



# International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: [www.ijrpr.com](http://www.ijrpr.com) ISSN 2582-7421

## Impact of FinTech Traditional Financial Services: A Comparative Analysis

*Sumit Rahangdale*

MBA Student, School of Business, Galgotias University, Greater Noida

### ABSTRACT :

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive comparative analysis of the impact of FinTech on traditional financial services in India, with a special focus on branding strategies and consumer behavior. The study investigates how brand perception, loyalty, and trust influence user adoption in both FinTech and traditional financial sectors, especially in rural areas.

**Methodology:** A mixed-method approach was adopted, combining structured field surveys with a literature review. Empirical analysis was conducted using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to explore behavioral intentions, service trust, usability, and social influence. Key data sources include academic journals, industry reports, and primary data collected from rural respondents.

**Findings:** The study finds that FinTech companies have successfully positioned themselves as innovative, user-friendly, and agile through strong digital branding. These qualities appeal to younger, tech-savvy populations. In contrast, traditional financial institutions rely on established trust, reliability, and personal service, which remain valuable to many users. Branding elements such as perceived quality, brand awareness, and association significantly influence consumer decisions in both sectors. A growing trend is the consumer preference for hybrid financial services that blend digital convenience with traditional trust.

**Conclusion:** FinTech is reshaping the financial landscape with its innovation and digital appeal, but traditional providers still hold competitive value through established customer relationships. Both sectors must adapt their branding and service strategies to meet evolving consumer expectations. Future research should further explore how hybrid models and branding integration can drive financial inclusion and long-term industry resilience.

**Keywords:** FinTech, traditional financial services, branding, consumer behavior, financial inclusion, hybrid financial models.

### Introduction

The financial services industry has undergone rapid transformation in the 21st century due to technological advancements, particularly the emergence of Financial Technology (FinTech). With the growing digital population and widespread internet access, consumers are increasingly shifting towards FinTech platforms for financial services. These include digital payments online banking, peer-to-peer lending, robot- advisory, and blockchain-based transactions. Traditional financial institutions are facing stiff competition as consumers gravitate towards fast, convenient, and cost-effective FinTech solutions.

Scholars and practitioners (Zavolokina et al., 2016) have continuously analyzed how FinTech influences customer satisfaction and operational efficiency. The appeal of FinTech is often tied to customer-centric models, simplified processes, and reduced costs. Traditional financial services, on the other hand, still maintain customer trust due to their regulatory compliance, infrastructure, and long-term presence in the market. However, they are pressured to digitize and innovate to keep up with emerging trends.

While FinTech firms emphasize innovation and accessibility, traditional financial institutions offer reliability and comprehensive service portfolios. There is a growing consensus in the literature that consumer loyalty in finance is influenced by ease of access, trust, perceived risk, and service quality. Yet, the relationship between customer satisfaction and technological innovation remains complex, particularly in regions where financial literacy or infrastructure may be limited.

Researchers like Arner, Barberis & Buckley (2015) argue that FinTech success hinges on regulatory adaptability, financial inclusion goals, and partnership opportunities with legacy institutions. Moreover, financial institutions are increasingly integrating FinTech solutions through collaboration, mergers, or internal development to enhance service delivery and competitiveness. Financial services in emerging markets, particularly in Asia and Africa, have shown significant transformation due to mobile money services, which are largely driven by FinTech innovation.

### Literature Review

The relationship between consumer behavior and branding has been a widely researched area in marketing literature, reflecting the pivotal role brands play in shaping consumer attitudes and intentions. This section reviews key theories, models, and findings from previous studies that inform the connection

between branding and consumer behavior, with a focus on brand perception, consumer-brand relationships, emotional branding, and the influence of digital platforms.

#### ***Streamlining with Robotic Process Automation (RPA)***

RPA enables financial institutions to automate repetitive, rule-based tasks, significantly cutting down processing time and costs. It enhances accuracy in processes like KYC, loan processing, and compliance. By reducing reliance on manual workflows, RPA allows staff to focus on strategic roles and supports scalability during demand fluctuations. It also improves system integration and auditability, helping traditional banks modernize and stay competitive.

#### ***Addressing Cybersecurity and Data Privacy Concerns***

FinTech's digital platforms have increased exposure to cyber threats, making cybersecurity a top priority. Financial institutions are adopting advanced tools like AI-based fraud detection, encryption, and biometric authentication. Regulatory compliance with frameworks such as GDPR and RBI mandates is essential. Ongoing collaboration and threat intelligence sharing between banks and FinTech help build a more resilient financial ecosystem.

#### ***Regulatory Emphasis on Data Security***

The financial sector is highly targeted by cyberattacks. Compliance with global and local regulations (e.g., GDPR, PSD2) is critical. Security measures such as multi-layered defenses, biometric tools, and AI-driven threat detection are recommended to protect consumer data and retain trust.

#### ***Decline in Traditional Roles and Rise in Tech Skills***

FinTech integration has led to a decline in traditional banking roles while increasing demand for tech-based skills. Banks are now investing in upskilling employees in areas like cybersecurity, data analytics, and software tools to remain competitive in a digital environment.

#### ***Collaborations with Academia and EdTech***

Banks are partnering with universities and EdTech platforms to close the digital skills gap. These collaborations provide training in AI, blockchain, and cybersecurity, preparing the workforce for evolving industry demands and fostering innovation through continuous learning.

#### ***Cost and Complexity of Regulatory Tech (RegTech)***

RegTech helps institutions manage complex compliance requirements using technologies like AI and blockchain. However, its implementation involves high costs and technical challenges, especially when integrating with legacy systems. Despite this, RegTech remains vital for efficient and scalable regulatory compliance.

---

## **Methodology**

### **Research Approach Sample Design**

The study targeted users of fintech and rural business owners using mobile money services in Haryana's districts—Gurugram, Hisar, and Jhajjar. Using stratified judgmental sampling, 400 respondents were selected and surveyed through closed-ended questionnaires between July and September 2022.

### **Data Collection Method**

Primary data were collected through structured questionnaires using stratified sampling. A pilot study tested the tool. Likert, nominal, and rank-order scales were applied for data scaling.

### **Results**

The model included behavioral intention, service trust, usability, and social influence as predictors of fintech adoption. SEM revealed service trust (0.3823) as the strongest factor, followed by social influence (0.2304), behavioral intention, and usability (0.0839). Most constructs were statistically significant, except social influence.

---

## **Sources of Data**

### **Primary Data**

Collected via first-hand questionnaires from rural fintech users.

### **Secondary Data**

Secondary sources like journals and publications were reviewed but not directly used from any organization.

### **Population of the Study**

The study focused on understanding how behavioral intention, service trust, usability, and social influence affect financial inclusion. Service trust and usability were found to be significantly associated with fintech use, while other variable associations were comparatively weak.

**Sample Size and Sample Technique**

The sample included 400 respondents from rural India, emphasizing the necessity of fintech in addressing poverty and promoting economic growth. The study highlights fintech's potential to improve livelihoods and support financial access in remote regions.

**Ethical Consideration**

Respondents acknowledged the simplicity and affordability of fintech services. While most had a positive experience, they also emphasized the importance of caution and security in using these services.

---

**Limitation****Financial Constraints:**

The study was self-funded, which limited resources available for extensive data collection, advanced tools, and participant incentives. As a result, the sample size was relatively small and restricted to accessible demographics.

**Technological Evolution and Market Dynamics:**

The FinTech industry is characterized by rapid innovation and shifting market conditions. Findings based on data collected at a specific time may become outdated quickly as new technologies regulations, and consumer trends emerge. This temporal limitation means the study's conclusions are a snapshot rather than a long-term trend analysis.

---

**Findings / Analysis****Respondent Demographics:**

The sample mainly consists of young adults, mostly between early adulthood and mid-thirties, who are generally digitally savvy and open to adopting new financial technologies. There is a slight dominance of male respondents, and the education levels range from basic education to postgraduate degrees. Most respondents have significant experience using financial services, making their feedback credible and insightful.

**Branding Strategies:**

FinTech companies focus their branding on digital accessibility, affordable and low-cost transactions, and round-the-clock availability. Traditional financial institutions emphasize their established reputation, personalized customer service, and security as key branding pillars. Customer feedback reflects that FinTech is viewed as innovative and cost-effective, while traditional banks are seen as stable and trustworthy.

**Customer Perception on Branding:**

Customers perceive branding as a combination of multiple elements, including company name, brand image, slogans, and labels. Important factors differentiating financial brands include 24/7 service access, low fees, fast transaction processing, and reliable customer support. Among the brands studied, FinTech brands are preferred by most respondents, indicating their growing influence.

**Brand Loyalty:**

Most respondents show a strong inclination to recommend their financial service brands to others and exhibit a high level of loyalty, even when faced with potential increases in service costs. Nonetheless, a portion of customers remain open to switching brands, indicating a competitive market environment.

**Brand Awareness:**

Respondents generally recall their chosen financial service brands easily when making decisions

**Overall Analysis:**

The findings indicate that customers value brand loyalty, perceived quality, and emotional connection more than simple awareness in their decision to choose financial services. FinTech brands capitalize on innovation, accessibility, and cost-effectiveness, while traditional banks rely on reputation, personalized service, and trust. The results highlight a significant shift in consumer behavior favoring technologically advanced and customer-centric financial service providers.

---

**Conclusion**

This study investigates the evolving impact of Financial Technology (FinTech) on traditional financial services, with a particular focus on how branding influences consumer behavior in both sectors. As the financial services landscape undergoes rapid transformation driven by digital innovation, understanding the branding dynamics that affect consumer choices is crucial for both FinTech firms and traditional institutions.

The findings reveal that FinTech companies have successfully positioned themselves as innovative, convenient, and digitally agile brands. They leverage cutting-edge technology to deliver seamless, user-friendly experiences that appeal primarily to younger, tech-savvy consumers who prioritize convenience and speed. FinTech's strong presence across digital channels, combined with their emphasis on intuitive interfaces and personalized services, has resulted

in high brand awareness and positive consumer perceptions. These branding strengths have contributed significantly to the increased adoption of FinTech products and services, as customers perceive them as modern and efficient alternatives to conventional banking.

On the other hand, traditional financial institutions continue to emphasize trust, reliability, and personalized service as the cornerstone of their brand identity. Despite facing significant challenges in updating their brand image to align with the innovation-driven narrative promoted by FinTech, these institutions benefit from long-standing customer relationships and widespread physical branch networks.

Many consumers still value the security, familiarity, and comprehensive support provided by traditional providers. The study shows that these attributes remain highly relevant in influencing consumer preferences, especially among older or less digitally inclined demographics.

Branding elements such as customer loyalty, brand awareness, perceived quality, and brand association are found to be critical factors shaping consumer buying behavior in both FinTech and traditional financial services. Brand loyalty emerges as a particularly important factor in retaining customers amid intensifying competition between the two sectors.

While FinTech firms focus on attracting new users through innovation and digital convenience, traditional institutions leverage loyalty developed over years of service to maintain their customer base.

An interesting development highlighted by this study is the rising consumer preference for hybrid financial service models that combine the best features of FinTech and traditional banking. These hybrid models integrate FinTech's technological innovation with the trust, personalized attention, and support characteristics of conventional financial institutions. Such convergence presents significant opportunities for collaboration between the two sectors, allowing them to jointly enhance branding efforts and service delivery.

---

## Final Thoughts

This study shows how FinTech is changing the way people use financial services, especially through strong, modern branding that appeals to younger, tech-savvy customers. FinTech companies have built their brands around innovation, ease of use, and digital convenience. Because of this, they're quickly gaining popularity among consumers who want fast, simple solutions accessible right from their phones or computers. Traditional banks and financial institutions still hold a lot of value for many customers, though. Their brand strength comes from years of trust, reliability, and personal relationships.

While they struggle a bit to keep up with FinTech's tech-forward image, their long history and physical presence continue to make them important, especially for customers who prefer face-to-face service or feel more comfortable with familiar brands.

A key takeaway is that branding plays a big role in consumer decisions, things like loyalty, quality perception, and brand familiarity really matter. Loyalty helps traditional providers keep their customers even as new FinTech options emerge.

Interestingly, more people now seem to prefer a mix of both worlds—a hybrid model that combines FinTech's innovation with the trust and support traditional institutions offer. This points to a future where collaboration and blending strengths could benefit everyone involved.

In the end, FinTech and traditional services aren't just competitors, they each bring unique strengths. For long-term success, both need to evolve their branding to match what consumers want today: innovative, reliable, and user-friendly financial solutions.

---

## REFERENCES :

1. Alizadeh A., Moshabaki, A., Hoseini, H. K. S., & Naiej, K. A. (2014). The Comparison of Product and Corporate Branding Strategy: a conceptual framework. IOSR
2. Balmer, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2016). The Evolution of FinTech: A New Post- Crisis Paradigm? *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, 47(4), 1271-1319.
3. Gomber, P., Koch, J.-A., & Siering,
4. M. (2017). Digital Finance and FinTech: current research and future research directions. *Journal of Business Economics*, 87, 537–580.
5. Lee, I., & Shin, Y. J. (2018).
6. FinTech: Ecosystem, business models, investment decisions, and challenges. *Business Horizons*, 61(1), 35-46.
7. Ladhari, R., & Michaud, M. (2015). e-Service quality and e-loyalty: a review and research agenda. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 22, 239-248.
8. Kaur, P., & Kansal, A. (2018). Impact of FinTech innovations on customer satisfaction in banking sector. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7(3.20), 136-140.
9. Pavlou, P. A., & Gefen, D. (2004). Building effective online marketplaces with institution-based trust. *Information Systems Research*, 15(1), 37-59.
10. Gbadeyan, R. A., & Akinlabi, B. H. (2016). Customer satisfaction and loyalty in Nigerian banking sector: The role of product and service quality. *International Journal of Management and Sustainability*, 5(11), 1-10.
11. Chen, L., Mislove, A., & Wilson, C. (2016). Peeking Beneath the Hood of Uber. *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Online Social Networks*, 495-510.
12. Shanmugam, S., & Jain, P. (2018). A study on consumer perception and adoption of FinTech products. *International Journal of Research in Management*, 8(3), 19-29.
13. Ozili, P. K. (2018). Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 18(4), 329-340.
14. Rajesh, R., & Srivastava, A. (2019). Role of branding in influencing customer purchase decision: A comparative study of FinTech and traditional banks. *Journal of Banking and Financial Services*, 13(2), 45-56.
15. Rana, N. P., Dwivedi, Y. K., Williams, M. D., & Weerakkody, V. (2019). A meta-analysis of existing research on FinTech adoption. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 32(3), 315-334.

16. Hwang, H., & Kim, Y. (2018). The role of innovation in enhancing consumer adoption of FinTech services. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 36(7), 1319-1334.
17. Li, J., & Xu, L. D. (2018). Financial innovation and its impact on traditional banking services: Evidence from China. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 23(4), 210-222.
18. Abdullahi, U., & Abu Bakar, N. (2018). Factors influencing the adoption of FinTech services in developing countries: A case study. *Journal of Financial Innovation*, 4(1), 12-25.
19. Nair, A., & Pillai, S. (2017). Branding strategies for financial service companies: A comparative study. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 9(1), 75-84.
20. Srivastava, R. K., & Sharma, A. (2016). Customer perceptions towards FinTech products in the digital era. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 11(5), 88-97.
21. Thakor, A. V. (2020). FinTech and banking: What do we know? *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 41, 100833.
22. Ryu, H. S. (2018). An empirical study on consumer acceptance of FinTech services: Integration of technology acceptance model and trust. *Sustainability*, 10(3).