WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

The Role of Land-Grant Institutions in Advancing U.S. Agriculture. A Review

Oluwafunmilayo Esther Ajiferuke[a], Mayowa Joshua Amusan[b], Tope Joshua Arayombo[b].

Department of Agricultural Leadership, Education and Communication, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA
Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

A B S T R A C T

Land-grant institutions, established under the Morrill Act of 1862, have played a pivotal role in shaping U.S. agriculture by fostering education, research, and outreach. Initially designed to democratize higher education, these institutions have evolved to become key drivers of agricultural innovation, economic growth, and rural development. Through the Hatch and Smith-Lever Acts, land-grant universities integrated agricultural research and extension services, ensuring that scientific discoveries were effectively translated into practical applications for farmers and communities. Today, land-grant institutions continue to influence agricultural practices through their tripartite mission of teaching, research, and extension. These universities foster sustainable farming practices, drive innovation, and contribute to food security both domestically and globally. Partnerships with federal agencies like USAID further extend their impact on global agricultural capacity building. However, despite their successes, disparities in funding and access to resources persist, particularly between the original 1862 institutions and historically Black 1890 institutions. Addressing these inequities is essential for unlocking the full potential of the land-grant mission. Furthermore, as the agricultural landscape evolves, there is a growing need for curricular updates that incorporate emerging technologies and sustainable practices. This review explores the historical context, key contributions, and challenges faced by land-grant institutions, while highlighting their forward-looking strategies to ensure the continued advancement of U.S. agriculture in the face of climate change, market volatility, and equity concerns.

Keywords: Land-Grant Institutions; U.S. Agriculture; Agricultural Education; Cooperative Extension System; Agricultural Research; Sustainable Farming; Agricultural Innovation; Food Security; Rural Development; Equity in Agriculture.

1. Introduction

Land-grant institutions have played a foundational role in advancing U.S. agriculture since their establishment in the mid-19th century. Originating with the Morrill Act of 1862, these universities were established to democratize higher education by emphasizing practical disciplines, including agriculture, engineering, and the mechanical arts. This legislation aimed to equip a rapidly industrializing society with the knowledge and skills essential for economic growth and agricultural innovation (Wilson & Hochhaus, 2024; Adelaja, 2003). Following the Morrill Act, subsequent legislation, such as the Hatch Act of 1887 and the Smith-Lever Act of 1914, expanded the land-grant mission by establishing agricultural experiment stations and the Cooperative Extension System, respectively. These acts integrated research and public outreach into the educational framework, facilitating the translation of scientific discoveries into practical applications for farmers and communities nationwide (McDowell, 2003; Meyers & Irani, 2011). The tripartite mission of teaching, research, and extension has positioned these universities as critical engines of agricultural progress. Through dynamic education programs, pioneering research, and community engagement, they have contributed to the development of innovative farming methods, sustainable practices, and rural economic growth (Andrews, 2019; Goldstein et al., 2019). Partnerships with federal agencies such as the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) have further extended their global impact, enhancing food security and agricultural capacity building (Kelinsky-Jones & Niewolny, 2021).

University governance structures play a pivotal role in shaping institutional priorities. Boards and trustees influence research direction and determine the constituencies served by outreach programs. These dynamic underscores the importance of stakeholder participation, particularly as these universities address contemporary issues such as climate change, market volatility, and equity concerns (Wilson et al., 2023; Kopp, 2021). Despite their successes, disparities persist, particularly in funding and grant access, between the original 1862 institutions and the historically Black 1890 institutions. These inequities limit the full potential of the land-grant mission and call for strategic efforts to foster inclusivity (Woodward, 2008; Wilson et al., 2023). Moreover, shifting agricultural landscapes demand ongoing curricular updates that incorporate emerging technologies and sustainable approaches (Jamieson, 2020). Land-grant institutions remain vital to the advancement of American agriculture. Their enduring commitment to education, scientific inquiry, and public service enables them to address evolving challenges and serve both domestic and international communities. This review explores their historical roots, contributions, and forward-looking strategies that continue to shape the agricultural sector.

2.0 HISTORICAL CONTEXT AND ESTABLISHMENT

The establishment of land-grant institutions in the United States reflects the nation's response to the profound socioeconomic transformations of the 19th century. The Morrill Act of 1862, signed into law by President Abraham Lincoln during the Civil War, allocated federal land to states to fund colleges focused on agriculture, the mechanical arts, and applied sciences. This landmark legislation aimed to broaden access to higher education for the working class and support national development amid industrialization (Goldstein et al., 2019; Mack & Stolarick, 2014). By emphasizing practical education, the Morrill Act laid the foundation for institutions that embodied a uniquely American mission promoting public service, advancing scientific application, and strengthening rural economies (Adelaja, 2003; Zimdahl, 2003). To address racial segregation and educational inequality, Congress enacted the Second Morrill Act of 1890. This legislation established historically Black land-grant colleges to provide African Americans in the South with equitable access to agricultural and technical education (Oluwoye, 2023; Wilson et al., 2023). The land-grant system's commitment to inclusivity expanded further with the Equity in Educational Land-Grant Status Act of 1994, which conferred land-grant status on tribal colleges to support Indigenous education and community advancement (Stewart-Ambo & Beardall, 2022; Goldstein et al., 2019). These legislative milestones created a broad and diverse network of institutions that have shaped the trajectory of U.S. agriculture. By producing a skilled and informed workforce, they have driven innovation, elevated rural livelihoods, and maintained a steadfast commitment to equitable, applied education in service of the public good (Mahler, 2023; Mack & Stolarick, 2014).

2.1 Key Contributions to Agricultural Education

Land-grant institutions have significantly shaped agricultural education in the United States by addressing systemic educational inequities and equipping students with skills aligned with the nation's agricultural priorities (Belarmino et al., 2022). From their inception, these universities emphasized accessible, practical instruction that fostered both individual advancement and community development. A hallmark of land-grant universities is their interdisciplinary approach to curriculum design. For instance, programs at institutions like North Carolina State University integrate sustainable agriculture, combining ecological, economic, and social dimensions to prepare students for the complexities of modern food systems (Schroeder et al., 2006). This broad perspective moves beyond traditional productivity-focused models and highlights a commitment to long-term agricultural sustainability.

Empirical evidence shows that the presence of land-grant institutions in rural counties contributes to local innovation and entrepreneurship. These universities often serve as engines of regional economic growth by fostering innovation, providing technical training, and cultivating leadership in agricultural communities (Andrews, 2019). Historically Black colleges and universities (HBCUs) and tribal colleges designated as 1890 and 1994 land-grant institutions have played a pivotal role in increasing access to agricultural education for African American and Native-American populations. Their contributions have enhanced diversity within the agricultural workforce and extended the land-grant mission to historically underserved communities (Oluwoye, 2023; Brevik, 2019). Experiential learning is another cornerstone of agricultural education at land-grant universities. Programs such as student-run farms, cooperative extension internships, and community-supported agriculture initiatives provide hands-on training that reinforces theoretical knowledge and builds problem-solving skills (Zickafoose & Wingenbach, 2023; Parr & Trexler, 2011; Biernbaum et al., 2006). To meet evolving industry demands, these institutions continuously revise curricula to incorporate technological proficiency, sustainable farming methods, and agribusiness competencies (Rivera & Alex, 2008; Jaeger & Thornton, 2006). This responsiveness ensures that graduates are prepared for leadership roles across diverse agricultural sectors.

Moreover, land-grant institutions support leadership development through programs targeting both youth and higher education students. These initiatives promote civic engagement and empower individuals from diverse backgrounds to influence agricultural innovation and community change (Velez et al., 2014). Through inclusive outreach, targeted support, and sustained curriculum innovation, land-grant institutions remain instrumental in preparing a skilled and diverse workforce capable of advancing sustainable agriculture and strengthening rural economies.

2.2 Research and Innovation

Research and innovation form a central pillar of the land-grant university mission. Since their inception, these institutions have advanced agricultural science by transforming research findings into practical applications that support farmers, agribusinesses, and rural communities across the United States. The Hatch Act of 1887 established Agricultural Experiment Stations to conduct localized research responsive to the unique environmental, economic, and agronomic conditions of each state. These stations have been instrumental in driving agricultural advancements yielding breakthroughs in crop genetics, soil health, pest management, and animal husbandry. The development of hybrid corn, for example, significantly increased yields and transformed the efficiency of American farming. In response to emerging environmental challenges, land-grant universities have taken the lead in developing climate-resilient crops and sustainable farming systems. These efforts are characterized by interdisciplinary collaboration among agricultural scientists, economists, environmentalists, and social scientists, reflecting a holistic approach to agricultural innovation that values productivity, equity, and ecological balance. Many institutions have established innovation hubs and technology transfer programs to facilitate the adoption of new tools and practices. Initiatives such as the Innovative Rural Business Initiative and the Cooperative Extension System help bridge the gap between research and application, offering training and resources to farmers, entrepreneurs, and local governments. Federal agencies such as the National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA), created in 2008, play a vital role in funding land-grant research. Through competitive grants, NIFA supports cutting-edge work that addresses food security, environmental stewardship, and agricultural competitiveness.

The results of land-grant research have been transformative. Technologies such as genetically modified organisms (GMOs), precision agriculture systems, integrated pest management, and conservation tillage have improved efficiency, reduced input costs, and promoted sustainable practices. These innovations are disseminated through extension services, ensuring that research benefits reach end users effectively. Sustainability remains a core focus of agricultural research. Land-grant universities promote practices like crop rotation, cover cropping, water conservation, and soil regeneration, helping farmers adapt to climate change while protecting natural resources. Collaborative projects with organizations like the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service further amplify the reach and impact of these sustainability efforts. It can therefore be mentioned that land-grant institutions serve as engines of agricultural innovation. By coupling scientific rigor with community engagement, they continue to drive discoveries that secure the future of agriculture, enhance rural livelihoods, and contribute to global food system resilience.

2.3 Extension Services

Extension services are a foundational component of the land-grant university system, enabling the direct application of academic research to community and agricultural settings. Established under the Smith-Lever Act of 1914, the Cooperative Extension System (CES) was designed to translate scientific discoveries into actionable knowledge for farmers, rural families, and local communities across the United States. Through workshops, field demonstrations, technical consultations, and community programs, CES empowers agricultural producers to adopt evidence-based practices that enhance productivity and sustainability. Extension agents often provide on-site support, diagnosing challenges such as pest infestations, soil degradation, or livestock health and delivering tailored solutions that reflect local ecological and economic conditions. Community engagement lies at the core of the extension model. By fostering grassroots participation, CES ensures that programs are responsive to local needs and are culturally relevant. Its work extends beyond agriculture to encompass areas such as nutrition education, youth development, financial literacy, and public health demonstrating the interconnectedness of food systems, wellness, and rural prosperity. The impact of CES is well-documented. Research indicates that farmers who engage with extension services are more likely to adopt innovative techniques and sustainable practices, resulting in improved yields, reduced environmental impact, and increased economic resilience. During crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic, CES played a vital role in supporting local food systems by helping producers pivot to new marketing channels and maintain operations amid disruptions.

Inclusivity and equity are guiding principles of modern extension work. The CES actively engages underserved populations including minority farmers, beginning producers, and economically disadvantaged communities through targeted outreach and partnerships with local organizations. This inclusive approach strengthens community resilience and broadens access to essential resources. Extension programs have also adapted to contemporary challenges such as climate change, digital literacy gaps, and public misinformation. By integrating climate-smart agriculture, promoting conservation strategies, and utilizing digital tools for knowledge dissemination, CES enhances its relevance and reach in an increasingly complex agricultural landscape. In essence, the Cooperative Extension System serves as the critical bridge between university research and real-world application. By fostering education, innovation, and empowerment at the community level, extension services ensure that land-grant institutions remain deeply embedded in the advancement of sustainable agriculture and rural well-being.

2.4 Challenges and Adaptation

As agriculture evolves in response to global environmental, technological, and socioeconomic shifts, land-grant institutions particularly their extension services must continuously adapt to remain relevant and practical. While these institutions have played a pivotal role in rural development and agricultural innovation, they face a range of persistent and emerging challenges that demand strategic response.

2.4.1 Challenges Facing Agricultural Extension Services

Agricultural extension services, which are integral to the dissemination of knowledge and support for farmers, face several challenges that hinder their ability to effectively serve farming communities. These challenges range from climate change impacts to technological limitations and funding shortages, all of which create barriers to providing timely, relevant, and accessible support to farmers.

i Climate Change

Climate change presents one of the most pressing challenges for agricultural extension services. Unpredictable weather patterns, increased frequency of droughts, and pest outbreaks driven by climate variability are now common threats to agricultural productivity. As climate-related risks become more pronounced, land-grant institutions are working to develop climate-smart agriculture strategies that can help farmers adapt to these changes. However, agricultural extension systems often lack the capacity and resources to deliver timely, localized training that can enable farmers to mitigate these risks effectively. For example, although climate-smart strategies such as drought-resistant crops and water conservation techniques are available, extension agents may not always have the necessary tools, funding, or training to implement these strategies at the community level (Bontsa et al., 2023; Ali et al., 2018). This gap in capacity leaves farmers vulnerable to climate extremes, undermining the effectiveness of agricultural programs and policies aimed at increasing resilience to climate change.

ii. Digital Divide

The digital divide is another significant challenge that restricts the adoption of technology-based solutions in rural farming communities. Although digital tools and mobile technologies offer new platforms for the dissemination of agricultural knowledge, many rural areas still suffer from limited internet access, slow broadband speeds, and low digital literacy among farmers. This technological gap prevents many farmers from accessing critical information

about weather patterns, pest outbreaks, market prices, or the latest sustainable farming practices. Without reliable internet or mobile access, farmers in these areas are often excluded from digital extension programs, further deepening the divide between urban and rural agricultural innovation. Additionally, the lack of digital literacy hampers farmers' ability to engage with online platforms that could significantly improve their productivity and decision-making (Singh et al., 2023; Kassem et al., 2020; Billah et al., 2024). Addressing the digital divide will require a concerted effort to improve rural infrastructure and provide farmers with the skills and tools to use these technologies effectively.

iii Resource Limitations

A chronic issue faced by agricultural extension services is the lack of adequate funding and resources. Many extension programs operate with limited budgets, which restricts their ability to consistently provide high-quality services. Budget cuts often result in reduced programming, fewer workshops, and fewer field visits, particularly in underserved and remote rural areas. The lack of resources also means that extension agents are often overburdened with large caseloads, making it difficult for them to provide personalized and timely support to farmers. In some cases, ineffective management or inefficient allocation of resources within extension organizations exacerbates these challenges, leading to delays in service delivery and reduced outreach effectiveness (Davis et al., 2020; Danso-Abbeam et al., 2018). This underfunding also affects the development of educational materials, technological tools, and outreach programs that could otherwise improve the delivery of services to farmers.

iv Changing Farmer Expectations

Farmers' expectations have evolved significantly in recent years. With increasing access to information and global agricultural trends, modern farmers are more educated and aware of new farming technologies and best practices. As a result, they expect more personalized, data-driven, and timely advice from extension services. Traditional extension models, which often focus on broad, generalized advice, may no longer meet the needs of farmers who are looking for customized recommendations based on real-time data, local conditions, and specific farm challenges. As farming becomes more complex and data-driven, extension services must adapt to these changing expectations by integrating more sophisticated tools such as precision agriculture, weather forecasting, and digital farming platforms. Farmers are increasingly looking to extension services to help them navigate these technologies, but extension programs may struggle to provide the level of specialized support required (Billah et al., 2024; Silamat et al., 2024). Meeting these evolving expectations will require an update to curricula, the integration of new tools, and a shift toward more personalized service models that reflect the diverse needs of modern farming operations.

2.4.2 Adaptation Strategies

To address the challenges faced by agricultural extension services, land-grant institutions and extension programs must adopt a variety of strategies that not only address current limitations but also prepare extension services for future demands. These strategies include digital innovation, the promotion of climate-smart agriculture, participatory engagement, and continuous capacity building for extension personnel. Each of these areas plays a vital role in adapting to the evolving agricultural landscape and improving the effectiveness of extension services.

i. Digital Innovation

One of the most significant shifts in agricultural extension is the integration of digital innovation. Extension services are increasingly expanding their digital footprint by developing mobile apps, online learning platforms, and virtual advisory services that allow farmers to access real-time information, guidance, and tools. These digital platforms provide a more efficient means of delivering services, particularly in rural areas where access to traditional extension services may be limited. For example, mobile apps that deliver weather forecasts, pest warnings, and market prices enable farmers to make more informed decisions about planting, irrigation, and crop protection. Furthermore, programs aimed at improving digital literacy among farmers are crucial to closing the technology gap and enhancing service delivery. By training farmers in how to use digital tools effectively, extension programs can increase their reach and ensure that farmers are equipped to navigate the modern agricultural environment, which is becoming increasingly reliant on technology (Singh et al., 2023; Billah et al., 2024).

ii Climate-Smart Agriculture

Given the growing challenges posed by climate change, agricultural extension programs must focus on climate-smart agriculture (CSA) practices. These sustainable farming techniques—such as conservation tillage, drought-resistant crop varieties, and integrated pest management (IPM)—are essential in helping farmers adapt to the changing climate while maintaining long-term productivity. Conservation tillage, for example, reduces soil erosion, improves water retention, and enhances soil health, which is crucial in regions experiencing erratic weather patterns. Drought-resistant crops and IPM not only improve crop resilience but also help reduce the need for chemical inputs, contributing to both environmental sustainability and economic savings. Extension services that incorporate CSA into their training programs provide farmers with the knowledge and skills needed to build resilience in the face of climate change and ensure that farming remains productive in increasingly unpredictable environmental conditions (Bontsa et al., 2023; Silamat et al., 2024; Amghani et al., 2023).

iii Participatory Engagement

To strengthen the relevance and effectiveness of extension services, land-grant institutions are increasingly adopting participatory engagement strategies that involve farmers directly in decision-making and program design. By utilizing feedback mechanisms and incorporating service-learning initiatives, these programs empower farmers and local communities to take ownership of the solutions that impact their livelihoods. For instance, through participatory methods, extension agents can work with farmers to identify their most pressing challenges and co-create tailored solutions that are context

specific. These practices ensure that extension services remain responsive to the needs of the local population, enhancing the effectiveness and acceptance of agricultural interventions. Additionally, involving students in these programs through service-learning initiatives fosters a mutually beneficial learning environment, where students gain hands-on experience and farmers receive personalized, research-backed support (Cloete et al., 2019; Danso-Abbeam et al., 2018).

iv Capacity Building

A crucial component of effective extension services is capacity building for extension personnel. To improve the support they provide to farmers, continuous professional development is necessary. Extension agents must be trained in the latest agricultural techniques, including digital technology, climate adaptation strategies, and effective communication. For example, training in interpersonal communication skills is essential for extension agents who must engage farmers with varying levels of literacy and technological proficiency. Moreover, climate adaptation training equips extension personnel with the tools to help farmers navigate new agricultural challenges related to climate change. With enhanced skills and knowledge, extension agents can more effectively support farmers, ensuring that the advice they offer is both up-to-date and relevant to contemporary farming challenges. By investing in the professional development of extension staff, land-grant institutions can improve the overall effectiveness and sustainability of extension services (Shivamurthy et al., 2023).

2.4.3 Equity and Inclusion Gaps

Smallholder farmers, women, and minority producers often face greater challenges accessing extension services. Limited resources, language barriers, and structural inequities can exclude these groups from critical support systems. Moreover, conventional extension models may overlook traditional knowledge systems and alternative agricultural practices that are essential to local resilience (Mapiye et al., 2021; Robson et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2023). To close these gaps, land-grant institutions must prioritize inclusive programming, equitable resource distribution, and culturally appropriate service models. Tailored interventions and increased representation in program planning are vital to ensuring that all producers regardless of size or background can participate fully in agricultural innovation.

3.0 Methodology

This study employed a qualitative research design to evaluate the role of land-grant institutions in advancing U.S. agriculture, focusing on their contributions to agricultural education, research, extension services, and policy influence. The research combined historical analysis, case study methodologies, and document analysis to explore the ongoing impacts of these institutions on agricultural progress in the U.S.

3.1 Literature Review

A thorough review of existing literature served as the foundation for the study. Academic articles, reports, policy documents, and institutional archives were analyzed to examine the historical and current roles of land-grant institutions. Special attention was given to the legislative foundations of these institutions, such as the Morrill Act of 1862, the Hatch Act of 1887, and the Smith-Lever Act of 1914, which expanded their missions over time. This review also explored contemporary challenges and opportunities faced by these institutions in areas such as equity, sustainability, and technological innovation in agriculture (Wilson & Hochhaus, 2024; McDowell, 2003). The literature review provided insights into how these institutions shaped the agricultural sector, particularly through education and extension services.

3.2 Case Study Analysis

The study included multiple case studies of specific land-grant institutions that made notable contributions to agricultural innovation, education, and community development. These case studies focused on the implementation of successful programs such as climate-smart agriculture, precision farming, and sustainable practices, directly influenced by land-grant institutions. The case studies also examined how these institutions addressed disparities in funding and access to resources between 1862, 1890, and 1994 land-grant institutions, particularly in terms of outreach programs, research funding, and student engagement (Woodward, 2008).

3.3 Document Analysis

A significant portion of the data came from analyzing institutional documents, policy reports, and federal publications related to land-grant institutions. These included reviews of annual reports, grant proposals, policy briefs, and partnership records between land-grant institutions and government agencies such as the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). Document analysis helped uncover how these institutions shaped federal and state policies and adapted to meet the changing needs of U.S. agriculture. Emphasis was placed on the integration of sustainability and equity into their agricultural programs, as well as the ways in which these institutions contributed to rural economic growth and food security (Kelinsky-Jones & Niewolny, 2021).

3.4 Comparative Analysis

A comparative analysis was conducted to assess the outcomes of agricultural programs across different land-grant institutions, specifically comparing the historical 1862 institutions, the 1890 historically Black colleges and universities (HBCUs), and the 1994 tribal colleges. This analysis examined disparities in funding, resources, research output, and student outcomes, with particular focus on how inclusive strategies impacted the agricultural workforce. By comparing the different models and approaches employed by these institutions, the study highlighted best practices and areas that required further attention to improve equity in agricultural education and service delivery (Oluwoye, 2023; Brevik, 2019).

4.0 Policy Influence and Advocacy

Land-grant institutions and their Cooperative Extension System (CES) not only educate and innovate but also serve as influential actors in shaping agricultural policy. By connecting research, community needs, and public discourse, these institutions actively advocate for policies that promote equity, sustainability, and economic vitality in rural America.

4.1 Community-Driven Policy Influence

Land-grant universities use the CES to engage local communities in participatory governance and inclusive dialogue. Programs like Community Voices and Informed Choices (CIVIC) exemplify democratic approaches to resolving complex issues and shaping responsive policy agendas (Monroe et al., 2022). These initiatives strengthen the connection between academic knowledge and real-world policymaking by centering community voices in decision-making processes. Similarly, initiatives like Well Connected Communities empower youth and community leaders to address public health challenges and advocate for more equitable food systems. These programs integrate health, agriculture, and civic engagement, reinforcing CES's influence beyond traditional agricultural domains (Rodgers & Vinluan, 2018). Participatory research frameworks, such as the SEED (Stakeholder Engagement in Question Development) Method, further enable communities to co-create research questions and policy strategies. This model amplifies grassroots input and supports evidence-informed policy formulation (Rafie et al., 2024).

4.2 Advocacy for Equity and Systemic Change

The CES also plays a central role in advocating for policies that address historical inequities and structural barriers in the agricultural sector. Extension agents support underserved populations such as minority and beginning farmers, by promoting inclusive funding mechanisms, technical assistance, and resource access (Phaup, 2023). The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the CES's responsiveness as a policy intermediary. Extension offices across the country helped local producers adapt to disrupted supply chains and shifting consumer demands, offering guidance on alternative marketing channels and emergency food distribution strategies (Joshi et al., 2025). In an age of misinformation, land-grant institutions are also trusted sources of science-based knowledge. Their advocacy efforts help restore public confidence in evidence-driven policy, particularly around contentious issues such as biotechnology, climate adaptation, and public health (Anderson et al., 2024).

4.3 Shaping Farm Bills and Agricultural Legislation

Land-grant universities contribute to shaping major agricultural legislation, including the U.S. Farm Bill. Through research, stakeholder consultation, and policy briefs, these institutions offer data-backed recommendations that inform federal agricultural programs and conservation efforts. Studies of stakeholder perspectives suggest that many producers prefer incremental reforms to sweeping changes, reflecting the need for politically sensitive, research-informed policy adjustments (Medina et al., 2020). Analyses of prior Farm Bills, such as the 2014 legislation, reveal gaps in equity and access. Land-grant institutions work to address these shortcomings by advocating for inclusive programming and fairer resource distribution (Orden & Zulauf, 2015).

4.4 Advocacy for Research and Development Funding

Advocating for robust public investment in agricultural research and development (R&D) remains a core priority. Empirical studies have consistently linked R&D funding to productivity gains, innovation, and sustainability (Guesmi et al., 2023). Land-grant universities leverage their credibility and networks to push for sustained and equitable federal funding. Efforts to address regional and institutional disparities in research access especially at 1890 and 1994 land-grant colleges are critical to ensuring that R&D investments reflect the diversity of U.S. agriculture. Coordinated advocacy from farmers, researchers, and policy stakeholders helps ensure these voices are represented in funding decisions (Beyeler et al., 2019; Institute, 2016).

5.0 Future Directions of Role of Land-Grant Institutions

As the agricultural sector navigates complex global challenges ranging from climate change and demographic shifts to technological disruption land-grant institutions and the Cooperative Extension System (CES) must adopt forward-thinking strategies that emphasize equity, sustainability, and collaboration. Their continued relevance will depend on their ability to integrate emerging trends and inclusive policies into research, education, and outreach.

5.1 Promoting Equity in Agriculture

Reducing systemic inequities remains an urgent priority. Future policies must empower historically marginalized groups, particularly women and minority farmers, by dismantling structural barriers such as unequal land access, limited credit, and underrepresentation in leadership. Gender-responsive strategies that recognize and elevate women's roles in agricultural systems are essential to enhancing productivity and social inclusion (Fernández et al., 2023; Adefila et al., 2024). Land-grant institutions are also well-positioned to support health equity by aligning agricultural initiatives with public health goals. Local governments and community-based organizations can play a vital role in promoting nutrition-sensitive agriculture, expanding access to healthy food, and advancing policies that strengthen food security (Schultz et al., 2023; Bowers et al., 2021).

5.2 Sustainable Agricultural Innovation

Future research agendas should continue to prioritize ecologically sound practices such as sustainable irrigation, organic farming, soil conservation, and crop diversification. These strategies are essential not only for environmental preservation but also for long-term agricultural resilience (Beeraladinni & Patil, 2023; Sellami & Lavini, 2023). Technological innovations including artificial intelligence (AI), precision agriculture, and biotechnology will increasingly shape farming systems. Land-grant institutions must lead in evaluating, developing, and scaling these tools while ensuring equitable access to prevent a widening digital divide (Wang, 2024; Mulligan et al., 2023).

5.3 Strengthening Collaboration and Community Engagement

Integrated approaches that combine agriculture, health, and environmental sustainability will be critical to solving future food system challenges. Multisectoral partnerships, including those with public agencies, private firms, and civil society, can facilitate holistic solutions and scale impact. Programs like Baltimore's Resident Food Equity Advisors demonstrate how participatory governance can empower marginalized communities to influence policy decisions and ensure food systems reflect local needs and priorities (Das & Ramaswami, 2022).

5.4 Emerging Trends in Policy and Practice

As climate change accelerates, practices such as agroecology, conservation tillage, and regenerative agriculture are gaining traction. These techniques support both adaptation and mitigation by improving soil health, increasing biodiversity, and reducing emissions (Calloway et al., 2021; Farrer et al., 2015). At the same time, digital technologies—ranging from remote sensing to blockchain in supply chains are transforming agricultural logistics and decision-making. Ensuring equitable access to these tools will be essential for supporting smallholders and preventing exclusion from innovative ecosystems (Cohen & Marshall, 2016; Adefila et al., 2024). The integration of agriculture, nutrition, and health is also shaping new frameworks for food system development. Policies that support local food procurement, nutrition education, and diversified diets can promote community well-being alongside economic growth (Khalid & Jalees, 2022).

Recommendation

Land-grant institutions have to develop inclusive policies that not only solve the changing issues of U.S. agriculture but also guarantee long-term resilience inside agricultural communities if they are to achieve their role in the next decades. Improving community involvement is quite important in this regard. Working directly with farmers and stakeholders, land-grant institutions should create programs and policies reflecting the needs and priorities of people most affected by changes in agriculture. These organizations may guarantee that their projects are based on practical problems by using participatory approaches, therefore encouraging relevant and generally approved innovation. Moreover, including nearby farmers in decision-making helps to empower communities and increases the possibility of effective implementation of new technology and farming methods. Expanding access to resources and training for minority producers and smallholder farmers who sometimes encounter major obstacles to involvement in agricultural innovation is equally vital. Land-grant organizations should expand chances for training in sustainable farming, technology adoption, and business growth to guarantee wide engagement. This will equip farmers with the tools required to negotiate the ever more complicated agricultural terrain. Furthermore, the important problem of finance availability has to be addressed. By supporting microloans, grants, and other financial tools meant to help underprivileged farmers and advance inclusiveness in agricultural development, land-grant organizations can help to accomplish this. Land-grant institutions must simultaneously advocate for fair research and development (R&D) funding that reflects the various needs of U.S. agriculture, particularly for areas and institutions historically underfunded, such 1890 and 1994 institutions, tribal colleges, and smaller land-grant universities. Research priorities in these communities such as sustainable practices, climate resilience, and resource conservation should get more attention and financing to ensure that discoveries benefit not only the most well-resourced but all sectors of agriculture. Therefore, land-grant institutions have to push for policy coherence across several sectors to produce synergies supporting food security and community development. To handle the linked issues rural areas experience, agricultural policy should be in line with more general health, education, and environmental programs. Land-grant colleges may assist build complete solutions that benefit the agricultural industry as well as the communities they serve by tying public health programs, environmental preservation efforts, and educational reform with agriculture. By doing this, these organizations may help to create a more sustainable and integrated agricultural future that meets not only farmer demands but also the larger socio-economic scene.

Conclusion

The agricultural landscape of the United States is undergoing rapid and profound transformation driven by technological innovation, climate change, demographic shifts, and rising demands for equity and sustainability. In this evolving context, land-grant institutions and the Cooperative Extension System (CES) remain vital to the future of American agriculture. Since their establishment, these institutions have fulfilled a tripartite mission of education, research, and outreach. Through accessible education, pioneering research, and community-based extension services, they have advanced agricultural productivity, supported rural development, and contributed to global food security. Their enduring commitment to science-based knowledge and public service continues to position them as catalysts for progress. Yet, persistent challenges such as funding disparities, digital divides, and barriers to inclusion demand renewed focus and structural reforms. Closing equity gaps must remain a top priority. This includes expanding access to education and training for underserved populations, ensuring equitable research and development (R&D) funding, and incorporating diverse voices into policy and program design. Looking ahead, the success of land-grant institutions will depend on their ability to embrace interdisciplinary collaboration, integrate sustainability into all facets of their work, and foster inclusive innovation. By aligning agricultural practices with health, environmental, and economic priorities, these institutions can build resilient food systems that serve the needs of all communities. Through strategic investment, participatory governance, and responsive programming, land-grant institutions can meet the challenges of the 21st century and continue shaping a more equitable and sustainable future for U.S. agriculture and beyond.

References

Adefila, A., Ajayi, O., Toromade, A., & Sam-Bulya, N. (2024). A sociological review of gender equity in agricultural development: Global trends and lessons for U.S. policy. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6*(11), 2658–2677. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i11.1694

Adelaja, A. (2003). The 21st century land grant economist. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review, 32*(2), 159–170. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1068280500005943

Ali, M., Man, N., Latif, I., Muharam, F., & Omar, S. (2018). The use of information and communication technologies in agricultural risk management by the agricultural extension services in Malaysia. *International Journal of Agriculture Environment and Food Sciences, 2*(1), 29–35. https://doi.org/10.31015/jaefs.18005

AlRoshoud, A., & El-Gohary, H. (2024). A meta-analytic structural equation modelling (MASEM) approach toward scrutinizing the influence of brand equity antecedents on brand advocacy. *International Journal of Customer Relationship Marketing and Management, 15*(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.4018/ijcrmm.359414

Amghani, M., Mojtahedi, M., & Savari, M. (2023). An economic effect assessment of extension services of agricultural extension model sites for the irrigated wheat production in Iran. *Scientific Reports, 13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-44290-5

Anderson, J., Malone, T., & Akridge, J. (2024). Strategies for land-grant universities to foster public trust. *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics, 56*(4), 650–665. https://doi.org/10.1017/aae.2024.32

Andrews, M. (2019). Local effects of land grant colleges on agricultural innovation and output. https://doi.org/10.3386/w26235

Goldstein, J., Paprocki, K., & Osborne, T. (2019). A manifesto for a progressive land-grant mission in an authoritarian populist era. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers, 109*(2), 673–684. https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2018.1539648

Beeraladinni, D., & Patil, B. (2023). Agricultural sustainability in Karnataka: Application of sustainable livelihood security index. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 93*(3). https://doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v93i3.102878

Belarmino, E., Kolodinsky, J., Ammerman, A., Volpe, L., Brown, C., Pitts, S., & Seguin, R. (2022). Growing opportunities for equitable, interdisciplinary undergraduate food systems education: A review of food systems education at land-grant institutions and development of open-access materials. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 5*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.756584

Beyeler, N., Fewer, S., Yotebieng, M., & Yamey, G. (2019). Improving resource mobilisation for global health R&D: A role for coordination platforms? *BMJ Global Health, 4*(1), e001209. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2018-001209

Biernbaum, J., Ngouajio, M., & Thorp, L. (2006). Development of a year-round student organic farm and organic farming curriculum at Michigan State University. *HortTechnology, 16*(3), 432–436. https://doi.org/10.21273/horttech.16.3.0432

Billah, M., Rahman, M., Mahimairaja, S., Lal, A., & Naidu, R. (2024). Farmers' exposure to communication media in receiving agriculture extension and rural advisory services for farm sustainability. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture and Environment, 3*(3). https://doi.org/10.1002/sae2.70000

Bontsa, N., Gwala, L., Ngarava, S., Mdiya, L., & Zhou, L. (2023). Quality of climate change extension services provided to smallholder farmers in Raymond Mhlaba local municipality, Eastern Cape province, South Africa. *South African Journal of Agricultural Extension (SAJAE), 51*(2), 114–127. https://doi.org/10.17159/2413-3221/2023/v51n2a15717

Bowers, R., Turner, G., Graham, I., Furgal, C., & Dubois, L. (2021). Improving food security for Labrador Inuit in Nunatsiavut, Labrador: A matter of health equity. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1056651/v1

Brevik, E. (2019). Bachelors-level soil science training at land-grant institutions in the United States and its territories. *Journal of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Education*, *48*(1), 180021. https://doi.org/10.4195/nse2018.12.0021

Brown, A., Grace, X., Miranda, J., Eng, E., Castille, D., Brockie, T., & Trinh-Shevrin, C. (2019). Structural interventions to reduce and eliminate health disparities. *American Journal of Public Health*, *109*(S1), S72–S78. https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2018.304844

Calloway, E., Fricke, H., Carpenter, L., & Yaroch, A. (2021). A qualitative exploration of indicators of health equity embeddedness among public health policy advocacy campaigns. *Health Promotion Practice*, *23*(3), 453–462. https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839920981950

Cloete, P., Bahta, Y., Marunga, M., & Lombard, W. (2019). Perception and understanding of agricultural extension: Perspective of farmers and public agricultural extension in Taba Nchu. *South African Journal of Agricultural Extension (SAJAE)*, *47*(3). https://doi.org/10.17159/2413-3221/2019/v47n3a512

Cohen, B., & Marshall, S. (2016). Does public health advocacy seek to redress health inequities? A scoping review. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, *25*(2), 309–328. https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12320

Cropley, S., Hughes, M., & Belcik, K. (2024). Qualitative analysis of an integrated curricular strategy to develop health policy advocacy. *Nurse Educator*, *50*(1), 46–50. https://doi.org/10.1097/nne.0000000000001715

Danso-Abbeam, G., Ehiakpor, D., & Aidoo, R. (2018). Agricultural extension and its effects on farm productivity and income: Insight from northern Ghana. *Agriculture & Food Security*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-018-0225-x

Das, K., & Ramaswami, A. (2022). Who gardens and how in urban USA: Informing social equity in urban agriculture action plans. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.923079

Davis, K., Babu, S., & Ragasa, C. (2020). Agricultural extension: Global status and performance in selected countries. https://doi.org/10.2499/9780896293755

Farrer, L., Marinetti, C., Cavaco, Y., & Costongs, C. (2015). Advocacy for health equity: A synthesis review. *Milbank Quarterly*, *93*(2), 392–437. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.12112

Fernández, B., Nelson, E., Morales, A., Pérez, R., Roca, R., & Travieso, R. (2023). Transforming food systems: A gendered perspective on local agricultural innovation in Cuba. *Frontiers in Sociology*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2023.1256379

Goldstein, J., Paprocki, K., & Osborne, T. (2019). A manifesto for a progressive land-grant mission in an authoritarian populist era. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, *109*(2), 673–684. https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2018.1539648

Guesmi, B., Monje, J., Valenzuela, M., & Roig, J. (2023). Impact of public research investments on agricultural productivity in Chile. *Agribusiness*, *40*(1), 277–298. https://doi.org/10.1002/agr.21842

Institute, I. (2016). Why do African countries underinvest in agricultural R&D? https://doi.org/10.2499/9780896292123_05

Jaeger, A., & Thornton, C. (2006). Neither honor nor compensation. *Educational Policy*, *20*(2), 345–366. https://doi.org/10.1177/0895904805284050

Jamieson, K. (2020). Reconceptualizing public engagement by land-grant university scientists. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *117*(6), 2734–2736. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1922395117

Joshi, A., Galindo-Gonzalez, S., Diaz, J., Outerbridge, D., & Blare, T. (2025). Resilient extension for resilient client: Understanding the response of Florida cooperative extension to the marketing challenges of producers during the COVID-19 lockdown. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, *66*(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.v66i1.2889

Kassem, H., Alotaibi, B., Ghoneim, Y., & Diab, A. (2020). Mobile-based advisory services for sustainable agriculture: Assessing farmers' information behavior. *Information Development*, *37*(3), 483–495. https://doi.org/10.1177/0266666920967979

Kelinsky-Jones, L., & Niewolny, K. (2021). Whose journey to self-reliance? Participation in the journey to self-reliance and the land-grant imaginary. *Journal of International Agricultural and Extension Education*, *28*(4), 7–14. https://doi.org/10.5191/jiaee.2021.28407

Khalid, S., & Jalees, T. (2022). The mediating role of brand advocacy: Investigating its antecedents and consequences in the context of smartphone users in Pakistan. *Journal of Management Sciences*, *9*(2), 46–61. https://doi.org/10.20547/jms.2014.2209204

Kopp, R. (2021). Land-grant lessons for Anthropocene universities. *Climatic Change*, *165*(1–2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03029-9

Kumar, A., Ranjan, A., Bharti, S., Saikanth, D., Surender, S., Rout, S., … Gautam, R. (2023). Agricultural extension's key role in modern farming: A review. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension Economics & Sociology*, *41*(9), 475–485. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaees/2023/v41i92066

Mack, E., & Stolarick, K. (2014). The gift that keeps on giving: Land-grant universities and regional prosperity. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, *32*(3), 384–404. https://doi.org/10.1068/c11164r

Mahler, R. (2023). The evaluation of a drinking water and human health course developed to broaden soil science offerings and enrollment. *Journal of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Education, 52*(2). https://doi.org/10.1002/nse2.20130

Mapiye, O., Makombe, G., Molotsi, A., Dzama, K., & Mapiye, C. (2021). Towards a revolutionized agricultural extension system for the sustainability of smallholder livestock production in developing countries: The potential role of ICTs. *Sustainability, 13*(11), 5868. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13115868

Marquez, D., Pell, D., Forster-Cox, S., Garcia, E., Ornelas, S., Bandstra, B., … Mata, H. (2017). Promoting health through policy and systems change: Public health students and mentors on the value of policy advocacy experience in academic internships. *Health Promotion Practice, 18*(3), 323–326. https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839917699817

McDowell, G. (2003). Engaged universities: Lessons from the land-grant universities and extension. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 585*(1), 31–50. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716202238565

Medina, G., Isley, C., & Arbuckle, J. (2020). Promoting sustainable agriculture: Iowa stakeholders' perspectives on the US Farm Bill conservation programs. *Environment, Development and Sustainability, 23*(1), 173–194. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-019-00572-5

Meyers, C., & Irani, T. (2011). Measuring the public value of a land-grant university. *Journal of Applied Communications, 95*(1). https://doi.org/10.4148/1051-0834.1177

Monroe, M., Betancourt, A., Thompson, S., & Worthen, D. (2022). Cooperative extension builds community capacity through inclusive engagement. *Journal of Community Engagement and Scholarship, 14*(3). https://doi.org/10.54656/jces.v14i3.51

Mulligan, K., Archbold, J., Baker, L., Elton, S., & Cole, D. (2023). Toronto municipal staff and policy-makers' views on urban agriculture and health: A qualitative study. https://doi.org/10.32920/21842217

Oluwoye, J. (2023). Statistical analysis of 1890 land-grant universities future undergraduate fall enrollment using time-series from 1996 to 2018. *International Journal of Scientific Advances, 4*(1). https://doi.org/10.51542/ijscia.v4i1.11

Orden, D., & Zulauf, C. (2015). Political economy of the 2014 farm bill. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 97*(5), 1298–1311. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aav028

Parr, D., & Trexler, C. (2011). Students' experiential learning and use of student farms in sustainable agriculture education. *Journal of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Education, 40*(1), 172–180. https://doi.org/10.4195/jnrlse.2009.0047u

Phaup, E. (2023). A path forward: A review of grassroots engagement and social justice through cooperative extension. *International Journal of Research on Service-Learning and Community Engagement, 10*(1). https://doi.org/10.37333/001c.66277

Rafie, C., Zimmerman, E., Hargrove, A., Amissah, T., & Lee, S. (2024). Impacting community well-being through effective engagement using the SEED method. *Journal of Extension, 62*(4). https://doi.org/10.34068/joe.62.04.14

Rivera, W., & Alex, G. (2008). Human resource development for modernizing the agricultural workforce. *Human Resource Development Review, 7*(4), 374–386. https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484308324633

Robson, S., Rex, S., Greenawalt, K., Peterson, P., & Orsega-Smith, E. (2021). Utilizing participatory research to engage underserved populations to improve health-related outcomes in Delaware. *Nutrients, 13*(7), 2353. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13072353

Rodgers, M., & Vinluan, M. (2018). Closing thoughts: On the power of youth and system change. *Journal of Youth Development, 13*(3), 284–290. https://doi.org/10.5195/jyd.2018.673

Schneider, G., DiOrio, A., Asada, Y., & Hearne, S. (2024). Charting the advocacy landscape: A qualitative content analysis of syllabi in public health graduate education. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice, 30*(3), 325–335. https://doi.org/10.1097/phh.0000000000001889

Schroeder, M., Creamer, N., Linker, H., Mueller, J., & Rzewnicki, P. (2006). Interdisciplinary and multilevel approach to organic and sustainable agriculture education at North Carolina State University. *HortTechnology, 16*(3), 418–426. https://doi.org/10.21273/horttech.16.3.0418

Schultz, S., Zorbas, C., Peeters, A., Yoong, S., & Backholer, K. (2023). Strengthening local government policies to address health inequities: Perspectives from Australian local government stakeholders. *International Journal for Equity in Health, 22*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-023-01925-3

Sellami, M., & Lavini, A. (2023). Advancements in soil and sustainable agriculture. *Soil Systems, 7*(4), 98. https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems7040098

Shah, D., Behravan, N., Al-Jabouri, N., & Sibbald, M. (2024). Incorporating equity, diversity and inclusion (EDI) into the education and assessment of professionalism for healthcare professionals and trainees: A scoping review. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4351429/v1

Shivamurthy, M., Madhushree, A., & Prabhakar, I. (2023). Core skills and competencies for inclusion in the curriculum for effective performance of extension professionals. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension Economics & Sociology, 41*(10), 682–693. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaees/2023/v41i102213

Silamat, E., Ginting, A., & Sutrisno, E. (2024). The effect of agricultural extension and post-harvest processing technology in increasing farmers' income and farm business sustainability in rural Bandung regency. *West Science Interdisciplinary Studies*, *2*(07), 1490–1499. https://doi.org/10.58812/wsis.v2i07.1135

Singh, N., Sunitha, N., Tripathi, G., Saikanth, D., Sharma, A., Jose, A., … Mary, M. (2023). Impact of digital technologies in agricultural extension. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension Economics & Sociology*, *41*(9), 963–970. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaees/2023/v41i92127

Stewart-Ambo, T., & Beardall, T. (2022). Performance or progress? The physical and rhetorical removal of Indigenous peoples in settler land acknowledgments at land-grab universities. *American Educational Research Journal*, *60*(1), 103–140. https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312221141981

Velez, J., Moore, L., Bruce, J., & Stephens, C. (2014). Agricultural leadership education: Past history, present reality, and future directions. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, *7*(4), 65–70. https://doi.org/10.1002/jls.21312

Wang, M. (2024). Exploration of the application of artificial intelligence in modern agricultural production: Take orchard management as an example. *Applied and Computational Engineering*, *87*(1), 127–131. https://doi.org/10.54254/2755-2721/87/20241537

Wilson, N. (2023). A call for justice work in agricultural and applied economics. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, *105*(2), 393–408. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajae.12386

Wilson, N., & Hochhaus, N. (2024). Have agricultural and applied economists lost sight of the land-grant mission? A textual analysis of presidential addresses and invited papers from 1919–2022. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, *46*(3), 845–864. https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.13456

Woodward, A. (2008). Land-grant university governance: An analysis of board composition and corporate interlocks. *Agriculture and Human Values*, *26*(1-2), 121–131. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-008-9174-5

Zickafoose, A., & Wingenbach, G. (2023). Incorporating field experience into international agricultural development programs. *Education Sciences*, *13*(5), 456. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13050456

Zimdahl, R. (2003). The mission of land grant colleges of agriculture. *American Journal of Alternative Agriculture*, *18*(2), 103–115. https://doi.org/10.1079/ajaa200241