WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Advancements in Optimization Techniques for Improving Automotive Fuel Efficiency

Ujjawal Kumar [1], Prof Gajendra Das Kori[2]

[1] PG scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering, SAGE University, Indore

[2] Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, SAGE University, Indore

ABSTRACT

Escalating pressure for cleaner, more energy efficient mobility has sparked intensive exploration of optimization methods that cut vehicle fuel use. This survey maps the latest progress, spanning traditional mathematical techniques through to data driven artificial intelligence solutions. Evolutionary heuristics—among them Genetic Algorithms, Particle Swarm Optimization, and NSGA-II—have delivered measurable gains in power train efficiency. Real-time, control oriented schemes such as Equivalent Consumption Minimization Strategy and model predictive approaches further boost on road savings. Breakthroughs in Deep Reinforcement Learning and Multidisciplinary Design Optimization now link component sizing with supervisory control, offering a system wide pathway to lower fuel demand. Validations performed in G-suit, MATLAB/Simulink, ADVISOR, and similar platforms confirm that these intelligent, adaptive techniques can curb consumption by roughly 10–20 % without eroding performance or breaching emission limits. Overall, the review illustrates how pairing algorithmic advances with practical deployment is pivotal for achieving the next generation of fuel-efficient vehicles.

Keywords- Energy Management Strategies, Optimization Techniques, Fuel Economy

1. INTRODUCTION

With rising fuel prices, stringent emission regulations, and growing environmental concerns, the automotive industry is under increasing pressure to develop vehicles that are both energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable. Improving fuel economy has become a critical objective in the design and operation of modern vehicles, especially with the global shift toward hybrid and electric mobility. Optimization techniques have emerged as powerful tools for enhancing fuel efficiency by enabling better control strategies, smarter energy management, and more efficient power train designs. Over the years, various optimization methods—ranging from classical mathematical approaches to advanced artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms—have been explored to tackle the challenges associated with vehicle energy consumption. Metaheuristic techniques such as Genetic Algorithms (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), and Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm II (NSGA-II) have shown strong potential in solving complex, multi-objective problems in automotive systems. Moreover, real-time control methods like Equivalent Consumption Minimization Strategy (ECMS), Model Predictive Control (MPC), and Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) are increasingly being adopted to dynamically optimize vehicle performance across varying driving conditions. This paper presents a comprehensive review of these optimization strategies, highlighting their principles, applications, and effectiveness in improving automotive fuel economy. The aim is to provide insight into current trends, identify promising technologies, and support further research in the field of intelligent vehicle control and design.

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

The global transportation sector is a major contributor to fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions, making fuel economy a critical issue for environmental sustainability and energy conservation. Despite advancements in vehicle technologies, traditional control strategies and power train designs often fall short of achieving optimal fuel efficiency under diverse and dynamic real-world driving conditions. These conventional methods are typically rigid, lack adaptability, and do not fully exploit the potential of intelligent, system-level decision-making.

Moreover, hybrid and electric vehicle systems introduce additional complexity in managing multiple energy sources, requiring smarter energy distribution and control mechanisms. Engineers and researchers face the challenge of optimizing numerous interdependent parameters, such as engine load, battery usage, gear shifting, and driver behavior, while also satisfying constraints related to performance, emissions, and cost. The need for robust, adaptive, and computationally efficient optimization techniques is more pressing than ever. There exists a gap between theoretical optimization models and their practical implementation in real-time embedded systems. This review identifies and addresses this gap by analyzing recent developments in optimization strategies aimed at enhancing automotive fuel economy, including AI-based algorithms, Metaheuristic approaches, and integrated vehicle design frameworks.

3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of this study is to improve the fuel efficiency of buses by minimizing aerodynamic drag, which directly impacts energy consumption. It also aims to enhance the economic viability of bus operations by lowering fuel costs and maintenance demands. A further goal is to improve passenger comfort by addressing factors related to cabin stability, noise reduction, and ride smoothness. In parallel, the research seeks to identify sustainable design solutions that align with environmental goals. One of the key focuses is reducing the carbon footprint associated with public transportation. Collectively, these objectives support the development of smarter, greener, and more efficient bus transport systems.

- To enhance the overall fuel performance of buses through effective reduction of aerodynamic resistance.
- To increase the operational cost-effectiveness and economic sustainability of bus transport services.
- To elevate passenger experience by improving ride quality and in-transit comfort features.
- To contribute to environmental sustainability by minimizing greenhouse gas emissions from bus operations.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The methodology adopted in this study began with an in-depth review of existing literature to identify research gaps and potential areas for improvement. A real-world Volvo bus model was selected as the base design for aerodynamic evaluation. Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) simulations were carried out to estimate the initial drag force acting on the bus body. Based on these findings, modifications were made to the bus geometry, and updated simulations were conducted. This process was repeated through multiple design iterations to achieve a configuration with minimal aerodynamic resistance. Alongside the CFD analysis, drag forces were also computed using analytical equations to enable a comparative assessment. The consistency between simulation and mathematical results served to validate the reliability of the approach. Ultimately, the design that exhibited the lowest drag force was proposed as a potential recommendation for future vehicle development.

5. RESULT

To verify the accuracy of our findings, drag force values were calculated using two independent methods:

1. Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) and
2. Analytical (mathematical) calculations.

Each method was applied to the original Volvo bus design and subsequently to each modified iteration. The CFD simulations provided detailed visual and numerical insights nto airflow behavior and pressure distribution. Analytical calculations were performed using standard aerodynamic formulas based on the projected frontal area and flow velocity. A tabular comparison of drag values from both methods was prepared for all design variations. The results revealed a strong correlation between CFD and analytical outcomes across all iterations. The minor discrepancies observed between the two methods fall within acceptable error margins, confirming computational accuracy. This consistency supports the reliability of both the modeling techniques and the assumptions made during calculations. The lowest drag force was achieved in one of the modified designs, which showed a significant reduction compared to the original configuration. This optimized design demonstrated enhanced aerodynamic characteristics, leading to potential improvements in fuel efficiency. CFD visualizations also confirmed smoother airflow and reduced wake regions in the improved geometry. The matching results between both methods validate the credibility of the drag values obtained. Such validation is essential before suggesting any design improvements for real-world application. The agreement also reflects the robustness of the adopted imulation parameters and boundary conditions hence, the identified low-drag design can be confidently recommended for further development. This validated approach sets a reliable foundation for future aerodynamic optimization studies.

Comparison of the Results Obtained with Two Methods

Concept	Cd	Dag CFD	Drag Analytical	Diff CFD & Analytical
D1	0.35904	2.0105494	2.0177861	0.0072367
D2	0.38193	2.5262781	2.5353774	0.0090993
D3	0.38360	2.5231310	2.5322158	0.0090848
D4	0.36514	2.4011301	2.4097795	0.0086494
D5	0.32900	1.8467764	1.8489643	0.0021880

6. RESULT

This study reviewed a broad spectrum of optimization techniques aimed at improving automotive fuel efficiency. It is evident that both classical and modern approaches—ranging from analytical models and rule-based control strategies to advanced algorithms such as Genetic Algorithms (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), NSGA-II, and Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL)—have significantly contributed to enhancing vehicle energy performance. Real-time control methods like the Equivalent Consumption Minimization Strategy (ECMS) and predictive control frameworks have also proven highly effective in managing energy in hybrid and electric vehicles.The integration of simulation tools such as GT-Suite, MATLAB/Simulink, and ADVISOR has enabled accurate modeling and performance evaluation across various driving conditions. These tools, combined with iterative design and multi-objective optimization, have led to fuel consumption reductions ranging from 5% to 20% in most studies. Furthermore, validation through dual-method approaches—such as CFD and analytical calculations—ensures the reliability of results and supports their application in real-world designs.

REFERENCES

[1] International Energy Agency, *Global EV Outlook 2024: Trends and Developments in Electric Mobility*. Paris, France: IEA, 2024.

[2] A. Gohar, T. Heidari, and S. Onori, "Particle-swarm-optimised predictive power-split for series HEVs with ageing-aware battery constraints," *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 65123–65134, 2024.

[3] S. Savaresi, C. Poussot-Vassal, and E. Bini, "LPV and MPC techniques for active suspension control," *Control Eng. Pract.*, vol. 125, Art. no. 105189, 2021.

[4] M. Mohammadi, Z. Zhang, and Y. He, "Fuel-economy improvement of a turbocharged diesel engine using GT-Suite and genetic algorithms," *Int. J. Automot. Eng.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 45–52, 2020.

[5] Y. Chen, J. Li, and M. Wang, "Deep reinforcement learning-based adaptive energy management for hybrid electric vehicles," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 242, pp. 1121–1131, 2019.

[6] X. Zhao, Y. Wang, and Z. Sun, "Multi-objective power-train optimisation for plug-in hybrids via NSGA-II," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 152, pp. 270–275, 2018.

[7] J. B. Heywood, *Internal Combustion Engine Fundamentals*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 2018.

[8] W. Qian, X. Li, and H. Zhao, "Multidisciplinary design optimisation of a hybrid electric power-train," *Energy*, vol. 122, pp. 601–614, 2017.

[9] L. Wang, Y. Liu, and Y. Zhang, "Simulated-annealing-based gear-ratio optimisation for automated transmissions," *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. D*, vol. 228, no. 6, pp. 624–635, 2014.

[10] X. Zhang, H. Chen, J. Wang, and Y. Xi, "Real-time energy management for plug-in hybrid electric buses using adaptive model-predictive control," in *Proc. IEEE VPPC*, Montréal, QC, Canada, Oct. 2015, pp. 1–6.

[11] L. Guzzella and A. Sciarretta, *Vehicle Propulsion Systems: Introduction to Modeling and Optimization*, 3rd ed. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2013.

[12] M. Barth and K. Boriboonsomsin, "Energy and emissions impacts of a freeway-based dynamic eco-driving system," *Transp. Res. D*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 400–410, 2009.

[13] E. Tazelaar, P. J. Veenhuizen, and P. P. van den Bosch, "Battery cycle-life analysis of a fuel-cell hybrid bus," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 2779–2787, Jul. 2009.

[14] A. Sciarretta, M. Back, and L. Guzzella, "Optimal control of parallel hybrid electric vehicles," *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 352–363, 2004.

[15] K. Deb, A. Pratap, S. Agarwal, and T. Meyarivan, "A fast and elitist multi-objective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II," *IEEE Trans. Evol. Comput.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 182–197, Apr. 2002.