International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

The Impact of Ethical Leadership on Employees Trust and Leadership

Suman Monalisha

Galgotias University
sumanmonalisha4@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the relationship between ethical leadership and employee trust, as well as its influence on perceptions of leadership effectiveness. With increasing ethical dilemmas and corporate misconduct reported globally, understanding the role of ethical leadership in fostering a positive workplace environment has become imperative.

The research utilizes a quantitative methodology, incorporating responses from 150 employees across various sectors in India, including IT, finance, and manufacturing. A structured questionnaire was administered to evaluate employees' perceptions of their leaders' ethical conduct and the resultant trust levels. The data was analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical methods, including correlation and regression analysis, with the aid of SPSS software.

Introduction

Background of the Study

Ethical Leadership in Modern Organizations

Leadership today is not just judged by outcomes, but by the values and processes leaders use to achieve them. Ethical leadership—marked by integrity, fairness, and transparency—has become increasingly important in guiding employee behavior and maintaining a positive organizational culture.

Definition and Importance

Ethical leadership is the practice of leading based on moral principles and a commitment to fairness, accountability, and respect. According to Brown, Treviño, and Harrison (2005), it involves both personal integrity and the promotion of ethical standards through communication and actions.

Impact on Trust

Trust is fundamental to healthy workplace relationships. Leaders who behave ethically are more likely to gain employee trust, which enhances loyalty, teamwork, and performance. Conversely, unethical behavior can create distrust, disengagement, and resistance.

Context of the Study

In India, where organizations are navigating globalization, diversity, and governance reforms, ethical leadership is vital. It helps bridge cultural expectations with professional conduct, making it highly relevant to today's business environment.

Focus of the Study

This research explores how ethical leadership practices influence employee trust and perceptions of leadership effectiveness in medium and large-scale Indian organizations.

Literature Review

Leadership has always been central to organizational success, but in recent decades, the focus has shifted toward the **ethical dimensions** of leadership. This change stems from rising demands for fairness, transparency, and accountability, especially in the wake of global ethical scandals. Ethical leadership is now viewed as both a **moral responsibility and strategic necessity**.

Ethical leadership influences **employee behavior, trust, and organizational culture**, promoting loyalty, engagement, and sustainable performance. It integrates values and ethics into daily leadership actions and decision-making.

Defining Ethical Leadership

According to Brown, Treviño, and Harrison (2005), ethical leadership involves both **personal integrity** and the **promotion of ethical conduct** through actions and communication. Ethical leaders act as role models and foster an ethical work climate.

Key traits of ethical leaders include

- **Integrity**: Consistent and honest behavior

- **Fairness**: Impartial decision-making

- **Transparency**: Open communication

- **Empathy**: Consideration for others' well-being

- **Accountability**: Taking responsibility for actions

This chapter reviews relevant theories and studies, laying the foundation for understanding how ethical leadership builds trust and enhances leadership effectiveness—especially in diverse and emerging markets like India.

Research Methodology

This chapter describes the methods used to examine the impact of ethical leadership on employee trust and leadership perception. It includes research design, approach, data collection, sampling, and analysis techniques.

Research Design

A descriptive and exploratory design was used:

- Quantitative data collected via structured questionnaires.

- Qualitative insights gathered from open-ended responses to explore perceptions.

Research Approach

The study used a deductive approach, starting from theories to develop and test hypotheses using measurable data and statistical tools.

Population and Sampling

- Population: Employees and managers in medium to large organizations across India.

- Sampling: Stratified random sampling for diversity in roles and sectors.

- Sample Size: 150 respondents, calculated using Cochran's formula with

95% confidence and 5% margin of error.

Data Collection

- Tool: Online structured questionnaires (Google Forms).

- Key Sections:

  o Demographics

  o Ethical Leadership Scale (Brown et al., 2005)

  o Employee Trust Scale (McAllister, 1995)

  o Leadership Perception Scale (Yukl, 2013)

Data Analysis And Interpretation

Introduction

This chapter summarizes the analysis of data collected through structured questionnaires, focusing on the relationship between ethical leadership, employee trust, and leadership effectiveness.

Demographic Profile

The sample consisted of **150 respondents** from sectors like IT, finance, and manufacturing. Respondents represented a balanced mix of age, gender, and work experience, increasing the relevance of findings across contexts.

Perception of Ethical Leadership

On a 5-point Likert scale, most employees rated ethical behaviors—such as integrity and respect—highly. Key items like *"My leader treats employees with respect"* scored above **4.2**, indicating strong positive perceptions.

Employee Trust

The average score for trust-related statements was **4.1**, showing that employees largely trust their leaders when ethical behavior is present.

Correlation Analysis

A strong **positive correlation (r = 0.78)** was found between ethical leadership and mployee trust, affirming the study's hypothesis.

Leadership Effectiveness

Respondents who perceived ethical leadership rated their leaders higher on competence and fairness. Ethical leadership appears to enhance overall leadership effectiveness.

Regression Results

Regression analysis showed ethical leadership predicts:

- **62% of the variance** in employee trust ($R^2 = 0.62$)

- **58% of the variance** in leadership effectiveness ($R^2 = 0.58$) Both results are statistically significant (**$p < 0.05$**).

Thematic Insights from Open-Ended Responses

Common themes:

- **Transparency**: Builds trust through open communication.

- **Recognition**: Lack of appreciation lowers trust.

- **Consistency**: Leaders must align words with actions.

Summary of Findings

- Ethical leadership strongly correlates with higher employee trust and leadership perception.

- While leaders score high on fairness and integrity, there's room to improve in emotional engagement and recognition.

Conclusion

The analysis confirms that ethical leadership positively influences both employee trust and perceived leadership effectiveness. These insights lay the foundation for the discussion and practical recommendations in the next chapter.

Findings and discussion

Introduction

This chapter interprets the research findings and links them with existing literature to understand the impact of ethical leadership on employee trust and leadership perception.

Ethical Leadership Perceptions

Employees viewed their leaders as ethical—exhibiting integrity, fairness, and transparency. This supports Brown et al. (2005) and Bandura's (1977) social learning theory, where ethical leaders act as role models.

Trust and Ethical Leadership

A strong positive correlation shows that ethical leadership builds trust. Trust fosters engagement and motivation, aligning with Rousseau et al. (1998), who highlight trust as essential in workplace relationships.

Leadership Effectiveness

Ethical leaders are seen as competent and credible, confirming that ethics enhances leadership effectiveness (Den Hartog & De Hoogh, 2009) and strengthens organizational reputation.

Regression Insights

Regression results show ethical leadership explains significant variation in employee trust and perceived leadership effectiveness, highlighting the need for ethics-focused training and communication.

Comparison with Previous Studies

Findings align with Walumbwa & Schaubroeck (2009) and Kalshoven et al. (2011), and provide new insights from the Indian corporate context, filling a gap in non-Western leadership studies.

Practical Recommendations

- Conduct ethics training for leaders
- Promote leadership accountability
- Enable transparent communication
- Launch recognition programs for ethical behavior

Limitations and Future Research

The study is limited by self-reporting and geographic scope. Future research should use longitudinal methods and explore different sectors.

Conclusion

Ethical leadership has a clear positive effect on employee trust and leadership perception. Promoting ethics in leadership is essential for building trust, credibility, and sustainable organizational success

Conclusion and Recommendations

Summary of Key Findings

- Ethical Leadership Is Positively Perceived: Employees recognize traits like honesty, fairness, and transparency in their leaders.
- Ethical Leadership Builds Trust: A strong positive correlation exists between ethical leadership and employee trust.
- Leadership Effectiveness Is Enhanced: Ethical leaders are seen as more credible and motivational.
- Trust Acts as a Mediator: Ethical leadership fosters trust, which improves employee attitudes and commitment.

Implications for Organizations

- Cultivate an ethical organizational culture.
- Invest in leadership training focused on ethical decision-making.
- Strengthen employee relations through trust-building practices.

Practical Recommendations

- Conduct mandatory ethics training.
- Create clear ethical policies and encourage open communication.
- Recognize and reward ethical behavior at all levels.

Limitations

- Limited to specific sectors and regions in India.
- Dependent on self-reported data.
- Cross-sectional design limits causal conclusions.

Highlights from Ethical Leadership Scale

- Fairness (82%, mean 4.3) and integrity (79%, mean 4.2) rated highest.
- Lower scores in accountability and use of power suggest improvement areas.

Implications

- Academic: Adds empirical insight to the limited Indian literature on ethical leadership.
- Practical: Informs HR and leadership development practices.
- Policy: Encourages ethical leadership training in corporate governance.

Qualitative Insights

Themes from employee responses include transparency, fair treatment, accountability, and recognition—emphasizing the need for consistent ethical behavior in practice.

Hypothesis Discussion

- H1 Accepted: Significant positive correlation between ethical leadership and employee trust.

- H0 Rejected.

Future Research Suggestions

- Conduct longitudinal studies.

- Expand to cross-cultural and sector-specific contexts.

- Use qualitative methods for deeper insights.

Strategic Recommendations

- Embed ethics into mission and strategy.

- Build ethical leadership pipelines and track progress through dashboards.

- Promote reflective, courageous leadership and ethical mentorship.

- Use ethical hiring, performance evaluations, and whistleblower protections..

REFERENCES

Bandura, A. (1977). *Social Learning Theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Bass, B. M., & Steidlmeier, P. (1999). Ethics, character, and authentic transformational leadership behavior. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 10(2), 181–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048- 9843(99)00016-8

Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions.

Leadership Quarterly, 17(6), 595–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004

Brown, M. E., Treviño, L. K., & Harrison, D. A. (2005). Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 97(2), 117–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2005.03.002

Den Hartog, D. N., & De Hoogh, A. H. B. (2009). Ethical leadership and followers' self-efficacy: The mediating role of psychological empowerment. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 20(10), 2210–2227. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190903173190

Dirks, K. T., & Ferrin, D. L. (2002). Trust in leadership: Meta-analytic findings and implications for research and practice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(4), 611– 628. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.4.611

Kalshoven, K., Den Hartog, D. N., & De Hoogh, A. H. (2011). Ethical leadership and follower helping behavior: The role of follower mindfulness and ethical leadership at the team level. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 98(1), 53–66. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0601-x

Mayer, R. C., Kuenzi, M., & Greenbaum, R. (2009). Examining the link between ethical leadership and employee misconduct: The mediating role of ethical climate. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 95(1), 7–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-0794-0

Resick, C. J., Hanges, P. J., Dickson, M. W., & Mitchelson, J. K. (2006). A cross- cultural examination of the endorsement of ethical leadership. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 63(4), 345–359. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-005-3249-7

Rousseau, D. M., Sitkin, S. B., Burt, R. S., & Camerer, C. (1998). Not so different after all: A cross- discipline view of trust. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(3), 393–404. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1998.926617

Toor, S. U. R., & Ofori, G. (2009). Ethical leadership: Examining the relationships with full range leadership model, employee outcomes, and organizational culture. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 90(4), 533–547. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-009-0059- 3

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Alzola, M. (2015). *Understanding Ethical Leadership*. Routledge.

Ciulla, J. B. (2004). *Ethics, The Heart of Leadership*. Praeger.

Colquitt, J. A., LePine, J. A., & Wesson, M. J. (2019). *Organizational Behavior: Improving Performance and Commitment in the Workplace*. McGraw-Hill Education.

Den Hartog, D. N., & De Hoogh, A. H. B. (2009). *Ethical Leadership and Employee Behavior*. Springer.

☐ **Gardner, J. W. (1990).** *On Leadership*. Free Press.

☐ **Hosmer, L. T. (1995).** Trust: The Connecting Link Between Organizational Theory and Philosophical Ethics. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(2), 379-403.

☐ **Kidder, R. M. (2005).** *Moral Courage*. HarperCollins.

☐ **Northouse, P. G. (2018).** *Leadership: Theory and Practice* (8th ed.). Sage Publications.

☐ **Schein, E. H. (2010).** *Organizational Culture and Leadership* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

☐ **Trevino, L. K., & Nelson, K. A. (2017).** *Managing Business Ethics: Straight Talk About How To Do It Right* (7th ed.). Wiley.

☐ **Van Wart, M. (2013).** *Leadership in Public Organizations: An Introduction* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

☐ **Yukl, G. A. (2013).** *Leadership in Organizations* (8th ed.). Pearson Education.

QUESTIONNAIRE

Section A: Demographic Information

1. Age: 20–30 / 31–40 / 40+

2. Gender: Male / Female

3. Education: High School / Graduate / Postgraduate / Doctorate

4. Department: IT / Finance / Manufacturing

5. Experience: 0–2 years / 3–5 years / 5+ years

Section B: Ethical Leadership

(Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree)

1. Leader acts with integrity.

2. Treats all fairly and without bias.

3. Encourages honest communication.

4. Holds others accountable ethically.

5. Role model for ethical behavior.

Section C: Employee Trust

1. Makes decisions in employees' best interest.

2. Honest and transparent.

3. Open to ideas and concerns.

4. Supports professional growth.

5. Keeps promises and commitments.

Section D: Leadership Effectiveness

1. Motivates and inspires.

2. Strong decision-making.

3. Manages conflict well.

4. Provides clear guidance.

5. Satisfactory overall performance.

Section E: Organizational Ethical Climate

1. Ethical standards are clearly communicated.

2. Reporting unethical behavior is safe.

3. Ethical behavior is rewarded.

4. Unethical acts have consequences.

5. Culture promotes honesty and fairness.

Section F: Employee Engagement & Commitment

1. Proud to be part of the organization.

2. Motivated to give best effort.

3. Plan to stay long-term.

4. Emotionally connected to organization.

5. Would recommend the workplace.

Open-Ended Questions

1. What behaviors show ethical leadership in your organization?

2. Describe a situation that built or broke your trust in leadership.

3. What changes would improve ethical leadership at your workplace?

Responses

Introduction

This chapter summarizes data collected from 150 employees across IT, Finance, and Manufacturing sectors using a structured questionnaire. Both closed-ended (Likert scale) and open-ended questions were included to explore ethical leadership, trust, and leadership effectiveness.

Response Rate

- **Distributed**: 180

- **Received**: 150

- **Response Rate**: 83.3%

Demographic Summary

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	85	56.7%
	Female	65	43.3%
Age Group	20–30	60	40%
	31–40	55	36.7%
	40+	35	23.3%
Department	IT	55	36.7%
	Finance	45	30%
	Manufacturing	50	33.3%

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Experience	0–2 years	40	26.7%
	3–5 years	50	33.3%
	5+ years	60	40%

Closed-Ended Response Summary (Likert Scale)

Statement	Mean	Interpretation
Leader demonstrates ethical behavior	4.3	Strongly Agree
Comfortable reporting unethical behavior	4.0	Agree
Trust in immediate supervisor	4.2	Agree

Ethical leadership boosts morale	4.5	Strongly Agree
Clear and fair communication of expectations	4.1	Agree
Ethical leadership enhances employee loyalty	4.4	Strongly Agree
Feel empowered under ethical leadership	4.2	Agree

Open-Ended Responses: Common Themes

- **Transparency & Fairness**

"Leaders who are open about decisions build support and understanding."

- **Role Modeling**

"Ethical behavior from leaders sets a positive example."

- **Trust & Safety**

"Comfortable sharing concerns without fear of backlash."

- **Inconsistencies**

"Some leaders preach ethics but act differently, causing distrust."

DATA ANALYSIS OF RESPONSES

Descriptive Statistics Respondent Demographics:

Variable Category   Percentage Gender   Male / Female   60% / 40%

Age   20–30 / 31–40 / 40+ 50% / 35% / 15%

Job Level Entry / Mid / Senior 45% / 40% / 15%

Interpretation: Most respondents are early-career professionals in entry or mid-level roles, whose perspectives are critical in shaping workplace ethics.

Quantitative Analysis

Ethical Leadership Scores (Mean Ratings) Statement	**Mean**
My manager leads by example	4.3
Leadership promotes fairness	4.1

I trust my leaders to make ethical decisions 4.2 Ethical standards are clearly communicated 4.0

Interpretation: Leaders are generally seen as ethical and fair, with strong

ratings indicating perceived integrity.

Employee Trust Ratings

Statement Mean

I feel safe expressing opinions   3.9

Leaders are honest and transparent   4.1

I would follow my leader in tough times 4.2 Management acts in employee interest   3.8

Interpretation: Trust levels are high, though slightly lower in areas like

psychological safety.

Correlation Analysis

- **Correlation (r)** = 0.72

- **p-value** = < 0.01

Interpretation: A strong, statistically significant relationship exists between ethical leadership and employee trust.

Leadership Effectiveness Scores

Statement	Mean	SD
Ethical leadership influences motivation	4.3	0.70
Ethical leaders manage teams effectively	4.2	0.75
Contribute to a positive work environment	4.35	0.65
Resolve conflicts ethically	4.1	0.80

Interpretation: Ethical leaders are perceived as effective motivators and managers.

Qualitative Analysis: Key Themes Theme Sample Insight

Consistency "My leader always backs their ethical stance."

Empathy "Leaders are understanding during health or family issues."

Ethical Dilemmas "Hesitation in making tough ethical calls."

Favoritism "Sometimes merit is overlooked in favor of personal bias."

Conclusion

The analysis confirms that **ethical leadership significantly enhances trust, motivation, and leadership effectiveness**. While the overall climate is positive, targeted efforts are needed to address inconsistencies and strengthen mechanisms for ethical reporting and fairness.