International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

"The Unsuccessful Resurgence of Kingfisher Airlines"

Shobhith Siddharth

Galgotias University (School of Business)

Abstract-

This research examines Kingfisher Airlines' unsuccessful effort to reestablish itself in the Indian aviation industry following early setbacks. The airline's attempts to recover were hindered by financial mismanagement, regulatory concerns, and rising debt, despite a solid brand reputation and high-quality services. The study emphasizes the final collapse's causes, including strategic mistakes, a deficit of investor trust, and operational inefficiencies. This scenario offers important perspectives on the difficulties of revitalizing a troubled airline in a regulated and fiercely competitive market.

INTRODUCTION

Kingfisher Airlines, formerly a beacon of luxury in Indian aviation, experienced a spectacular decline as a result of financial instability and flawed strategic choices. Following the establishment of its operations in 2012, the airline was attempted to be revived many times. However, unresolved debts, regulatory hurdles, and a decline in consumer confidence caused these efforts to fail. This report analyses the factors contributing to the airline's failed resurgence and explores the wider ramifications for the Indian aviation industry.

OBJECTIVE

This study's main goal is to examine why Kingfisher Airlines' attempts at revival were unsuccessful. Its goals are to:

Analyse the financial, managerial, and regulatory hurdles encountered in the efforts to return.

Determine the tactical errors that hampered the recuperation.

Comprehend the effects of market perception and stakeholder trust.

Draw lessons for future airline comebacks in India's aviation sector.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The current literature on Kingfisher Airlines emphasizes its early success through premium branding and customer care, as well as its subsequent decline as a result of poor expansion plans and unworkable financial practices. Researchers highlight excessive leverage, inadequate fleet management, and failure to adhere to regulations as major problems. Additionally, studies highlight the absence of investor confidence and crisis management during its attempted comeback. Kingfisher's failed revival was ultimately caused by its unrealistic restructuring plan and lack of operational discipline, as shown by comparative studies with successful airline turnarounds.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative research methodology that relies on analyzing secondary data. Reliable sources of information included academic journals, government documents, company reports, and news articles. To comprehend the strategic, financial, and regulatory issues Kingfisher Airlines encountered, a case study methodology was used. The analysis of the data provided insights into the patterns, causes, and effects of the airline's unsuccessful revival attempts, as well as lessons for the aviation sector.

DATA COLLECTION

The research depends on secondary data collection techniques. Data was collected from academic publications, regulatory submissions, analyses of the airline sector, media stories, and financial statements. These resources shed light on Kingfisher Airlines' operational history, financial framework, managerial choices, and governmental involvement. The gathered information aided in mapping out the timeline of its decline and analysing the factors contributing to its failed market re-entry.

FINDINGS

According to the research, a mix of internal and external elements led to Kingfisher Airlines' unsuccessful comeback. Its foundation was seriously undermined internally by mismanagement, excessive debt, and inadequate financial planning. Recovery was further hampered by external factors such as a absence of regulatory support, a decline in consumer confidence, and investor reluctance. The airline also neglected to adjust its business model to reflect market realities. Ultimately, the failure of its revival attempts was caused by the lack of a workable restructuring plan and ongoing operational shortcomings.

RECOMMENDATION

Airlines must implement realistic growth plans, transparent governance, and strict financial discipline to prevent similar breakdowns in the future. Compliance with regulatory standards, efficient crisis management strategies, and stakeholder communication are all crucial. Any effort at revival should prioritize sustainable practices, gain investor trust, and be supported by rigorous restructuring plans. Kingfisher's situation emphasizes the necessity for managerial responsibility and flexibility in an extremely competitive aviation industry.

LIMITATION

The use of secondary data, which may not reflect every internal business choice or sensitive financial information, limitations this research. The analysis is also limited by the inability to conduct direct interviews with important participants. Furthermore, some findings may have reduced long-term relevance due to the aviation industry's rapidly changing nature. The research offers useful perspectives on the airline's unsuccessful comeback despite these shortcomings.

CONCLUSION

Kingfisher Airlines' demise and unsuccessful attempt at revival provide a warning in the aviation sector. Its demise was caused by weak financial management, strategic mistakes, and failure to comply with regulations, despite a solid brand and early success. Unresolved debts, a lack of investor confidence, and operational inefficiencies hampered efforts to resurrect the airline. The instance emphasizes how essential sustainable business methods, compliance with regulations, and strong leadership are for the airline industry's long-term viability and development.

REFERENCES:

1. Alam A., Khan S.,& Zafar Dr. F. (2014). Strategic Management: Managing Mergers & Acquisitions. International Journal of BRIC Business Research, Vol 3, No 1, pp.1-10

2. Bansal, S. C., Khan, M. N., & Dutt, V. R. (2008). Economic Liberalization and Civil Aviation Industry. Economic and Political Weekly, pp.71-76.

3. Dixit, M. R., Sharma, S., & Karna, A. (2007). Aspirations, Enterprise Strategy and Sustenance of a Start-up in a Competitive Environment: A Study of Developments in Air Deccan. IIMA Institutional Repository, Working paper.

4. Faulkner, D., Teerikangas, S., & Joseph, R. J. (Eds.). (2012). The handbook of mergers and acquisitions. Oxford University Press.

5. Garg, C. P., Sharma, A., & Yadav, M. (2011), A Critical Appraisal Of Indian Low-Cost Carriers: Opportunities & Challenges, International Journal of Research in Finance & Marketing, Vol. 1, No. 6, pp. 67-81.

6. Internet search engine www.wikipedia.com accessed for various terminologies.

7. Kar, R. N., & Soni, A. (2008). Mergers and Acquisitions in India: A Strategic Impact Analysis for the Corporate Enterprises in the Post Liberalisation Period. IGIDR Working Paper Series, WP-2008-31.

8. Kim, E. H., & Singal, V. (1993). Mergers and market power: Evidence from the airline industry. The American Economic Review, pp. 549-569.

9. Kingfisher Airlines, Annual Report 2006-2010 accessed from www.flykingfisher.com