

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

"E-Marketing & Consumer Loyalty Towards Online Trading of Financial Products of ICICI"

Nishkarsh Kumar¹, Ms.Savneet Kaur²

¹22GSOB1060017, Section-15 6th Sem ²Under the guidance , Professor, SoB, GU, Gautam Budh Nagar Galgotias University School of Business

Abstract:

This research investigates the role of e-marketing strategies in fostering consumer loyalty towards the online trading of financial products offered by ICICI, a leading financial institution in India. The study evaluates how digital innovations, services, and user experience shape consumer behavior and loyalty. It also explores global best practices and draws comparisons to ICICI's offerings. Using both primary and secondary data, including structured questionnaires and market analysis, the study concludes that a blend of technological innovation, transparency, and personalized marketing drives consumer loyalty in online trading.

1. Introduction

Online trading has revolutionized the investment landscape globally. With advancements in e-marketing and fintech, platforms like ICICI Direct have transformed how consumers engage with financial products. This report focuses on ICICI's digital trading platform and its ability to retain customers through loyalty strategies. As India's digital finance market expands, the study also investigates how ICICI compares with international benchmarks in delivering seamless online financial services.

2. Literature Review

Past research emphasizes the significance of customer engagement and digital convenience in influencing user satisfaction in online trading platforms. According to Kotler & Keller (2016), consumer behavior in digital financial markets hinges on trust, ease of use, and perceived security. Studies on global platforms like E*TRADE and Charles Schwab indicate that e-marketing tools such as AI-powered personalization and educational content enhance loyalty. Indian researchers (Sharma & Arora, 2020) found that 3-in-1 accounts, intuitive interfaces, and real-time support significantly improve customer retention.

3. Research Gap

Despite the growth in digital trading platforms, limited research compares consumer loyalty strategies between ICICI and global counterparts. There is also a lack of detailed analysis on how specific e-marketing tools (e.g., automated portfolio recommendations, mobile-first interfaces) impact customer stickiness in India. This study aims to fill that void by offering a comparative perspective and integrating consumer behavior analytics.

4. Research Hypothesis

H1: Effective e-marketing strategies significantly enhance consumer loyalty towards ICICI's online trading services.H0: E-marketing strategies do not have a significant effect on consumer loyalty towards ICICI's online trading services.

5. Research Question

- What is the relationship between e-marketing strategies and consumer loyalty on ICICI's online trading platform?
- How does ICICI's approach compare with global practices in fostering consumer loyalty?

6. Research Objectives

- 1. To analyze the impact of e-marketing on consumer behavior in online trading.
- 2. To assess customer satisfaction and loyalty towards ICICI's financial products.
- 3. To compare ICICI's digital trading services with global platforms.
- 4. To recommend improvements for enhancing ICICI's online trading user experience.

7. Research Methodology

Research Design: Descriptive and comparative.

Data Collection:

• Primary Data: Structured questionnaires with open- and closed-ended questions administered to 100 ICICI users.

• Secondary Data: Company reports, financial websites, SEBI guidelines, and peer-reviewed literature.

Sampling Method: Simple random sampling of ICICI customers across urban centers.

Analysis Tools: Descriptive statistics, comparative analysis, and hypothesis testing using SPSS.

8. Country Comparison: India vs Global Practices

Criteria	ICICI (India)	Global Platforms (e.g., E*TRADE, Fidelity)		
Account Integration	3-in-1 account with savings, trading, and demat	2-in-1 account common, fewer full integrations		
Trading Platform	Trade Racer with browser and software access	AI-powered dashboards, predictive analytics		
Fees	Competitive; free for ICICI bank customers	Commission-free trades common		
Mobile Experience	Responsive but basic	App-first approach with voice and chatbot integration		
Customer Support	Online, call center, email	24/7 live chat, AI bots, in-app advisors		
Investor Education	Moderate (Centre for Financial Learning)	Extensive webinars, simulations, financial coaching		

9. Results and Hypothesis Testing Survey Highlights:

- 78% of ICICI users preferred 3-in-1 accounts for seamless transactions.
- 65% were satisfied with trading speed and security.
- 60% indicated that email and SMS marketing influenced their investment behavior.
- Only 40% felt mobile trading features met global standards.

Hypothesis Testing:

Using a Chi-square test and correlation analysis, results indicated a **significant relationship** between e-marketing tools (personalized recommendations, reminders) and user retention (p < 0.05). Hence, **H1 is accepted**.

10. Conclusion

ICICI's online trading platform has gained trust due to its integrated services and strategic use of e-marketing. While domestic users find the platform reliable and efficient, it still lags behind global leaders in areas like mobile-first design and real-time customer interaction. To retain market leadership, ICICI must continue to innovate digitally, invest in UX design, and integrate AI-driven support systems.

11. References

- ICICI Direct(<u>www.icicidirect.com</u>)
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. (2020). Marketing Management.
- Urban, G., & Hauser, J.(2004). harkening InE-Marketing Strategies.
- SEBI and RBI publications on trading and investor geste
- Financial Express, Business Standard, Moneycontrol.com

Appendix

 1.
 Age:

 □ 18–25 □ 26–35 □ 36–45 □ 46 and above

- 2. Gender:
- □ Male □ Female □ Other
- 3. Occupation:
- \Box Student \Box Salaried \Box Business \Box Retired \Box Other
- 4. Annual Income:

$\Box \qquad < \!\! < \!\! < \!\! 5 \text{ lakh } \Box \not < \!\! 5 - \!\! 10 \text{ lakh } \Box \not < \!\! 10 - \!\! 20 \text{ lakh } \Box \! > \!\! \not < \!\! 20 \text{ lakh }$

Section	2:	Usage	&	Experien	ice		
5.	Do	you	use	ICICIdire	ct.com	for	trading?
	Yes		No				
6.	How	often	do	you	trade	online?	
	Daily \Box Weekly \Box Monthly \Box Rarely						

Section 3: E-Marketing Evaluation

7.	How	informati	ve	are	ICICI's	promotio	nal	emails/S	MS?		
	Not at	all 🗆 Se	omewhat [□ Neutral	□ Effec	ctive 🗆 V	/ery Effec	ctive			
8.	8. Have ICICI's marketing campaigns influenced your decision to invest?										
	Yes 🗆 No	с									
Section	4:	Loyalty	Indicator	rs							
9.	Are	you	likely	to	recomme	nd	ICICI	Direct	to	others?	
	Definitely	v Not		No		Maybe		Yes		Definitely	Yes
10.	Are you	satisfied	with ICIC	I's custon	ner service	and trad	ing platfor	rm?			
	Very Uns	atisfied \Box	Unsatisfied	\Box Neutral	□ Satisfied	🗆 Very Sa	tisfied				

Appendix B: Data Tables

Table 1: Summary of Respondent Demographics (n=100)

Age Group	% of Respondents
18–25	40%
26–35	30%
36–45	20%
46+	10%

Table 2: Trading Frequency

Frequency	% of Users
Daily	18%
Weekly	32%
Monthly	38%
Rarely	12%

Appendix C: Charts and Figures

Figure 1: Impact of E-Marketing on Consumer Loyalty

• A bar chart showing that 65% of users found email/SMS campaigns influential.

Figure 2: Customer Satisfaction Ratings

- Pie chart:
- Very Satisfied: 30%
- Satisfied: 35%
- O Neutral: 20%
- O Unsatisfied: 10%
- Very Unsatisfied: 5%

Appendix D: Comparative Features – India vs. Global

Feature	ICICI (India)	Global Platforms (e.g., TD Ameritrade, Fidelity)
Mobile App UI	Basic	Advanced with AI
Customer Chatbot	Limited	24/7 Smart Assistant
Portfolio Suggestions	Manual/Static	Automated, AI-driven
Education Tools	Moderately rich	Rich with simulators & certification

Appendix E: Statistical Output (SPSS Summary)

Test: Pearson Correlation between E-Marketing Effectiveness & Customer Loyalty

- Correlation Coefficient (r): 0.71
- Significance Level (p-value): 0.001 (p < 0.05)
- Conclusion: Strong positive correlation exists, statistically significant.