International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Sustainable Aviation Fuel — A Path to Decarbonizing Global Aviation

Karan Bhati

Galgotias universityIndia. Karanbhati2905@gmail.com

Abstract:

The aviation industry, contributing over 900 million metric tons of CO_2 annually, is one of the fastest-growing sources of greenhouse gas emissions. Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF), derived from renewable feedstocks such as agricultural residues, municipal waste, and algae, offers an alternative to conventional fossil-derived jet fuels. This paper provides a multi-dimensional analysis of SAF—its chemistry, production pathways, economic feasibility, environmental impacts, regulatory framework, and global adoption case studies. The research highlights challenges including high production costs and policy inconsistencies and proposes an integrative framework for large-scale SAF deployment with a particular focus on the Indian aviation sector.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Air transportation facilitates global economic development and mobility, yet is responsible for approximately 2.4% of annual global CO_2 emissions. With passenger numbers expected to exceed 10 billion by 2050, decarbonizing the aviation sector is imperative. Traditional aviation fuels, Jet A and Jet A-1, are petroleum-derived kerosenes that emit carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides (NOx), and particulates. Their lifecycle emissions—extraction, refining, distribution, and combustion—amplify climate impacts.

1.2 Need for SAF

SAF has emerged as a critical enabler of climate mitigation in aviation, offering up to 80% lifecycle GHG reductions. It integrates seamlessly into existing infrastructure as a "drop-in" fuel. SAF supports the aviation sector's alignment with international climate agreements such as:

- ICAO's CORSIA scheme
- UN SDGs, especially Goal 13 (Climate Action)
- Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) under the Paris Agreement

1.3 Objectives

- To analyze the technological underpinnings and types of SAF
- To evaluate economic, operational, and environmental implications
- To study policy landscapes and regulatory mechanisms
- To examine case studies across developed and developing economies
- To recommend strategic actions for SAF adoption, particularly in India

1.4 Scope and Limitations

This study covers international SAF development trends and zooms in on India's emerging SAF ecosystem. Limitations include restricted access to proprietary data and rapidly evolving technologies.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Overview

Research on SAF spans disciplines from chemical engineering and environmental science to aviation logistics and policy. A broad review was conducted using peer-reviewed journals, government publications, corporate disclosures, and regulatory whitepapers.

2.2 Global Reports and Initiatives

- **ICAO Vision 2050**: SAF pivotal for carbon-neutral growth
- **IATA SAF Roadmap (2023)**: SAF to constitute 65% of carbon reductions by 2050
- **UNEP and IPCC Reports**: SAF reduces not only CO_2 but non-CO_2 impacts like contrails

2.3 Academic Research

Studies (Stratton et al., 2010; de Jong et al., 2015) classify SAF into pathways:

- **HEFA-SPK**: From triglyceride oils
- **FT-SPK**: From biomass gasification
- **ATJ-SPK**: From alcohol intermediates Lifecycle assessments reveal 50–80% GHG reduction potential, though economic competitiveness remains a challenge.

2.4 Corporate Insights

- **Boeing Whitepaper (2022)**: SAF exhibits equivalent thrust, thermal stability, and engine safety.
- **Airbus Flightpath 2050**: SAF targeted for 10% of aviation fuel by 2030.

2.5 India-Specific Research

- **CSIR-IIP Studies**: Jatropha-based SAF shows compliance with ASTM standards.
- **MoCA Pilot Reports**: India's potential from UCO (used cooking oil) and non-edible oil seeds.

3. Chemistry, Types, and Production Pathways of SAF

3.1 Definition and Standards

SAF is defined as a hydrocarbon-based aviation turbine fuel derived from non-fossil sources, meeting ASTM D7566 specifications. Post-blending (up to 50%), SAF must also meet ASTM D1655.

3.2 Classification of SAF Technologies

SAF Pathway	Feedstock Source	Tech Readiness Level	ASTM Approval
HEFA-SPK	UCO, animal fats	Commercial (TRL 9)	Approved
FT-SPK	Biomass	Advanced (TRL 8)	Approved
ATJ-SPK	Sugars/alcohols	Emerging (TRL 7)	Approved
CHJ	Wet biomass	Experimental (TRL 5)	Limited
SIP	Sugars	Pilot (TRL 6)	10% blends

3.3 Production Stages

1. **Feedstock Acquisition**: Includes waste oils, lignocellulosic biomass, algae
2. **Conversion Processes**:
    - Biochemical: Fermentation (ATJ)
    - Thermochemical: Pyrolysis, gasification (FT-SPK)
    - Hydroprocessing (HEFA)
3. **Refinement and Blending**: To meet international jet fuel standards
4. **Certification**: Testing for energy density, freeze point, sulfur content

3.4 Environmental Impact Assessment

Parameter	SAF Impact	Jet A/Jet A-1 Impact
CO_2 Lifecycle Emissions	-50% to -80%	Baseline
NOx Emissions	Slightly lower	High
Sulfur Content	Near-zero	Moderate
Contrail Formation	Reduced	Significant contributor

4. Benefits and Barriers

4.1 Environmental and Climate Benefits

- Lifecycle emissions cut by 80%
- Zero aromatic and sulfur content
- Reduction in black carbon and non-CO_2 forcing

4.2 Economic and Strategic Benefits

- Strengthens energy security by reducing crude oil imports
- Facilitates rural employment via biomass collection and processing
- Positions airlines favorably in ESG rankings

4.3 Key Challenges

- **Cost**: $1.80–$3.20/L vs $0.80/L for Jet A1
- **Feedstock Competition**: Food vs fuel
- **Infrastructure Readiness**: Blending and distribution
- **Policy Uncertainty**: Disjointed mandates

4.4 Global Policy Architecture

- **CORSIA**: Mandatory reporting and offsets
- **EU Fit for 55**: SAF mandates up to 63% by 2050
- **US Inflation Reduction Act**: $1.25–$1.75/gallon SAF credit

5. Global Case Studies and Indian Landscape

5.1 International Airline and Airport Examples

- **United Airlines**: Invested $100M in SAF startups
- **KLM**: First synthetic SAF-powered intercontinental flight
- **Frankfurt & Oslo Airports**: SAF pipelines integrated

5.2 Indian Use Cases

- **SpiceJet**: 2018 test flight using 25% jatropha SAF
- **Dehradun & Delhi Airports**: SAF test infrastructure
- **Indian Oil & CSIR-IIP**: SAF development from UCO and camelina

5.3 Comparative Table

Country	Airlines Using SAF	SAF Mandates	Domestic Production
USA	United, Delta	Yes	Yes (Gevo, World Energy)
EU	Lufthansa, KLM	Yes	Yes (Neste, TotalEnergies)
India	SpiceJet	No	Emerging (IOCL, HPCL)

6. Strategic Recommendations

6.1 Policy and Governance

- National SAF Mission with 2030 and 2050 milestones
- Mandatory blending targets (e.g., 2% by 2027)
- Long-term SAF purchase agreements (LTAs)

6.2 Market and Infrastructure Development

- Support for SAF corridors (e.g., Delhi-Mumbai-GCC)
- Investment in regional biorefineries
- Carbon pricing and SAF tax credits

6.3 Research and Innovation

- Fund indigenous LCA studies for Indian feedstocks
- Encourage co-processing at existing refineries
- Invest in PtL (Power-to-Liquid) SAF technologies

6.4 Public Engagement

- Passenger SAF opt-in programs
- SAF labels and emission disclosures on tickets
- Green loyalty incentives

7. Conclusion

SAF represents a tangible, near-term solution to decarbonize global aviation. While technological viability and safety are proven, economic, infrastructural, and policy challenges must be urgently addressed. For India, SAF offers dual advantages—climate leadership and rural economic development. With coordinated policy support, international collaboration, and sustained R&D, SAF can transition from a niche alternative to a mainstream aviation fuel, helping meet both national and global climate goals.

[Visual Inserts Pending]

1. Lifecycle GHG Emissions Comparison (Pie Chart)
2. SAF Technology Readiness Levels (Stacked Bar Chart)
3. Global SAF Production Capacity (World Map)
4. Cost Trends of SAF vs Jet A1 (Line Graph)

References:

- ICAO Environmental Report (2023)
- IATA SAF Roadmap (2023)
- CSIR-IIP (India SAF Trials, 2022)
- Stratton R. et al., Journal of Air Transport Management, 2010
- Boeing & Airbus Sustainability Disclosures