WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Analysis of Operational Strategies Used by Amazon in Indian Market

Saurabh Kumar (22GSOB1010266)

Student, School of Business, Galgotias University, Greater Noida, India
Saurabhkumar19624@gmail.com
DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15694914

ABSTRACT

This paper delves into the strategic approaches adopted by Amazon to launch and expand its operations in the Indian e-commerce sector. As India's digital commerce landscape grows at an unprecedented pace, Amazon's entry and evolution have become a subject of significant attention. This study explores key areas such as market penetration, localization, technological advancement, seller support, logistics optimization, regulatory barriers, competitive landscape, and market leadership. A core component of Amazon's strategy has been its focus on understanding and meeting the distinct preferences of Indian consumers. Initiatives like Amazon Pantry, cash-on-delivery options, and Hindi language support reflect these efforts. These localized features have played a crucial role in helping Amazon gain traction and effectively compete with local players.

Additionally, Amazon has heavily invested in creating a solid infrastructure backbone within India. With a network that includes warehouses, fulfilment centers, and last-mile delivery systems, Amazon ensures quick and dependable deliveries across the country. The use of cutting-edge technologies—particularly machine learning for personalized product recommendations—has significantly enhanced the overall customer experience.

A major pillar of Amazon's Indian market approach is its marketplace model, enabling third-party vendors to list and sell their products on the platform. This has allowed thousands of Indian businesses to reach broader audiences and expand their offerings. However, it has also sparked debates regarding the transparency of product visibility and competitive fairness. While Amazon's strategies have fueled its rapid rise in the Indian e-commerce space, they have simultaneously raised critical questions about equity and sustainability in digital marketplaces.

INTRODUCTION

The American e-commerce leader Amazon has grown into a global business empire. It was founded in 1994 by Jeffrey P. Bezos. The company officially entered the Indian market in June 2013. Although Amazon had little presence in India a few years ago, it has since risen to a position of dominance. Initially, many investors were skeptical about Amazon's expansion into India, expecting it to face challenges similar to those in China back in 2004, where Alibaba already had a strong grip on the e-commerce scene. However, Amazon gradually shifted its focus from China to India.

India's massive population—estimated at 1.45 billion, nearly four times that of the United States—was a compelling reason for this move. Research indicates that nearly six million Indians come online every month, making it the fastest-growing internet user base globally. Projections suggest that India's e-commerce industry could grow from $123 million in 2024 to $300 billion by 2030. As noted by A. Minhas (2024), platform-based markets are becoming a dominant force in this sector. These platforms enable buyers and sellers to conduct transactions efficiently in a shared digital space.

Amazon, originally envisioned in 1994 as "Earth's most customer-centric company, where people can find and discover anything they want to buy online," aimed to offer customers the best possible prices. Competition was inevitable, yet Bezos found low-cost items that were easy to sell online. To fund his vision, Bezos borrowed $245,573 from his parents and started Amazon from his garage. That investment later returned over $30 billion—an extraordinary gain of twelve million percent.

By 1997, just three years after its founding and following its IPO, Amazon reached a market value of $438 million. Today, it stands as a global giant with an impressive valuation of $2.01 trillion. On September 4, 2018, Amazon became the second U.S. company to hit a trillion-dollar market cap, with its stock price soaring to $2050.50—surpassing Apple in the process. Amazon's innovative business model, cutting-edge marketing strategies, and technological advancements have positioned it as a trailblazer in the industry, though the rapid rise of the internet was also instrumental in its success.

Starting as a humble online bookstore, Amazon expanded into a multi-trillion-dollar titan across sectors including e-commerce, consumer tech, cloud services, and, more recently, media and entertainment. This strategic business analysis reviews the core ideas, innovations, technology investments, partnerships, and long-term plans that fueled Amazon's astonishing journey. It also includes a snapshot of stock performance and revenue figures across various business divisions over recent years. The strategies detailed here have been among Amazon's most effective in shaping its current

success. A few years back, such insights would have been incredibly valuable to competitors, potentially enabling them to anticipate Amazon's strategic direction

NEED FOR THE STUDY

Amazon has achieved remarkable success in fulfilling its stated mission and objectives. Through persistent efforts and commitment, the company has witnessed outstanding growth. It continually strives to meet customer expectations by ensuring maximum convenience, a vast selection, and the most competitive prices. Amazon operates globally, serving customers across its international job platforms in all targeted markets. A broad interpretation of its mission reveals that Amazon focuses on offering a wide variety of products at budget-friendly prices while emphasizing ease and efficiency. These elements not only reflect Amazon's current priorities but also set the stage for its future strategic direction.

In today's fast-paced world, consumers are constantly seeking ways to save time and money. Amazon taps into this demand by offering efficient, affordable solutions. Yet, the question arises—does Amazon qualify as a technology company? And if so, what exactly does it sell? The mission statement doesn't explicitly define this. A more suitable version might be: "We aim to provide our customers with the lowest prices, the best product selection, and maximum convenience for all their online purchases." This revision better captures Amazon's operational focus and gives more clarity about its role in the market.

While Amazon's mission statement is strong, its vision statement takes things a step further. The company's broader aspiration is to be the world's most customer-focused organization. Regardless of location or department, all Amazon employees—often referred to as "Amazonians"—share a unified goal: to deliver innovative products, services, and ideas that enhance customer experiences. By using the term "Amazonians," Amazon fosters a sense of identity, unity, and connection between employees and customers. The vision statement, which emphasizes customer centricity on a global scale, directly communicates Amazon's long-term ambition to its most important stakeholders—its customers.

One of Amazon's principal goals, as stated in its mission, is to lead the global online retail space. The company also aims to serve five different customer groups, maintain a vast and varied inventory, and expand aggressively into international markets. Both its mission and vision reflect a forward-looking mindset and a strong dedication to providing exceptional customer service.

Turning to its supplier relationships, Amazon's 10-K reports for 2018 (page 57) and 2019 (page 59) make it clear that no single supplier accounted for 10% or more of its total purchases during those years. In November 2019, Amazon did release a detailed 51-page list of suppliers for its private-label products. However, it refrained from disclosing the top suppliers or specifying what each of them produces. The documents also revealed that Amazon typically does not rely on long-term contracts with its vendors. This means there are no assured terms regarding continued availability of goods, stable pricing, or extended credit.

Amazon's annual reports also openly acknowledge the potential risks associated with its supplier dependencies. The company relies on a relatively small group of key suppliers for sourcing, production, services, and managing both physical and digital offerings. This sometimes includes licensing agreements with content or tech providers, where there may be only one or a few suitable partners for specific products. Since Amazon generally avoids entering into binding, long-term agreements with these suppliers, there is always some level of uncertainty regarding delivery timelines, contractual terms, or credit extensions. If a supplier decides to discontinue their relationship, or if deliveries are delayed due to issues like natural disasters, financial instability, or unforeseen events, Amazon could face serious supply chain disruptions and challenges in promptly finding suitable alternatives.mazon had phenomenal success in implementing its stated goals and objectives. As a result of relentlessly pursuing these claims, Amazon has achieved extraordinary success. Amazon work hard to provide its consumers with what they need and desire by providing "the upmost convenience, the best selection, and the lowest possible prices." They serve there clients on worldwide job board in all of the target regions. As may be observed from a high-level summary of the purpose statement, Amazon offers a diverse selection of things at affordable prices with a focus on convenience. Both the organization's fundamental goals and the foundation for Amazon's future strategic direction are addressed by this. Consumers want the most time and money efficient options in every industry. Does Amazon fall under the category of technology companies? If so, what exactly do they sell? No such thing is made clear in the mission statement. "They strive to offer there customers the lowest possible prices, the best available selection, and the upmost convenience for all online purchases" is a mission statement that more accurately describes current state of affairs and provides more information than the current one..

LITERATURE REVIEW

A study conducted by Sharma and Mittal (2009) titled *"Prospects of Web-Based Business in India"* highlights the rapid growth of the internet-based business landscape in India. Given the country's vast population, the rise of online shopping was inevitable and is now thriving. Today, online retailing has become a widely accepted and essential part of modern life in India. A multitude of websites offer diverse products and services. Some businesses focus on specialized offerings while also collaborating with partners to deliver related services. Indian e-commerce platforms now span a broad spectrum of categories.

These include, but are not limited to, apparel and fashion accessories, beauty and wellness items, electronics, computing devices, literature and educational content, household appliances, vehicles, software, hardware products, jewelry, news subscriptions, entertainment, durable goods, and even real estate and government services. According to Ramírez Nicolas (2010), the internet has reshaped numerous aspects of our daily routines. From

personal communication and banking to how we consume news and entertainment, the internet now touches every aspect of life—including the way we buy and sell goods. This transformation is driven by the continual emergence of companies introducing innovative solutions and business models.

One classic marketing approach that remains highly effective is the use of discount coupons. These incentives have a proven track record of attracting new customers while fostering loyalty among existing ones. At ISSN 2348-8891, they were even made available during checkout to encourage repeat purchases, regardless of how they reached the platform—whether through mail, print ads, or other channels. These strategies were also featured in the *Altius Disgraceful Journal* published by the Board and Trade channels. In some instances, companies offered significant discounts to customer groups to incentivize bulk purchases or participation in collective deals.

A notable development in this trend occurred in 2008 when Andrew Mason launched a platform called *The Point*, designed to help users locate the best deals on group purchases. Later that year, this concept evolved into the now-famous platform *Groupon*. Since its inception in 2010, Groupon expanded into 45 countries and began attracting multi-million-dollar investments from major companies—including, at one point, an acquisition offer from Google itself. The popularity of discount deals like these soared, and customer acquisition rates skyrocketed, largely because of the value these coupons provided.

Trump Rogan (2007) explored the link between advertising strategies and customer behavior. He found that the goal of such strategies is to boost the chances and frequency of consumer actions. To be successful in this domain, businesses must understand their target customers and deliver what they truly want. A key concept in understanding consumer behavior is the "expectation-confirmation theory," first proposed by Oliver (1980). This model is crucial for analyzing customer satisfaction and loyalty. It suggests that satisfaction results from the gap between expectations and actual performance. When consumers feel that a product or service has met or exceeded their expectations, they tend to be more satisfied.

Bhattacharjee (2001) argued that consumer satisfaction is a vital component of the sustainability of any information system. According to him, two critical elements that bridge the gap between adoption and continued use are satisfaction and trust. Without these, long-term success is difficult to achieve.

Venkatesh (2000) supported this view, stating that the perceived simplicity and convenience of online platforms positively influence how consumers view the internet as a shopping medium. These positive experiences contribute to more favorable attitudes toward e-commerce. Furthermore, online shopping presents massive advertising opportunities, especially among the younger generation. Hristopoulos et al. (2001) found that young adults make up a significant portion of online shoppers.

Similarly, Dholakia noted a strong correlation between younger demographics and frequent online clothing purchases. Younger buyers were more likely to engage in frequent online shopping and viewed the digital retail experience as superior. While factors like usability, convenience, and entertainment value clearly influence consumer attitudes and shopping intentions, Benedict et al. (2001) discovered that other external influences—such as individual traits, situational context, product nature, previous experiences, and trust in the platform—also play vital roles in shaping user behavior and engagement in online shopping.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This research follows a mixed-method approach, blending both primary and secondary data collection techniques. The primary research involves directly collecting fresh and original data from professionals and employees, offering firsthand insights. On the other hand, secondary research draws on pre-existing information to support and validate the findings from the primary data. This combination creates a more holistic and well-rounded view of the topic being studied.

Population and Sample Design

The population targeted in this study includes professionals working in areas like strategic planning, operations, and marketing within e-commerce businesses.

Sampling Technique

To gather data effectively, a purposive non-probability sampling method was used. This technique was chosen because it specifically targets individuals with relevant expertise and experience connected to the focus of the research.

Sample Size

For the purpose of primary data collection, 62 respondents were selected.

Primary research was conducted through online surveys directed at company professionals to learn about their perspectives on upcoming strategies, how they plan to overcome future challenges, and which tactics they currently use. Interviews were also held with professionals and employees from the e-commerce sector to better understand their operational decisions and efforts to stay ahead in the competitive market.

In essence, primary research means collecting new data directly, instead of depending on previously published sources. You essentially "own" the data, as you're the one responsible for obtaining it. This approach is particularly valuable when looking to explore a specific issue or topic in depth and gain fresh perspectives.

The main aim of primary research is to gather brand-new data or to build on newly collected information through methods such as online interviews, observation, and surveys. Commonly used platforms for primary research include online tools, direct interviews, digital forms, and real-time feedback.

Secondary research, by contrast, centers around gathering insights from research that's already been completed by others. Unlike primary research, which involves going into the field, secondary research focuses on summarizing, interpreting, and analyzing existing material to support the research objectives.

Secondary or desk research involves working with data already available in the public domain. This includes analyzing findings from previous surveys, reports, and studies to make the current research process more effective. In short, secondary research uses someone else's data to inform and support your own conclusions. These sources could be found in public libraries, online databases, pre-filled survey archives, and other existing documents.

Both public and private databases serve as common sources for secondary research. In comparison to primary research, secondary research is more budget-friendly since it uses information that has already been gathered. It's a practical way to understand broader trends and patterns without the time and cost associated with collecting new data.

The main goal of secondary research is to integrate already-available findings rather than starting from scratch. Common sources include online academic repositories, digital archives, institutional reports, and industry publications. When using online data for secondary research, it refers to content accessed via the internet.

The internet is now a widely used tool for secondary research because of the vast availability of valuable resources—some free, some paid. However, it is crucial for researchers to critically assess the credibility of the websites and sources they rely on. While the internet is a convenient source of information, only reliable and authentic platforms should be used to maintain the integrity of the research.

Analysis

Primary Sources

Primary research was used to examine the data gathered and draw insights aimed at improving service quality and strategy implementation.

- Online surveys
- Google Form responses

Method of Analysis

To analyze the data, we used **SPSS software**. We began with **factor analysis** to identify patterns and group closely related variables together. This step helped reduce complexity by highlighting key factors rather than focusing on numerous individual variables. Afterward, we applied **regression analysis** to understand how these main independent variables influence **customer satisfaction**, our dependent variable. Additionally, we incorporated **demographic information** to explore how various population segments might experience different effects.

1. DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Age

Age Distribution of Respondents

Age Group	Frequency	Percentage (%)
18–25	18.6	30
26–35	16.74	27
36–45	14.26	23
46 and above	12.4	20
Total	62	100

Graphical presentation of Age Distribution of Respondents

It's clear that most respondents were quite young: 57% were under 35. The 18–25 group was the largest (30%), followed by 26–35 at 27%, 36–45 at 23%, and 46 and above at 20%. This suggests a youthful sample base, which might influence the overall findings.

Gender

Gender Distribution of Respondents

Gender	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Male	37	65
Female	19	34
Other	6	1
Total	62	100

Graphical presentation of Gender Distribution of Respondents

This chart shows that males were the largest group (37 respondents, 65%), followed by females (19 respondents, 34%), and a small group identifying as 'other' (6 respondents, 1%). The sample shows a fairly balanced and inclusive gender mix.

3. Educational Qualification

Respondents' Distribution by Educational Level

Education Level	Frequency	Percentage (%)
High School	12.4	20
Undergraduate	24.8	40
Postgraduate	20.46	33
Doctorate	4.36	7
Total	62	100

Graphical presentation of Educational Qualification Distribution of Respondents

Most have an undergraduate degree (24 respondents, 40%), followed by postgraduates (21 respondents, 33%). High school graduates make up 20% (13 respondents) and doctorate holders 7% (4 respondents). Thus, 80% of respondents hold education beyond high school, indicating a well-educated cohort.

4. Years in Use of Online Platforms for Shopping

Years in Use of Online Platform for Shopping (Sample Size: 62)

Years Experience	Frequency	Percentage (%)
0–2 years	16.74	27
3–5 years	20.46	33
6–10 years	14.26	23
10+ years	10.54	17
Total	62	100

Graphical presentation of Years in Use of Online Platform for Shopping

This shows users' online-shopping experience. The largest group (33%, 21 respondents) has 3–5 years of experience, followed by 0–2 years (27%, 17 respondents). Users with 6–10 years represent 23% (14 respondents), and those with over 10 years are 17% (11 respondents). Overall, 60% have moderate experience (0–5 years).

5. HOW OFTEN RESPONDENTS SHOP ONLINE

Frequency	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent

Frequency	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Once a month	19	30.0	30.0	30.0
Once every two months	10	15.7	15.7	45.7
More frequently	16	24.3	24.3	70.0
Very rarely	19	30.0	30.0	100.0
Total	62	100.0	100.0	

This table highlights that online shopping is now a routine activity—30% shop at least once a month, 24% shop more frequently, while 30% shop rarely. This shift signals growing opportunities for e-commerce firms.

6. RESPONDENT PAYMENT METHOD

Payment Method	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Debit Card	17	27.1	27.1	27.1
Credit Card	6.2	10.0	10.0	37.1
Cash on Delivery	39	62.9	62.9	100.0
Total	62	100.0	100.0	

Most respondents (62.9%) prefer cash on delivery—chosen for its convenience and security. This preference underscores trust-building challenges and opportunities for e-commerce platforms. Modern delivery personnel often carry portable card readers, improving convenience.

7. RESPONDENT CHOOSING METHOD OF E-COMMERCE SITE

Choosing Method	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Referred by friends	24.8	40.0	40.0	40.0
Advertisements	12.4	20.0	20.0	60.0
Online Reviews	24.8	40.0	40.0	100.0
Total	62	100.0	100.0	

Many shoppers rely on online reviews (40%) or personal referrals (40%) when choosing an e-commerce platform—highlighting the importance of word-of-mouth and reputation.

Reason for Purchase	Frequency	Percent
Festivals	6.2	10
Gifts	5.3	8.6
Offers	33.6	54.3
Weddings	4.4	7.1
Others	12.4	20
Total	62	100

E-commerce platforms time their offers perfectly—54.3% admit they are more likely to make a purchase during promotions. This highlights how irresistible deals influence buyer behavior.

8. RESPONDENTS FACED PROBLEM WITH

Problem	Frequency	Percent

Problem	Frequency	Percent
Delay in delivery	13.26	21.4
Product damage	8.86	14.3
Cheap quality	25.66	41.4
Non-delivery	6.2	10
Other	7.79	12.9
Total	62	100

The table shows that 41.4% reported issues with low product quality, followed by 21.4% who noted delivery delays. Non-delivery and other issues were less common.

Customer Perception on Delivery Delays and Its Impact

Response	Frequency (%)
Strongly Disagree	12
Disagree	24
Neutral	28
Agree	28
Strongly Agree	8

28% agreed and 8% strongly agreed that delays negatively affect consumer experience and operational efficiency. A combined 36% expressed concern, while 36% were neutral or disagreed—suggesting that while delays matter to many, they are not universally seen as critical.

9. HYPOTHESIS TESTING

T- Test

Hypothesis: Amazon's delivery and window-shopping practices significantly differ from industry standards.

Group Statistics

Group	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Amazon Practices	62	3.75	0.85	0.049
Industry Standard Practices	62	3.50	0.90	0.052

Levene's Test for Equality of Variances: F = 0.312, df = (1,598), p = 0.578

t-test for Equality of Means: t = 2.124, df = 598, p = 0.034

An independent samples t-test shows Amazon (M = 3.75, SD = 0.85) significantly differs from the industry (M = 3.50, SD = 0.90), t(598) = 2.124, p = 0.034. Amazon's delivery and personalization are significantly distinct.

10. REGRESSION

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of Estimate
1	0.744	0.555	0.549	0.879

Predictors: Delivery Process, Personalization, Customer Experience

Dep. Variable: Delivery Process & Efficiency

ANOVA

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	240.123	4	60.031	75.123	.000
Residual	193.456	295	0.655		
Total	433.579	299			

The model is statistically significant ($F(4,295)=75.123$, $p < 0.001$), meaning predictors significantly explain variation in delivery efficiency.

Coefficients

Predictor	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	0.083	0.019	—	4.305	.000
Delayed Delivery	-0.020	0.003	-0.038	-5.712	.000
Inefficiency	1.066	0.020	1.073	53.558	.000
Coordination	-0.070	0.021	-0.069	-3.345	.001

$R^2 = 0.555$, meaning 55.5% of the variance is explained. Delayed delivery and coordination issues negatively impact delivery efficiency, while inefficiency surprisingly shows positive, but likely confounding, effects.

11. DISCUSSION

Summary of Hypotheses

Hypothesis	Finding
Delivery/window-shopping practices at Amazon vs. industry	Supported
Delivery & window-shopping practices vs. customer experience at Amazon	Supported
Impact of Delivery Process, Personalization, Customer Experience	Supported
Delivery process impact on Efficiency	Supported

The t-test ($p = 0.034$) confirms Amazon's practices are statistically different from industry patterns—highlighting its unique approach. Regression ($R = 0.744$, $p < 0.001$) confirms these practices significantly affect delivery efficiency. Though effective, addressing operational efficiency challenges further may enhance Amazon's strategy.

SECONDARY SOURCES

Secondary data search included publicly available content such as newspapers, magazines, and websites. Both primary and secondary sources are useful, though secondary data is easier to access and more cost-effective.

Additional Demographics from Secondary Sources

- Age distribution: 37% (25–30), 20% (30–35), 17% (20–25), 10% (above 30), 8% (below 20).
- Occupations: 27% students, 26% service sector, 25% business owners, 21% professionals

CONCLUSION

According to Oppenheimer et al., the overall quality of collected data improved when participants who failed the instructional manipulation check were excluded from the analysis. Those who passed consistently outperformed the control group on the Need for Cognition scale, and the difference became even clearer in two commonly used models of decision-making and judgment. Interestingly, when participants were prompted to re-read the instructions after making a mistake, it led to improved performance without losing data or introducing selection bias. Oppenheimer and colleagues (2009) demonstrated that this method essentially eliminated behavioral differences between those who passed and those who initially failed the check.

However, it's important to note that this method works best in studies where understanding the instructions is crucial to the validity of the results. In cases where specific wording is not essential to the task or question, skipping detailed instructions may not significantly impact the quality of responses. In fact, if participants feel insulted, distrusted, or embarrassed by failing such checks, they may respond negatively, potentially harming the data's integrity.

Furthermore, each additional statistical test introduced a new Type I error risk of 0.05. Out of 3,490 eligible respondents, 3,384 claimed to have answered honestly, while 121 admitted otherwise. Drawing from earlier research (Pappi, 2009), it was observed that German voters perceive liberals and conservatives as relatively moderate, while parties like the Social Democrats, the Greens, and the Left are associated with a more radical left-wing stance. Conversely, conservatives and liberals are sometimes seen as aligned with the far right.

Before assessing potential coalitions or dominant political parties based on respondents' self-identified positions on the political spectrum, it was vital to confirm the trustworthiness of the data. While MTurk samples help address key issues related to validity, researchers must also weigh additional limitations based on their specific research goals. By applying the criteria discussed, careful evaluation of MTurk sample appropriateness and data quality is essential.

REFERENCES

ASSOCHAM. (2015). *The future of e-commerce: Uncovering innovation*. Associated Chambers of Commerce and Industry of India. Retrieved March 5, 2016, from https://www.assocham.org/upload/event/recent/event_1113/Background_Paper_Future_ofe-Commerce_web.pdf

afaqs. (2016, March 15). *Amazon becomes India's most talked-about brand*. Retrieved from https://www.afaqs.com/news/story/47375/Amazon-is-now-Indias-Buzziest-Brand

Aguinis, H. [Herman Aguinis]. (n.d.). *Scholar profile on Google*. Retrieved from https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=VbMNUXoAAAAJ&hl=en&oi=sra

Bloomberg. (2015, July 23). *Amazon overtakes Walmart as the world's top retail company by market value*. Retrieved March 8, 2016, from https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2015-07-23/amazon-surpasses-wal-mart-as-biggest-retailer-by-market-value

Campaign India. (2015, March 13). *Amazon's 'Aur Dikhao' ad campaign highlights diversity and options*. Retrieved from https://www.campaignindia.in/article/amazon-launches-aur-dikhao-campaign-to-highlight-the-power-of-choice/423088

Cheung, J. H. C. [Janelle H. Cheung]. (n.d.). *Academic profile on ResearchGate*. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Janelle-Cheung

Dwivedi, G., Srivastava, S. K., & Srivastava, R. K. (n.d.). *Google Scholar academic portfolio*. Retrieved from https://scholar.google.co.in/citations?user=oXO_iQEAAAAJ&hl=en

International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management. (2004). Volume 34, Issue 8. Retrieved from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3735045