replaced by advanced materials.

- **Nanomaterials,** such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene, and metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), have shown superior adsorption capabilities due to high surface areas and tunable functionalities.[14]
- **Ionic liquid-based coatings** offer excellent thermal and chemical stability, with enhanced extraction of polar and semi-volatile compounds.[15]
- **Monolithic and sol–gel derived coatings** provide better reproducibility and longer lifespans, especially in biological or complex matrices.[16]

These developments have enabled more targeted extraction in complex matrices, expanding SPME applications in trace-level analysis and high-throughput workflows.

2. Automation and Online Coupling with GC-MS/LC-MS

Automation and direct hyphenation of SPME with analytical instrumentation have improved method reproducibility and reduced human error.

- SPME systems were driven by innovations like 96-well plates and high-throughput parallel-processing systems. Automation has significantly boosted efficiency in fields such as clinical chemistry, environmental analysis, and pharmaceuticals. Notably, the integration of automated SPE with GC-MS and LC-MS systems enhances reproducibility, reduces manual error, and supports large-scale sample processing, making it ideal for high-throughput, sensitive analytical workflows.[17]
- **Online SPME-GC-MS and SPME-LC-MS** integration eliminates the need for intermediate steps like sample transfer or solvent evaporation, preserving sample integrity and improving detection limits.[18]

- SPME offers a fast, solvent-free, and highly sensitive sample preparation technique, ideal for trace analysis in complex matrices. In this study, IT-SPME enabled automated, precise extraction of cannabinoids from urine with minimal sample volume and preparation, high throughput, and excellent reusability—highlighting its value in clinical and forensic toxicology.[19]

These developments support regulated environments that demand high reproducibility, such as quality control and therapeutic drug monitoring.

3. Miniaturized and Portable SPME Devices

To meet the growing demand for on-site, real-time monitoring, **portable and miniaturized SPME tools** have been developed.

- SPME Arrow offers superior performance over conventional fibers due to its larger sorption phase, resulting in 4–5× higher sensitivity, enhanced reproducibility (RSD 6.2% vs. 12.5%), and improved chromatographic peak quality. Its robust design enables more efficient extraction of VOCs from complex matrices like milk, making it ideal for high-precision food analysis.[20]
- On-site analysis using SPME enhances speed, reduces sample degradation, and minimizes contamination by enabling direct sampling at the source. It eliminates the need for complex lab-based pre-processing, making it ideal for environmental, forensic, and clinical monitoring. The approach increases efficiency, portability, and real-time decision-making in field applications.[21]

These portable tools open the door to in-field applications such as environmental sampling, clinical diagnostics, and forensic investigations.

Challenges and Limitations of SPME

Despite its transformative impact on analytical chemistry, Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME) still faces several limitations that can affect its practical applicability, especially in high-throughput or complex-matrix scenarios. Key concerns include fiber fragility, matrix interferences, and challenges related to sensitivity and reproducibility.

1. Fiber Fragility and Limited Reusability

One of the most commonly cited drawbacks of SPME is the mechanical fragility and limited lifetime of the fiber coatings. Traditional fibers, especially those coated with fragile polymers such as polyacrylate or Carboxen-PDMS, are prone to breakage, stripping, or degradation after repeated use.

- Fiber coatings can **degrade due to exposure to harsh solvents, high temperatures,** or aggressive sample matrices, reducing extraction efficiency over time.[16]
- Most commercial fibers can typically be reused between **50 to 100 times,** depending on the matrix and analytes. This may not be cost-effective for labs with large sample volumes.[14]

Efforts are ongoing to improve coating durability with newer materials like metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), carbon-based nanocomposites, and sol–gel-derived phases, but widespread adoption remains limited due to cost or fabrication complexity.

2. Matrix Interferences and Method Validation

Complex sample matrices such as biological fluids, food extracts, or environmental samples can significantly interfere with the extraction process, leading to reduced accuracy and specificity.

- Matrix effects can **alter the partitioning behavior** of analytes, especially in protein-rich or lipid-rich samples, where competitive adsorption may occur.[22]
- Components such as **humic substances, salts, or fats** may foul the fiber surface or compete with target analytes for active sites, thereby requiring rigorous optimization.[23]
- Proper **method validation** (e.g., recovery, matrix effect studies, limit of quantification) is essential to ensure the method's reliability and regulatory compliance, particularly in clinical and pharmaceutical applications.[24]

While SPME offers solvent-free extraction, these matrix interferences necessitate pre-treatment steps or the use of selective coatings, which may compromise the simplicity that SPME is known for.

3. Sensitivity and Reproducibility Concerns

Although SPME has excellent potential for trace analysis, achieving **high sensitivity and reproducibility** can be difficult due to variability in fiber coating performance and extraction conditions.

- Factors such as **temperature fluctuations, stirring speed, ionic strength, and pH** can all impact analyte extraction, particularly in manual or semi-automated setups.
- **Batch-to-batch variability** in fiber coatings, coupled with physical wear during usage, can lead to inconsistent results across experiments. [25]
- Reproducibility also suffers when dealing with **low-abundance analytes or thermally unstable compounds**, especially if not properly optimized for the SPME-GC-MS or SPME-LC-MS interfaces.

Standardizing extraction protocols and using **automated SPME systems** with internal standard calibration are commonly recommended practices to mitigate these issues.

Future Directions and Potential

As analytical chemistry continues to evolve toward greater sensitivity, portability, and sustainability, Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME) is poised to play a pivotal role. Emerging innovations are expanding its capabilities beyond traditional applications, especially through integration with nanotechnology, in vivo diagnostics, and green chemistry principles.

1. Integration with Nanomaterials and Smart Sensors

The incorporation of **nanomaterials** into SPME coatings has significantly improved sensitivity, selectivity, and stability. Nanostructures such as **carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene oxide (GO), metal-organic frameworks (MOFs),** and **quantum dots** offer high surface area and tunable surface chemistry, enhancing analyte adsorption efficiency.

- For instance, **CNT–polymers** and **GO–sol–gel hybrids** provide robust coatings with superior thermal and mechanical properties.[26]
- Smart sensors integrated with Solid-Phase Microextraction (SPME) enhance analytical efficiency by enabling rapid, on-site detection of target analytes with minimal sample preparation. Their ability to deliver real-time, selective readouts—especially when coupled with UV–Vis or other miniaturized detectors—makes them indispensable for screening applications across clinical, environmental, and food safety fields.[27]

These innovations push the boundaries of traditional SPME, making it suitable for **lab-on-a-chip platforms** and **point-of-care diagnostics.**

2. In Vivo and Real-Time Sampling

SPME's minimally invasive nature makes it highly suitable for **in vivo sampling**, particularly in pharmacokinetics, metabolomics, and clinical diagnostics.

- Recent developments in **biocompatible SPME fibers** allow for direct insertion into tissues, blood, or organs to extract analytes without requiring sample removal or extensive processing.[28]
- **In vivo SPME coupled with LC-MS/MS** has been successfully used to monitor therapeutic drug levels and metabolic changes in real-time in animal and human studies.[29]
- This approach minimizes sample degradation and enables **longitudinal monitoring of biological processes,** which is critical for precision medicine and clinical research.

3. Sustainable and Green Analytical Chemistry

SPME aligns with the principles of **green analytical chemistry (GAC)** due to its **solvent-free extraction, reduced sample size,** and **minimal waste generation**.

- Unlike conventional liquid-liquid extraction, SPME eliminates the use of hazardous organic solvents, thus reducing environmental and health risks.[30]
- The development of **reusable, long-lasting fibers** and **eco-friendly coating materials** further supports sustainability.[31]
- Its applicability to **on-site and in-field analysis** also lowers the energy and resource demands associated with sample transport and storage.

Future developments are focusing on **biodegradable fiber materials and renewable coating sources**, which will enhance its role in eco-conscious analytical practices.

Conclusion

Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME) has revolutionized sample preparation in analytical science through its simplicity, solvent-free operation, and compatibility with various detection systems. Operating via adsorption or absorption, depending on fiber coatings, SPME offers high sensitivity and selectivity across diverse matrices. Its widespread applications—from environmental monitoring and food safety to pharmaceutical, forensic, and clinical research—highlight its versatility. Innovations in fiber materials, automation, and coupling with GC-MS/LC-MS have further expanded its reach, enabling real-time and *in vivo* sampling.

While challenges such as fiber fragility, matrix interferences, and reproducibility persist, ongoing research in coating technology and method validation is addressing these limitations. Looking forward, SPME is poised to play a pivotal role in modern analytical strategies, especially with growing interest in sustainable and green chemistry. Its integration with nanomaterials, smart sensors, and portable devices makes it a promising tool for next-generation, field-ready, and high-throughput analytical platforms.

In conclusion, SPME is not just a sample preparation method—it is a strategic enabler of **sensitive, selective, and sustainable analysis,** and its continued development promises to reshape the future of analytical methodologies across disciplines.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Dr. M. Ganga Raju, Principal, Gokaraju Rangaraju College of Pharmacy and the Gokaraju Rangaraju Educational Society for their support.

References

1. Płotka-Wasylka, J. A new tool for the evaluation of the analytical procedure: Green Analytical Procedure Index. Talanta, 2018; 181: 204–209. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.01.013
2. Kataoka, H. New trends in sample preparation for clinical and pharmaceutical analysis. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry. 2000; 22(4): 232-244. Doi: 10.1016/S0165-9936(03)00402-3
3. Pawliszyn, J. Solid Phase Microextraction: Theory and Practice. 1997, Wiley-VCH.
4. Arthur CL, Pawliszyn J. Solid Phase Microextraction with Thermal Desorption Using Fused Silica Optical Fibers. Analytical Chemistry. 1990; 62(19): 2145-2148. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00218a019
5. Kataoka H, Lor, HL, Pawliszyn J. Applications of solid-phase microextraction in food analysis. J Chromatogr. A. 2000; 880(1-2): 35–62. Doi: 10.1016/s0021-9673(00)00309-5.
6. Vas G, Vékey K. Solid-phase microextraction: A powerful sample preparation tool prior to mass spectrometric analysis. J Mass Spectrom. 2004; 39(3): 233–254. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.606
7. Górecki T, Yu X, Pawliszyn J. Theory of analyte extraction by selected porous polymer SPME fibers. Analyst. 1999; 124: 643-649.
8. Larroque V, Desauziers V, Mocho P. Development of a solid phase microextraction (SPME) method for the sampling of VOC traces in indoor air. Journal of environmental monitoring, 2006; 8: 106-111. Doi: 10.1039/b511201j.
9. Rodriguez-Lafuente A, Piri-Moghadam H, Lord HL, Obal T, Pawliszyn J. Inter-laboratory validation of automated SPME-GC/MS for determination of pesticides in surface and ground water samples: sensitive and green alternative to liquid–liquid extraction. *Water Quality Research Journal*. 2016; 51 (4): 331–343. doi: https://doi.org/10.2166/wqrjc.2016.011
10. Jingyi Yan, Jingying Huang, Siyuan Peng, Dani Sun, Wenhui Lu, Zhihua Song, Jiping Ma, Jinmao You, Huaying Fan, Lingxin Chen, Jinhua Li. Recent advances in molecular-imprinting-based solid-phase microextraction for determination of pharmaceutical residues, Journal of Chromatography A, 2025; 1754: 466016. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2025.466016.
11. De Giovanni N, Marchetti D. A Systematic Review of Solid-Phase Microextraction Applications in the Forensic Context. J Anal Toxicol. 2020 Apr 30;44(3):268-297. doi: 10.1093/jat/bkz077. PMID: 31788690.
12. T Ligor, M Ligor, A Amann, C Ager, M Bachler, A Dzien and B Buszewski. The analysis of healthy volunteers' exhaled breath by the use of solid-phase microextraction and GC-MS. Journal of Breath Research, 2008; 2(4): 046006, **DOI:** 10.1088/1752-7155/2/4/046006.
13. Reyes-Garces N, Gionfriddo E, Gomez-Ríos GA, Alam MN, Boyacı E, Bojko B, Singh V, Grandy J, Pawliszyn J. Advances in Solid Phase Microextraction and perspective on future directions. *Anal Chem.* 2018; **90(1)**: 302–360. DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04502.
14. Ali Mehdinia, Mohammad Ovais Aziz-Zanjani, Recent advances in nanomaterials utilized in fiber coatings for solid-phase microextraction. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 2013; 42: 205-215. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2012.09.013.
15. Honglian Yu, Tien D. Ho, Jared L. Anderson, Ionic liquid and polymeric ionic liquid coatings in solid-phase microextraction. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 2013; 45: 219-232. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2012.10.016.
16. Amirhassan Amiri, Solid-phase microextraction-based sol–gel technique, TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 2016; 75: 57-74. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2015.10.003.
17. David T Rossi, Nanyan Zhang, Automating solid-phase extraction: current aspecs and future prospekts, Journal of Chromatography A, 2000; 885 (1–2): 97-113. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(99)00984-X.
18. Lord HL, Pawliszyn J. Evolution of solid-phase microextraction technology. *J Chromatogr A.* 2000, 885**(1-2):** 153–193.
19. Morisue Sartore D, Costa JL, Lanças FM, Santos-Neto ÁJ. Packed in-tube SPME-LC-MS/MS for fast and straightforward analysis of cannabinoids and metabolites in human urine. Electrophoresis. 2022; 43(15):1555-1566. doi: 10.1002/elps.202100389. Epub 2022 Apr 29. PMID: 35430741.

20. Manousi, Natalia & Rosenberg, Erwin & Zachariadis, George. (2020). Solid-Phase Microextraction Arrow for the Sampling of Volatile Organic Compounds in Milk Samples. Separations. 7. 75. 10.3390/separations7040075.

21. Gangfeng Ouyang, Janusz Pawliszyn, Recent developments in SPME for on-site analysis and monitoring, TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 2006; 25 (7): 692-703. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2006.05.005.

22. Madison L. Williams, Aghogho Abigail Olomukoro, Ronald V. Emmons, Nipunika H. Godage, Emanuela Gionfriddo. Matrix effects demystified: Strategies for resolving challenges in analytical separations of complex samples. 2023; 46(23): 2300571. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.202300571

23. Zhang Z, Pawliszyn J. Headspace SPME for complex matrices. *Anal Chem.* 1993; **65(14)**: 1843–1852.

24. Lashgari M, Singh V, Pawliszyn J. A Critical Review on Regulatory Sample Preparation Methods: Validating Solid-Phase Microextraction Techniques. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry. 2019; 119. Doi: 10.1016/j.trac.2019.07.029.

25. Spietelun A, Kloskowski A, Chrzanowski W, Namieśnik J. Understanding Solid-Phase Microextraction: Key Factors Influencing the Extraction Process and Trends in Improving the Technique. Chemical reviews. 2012; 113. Doi: 10.1021/cr300148j.

26. Murtada K. Trends in nanomaterial-based solid-phase microextraction with a focus on environmental applications - A review. Trends in Environmental Analytical Chemistry. 2019; 25. Doi: 10.1016/j.teac.2019.e00077.

27. Nazdrajić E, Galievsky V, Pawliszyn J. Coupling solid phase microextraction to integrated optical sensors with microfluidic open interface. Green Analytical Chemistry. 2024;11: 100168. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.greeac.2024.100168.

28. Lord HL, Zhang X, Musteata FM, Vuckovic D, Pawliszyn J. In vivo solid-phase microextraction for monitoring intravenous concentrations of drugs and metabolites. Nat Protoc. 2011 Jun;6(6):896-924. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2011.329. Epub 2011 Jun 2. PMID: 21637206.

29. Yu M, Roszkowska A, Pawliszyn J. In Vivo Solid-Phase Microextraction and Applications in Environmental Sciences. ACS Environ., 2022; 2: 30-41. Doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsenvironau.1c00024

30. Marek Tobiszewski, Metrics for green analytical chemistry. Anal. Methods, 2016, **8**: 2993-2999. DOI: 10.1039/C6AY00478D

31. Armenta S, Garrigues S, Guardia M. The role of green extraction techniques in Green Analytical Chemistry. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry. 2015; 71: 2-8. Doi: 10.1016/j.trac.2014.12.011.