Unraveling the Exodus: A Conceptual Exploration of Faculty Turnover Intentions in Higher Education

Anish Charan [a]*, Dr. Abhishek Pathak [b]

[a] Research Scholar, Department of Commerce & Management, Dr. C. V. Raman University, Bilaspur (C.G)

[b] Professor, Department of Commerce & Management, Dr. C. V. Raman University, Bilaspur (C.G)

A B S T R A C T

Employee attrition represents a good sized obstacle for agencies throughout the globe. Higher training serves as a essential pillar for any society committed to democratic values. The subject of body of workers turnover has garnered sizable hobby from numerous human aid experts and organizational students. Academic establishments and universities adopt HR practices that goal at retaining skilled faculty members. As in keeping with a report by using Horizon Executive Search firm, nearly 65% of school participants are taking into account leaving their modern instructional positions, and around 45% of the respondents indicated a opportunity of exiting academia totally. The departure of school participants can bring about dwindled morale, weakened allegiance to the group, and may trigger similarly resignations. Previous investigations have mounted that school contentment is a great determinant of turnover inclinations. This paper seeks to increase an know-how of the conceptual framework surrounding turnover intention and delves into identifying and emphasizing the underlying motives behind college go out tendencies. The research draws upon secondary resources inclusive of magazine articles, instructional studies, and existing literature. The contemporary evaluation highlights the qualitative and investigative dimensions within the area of talent acquisition and retention. Literature indicates that faculty attrition purpose, being a huge organizational difficulty, must be addressed with urgency to curtail the fee of instructional departures. An assessment of preceding research suggests that dwindled activity pride is strongly related with increased propensity to surrender. Conversely, when employees enjoy fulfillment of their modern-day roles, they're less inclined to ponder leaving their positions.

Keywords: Turnover intention, Job satisfaction, Motivation, Employee retention, Work stress

Introduction

Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) have progressively normalized the sample of common managerial and educational staff attrition within their operational frameworks. Numerous institutions attribute employment discounts and financial cutbacks to broader economic downturns. As this sector stories persistent downsizing, instructional functions are being centralized. A decreased workforce has led to heightened process responsibilities throughout all departments, ultimately contributing to burnout and reduced worker retention (Du Plooy & Roodt, 2010).

Employee attrition can be described as the voluntary departure of personnel from their role or professional discipline. The perception of voluntary separation is satisfactory understood when recognized as an outcome prompted by way of a mixture of societal, financial, and psychological dynamics (Udechukwu et al., 2007). The concept of turnover goal is characterised as an character's conscious plan to depart their present day employment or career direction voluntarily. This rationale holds importance as it serves as a predictor of human cognition and evaluative processes (Mobley et al., 1979).

Academicians have asserted that the purpose to exit entails a chain of mental steps: the concept of resigning, the strategy planning stage to pursue alternative opportunities, and the very last decision to go away (Mobley, 1982; Mobley et al., 1978). According to Mobley et al. (1979), this purpose is formed by using diverse determinants including organizational subculture, non-public attributes of the employee, expectations surrounding the activity and hard work market, in addition to person notion systems and values.

Turnover purpose is typically defined as an employee's attitude to are looking for alternative employment with a specific employer inside a one-yr time body. When an person is drawn by using an outside offer and exits their current role, it is labeled as a "pull" issue. This commonly occurs whilst experts become aware of extra promising career avenues—including promotions, greater remuneration, superior blessings, or chances to paintings internationally.

On the other hand, elements like place of business dissatisfaction, monotony, or perceived inequity regarding pay and development (frequently associated with distributive justice) result in grievances amongst employees. These inner dissatisfactions act because the motivating forces for resignation, normally referred to as "push" factors contributing to personnel turnover.

Employee attrition represents a full-size worldwide concern encountered with the aid of groups across industries (James, Leena; Mathew, Lissy). Numerous previous investigations have indicated a sturdy poor correlation between task contentment and the inclination of personnel to renounce. (Egan, Yang & Bartlett, 2004; Lambert, Hogan & Barton, 2001; MacIntosh & Doherty, 2010; Schwepker, 2001; Silverthorne, 2004).

Review of Literature

Job satisfaction and turnover intention

Spector's (1986) comprehensive analysis revealed that expanded stages of workplace autonomy are positively related with superior process pleasure, more potent organizational commitment, elevated motivation, advanced overall performance, and reduced levels of position confusion, interpersonal warfare, and intentions to renounce.

According to Igbaria et al. (1992), workplace stressors do not have an instantaneous impact on an worker's selection to go out; rather, they influence such selections in a roundabout way by contributing to negative reviews including occupational pressure, inadequate peer aid, dissatisfaction with one's role, and a decline in dedication to the agency. Wunder (2001), in his findings, emphasised that task-related stressors have a right away and negative effect on managerial pride levels, which ultimately results in disengagement, extended intention to go away, and, in the end, real turnover. Johnsrud and Rosser (2002) observed that contentment with one's current instructional role substantially reduces the probability of departure, while dissatisfaction activates school individuals to discover other career possibilities.

Mowday and Colwell (2003) argued that equitable treatment regarding institutional guidelines, strategies, and moves is crucial in deterring employees from considering resignation. Employees' emotional response—whether pleased or discontented—with their workplace plays a imperative position in shaping their motive to stay or break free the organization. Firth et al. (2004) diagnosed that activity dissatisfaction, minimum organizational loyalty, and multiplied strain levels are key contributors to the desire to surrender. These factors themselves are formed through diverse task-related pressure factors. Daly and Dee (2006) highlighted that college can also maintain operating at a specific group even amidst dissatisfaction if outside task possibilities are scarce or non-public duties, such as own family obligations, restrict relocation. Conversely, even glad and dependable school participants may resign while presented with a superior possibility. Their studies additionally referred to a tremendous association among extra autonomy and elevated pride, which in flip diminishes the aim to go away. Van der Heijden et al. (2009) defined that job pride accommodates multiple influencing factors, inclusive of autonomy and the diploma of freedom granted to personnel in gratifying their duties. Generally, better autonomy complements satisfaction, even as its absence contributes negatively to retention choices, paintings nature belief, and supervisory relationships.

Medina Elizabeth (2012) discovered that complete-time employment, prolonged years of service, older age, and being white had been statistically connected to decrease intentions of leaving the activity. In contrast, possessing a higher degree of training, enjoying more satisfaction with lifestyles associated with work, and having a decrease earnings were extensively related to increased turnover intentions. Lee et al. (2012) emphasized the interconnected nature of job pride, employee willpower, and the purpose to leave. Their research supports the idea that withdrawal intentions arise from faded pleasure and weakened dedication. Lawrence et al. (2013) counseled that an unappealing paintings ecosystem—marked with the aid of low task delight—acts as a "push" thing prompting faculty to renounce, even as possibilities supplying better pay and stepped forward situations function "pull" incentives attracting them elsewhere.

Jayavardhini and Lakshmibala (2019) concluded that academic body of workers take into account compensation, managerial backing, and paintings-existence balance regulations as extra essential of their choice to depart than mere acknowledgment or pay alone. Educators favor institutions with fair paintings-lifestyles equilibrium due to the fact that excessive workloads and confined flexibility disrupt non-public existence. Hence, it will become essential to provide aggressive remuneration applications to preserve college.

Individual factors and turnover intention

Personal attributes that make contributions to an character's inclination to renounce are connected to the precise characteristics of the employee. These traits may be innate, inclusive of temperament or character, or obtained through mastering, along with competencies and abilties. Studies have indicated that various cognitive (mental) and non-cognitive (emotional or behavioral) factors have an impact on an employee's selection—both without delay or indirectly—to contemplate leaving and ultimately renounce or retire from their enterprise.

Judge et al. (1977) confirmed that emotional inclinations—each high-quality and negative—are dimensions of persona traits. Their findings illustrated that tremendous emotionality had a bad correlation with resignation intentions, that means employees with fantastic emotional inclinations were much less in all likelihood to don't forget leaving. However, negative emotions confirmed no giant association, whether or not fantastic or bad, with turnover motive. Matier (1990) emphasized that studies regarding school attrition ought to account for 4 crucial elements. First, a large number of elements have an impact on whether school contributors pick out to stay or retire. Second, relying entirely on inner or micro-environmental place of job elements is insufficient. Third, each inner organizational dynamics and external contextual elements play a position in resignation selections. Lastly, one need to evaluate now not simply the splendor of new opportunities, however also how effortlessly school can transition between establishments. In a related point, Matier (1990) stated that at the same time as attractive salary gives from outside establishments may additionally act as a "pull" factor encouraging faculty to resign, external constraints—like location preferences—may additionally act as a "push" factor that motivates them to stay. Dole et al. (2001) also investigated capability associations between characteristics like race, gender, persona kind, and occupational hierarchy with resignation reason. However, their findings did not assist the lifestyles of any great correlation among these variables.

Neckerman and Fernandez (2003) confirmed that employees frequently present their competencies impressively on resumes, yet real-world overall performance may additionally range. Continuous getting to know and skill improvement are extraordinarily valued via personnel. Those who understand stagnation of their contemporary function, experience misaligned with their expert desires, suffer from faded self-worth, or experience a lack of place of business belonging are more inclined to discover alternative job possibilities. Korunka et al. (2008) delivered that the general great of labor life, along process-precise and institutional factors, serve as key predictors of both the purpose to depart and the eventual departure decision. Quan and Cha (2010) discovered that younger professionals display a better tendency to don't forget resignation in comparison to older counterparts. Interestingly, those more youthful personnel also pronounced experiencing less occupational stress than older people. Abhar (2011) concluded that the ones in managerial roles show a decrease chance of exiting their positions than non-managers. Furthermore, personal occasions like transfers, marriages, fitness problems, or retirement are typically no longer categorised as formal reasons for resignation.

Workload and Turnover Intention

Barnes et al. (1998) identified that feelings of frustration arising from excessive time demands were among the most influential predictors of faculty Members' aim to renounce. Accordingly, the present day have a look at anticipates a direct relationship among workload intensity and the chance of turnover. Daly and Dee (2006) noted that an excessive coaching burden can diminish school individuals' feel of loyalty and willpower towards their establishments. Nyamubarwa (2013) strongly asserted that inadequate leadership results in unfavourable outcomes which include subpar worker performance, elevated stress, weakened organizational dedication, reduced process satisfaction, and increased inclinations to depart. These consequences are further linked to terrible motivation and retention techniques. The take a look at also emphasizes that leadership substantially affects personnel, specially while they're often supplied with constructive comments and superb recognition. In essence, the fashion and great of leadership within an enterprise severely form employees' selections both to remain or to leave.

Xiaoming (2014) carried out a study examining the influence of labor demands on burnout and purpose to resign. Findings found out that healthcare specialists, mainly, experience high ranges of strain and emotional exhaustion due to their workload, prompting many to go out the profession. The issue lies not within the nature of the obligations themselves, but within the overwhelming amount of responsibilities and limited autonomy. Ramli et al. (2014) emphasised that instructional professionals, which include academics and researchers, are predicted to actively have interaction in both scholarly innovation and network-based initiatives. Their roles commonly encompass a wide range of obligations beyond teaching, along with participating in studies, promotional activities, and appearing administrative functions. These multifaceted responsibilities place pupils underneath considerable strain. The symptoms of such pressure include growing cynicism closer to their paintings or place of work, waning organizational commitment, dissatisfaction with their roles, and in the long run, multiplied variability in retention and heightened turnover intentions.

Organisational Factors and Turnover Intention

Conklin and Desselle (2007) established that instructional professional often select to remain at their respective universities because of the perceived job protection their positions offer. This tendency is largely attributed to the continuing stagnation inside the academic labor marketplace, where college inflows typically end result from institutional growth, at the same time as outflows are predominantly due to retirements or the migration of roles to other sectors. Yin-Fah et al. (2010) emphasised the essential role of organizational commitment in the worker exit procedure, highlighting its significant have an impact on on each the goal to depart and real resignation. Candle (2010) mentioned that an worker turnover rate of 25% is usually visible as suitable across exclusive styles of businesses, together with better training institutions. His studies classified the drivers of school attrition into 3 companies: the ones associated with the business enterprise, those connected to the worker, and people inspired through external forces. The observe in addition referred to that disillusioned college members often transition to roles in which they feel greater powerful, better supported, and extra seamlessly incorporated into the organizational tradition.

Brewer et al. (2012) explored the determinants of turnover intention with the aid of applying a rate-theoretic method to have a look at how job-associated attitudes, perceived place of job situations, alternative employment possibilities, and private attributes have an effect on worker choices. The study found out that factors along with activity satisfaction, employee engagement, energetic process searching, and the goal to exit all drastically impact actual turnover behavior. Jehanzeb, Rasheed, and Rasheed (2013) also examined the link among organizational involvement and group of workers resignation inclinations. Their findings tested a sturdy bad association, helping the speculation that greater organizational dedication corresponds with decreased rationale to renounce. Kwon and Rupp (2013) similarly observed an inverse courting among an enterprise's public photograph and worker intentions to go away, mainly inside excessive-acting companies. A sturdy perception of company recognition lowers the likelihood of turnover, at the same time as a weaker reputation increases the choice to exit. Thus, it is able to be concluded that an institution's recognition is negatively correlated with personnel turnover intentions.

Objectives of the Study

- To gain insights into the conceptualisation of employee turnover intention.

- To explore and highlight the causes of turnover intention in the faculties.

- To summarise the previous papers and to identify gaps in existing literature.

Methodology

The current study employs a qualitative and exploratory research methodology with the goal of fully understanding the factors that contribute to employee turnover among faculty members in higher education institutions. This approach is best suited to investigate complex, human-centered phenomena that are frequently challenging to precisely measure, such as administrative centre dynamics, organisational commitment, and process pride. The studies' exploratory design enables the discovery of emerging topics, trends, and connections that haven't been fully explored in earlier research. The majority of the information gathered for this study came from secondary sources. Academic papers, peer-reviewed publications, teaching guides, and ongoing research articles appropriate for the current situation are all included in this comprehensive overview of the current literature. By analysing and synthesising information gathered from several reliable sources, the research seeks to offer a solid conceptual framework that pinpoints the main factors driving university people to leave. The investigator can find a wide range of empirical data and philosophical ideas by accessing secondary records, which expands the study's breadth and depth..

Key Findings

As emphasized with the aid of Baum and Payea (2013), higher education serves as a foundational detail in any society aspiring towards democratic ideals. Optimal instructional settings that promote coaching and learning have a tendency to foster self-warranty, heightened social focus, and instill a actual experience of accountability in college students (Kleitman and Gibson, 2011). The venture of employee attrition has attracted massive consciousness from severa human useful resource specialists and pupils of organizational behavior (Allen, Bryant, & Vardaman, 2010). According to Johnsrud and Rosser (2002), personnel turnover is highly adverse to instructional institutions.

To cope with this, faculties and universities have added human resource techniques focused on the retention of excessive-appearing school participants (Lawrence et al., 2013) even as concurrently recruiting instructional experts whose values and approaches align with the institutional culture (Ryan, Healy, & Sullivan, 2012). A record by way of Horizons Workforce Consulting shows that approximately sixty five% of professors have contemplated departing their modern institutions, and nearly forty five% have considered leaving academia altogether (English, 2012).

The departure of school contributors can impose critical monetary and academic burdens on college students, academic departments, and the broader organization (Heckert & Farabee, 2006; Kim, Twombly, & Wolf-Wendel, 2012). Beyond the tangible financial impact, the instructional disruption due to such exits can also lead to discontinuity in research projects and academic programming (Olsen, 1992). Additionally, common faculty exits can become worse morale, weaken institutional loyalty, and initiate similarly resignations (Olsen, 1992).

Nonetheless, college turnover might also present pathways for profession progression, enabling instructional professionals to strengthen professionally (McKenna & Sikula, 1981). Empirical findings have always shown that college task pride is a key determinant of resignation intentions (Caplow and McGee, 1958; Kim, Wolf-Wendel, and Twombly, 2013; Rosser, 2004; Zhou and Volkwein, 2004).

Ramli et al. (2014) argued that the intent to resign among academic team of workers can negatively have an impact on both educational institutions and student results. More widely, body of workers attrition poses serious outcomes for establishments by means of incurring sizeable economic burdens. These detrimental consequences can be examined through primary lenses: direct and oblique prices.

Direct expenses encompass the expenditure of institutional sources—each in phrases of time and finances—wished for recruiting, onboarding, and education replacement body of workers. Indirect prices, alternatively, contain productiveness losses attributable to team of workers shortages or the underperformance of inexperienced new hires. Elevated turnover prices amongst educators extensively boost operational costs for educational businesses. To mitigate this, establishments often must allocate extra sources, consisting of presenting aggressive compensation programs, to make sure the retention of qualified coaching employees (Akgunduz and Eryilmaz, 2018).

Key Findings

The aim to surrender differs amongst people and across institutions. A faculty member's selection to take into account leaving is inspired by way of more than one vital elements. Since turnover goal poses a large difficulty for educational establishments, it should be addressed with precedence to lessen its frequency. Faculty turnover can generally be categorised into number one types: pull turnover and push turnover. Pull turnover takes place while an educational expert is enticed by extra attractive possibilities presented by different agencies—along with higher pay, career growth, or benefits. In evaluation, push turnover takes place whilst inner problems pressure the worker away—such as emotions of unfair remedy, conflicts with control, lack of merchandising or salary development, and universal dissatisfaction with the task. A overview of present literature indicates that low stages of activity pride are strongly associated with expanded intentions to go away. Conversely, while personnel are content material with their modern roles and work environment, they're much less in all likelihood to take into account resignation.

It is evident that numerous factors contribute to expanded task satisfaction, even as conversely, there are sure factors that diminish delight degrees and consequently force personnel toward the purpose to surrender. Analysis famous that several crucial factors make a contribution to an employee's purpose to leave. It is essential to intently recollect the aforementioned components to decorate employee retention inside businesses. Literature evaluations imply that, in comparison to the corporate quarter, the schooling field has obtained enormously constrained studies focus, resulting in less scholarly attention. The goal to resign is inspired by a wide array of factors, consisting of organizational commitment, team of workers engagement, skills control strategies,

workload pressure, stage of job pleasure, exceptional of labor-lifestyles, institutional popularity, strain levels, and organizational rules and practices. Collectively, those determinants play a pivotal role in shaping an employee's choice to stay with or go out from an enterprise.

References

Akgunduz, Y., & Eryilmaz, G. (2018). Does turnover intention mediate the effects of job insecurity and co-worker support on social loafing? *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 68, 41–49.

Allen, D. G., Bryant, P. C., & Vardaman, J. M. (2010). Retaining talent: Replacing misconceptions with evidence-based strategies. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 24(2), 48–64.

Baum, S., Ma, J., & Payea, K. (2013). *Education pays 2013*. The College Board.

Brewer, C. S., Kovner, C. T., Greene, W., Tukov-Shuser, M., & Djukic, M. (2012). Predictors of actual turnover in a national sample of newly licensed registered nurses employed in hospitals. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 68(3), 521－538.

Candle, J. (2010). *Factors affecting teacher turnover in private secondary schools in Wakiso District*. Master's thesis, Makerere University.

Caplow, T., & McGee, R. J. (1958). *The academic marketplace*. Basic Books.

Conklin, M. H., & Desselle, S. P. (2007). Job turnover intentions among pharmacy faculty. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 71(4).

Daly, C. J., & Dee, J. R. (2006). Greener pastures: Faculty turnover intent in urban public universities. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 77(5), 776–803.

Dole, C., & Schroeder, R. G. (2001). The impact of various factors on the personality, job satisfaction and turnover intentions of professional accountants. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 16(4), 234–245.

Du Plooy, J., & Roodt, G. (2010). Work engagement, burnout and related constructs as predictors of turnover intention. *South African Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 36(1), 1–13.

Egan, T. M., Yang, B., & Bartlett, K. R. (2004). The effects of organizational learning culture and job satisfaction on motivation to transfer learning and turnover intention. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 15(3), 279–301.

English, L. (2012). *Transforming higher education through faculty well-being*. Horizons Workforce Consulting.

Firth, L., Mellor, D. J., Moore, K. A., & Loquet, C. (2004). How can managers reduce employee intention to quit? *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 19(2), 170–187.

Heckert, T. M., & Farabee, A. M. (2006). Turnover intentions of the faculty at a teaching-focused university. *Psychological Reports*, 99(1), 39–45.

Igbaria, M., & Greenhaus, J. H. (1992). Determinants of MIS employees' turnover intentions: A structural equation model. *Communications of the ACM*, 35(2), 35–49.

Jayavardhini, V. R., & Lakshmibala, M. (2019). A study on faculty turnover intentions of selected higher educational institutions in the state of Tamil Nādu. *Science, Technology and Development*, 8(10), 682–687.

Jehanzeb, K., Rasheed, A., & Rasheed, M. F. (2013). Organizational commitment and turnover intentions: Impact of employee's training in private sector of Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 8(8), 79–90.

Johnsrud, L. K., & Rosser, V. J. (2002). Faculty members' morale and their intention to leave: A multilevel explanation. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 73(4), 518–542.

Kim, D., Twombly, S., & Wolf-Wendel, L. (2012). International faculty in American universities: Experiences of academic life, productivity, and career mobility. In Y. J. Xu (Ed.), *New Directions for Institutional Research*, 155 (pp. 27–46). Jossey-Bass.

Kim, D., Wolf-Wendel, L., & Twombly, S. B. (2013). The role of citizenship status in intent to leave for pre-tenure faculty. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 6(4), 245–257.

Kim, D., Wolf-Wendel, L., & Twombly, S. B. (2013). The role of citizenship status in intent to leave for pre-tenure faculty. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 6(4), 245.

Kleitman, S., & Gibson, J. (2011). Metacognitive beliefs, self-confidence and primary learning environment of sixth-grade students. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 21(6), 728–735.

Korunka, C., Hoonakker, P., & Carayon, P. (2008). Quality of working life and turnover intention in information technology work. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries, 18(4), 409–423.

Kwon, K., & Rupp, D. E. (2013). High-performer turnover and firm performance: The moderating role of human capital investment and firm reputation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 34(1), 129－150.

Lambert, E. G., Hogan, N. L., & Barton, S. M. (2001). The impact of job satisfaction on turnover intent: A test of a structural measurement model using a national sample of workers. *The Social Science Journal*, 38(2), 233–250.

Lawrence, J. H., Celis, S. E., Kim, H. S., Lipson, S. K., & Tong, X. (2013). To stay or not to stay: Retention of Asian international faculty in STEM fields. *Higher Education*, 67, 511–531.

Lee, C. C., Huang, S. H., & Zhao, C. Y. (2012). A study on factors affecting turnover intention of hotel employees. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 2(7), 866–875.

MacIntosh, E. W., & Doherty, A. (2010). The influence of organizational culture on job satisfaction and intention to leave. *Sport Management Review*, 13(2), 106–117.

McKenna, J. F., & Sikula, A. F. (1981). On the move through the groves of academe: Mobility among business professors. *Business Horizons*, 24(6), 70–75.

Mobley, W. H. (1977). Intermediate linkages in the relationship between job satisfaction and employee turnover. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 62(2), 237–240.

Mobley, W. H. (1982). *Employee turnover: Causes, consequences, and control*. Addison-Wesley.

Mobley, W. H., Griffeth, R. W., Hand, H. H., & Meglino, B. M. (1979). Review and conceptual analysis of the employee turnover process. *Psychological Bulletin*, 14, 224–247.

Mobley, W. H., Horner, S. O., & Hollingsworth, A. T. (1978). An evaluation of precursors of hospital employee turnover. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 63(4), 408–414.

Mowday, R. T., & Colwell, K. A. (2003). Employee reactions to unfair outcomes in the workplace: The contributions of Adams' equity theory to understanding work motivation. In R. Steers & L. Porter (Eds.), *Motivation and work behavior* (7th ed., pp. 65–82). McGraw-Hill.

Neckerman, K. M., & Fernandez, R. M. (2003). Keeping a job: Network hiring and turnover in a retail bank. *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 20, 299–318.

Nyamubarwa, W. (2013). I am considering leaving soon – Turnover intentions of academic librarians in Zimbabwe. *Journal of Business Administration and Education*, 4(1).

Olsen, D. (1992). Interviews with faculty: Why do they leave? In D. H. Wulff & J. D. Nyquist (Eds.), *To improve the academy: Research for faculty, instructional, and organizational development* (pp. 35–47). New Forums Press.

Quan, J., & Cha, H. (2010). IT certifications, outsourcing and information systems personnel turnover. *Information Technology & People*, 23(4), 330–351.

Ramli, A. A., Salahudin, S. N., Zainol, Z., & Suandi, T. (2014). Turnover intention among academics: A case study of private higher learning education in Klang Valley. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities*, 22(1).

Rosser, V. J. (2004). Faculty members' intentions to leave: A national study on their work life and satisfaction. *Research in Higher Education*, 45(3), 285–309.

Ryan, J. F., Healy, R., & Sullivan, J. (2012). Oh, won't you stay? Predictors of faculty intent to leave a public research university. *Higher Education*, 63(4), 421–437.

Schwepker, C. H. (2001). Ethical climate's relationship to job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intention in the salesforce. *Journal of Business Research*, 54(1), 39–52.

Silverthorne, C. (2004). The impact of organizational culture and person-organization fit on organizational commitment and job satisfaction in Taiwan. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 25(7), 592–599.

Tian-Foreman, W. A. (2009). Job satisfaction and turnover in the Chinese retail industry. *Chinese Management Studies*, 3(4), 356–378.

Udechukwu, I. K., & Mujtaba, B. G. (2007). Determining the probability that an employee will stay or leave the organization: A mathematical and theoretical model for organizations. *Human Resource Development Review*, 6(2), 164–184.

Van der Heijden, B. I., van Dam, K., & Hasselhorn, H. M. (2009). Intention to leave nursing: The importance of interpersonal work context, work-home interference, and job satisfaction beyond the effect of occupational commitment. *Career Development International*, 14(7), 616–635.

Wunder, R. S., Dougherty, T. W., & Welsh, M. A. (1982). A causal model of role stress and employee turnover. Academy of Management Proceedings, 42, 297–301.

Xiaoming, Y., Ma, B. J., Chang, C. L., & Shieh, C. J. (2014). Effects of workload on burnout and turnover intention of medical staff: A study. *Studies on Ethno-Medicine*, 8(3), 229–237.

Yin-Fah, B. C., Foon, Y. S., Chee-Leong, L., & Osman, S. (2010). An exploratory study on turnover intention among private sector employees. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(8), 57–64.