

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Employee Performance Prediction Using Machine Learning

Dr. Murthy D H R¹, Manoj G², Manodarshan K³, Shashank T S⁴

¹Associate professor, CS&E R.L.Jalappa Institute of Technology Doddaballapur, Karanataka, India <u>murthydhr@rljit.in</u>

²Computer Science and Engineering R.L.Jalappa institute of Technology Doddaballapur, Karanataka,India <u>manoj00in7@gmail.com</u>

³Computer Science and Engineering R.L.Jalappa institute of Technology Doddaballapur, Karanataka, India <u>manukro369@gmail.com</u>

⁴Computer Science and Engineering R.L.Jalappa institute of Technology Doddaballapur, Karanataka,India shashankts24@gmail.com

ABSTRACT-

In the rapidly evolving digital workplace, optimizing employee performance has become a top priority for organizations. Traditional performance appraisal methods often fall short due to human bias, inconsistency, and lack of real-time adaptability. This paper presents a data-driven solution to predict employee performance using supervised machine learning techniques. We propose a model that leverages employee-specific attributes such as education, department, years of experience, performance history, satisfaction levels, and more to classify employees into performance categories: high, medium, and low. We explore the implementation of algorithms such as Decision Trees, Random Forest, and Support Vector Machines (SVM), and assess their predictive accuracy. A web-based application, built using Streamlit, offers HR departments an intuitive interface for performance forecasting and comparative analysis. Experimental results validate the system's reliability and usability. The proposed system has significant implications for strategic HR planning, talent management, and productivity optimization.

KEYWORDS—Employee Performance Prediction, Supervised Machine Learning, Decision Trees, Random Forest, Support Vector Machines (SVM), Performance Appraisal, Data-Driven Model, HR Analytics, Employee Attributes, Performance Categories, Streamlit Application, Predictive Accuracy, Talent Management, Strategic HR Planning, Productivity Optimization.

Introduction

Employee performance directly influences organizational productivity, profitability, and culture. High-performing employees contribute disproportionately to growth and innovation, whereas underperforming individuals may hinder progress, morale, and service quality. Given the strategic importance of talent management, accurate, unbiased, and scalable performance evaluation tools are essential.

Traditionally, performance reviews have relied on managerial observations, peer feedback, and KPI tracking. While valuable, these methods are susceptible to subjectivity and lack real-time decision support. This makes it difficult for HR professionals to identify patterns, provide timely interventions, and plan effectively.

Machine learning (ML), particularly supervised classification models, provides an opportunity to modernize performance evaluation. By training algorithms on historical employee data—such as demographics, performance scores, job satisfaction, and professional history—ML systems can learn to classify employees into risk or excellence categories, enabling HR to intervene with data-backed strategies.

This paper presents an intelligent performance prediction system using Random Forest and SVM classifiers integrated into a web-based dashboard. It helps automate employee assessment, support performance interventions, and improve retention by identifying potential early.

LITERATURE SURVEY

Several studies and systems have been developed in recent years to address gesture and sign language recognition using artificial intelligence and computer vision. Traditional sign language recognition approaches have largely focused on static gestures or alphabet-based detection, limiting their capacity for dynamic, real-time interactions.

In [1], a CNN-based hand gesture recognition system was introduced to classify static signs from image datasets. However, this system was constrained to single-frame analysis and lacked the temporal awareness necessary for continuous gesture understanding.

To improve accuracy, [2] proposed a glove-based motion tracking system for sign language recognition. While it demonstrated high precision, its reliance on wearable sensors reduced practicality and accessibility for general users. A more accessible alternative was explored in [3], which used webcam input

and OpenCV for recognizing ASL alphabets. Though promising, this system could not handle sentence-level recognition or overlapping gestures, and it did not include live audio output.

In [4], a deep learning approach using Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks was developed to recognize sequences of gestures in sign language videos. This method significantly improved dynamic gesture recognition but demanded large labeled datasets and high computational resources. MediaPipe was applied in [5] for gesture-based keypoint detection, yet its implementation was limited to isolated hand tracking and failed to leverage full-body landmarks for better contextual understanding.

Further efforts in [6] introduced a mobile application for sign recognition, though it lacked real-time feedback and speech synthesis, reducing its effectiveness as a communication tool. A multimodal system integrating gesture and facial cues was presented in [7], yet the absence of an integrated, user-friendly interface limited its practical usability. More recent works, such as [8] and [9], attempted to enhance gesture classification accuracy by combining pose estimation tools with LSTM or GRU-based sequence models. Nevertheless, these systems frequently lacked intuitive user interfaces and speech output functionality.

Finally, [10] highlighted the importance of audio-visual feedback to engage users more effectively. However, challenges such as latency and large model sizes hindered real-time performance and smooth deployment. Despite these advancements, most existing systems fall short in delivering a comprehensive solution that includes real-time gesture detection, full-body landmark tracking, responsive visual feedback, and audio synthesis. This paper addresses these limitations by proposing a system that integrates MediaPipe Holistic for complete keypoint detection, LSTM networks for temporal classification, and speech synthesis in a user-friendly interface—enabling seamless and accessible communication for the deaf and mute community.

PROPOSED SYSTEM

The system predicts employee performance by classifying employees into high, medium, or low performers using supervised learning. It uses HR attributes such as:

- Job satisfaction
- Last salary hike percentage
- Years of experience
- Number of companies worked
- Work environment feedback
- Department and role
- Promotion history

The system's workflow includes:

- 1. Data Collection: Raw HR datasets from internal databases or Excel inputs.
- 2. Preprocessing: Imputation of missing values, label encoding for categorical data, and scaling of numerical fields.
- 3. Feature Selection: Filtering of high-variance or correlated features using correlation matrices and PCA.
- 4. Model Training: Training and validation using multiple classifiers. Random Forest achieved the best results.
- **5. Deployment:** An interactive Streamlit app for prediction, comparison, and Excel-based data tracking.

This approach ensures both model performance and accessibility for non-technical users.

IV. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The architecture of the proposed employee performance evaluation system is designed as a modular pipeline that supports data collection, processing, prediction, and deployment. It ensures scalability, usability, and accuracy in classifying employee performance levels. The architectural flow includes the following components:

1. Data Ingestion and Preprocessing:

Raw HR data is collected from both primary sources (e.g., employee surveys) and secondary sources (e.g., HR databases).

Preprocessing steps include:

- Handling Missing Data through imputation.
- Normalization and Standardization of numerical features.
- Label Encoding of categorical variables for model compatibility.
- 2. Feature Extraction:

Key performance-related features are selected, including KPIs, attendance, promotion history, and training completion rates. **Dimensionality Reduction** techniques like PCA are applied to retain only the most relevant attributes and reduce computational load.

3. Model Building:

The system uses supervised machine learning algorithms such as **Decision Trees**, **Random Forest**, and **SVM**.Data is split into training and testing sets to ensure generalization and prevent overfitting.

4. Model Training and Evaluation

Cleaned data is fed into the selected models. Models are evaluated using metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score.

5. Hyperparameter Tuning:

Performance is improved using tuning methods like: Grid Search or Random Search. Cross-Validation to validate model robustness and prevent overfitting.

6. Deployment:

The trained model is deployed via a **Streamlit-based web application**.Key deployment features include:

- Real-Time Prediction Interface for HR users.
- Interactive Dashboards to visualize employee performance trends.
- Excel File Integration for storing predictions and comparisons. Comparison Module to assess current versus previous performance data.

Fig. 1. Methodology





IMPLEMENTATION

Technologies Used:

- Python 3.10
- Scikit-learn for model development
- Pandas and NumPy for data handling
- Streamlit for app development
- Matplotlib for visual analytics

Classifier Configuration:

python RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=200, max_depth=10, class_weight='balanced', random_state=42

)

Workflow:

- HR uploads Excel sheet.
- Model is trained in real-time or preloaded.
- Employee attributes are entered via dropdown selectors.
- Prediction is shown instantly with probability scores.
- Output is saved to Excel for future comparison.

The app also includes a "Compare Performance" feature, where past and present evaluations for the same employee ID can be viewed side-by-side.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

After training the Random Forest model on real HR data, we achieved:

- Accuracy: 91.2%
- Precision : 88.5%
- Recall : 90.1%
- F1 Score: 89.3%

Feature Importance :

- 1. Job Satisfaction
- 2. Last Salary Hike Percentage

C:/Us

huram Singh/O

- 3. Number of Companies Worked
- 4. Job Role
- 5. Department

The Streamlit interface was tested by a group of HR managers who reported improved clarity in decision-making and reduced time in preparing evaluation reports. The Excel export feature enabled easy integration with current reporting formats.

SAMPLE OUTPUT

Employee Performance Prediction

Ð	Drag and d	rop file he per file - Xi	ere LSK			Browse files
	Employee o	lata FINA	L.xlsx 397.488			×
Data Pre	eview:					
	Emp Number	Gender	Education Background	Marital Status	Emp Department	Emp Job Role
0	E100001	Male	Medical	Single	Sales	Sales Executive
1	E100002	Male	Marketing	Married	Development	Developer
2	E100003	Male	Marketing	Single	Sales	Sales Representative
3	E100004	Female	Medical	Single	Development	Senior Developer
- 4	E100005	Female	Life Sciences	Married	Development	Developer
5	E100006	Female	Life Sciences	Married	Development	Developer
6	E100007	Female	Medical	Divorced	Development	Developer
	E100008	Male	Medical	Married	Development	Developer
8	E100009	Male	Technical Degree	Married	Development	Developer
: 9	E100010	Male	Marketing	Single	Development	Senior Developer

Fig. 1. Streamlit Web Application Interface

This screenshot shows the main interface of the employee performance prediction application, where users can upload datasets and preview employee records.

Predict Employee Performance

~
~

Select Emp Environment Satisfaction	
1	× .
Select Emp Job Involvement	
1	*
Select Emp Job Level	
1	~
Select Num Companies Worked	
1	~
Select Emp Last Salary Hike Percent	
5	~
Select Total Work Experience In Years	
1	~

Fig. 2. User Input Form in Streamlit

This figure displays the form through which users input or select employee details for performance prediction

Predict Performance	
Predicted Performance: decreases	
Save Inputs to New Excel	
Inputs and prediction saved to C:/Users/	Parashuram Singh/OneDrive/Desktop/Book1.xlsx

Fig. 3. Predicted Employee Performance

The screenshot illustrates the output section after submitting inputs, showing the predicted performance category (e.g., increase, decrease, neutral).

Compare Employee Performance

Enter E	np	loyee Nun	nber to Compare				
E100	001	L					
Com	pa	re Perfor	mance				
Old Pe	rfo	rmance:					
	et	sine write	Emp performance ra	sting in with	required to WPD	Employee perform	ance when shifted to WED
0		262,500		.9	NO	neutral	
New P	erfi	ormance	e				
	ł	Revenue	e generated per emp	Emp perfei	mance rating in wh	r /equired to WFO	Predicted Performance
3.0	>		214,500		6.5	XES	neutral
3.1	•		63,000		(6.)	NO	decreases
32	9		262,500		8	NO	neutral
3.3	×		262,500			NO NO	neutral
- 2.5	2		262,500		1	NO	neutral
15	5		262,500		3	NO	neutral
19	3		262,500		1	NQ NO	neutral
20	2		63,000		6.1	YES	decreases

Fig. 4. Predicted Employee Performanc

This figure demonstrates how users can compare current predictions with previous performance records using an employee number.

		84.444		20.00	17233	1.200														1000		-
Phome	Hisert	Pagel	ayera	Formulas	Outa	Reven	April 1	Help												10100	(Vitients)	2.5
L A	1		-[0	-) A'	* 8	E)==	÷-	10 Way I	eet	1	erente La contrata	3	團	1	1	橿	署	Ħ	五-	部 2	と超	
5		1 11 -	Ш. «	8-4	7	三(田)日	2.2	I though	& Center	* 8	5 - 56 9 12	4	foresting -	Table -	- 23/04 *	-	- Deleter	-	4-	Table - Table	te neer	100
pinet (6 () ()		-		16		100			14	that her	19		Marine.			240			the second	hall	4
-	11	5	Dep Ma	other																		
¥. 1	10	- 20			201	14		14	1.0		- 1911 - S	14	1440					145	1.15	a) (22	140	
marine	-	erearda	a 1 Salar	w Hilleds Free	0	Times lad	vels tille #	Veara te Ca	are tast be	1000	tion taken in		le ser insure	ine beauty	to work a		ested by	errorate	dine.	on radiation of the	Wined Rev	
1	3		1	11	10	4	1	1 1	0	Mo.	1500000 %	pine e	in an annual	0	1	10	1762500	26250	0	1 100	THINTS	-
	1		1	11	10		1.1		a	No	1500000 h	ane		b.	2	85	1762500	26352	10	1 100	Instrail	£
1	- 3		5	32	10	- 4	1 12		0	Mo.	1500000 %	bone		3	2	45	1762500	26256	10	6.5-YES	restrat	6.
1			.9	22	10	4	1 12		0	No	1500008 %	and a		1	12	.85	1762500	26256	30	6.5 105	instrat	6
- 3	2		4	22		2	14	5	3 4	No	1500000 h	are:		2	0	300	1762500	26256	80	2 NO	rentral	É.
-1	1		3	5	2	3	- 12	1 1	2	Yes	360000 a	then .		2	3	75	423000	17850	30	6.5 165	restrat	E.
4	1		3	87	.2		- 2	1		Vei	360000 ()	Nie.		2		35	429990	17854	30	6-5 YES	neutral	£1.
2	7		1		4		1	5 B	1 1	Yes	300000 h	of set of		1	.3	75	634500	6300	10	6.5 705	decreat	sen.
1.2	3		*	15	. 6	- 0	- 4	4	5 (A	Ma	1500000 h	one		1	0	75	1702500	16250	00	6.5.90	Increase	έŝ,
- 1	5		4	- 55	6		- Já	4		No	1500000 h	aine		1	0	75	1762500	36250	00	6.5 90	increase	26
4	4		5		10	1	14	1 S	5	No.	540000 h	one .		3	- 2	35	634500	23450	10	6.5 YES	restration	5
1			3	- 4	1.7		1		김 - 영	700	960300 h	ame .		3	. 8	.75-	634500	6300	33	6.5 NO	shinning	ún;
1	3		3	32	10		- 3	9	1 d	No.	1500000 h	arrie .		ē	2	85	1762500	26250	00	# NO	(instreet	Ē.
- 3	3		3	- 51	10	4	- 12	2 9	d	No	L\$00000 h	ame		ð:	2	85	1762500	26250	30	9 NO	ntutral	6
2	3		3	11	10		10	i 9	0	180	1500000 h	prime .		0	2	-85	1762599	26250	30	# MO	manal	1
	- 14		3	11	10			E	đ	100	1500000 h	anwi -		¢.	12	-85	1762500	26250	NT .	12.140	vestral	1
- 3			1	11	10		- 3	6 18	σ	No	1500000 h	orwi .		÷	2	85	1762500	26230	80	II NO	mutral	£.,
1.1	18		3	11	10			E		No	L'500000 h	ore .		Ø	2	85	1762500	26350	30	E 90	resultrel	Е.
1	2		3	5				1	0	No	360000 0	Hir.			1	75	634500	6100	30	6.5.195	desteas	199
- 4	3		3	- 11	10	4	- 3	e 3	.0	260	1500000 h	9446		¥.		85	1762500	26250	30	\$ NO	matriel	6
- 3	1		3	3	- T	3	1 1	0	() () (Mo	380000 h	orw.		Ø.,	0	75	429000	8300	100	6.5 705	theorem	100

Fig. 5. Saved Prediction in Excel File

The Excel output stores user inputs and predicted results, supporting future comparisons and analysis.

CONCLUSION

This study presents an end-to-end ML-based system for predicting employee performance. By leveraging data on job satisfaction, experience, and performance history, we create a model that aids in unbiased and accurate evaluations. The system's interactive interface ensures accessibility, while its strong validation metrics confirm its real-world utility. By replacing subjective reviews with quantitative analysis, organizations can foster fairness, engagement, and strategic workforce planning.

FUTURE SCOPE

The current study demonstrates the effectiveness of machine learning models in predicting employee performance based on structured HR data. However, several opportunities exist to extend this research and enhance its real-world applicability:

1. Integration of Unstructured Data:

Future implementations can incorporate unstructured data sources such as email sentiment, peer feedback, or performance reviews using natural language processing (NLP). This would provide a more comprehensive evaluation of employee behavior and engagement.

2. Real-Time Prediction Models:

Integrating real-time data streams from HR management systems and productivity tools (e.g., Jira, Slack, or Microsoft Teams) could allow for continuous performance monitoring and dynamic decision-making.

3. Explainable AI (XAI):

Incorporating explainable AI techniques will enhance model transparency and foster trust among HR professionals and employees by providing clear justifications for each prediction.

4. Cross-Organization Model Generalization:

Future work could focus on testing model generalizability across different industries, organizational sizes, and geographies, thereby enhancing its adaptability and robustness.

5. Ethical and Fairness Audits:

As predictive systems gain influence over HR decisions, future research should focus on fairness-aware modeling techniques that actively mitigate algorithmic bias and support equitable workplace practices

REFERENCES

- 1. Adeoye, "Fusion of Business Analytics and Machine Learning," SSRN, 2024.
- 2. Parnitvitidkun et al. (2024). IT professionals' innovative behavior. J. Open Innov., 10(1).
- 3. Opassuwan & Wannamakok (2024). Firm-university engagement in Thailand. J. Open Innov., 10(1).
- 4. ScienceDirect (2024). Unbiased employee performance evaluation using ML.
- 5. Hwang et al. (2024). *Research support capability and satisfaction*.
- 6. Ekenstedt et al. (2024). Value chain analysis: Swedish Armed Forces.
- 7. Yun et al. (2024). Open innovation in telemedicine.
- 8. Zhenjing et al. (2022). Workplace environment & employee performance. Front. Public Health
- 9. Sahinbas, K. (2022). Employee promotion prediction using ML. ICMI 2022.
- 10. Recilla et al. (2024). Employee turnover prediction via GA. ICESC 2024.