International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews, Vol 6, Issue 6, pp 7133-7137 June 2025

WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Corporate Tax and Investment Trends: Comparing Developed and Developing Economies

[a] Mansi Pal, [b] Vatsal Chaudhary

[a] Student, Law College Dehradun, Uttaranchal University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.

[b] Assistant Professor, Law College Dehradun, Uttaranchal University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.

The cost of capital has significantly decreased during the past three decades. However, tax policy decisions still have the power to change investment trends in unexpected ways. In OECD nations, business investment has been low since the global financial crisis of 2008.

According to our research, corporation taxes significantly impact the behavior of economies. Investment rates drop by about 2.2 percentage points when first-year effective corporate tax rates are raised by 10 percentage points. Additionally, we discovered that foreign direct investment rates decline by roughly 2.3 percentage points in nations with heavy taxation. Different industries are affected differently. Higher taxes have a significant negative impact on manufacturing while having little effect on services.

British multinational corporations increased their subsidiaries in sub-Saharan Africa by 17–24% following the UK's large tax cut in 2010. This illustration shows how tax reforms in wealthier nations might affect the economic activities of emerging nations.

This study examines how corporate tax laws affect the locations and methods of investment in both developed and developing nations. It also investigates the relationship between formal tax systems and informal economies and examines the effects on various businesses.

Tax Policy Problems in Developing Nations

This study examines how corporate tax laws affect the locations and methods of investment in both developed and developing nations. It also investigates the relationship between formal tax systems and informal economies and examines the effects on various businesses.

The tax system issues faced by developing countries differ greatly from those faced by wealthy nations. Raising adequate money is difficult because of these obstacles. Taxes collected by wealthy nations often amount to around 40% of their GDP. In the meantime, 10% to 20% of theirs are collected by underdeveloped countries.

Their capacity to fund public services, economic growth, and long-term financial stability are all impacted by these tax system restrictions.

Low GDP and Revenue Needs Tax Share

The disparity in tax revenue between rich and poor countries has long been a problem. While low-income nations collect between 10% and 20% of their GDP in taxes, high-income nations are able to collect over 40% [1]. In regions like Sub-Saharan Africa, MENA, and Latin America, the average tax-to-GDP ratio is closer to 18%, while in Southeast Asia and the Pacific, it is around 10% [2]. According to the IMF, many developing countries must raise their tax-to-GDP ratios by roughly four percentage points in order to accomplish developmental targets and meet their infrastructure needs [3]. An crucial turning point in economic development is reached when the tax-to-GDP ratio reaches 15%. The majority of countries that transition from low to middle income levels achieve a median tax rate of 12.9% of GDP, which is over 15% of GDP. These nations typically see an additional 10 percentage points of growth over the following ten years when their tax-to-GDP ratios increase from 7% to 15%. Wagner's Law, which states that government spending tends to increase as a country develops, is consistent with this theory. However, increasing their earnings is a challenge for many developing countries. It is difficult to address the underlying problems that frequently plague their economies and tax systems.

The Informal Sector and Tax Base Issues

In many developing nations, the largest barrier to establishing efficient taxation systems is the informal sector. Between one-third and half of all economic output in these economies comes from informal activity. According to the International Labor Organization, 61% of African city workers are employed in informal jobs, and prior to recent crises, between 40% and 50% of Asian city workers were employed in such jobs.

A lot of tax evasion results from this high degree of informality, which also reduces the revenue base [3]. The shadow economy typically contributes 39% of GDP in underdeveloped countries and 12% in OECD countries [5]. Consider Ghana, where 85% of occupations are in the informal sector and 90% of positions are classified as such [3].

Comparing tax revenue in industrialized and developing countries reveals significant differences. According to a study, insufficient direct taxation is the cause of decreased tax collection in emerging nations. Direct taxes only account for 7% of GDP in sub-Saharan Africa, compared to 22% in developed countries.

However, according to the same study, indirect taxes account for 10% of GDP in both situations [5].

Personal income tax only makes about 10% of the tax revenue collected by governments in developing nations. Developed nations, in contrast, get about 25% of their income from this source. Employees of both large private firms and the government pay this tax. Most workers in developing countries therefore do not pay personal income taxes.

Administrative Capacity Issues

Apart from economic difficulties, developing countries face significant obstacles when it comes to income collection. They address two major problems: inadequate institutional capacity and inadequate infrastructure [2].

Keeping qualified employees with experience in accounting, forensic audits, and IT is a significant difficulty for tax administrations. Skilled workers frequently quit for positions with greater compensation. Another factor contributing to this brain drain is HR regulations that prevent skill development or commissioners' strict contracts.

Information management is a significant obstacle. In Uganda, managing and evaluating data is a significant challenge to tax collection [2]. The tax authority's data organization, dissemination, and information gathering from other government agencies are all impacted by this difficulty. Transaction tracking is made more difficult by the economy's strong reliance on cash [2].

Group coordination slows down the effectiveness of tax management. Strong system connections are necessary for efficient revenue collection, and various components of the tax collection setup must cooperate and create interconnected IT systems [2].

The Indonesian example demonstrates how administrative adjustments can have a significant impact. Businesses were transferred to medium taxpayer offices by the government, where employees worked with fewer firms per person. Without changing the tax laws, this revision led to a 128% increase in revenue during a six-year period [6]. This demonstrates that even when tax laws remain unchanged, better administration may increase revenue.

Structure and Setup of Corporate Tax Systems

Different nations have different corporate tax structures, which have a significant impact on economies and investment decisions. These structural variations produce a range of incentives and disturbances that influence the flow of foreign investments, the choice of firm structures, and the distribution of capital. Although the fundamental tax policy issues faced by industrialized and developing countries are similar, their approaches to corporate taxes are frequently different.

How Disruptions Are Caused by Sectoral Tax Differences

The way corporate tax laws treat particular industries and activities disrupts the economy. These disturbances can take many different forms:

• Approximately 5.3% of the taxes collected by taxing corporations are lost due to deadweight losses. This percentage is derived using the anticipated tax base elasticity of 0.45 and the average company tax rate of 23.6% throughout the EU [7].

• When other considerations are taken into account, the burden increases. About 1% comes from administrative activities, while another 9% comes from costs associated with compliance. In many areas, the sum of these expenses raises the overall burden by 10% of taxes collected [7].

Different industries have different incorporation requirements, which results in different tax obligations. This has an impact on the distribution of capital among sectors [8].

According to research, lowering small and medium-sized firms' corporate tax rates frequently has no significant positive economic impact. These policies may make tax systems more difficult to comprehend, which could make it more expensive for the companies they are meant to assist to comply with the law [7]. Additionally, depending on the size of the business, lower tax rates may cause issues and even deter small businesses from expanding [7].

Depreciation Rules and Cost of Capital

Depreciation schedules are crucial in determining the cost of capital and influencing the investment decisions made by companies. Different jurisdictions have different depreciation tax laws.

Buildings depreciate over thirty-nine years, whereas office furniture depreciates over seven. The present value of tax deductions is altered by this discrepancy, which therefore affects investment choices. For seven years, a business that spends INR 84,380 on office furnishings can deduct about INR 12,055 annually.

A number of biases can be brought to light by looking at effective tax rates. It demonstrates a definite preference for particular asset classes and provides significant tax advantages to debt financing over equity financing in times of elevated inflation. The capital structures of various enterprises are significantly disrupted by these variances. In contrast to trends observed in wealthy countries, tax incentives in developing countries also decrease tax bases rather than increase them.

How PIT and CIT Rates Interact

Rates of corporate income tax (CIT) and personal income tax (PIT) have an impact on income-shifting, which in turn affects the economy. Increased labor costs due to high PIT rates drive up the cost of maintaining economic substance. Profit-shifting is lessened by this modification. When parent countries impose stringent regulations on economic substance, the effect is more pronounced [11].

Transferring funds between the corporate and personal tax systems continues to be a significant trend in practice. Research indicates that a mere one percentage point increase in personal income tax rates results in a 2.6 percentage point increase in private savings kept in corporations. This occurs when corporation tax rates are pushed lower than personal income tax rates due to corporate tax competitiveness.

These issues are evident in the way the U.S. tax code treats various business formats. Despite having a more difficult time raising capital, pass-through companies had lower tax bills than C-corporations prior to the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act. As a result, companies had to decide between paying more to raise money but only paying taxes once (pass-through firms) or avoiding double taxation while saving money (C-corporations). According to research employing general equilibrium models, depending on the integration strategy employed, treating all enterprises equally might increase economic production by 6.8% to 13.6%. According on 1973 figures, the yearly static efficiency advantages of combining personal and company taxes might range from INR 337.52 billion to INR 675.04 billion. Furthermore, the benefits of dynamic efficiency might be anywhere from INR 25,314.14 billion to INR 58,644.41 billion. Four main approaches to this integration have been suggested by researchers: a dividend gross-up method, allowing firms to deduct dividends from their income, allowing people to do the same, and abolishing corporate tax entirely [8].

High personal income taxes in Europe have been shown to reduce profit shifting to jurisdictions with lower corporation taxes. This occurs as a result of these levies' impact on labor costs and economic substance regulations. As regional regulations on substance grow more uniform, policymakers must take this into consideration when drafting new tax laws.

Challenges in Administration and Compliance That Hinder Investment

In both wealthy and developing nations, administrative responsibilities pose a fundamental yet disregarded obstacle to investment. Since small and medium-sized firms lack the means to handle complex tax regulations, these formalities have a significant impact on how enterprises operate.

Time Spent on Taxes (in Hours)

Tax preparation, filing, and payment times vary greatly between nations, which poses significant operational issues for firms. In 2014, businesses worldwide devoted over 261 hours annually to tax compliance-related activities alone [14]. Due to technological advancements in electronic filing and payment systems, this average decreased by 61 hours during a ten-year period [14]. It appears that you haven't included any real content to work with, just placeholders for the original and revised words. Globally, this improvement is still not uniform. Taxpayers in developing nations are subject to far higher time obligations than those in industrialized nations. Brazil ranks at the top of the list, requiring 1501 hours annually for tax management. Venezuela is second with 920 hours, followed by Bolivia with 1025 hours [15]. In contrast, companies in Bahrain require only 22.5 hours year to complete the same obligations [16].

When tax laws are complicated, it becomes more difficult to pay taxes in nations with high tax rates. There is a roughly 39% increase in the amount of effort required. The amount of time spent increases by 54% in jurisdictions where businesses must file VAT returns monthly rather than less frequently. When invoices must be filed with those VAT returns, the situation worsens by 70%.

Tax Payments Required Each Year

In addition to the time required, the frequency of tax payments creates additional challenges for document management. According to the World Bank, businesses around the globe process over 25.6 tax payments annually. The location has a significant impact on this value. Tax management is made easier with the use of electronic technology.

Businesses find it more difficult to maintain compliance when they deal with many tax authorities. On average, businesses spend 31% more time on compliance when indirect taxes are managed by different agencies than corporate income tax [17]. The compliance process is further complicated by the fact that social security contributions are supervised by distinct bodies in around two-thirds of economies [17].

Compared to other countries, developing countries face higher administrative challenges. According to data from the World Bank, companies in the poorest developing nations deal with three times as much administrative costs and nearly twice as many bureaucratic procedures and delays than those in industrialized nations.

How Complex VAT and Excise Systems Affect Investment

Many investment issues are caused by complex VAT systems because of: • Tax evasion and fraud: Businesses may conceal sales, inflate claims for input tax credits, or operate in uncontrolled marketplaces. The system's fairness is weakened by these acts [18].

• Issues with the informal economy: A large number of cash activities and unregistered enterprises are exempt from taxes and lower VAT revenues. The problem is exacerbated by issues such as inadequate enforcement, lack of access to formal banking, and ignorance of VAT regulations [18].

• Issues with cross-border trade: Due to disparities in national regulations and difficulties coordinating enforcement, international trade poses unique challenges with regard to VAT collection [18].

The complex VAT regulations present more difficulties for small and medium-sized businesses. SMEs in Slovenia that face administrative challenges in taxation and financial reporting are more likely to have poorer efficiency and lower solvency than those with superior business performance [19].

Smaller firms bear the brunt of tax compliance costs because they are constant regardless of the size of the business [19]. According to the FIAS report, "It is challenging to lessen administrative constraints on enterprises. Legitimate governmental policies need the implementation of numerous existing procedures. [4] These challenges frequently serve as barriers to market entry, which can harm investment prospects and economic activity.

Effects of Tax Policies in Developed Countries

Wealthier countries' tax laws have observable repercussions on international markets. These spillovers have a variety of effects on emerging nations. They alter the flow of investments and have an impact on how countries worldwide collect taxes.

Developed countries' tax policies frequently spark a worldwide tax competition in which nations modify their laws to entice foreign investment. As a result, there has been a worrying "race to the bottom," with countries repeatedly lowering corporation tax rates. This race has expanded over the past few decades due to two primary trends: fragmentation and mobility. By relocating to nations with low tax rates or distributing their operations over multiple locations, mobile taxpayers and large multinational corporations reduce their taxes [20].

The annual global cost of tax evasion and avoidance is around $400 billion [3]. The largest corporations and wealthy people are primarily to blame for these losses. The wealthiest 10% of businesses are responsible for over 80% of corporate tax losses [3]. These problems restrict the ability of emerging nations to collect resources or formulate policies.

Supply chain connections create an additional avenue for effects to proliferate. Linked corporations aim to shift the tax burden by adjusting prices when a nation hikes corporate taxes. This affects international companies' investment plans and earnings. According to a study that looked at 18,000 public companies across 54 countries between 2010 and 2022, connected international enterprises invest less when suppliers or consumers pay higher taxes. When businesses operate in competitive marketplaces or have limited negotiation power, the effect gets stronger. The OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework's most recent accord attempts to address these problems in two ways:

• Establishing a global minimum corporate tax rate of 15% for multinational corporations with annual revenue exceeding €750 million

• Implementing a Subject to Tax Rule that permits developing countries to "tax back" a portion of intragroup income that is subject to lower tax rates

This approach is still contentious to many. According to the present plan, 60% of the estimated $150 billion in new tax revenue would go to the G7 countries, which account for 10% of the world's population [22]. Giving up some taxing powers to deal with potentially unfavorable financial effects frequently frustrates low- and middle-income nations [22].

Problems with tax administration extend beyond the distribution of income. Government authority over climate measures is weakened by tax havens. They let businesses to disregard climate reporting regulations and avoid paying environmental costs. Additionally, tax evasion costs governments billions of dollars that could be used to finance carbon emission reduction initiatives. Ultimately, poorer economies are more affected by these spillover effects. The greatest strategy to promote long-term investments is still to establish solid, transparent legal frameworks and tax structures that adhere to international norms. However, developing countries will continue to face unjust obstacles in raising their own cash unless the ingrained power disparities in the operation of international tax laws are addressed.

References

[1] - https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4064766

[2] - https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5b9b914de5274a138c0a0b20/K4D_HDR_Tax_capacity_barriers_.pdf

[3] - https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1758-5899.13456

[4] - https://regulatoryreform.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Reducing_Barriers_to_Investment_FIAS_Occ_Paper_17.pdf

[5] - https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0047272704000945

[6] - https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/institutional-document/782851/ado2022bn-tax-capacity-developing-countries.pdf

[7] - https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/26522/1/589389556.PDF

[8] - https://www.nber.org/system/files/chapters/c11220/c11220.pdf

[9] - https://www.smecpa.com/understanding-capital-expenses-and-depreciation-for-your-business/

[10] - https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1475-5890.2014.12042.x

[11] - https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165410124000880

[12] - https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=346340

[13] - https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0264999322003960

[14] - https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2015/11/19/ten-years-of-global-reductions-in-tax-compliance-burden-for-companies-increasingly-driven-by-electronic-reforms

[15] - https://www.theglobaleconomy.com/rankings/Tax_preparation_time/

[16] - https://tradingeconomics.com/country-list/time-to-prepare-and-pay-taxes-hours-wb-data.html

[17] - https://www.pwc.com/gr/en/publications/assets/paying-taxes-the-compliance-burden.pdf

[18] - https://ijefm.co.in/v7i4/Doc/20.pdf

[19] - https://ersj.eu/journal/1556/download/The+Relationship+between+Tax-Related+Administrative+Barriers+and+SMEs+ Characteristics+Evidence+from+Slovenia.pdf

[20] - https://academic.oup.com/jiel/article/27/4/591/7921773

[21]-https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/SSRN_ID5073253_code6556699.pdf?abstractid=5073253&mirid=1

[22] - https://www.brookings.edu/articles/the-new-global-tax-deal-is-bad-for-development/

[23] - https://gsdrc.org/document-library/tax-policy-for-developing-countries/