International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

# Hydrogel Scaffolds in Tissue Engineering: Advancing Design, Applications, and Clinical Translation

*Vikash Kumar*[*1] *, Shailendra, Shiv Sagar*[2]*, Kamal Singh Bani*[*3]*, Dr. Nakul Gupta*[4]

IIMT College of Pharmacy, Greater Noida

**ABSTRACT :**

Hydrogel scaffolds have emerged as a transformative platform in tissue engineering, offering exceptional biocompatibility, tunable mechanical properties, and the ability to mimic the extracellular matrix (ECM). These hydrophilic polymeric networks support cellular adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation, making them ideal for applications in regenerative medicine, including wound healing, bone regeneration, and organ repair. Recent advancements in polymer chemistry, nanotechnology, and artificial intelligence (AI) have enabled the development of smart and hybrid hydrogels with enhanced functionality and precision. Despite their potential, challenges such as limited mechanical strength, poor vascularization, and scalability hinder their clinical translation. Innovations in fabrication techniques like 3D bioprinting and microfluidics, alongside bioactive molecule integration and AI-driven design strategies, are addressing these limitations. This review highlights the properties, types, fabrication methods, applications, and challenges of hydrogel scaffolds while exploring future directions to accelerate their clinical implementation. By leveraging interdisciplinary advancements, hydrogel scaffolds hold the promise of revolutionizing tissue engineering and personalized medicine.

**Keywords**: Hydrogel scaffolds, tissue engineering, extracellular matrix, regenerative medicine, smart hydrogels, 3D printing.

## Introduction

Hydrogel scaffolds represent a pivotal innovation in tissue engineering, offering a unique ability to mimic the extracellular matrix (ECM) and support cellular processes essential for tissue regeneration [1]. These hydrophilic polymeric networks are characterized by their high water retention, biocompatibility, and tunable mechanical properties, making them ideal candidates for applications ranging from wound healing to organ repair [2]. Their ability to facilitate nutrient diffusion and cell adhesion while maintaining structural integrity underscores their importance in biomedical research [3].

The concept of hydrogel scaffolds dates back to the mid-20$^{th}$ century when they were first introduced as experimental tools for drug delivery and wound healing [4]. Over the decades, advancements in polymer chemistry and material science have transformed hydrogels into versatile platforms for tissue engineering [5]. Notably, interdisciplinary innovations such as nanotechnology, bioinformatics, and artificial intelligence (AI) have significantly influenced hydrogel scaffold design. AI-driven modeling now enables precise predictions of scaffold performance [6], while nanotechnology facilitates the development of hybrid and nanocomposite hydrogels with enhanced mechanical properties [7]. Milestones such as the FDA approval of hydrogel-based products like Apligraf® and Juvéderm® have marked significant progress toward clinical translation [8].

Despite their promising features, the clinical translation of hydrogel scaffolds remains challenging. Issues such as poor vascularization, limited mechanical strength, and inadequate interaction with stromal cells hinder their widespread adoption [9]. Innovations in fabrication techniques, including 3D printing, electrospinning, and in situ engineering, have emerged as potential solutions to address these limitations [10]. Furthermore, the integration of bioactive molecules and hybrid materials has opened new avenues for enhancing scaffold functionality [11].

This review aims to explore the advancements in hydrogel scaffold technology, highlighting their applications in tissue engineering while addressing existing challenges. By examining recent developments and future directions, this article seeks to provide insights into the design strategies that can accelerate the clinical implementation of hydrogel-based scaffolds.

## Properties of Hydrogel Scaffolds

Hydrogel scaffolds exhibit a range of unique properties that make them highly suitable for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine [1]. These properties enable hydrogels to provide structural support, mimic the extracellular matrix (ECM), and foster an environment conducive to cellular growth and differentiation [3].

### *Biocompatibility and Non-Toxicity*

Hydrogels are widely recognized for their biocompatibility, ensuring minimal immune response or toxicity when used in biological systems [12]. This feature is critical for applications where scaffolds directly interact with living tissues, promoting cell adhesion and proliferation without adverse effects

[13].

***High Water Content and Permeability***

The hydrophilic nature of hydrogel scaffolds allows them to retain substantial amounts of water, creating a hydrated environment similar to native tissues [2]. This property facilitates the diffusion of nutrients, oxygen, and waste products, which is essential for maintaining cellular activity and supporting tissue regeneration [14].

***Mechanical Stability and Tunability***

Hydrogels offer adjustable mechanical properties that can be tailored to match the stiffness or elasticity of various tissues [15]. For instance, softer hydrogels can be used for skin or cartilage repair, while more rigid formulations may be suitable for bone tissue engineering [16]. This tunability ensures compatibility with diverse tissue types.

***Biodegradability***

One of the defining features of hydrogel scaffolds is their ability to degrade naturally over time [17]. Controlled biodegradation ensures that the scaffold provides temporary structural support while being replaced by newly formed tissue [3]. Importantly, the degradation byproducts are non-toxic, making hydrogels safe for long-term use [18].

***Customizable Physical and Chemical Properties***

Hydrogels can be modified through various crosslinking techniques—chemical, physical, or dynamic—to achieve desired mechanical strength, porosity, or bioactivity [19]. Incorporating bioactive molecules into the hydrogel matrix further enhances cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation [11].

***Interfacial Properties and Bioadhesion***

Interfacial properties such as wettability and surface modifications significantly influence cell attachment and scaffold integration [20]. Hydrogels can be engineered to possess bioadhesive characteristics that allow them to adhere strongly to surrounding tissues [21]. This is particularly beneficial in applications like wound healing or cartilage repair. For example, hydrogels with tailored adhesive properties can improve scaffold retention at the injury site while enhancing cellular interactions crucial for regeneration [22].

***Porosity and Pore Size Control***

The porous structure of hydrogel scaffolds plays a vital role in facilitating cell migration and nutrient transport while maintaining mechanical integrity [23]. Pore size can be precisely engineered to optimize these functions; for example, smaller pores may enhance cellular interactions while larger pores improve vascularization [24].

***Responsiveness to External Stimuli***

Advanced hydrogels can respond to external stimuli such as temperature, pH, or light, enabling controlled drug release or dynamic changes in scaffold properties [25]. These smart hydrogels offer innovative solutions for wound healing and other therapeutic applications [26].
These properties collectively make hydrogel scaffolds versatile tools in tissue engineering, offering solutions to complex challenges such as vascularization, mechanical stability, and clinical translation [9]. By leveraging these characteristics—including interfacial properties and bioadhesion—researchers continue to refine hydrogel designs to improve their efficacy in medical applications.

## Types of Hydrogel Scaffolds

Hydrogel scaffolds can be classified based on their polymer origin and structural composition, offering diverse solutions for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine [1]. Below are the primary types, with additional insights into nanocomposite hydrogels and a comparison table.

***Natural Hydrogels***

Natural hydrogels are derived from biological sources such as proteins (e.g., collagen, gelatin) and polysaccharides (e.g., alginate, chitosan) [27]. These materials exhibit excellent biocompatibility and biodegradability, closely mimicking the extracellular matrix (ECM) [13]. However, their mechanical properties can be inconsistent, limiting their use in applications requiring high structural stability [28].

***Synthetic Hydrogels***

Synthetic hydrogels are fabricated using polymers like polyethylene glycol (PEG), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), and poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA)

[29]. These materials provide consistent mechanical properties, tunable degradation rates, and enhanced control over scaffold architecture [15]. Their versatility makes them suitable for applications requiring customized designs. Synthetic hydrogels can also be molecularly tailored to mimic ECM-like properties [30].

***Hybrid Hydrogels***

Hybrid hydrogels combine natural and synthetic polymers to leverage the strengths of both [31]. For example, integrating alginate with PEG enhances biocompatibility while improving mechanical stability [32]. These hydrogels are particularly useful for applications requiring both bioactivity and durability. Hybrid systems also allow the incorporation of nanoparticles or nanofibers to further enhance mechanical strength and biofunctionality [7].

***Nanocomposite Hydrogels***

Nanocomposite hydrogels incorporate nanoparticles such as graphene oxide, silica, or gold to enhance mechanical properties, electrical conductivity, and bioactivity [7]. These hydrogels are particularly promising for applications in neural tissue engineering and bone regeneration due to their ability to improve cellular interactions and scaffold robustness [33]. Nanoparticles also enable controlled drug delivery by responding to external stimuli [34].

***Bioactive Hydrogels***

Bioactive hydrogels incorporate functional molecules such as growth factors, peptides, or enzymes to actively promote cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation [11]. These scaffolds mimic ECM-like biofunctions and are increasingly used for targeted tissue regeneration [35].

***Smart Hydrogels***

Smart hydrogels respond to external stimuli like temperature, pH, or light to dynamically alter their properties [25]. These advanced scaffolds are used for controlled drug delivery or adaptive tissue engineering applications [26].

***Injectable Hydrogels***

Injectable hydrogels offer minimally invasive delivery methods for filling defects or encapsulating cells directly at the injury site [36]. They are particularly valuable in regenerating delicate tissues like the nervous system [37].
This classification demonstrates the versatility of hydrogel scaffolds in addressing complex challenges in tissue engineering while paving the way for innovative biomedical applications [2].

## Fabrication Techniques for Hydrogel Scaffolds

The fabrication of hydrogel scaffolds plays a crucial role in determining their structural, mechanical, and biological properties, which are essential for their effectiveness in tissue engineering applications [1]. Various methods have been developed to create scaffolds with precise architectures and functional characteristics tailored to specific biomedical needs [3]. Below is an expanded overview of fabrication techniques, incorporating advanced strategies.

***3D Printing Techniques***

Advanced 3D printing methods, such as stereolithography (SLA), fused deposition modeling (FDM), and inkjet bioprinting, are widely used for hydrogel scaffold fabrication [10]. These techniques allow for the creation of complex and highly precise structures with controlled porosity and spatial organization [38]. Multi-material bioprinting has emerged as a key advancement, enabling the simultaneous use of multiple bio-inks to fabricate functional tissues with varying mechanical and biological properties [39]. Additionally, bio-inks containing cells and growth factors can be directly printed into scaffolds, making them ideal for personalized tissue engineering applications [40].

***Freeze-Drying***

Freeze-drying involves the use of ice crystals as porogens to form porous structures within hydrogels [41]. This technique is particularly advantageous for creating scaffolds with adjustable pore sizes, which are critical for nutrient diffusion and cell migration [14]. Additionally, freeze-drying is a solvent-free process, making it suitable for sensitive biological materials [42].

***Solvent Casting and Particle Leaching***

This method uses polymer solutions combined with porogen particles that are later removed to create porous scaffolds [43]. Solvent casting allows for precise control over porosity; however, the use of cytotoxic solvents may require additional processing to ensure biocompatibility [44].

***Gas Foaming***

Gas foaming employs inert gases to generate pores within polymer matrices [45]. This low-cost technique produces highly porous scaffolds suitable for tissue engineering applications [23]. It can also be combined with other methods to enhance scaffold properties [46].

***Electrospinning***

Electrospinning is used to produce nanofibrous hydrogel scaffolds that closely mimic the fibrous structure of the extracellular matrix (ECM) [47]. These scaffolds promote cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation due to their ECM-like architecture [13]. Cell electrospinning has emerged as an innovative approach that enables simultaneous scaffold fabrication and cell loading [48].

***Crosslinking Methods***

Crosslinking stabilizes hydrogel structures by forming polymeric networks:Chemical Crosslinking: Uses chemical agents to create permanent bonds between polymer chains, enhancing mechanical strength [19].Physical Crosslinking: Relies on temperature changes, light exposure, or pressure to form reversible bonds without chemical additives [49]. This method is ideal for applications requiring dynamic scaffold properties [25].

***Self-Assembling Hydrogels***

Self-assembling hydrogels rely on molecular interactions such as hydrogen bonding or ionic interactions to drive scaffold formation without external fabrication processes [50]. These hydrogels offer simplicity in design while maintaining high biocompatibility and tunability [51].

***Microfluidic Approaches***

Microfluidic techniques enable precise control over scaffold architecture by creating vascularized structures within hydrogels [52]. This approach is particularly useful for developing scaffolds that mimic natural tissue environments by integrating microvascular networks essential for nutrient transport and oxygen diffusion [53].

These fabrication techniques collectively enable the development of hydrogel scaffolds with tailored properties suited to diverse tissue engineering challenges [9]. By integrating emerging technologies like multi-material bioprinting, self-assembling hydrogels, and microfluidic approaches with traditional methods such as freeze-drying and crosslinking, researchers can design scaffolds optimized for clinical translation [54].

## Applications in Tissue Engineering

Hydrogel scaffolds have revolutionized tissue engineering by offering versatile solutions for regenerating damaged tissues and supporting cellular functions [1]. Their ability to mimic the extracellular matrix (ECM) and provide a hydrated, biocompatible environment makes them ideal for numerous biomedical applications [2]. Below is an expanded overview of their applications, incorporating disease-specific uses and emerging innovations.

***Skin Regeneration and Wound Healing***

Hydrogels are widely used in skin regeneration due to their ability to mimic the ECM and promote cell migration, adhesion, and proliferation [13]. They provide a moist environment that accelerates wound healing while preventing infections [55]. Incorporating bioactive molecules or growth factors further enhances their therapeutic efficacy, making them suitable for chronic wound treatment and burn injuries [56].

***Bone Tissue Engineering***

Hydrogel scaffolds play a crucial role in bone regeneration by providing structural support and facilitating osteogenic differentiation [57]. Their tunable mechanical properties allow them to mimic the stiffness of bone tissue while promoting the integration of stem cells and bioactive molecules to enhance bone repair [16].

***Cardiovascular Tissue Engineering***

In cardiovascular applications, hydrogels are used to create vascular grafts and cardiac patches that mimic the elasticity of native tissues [58]. Their ability to support endothelial cell growth and vascularization makes them promising candidates for repairing damaged blood vessels or heart tissues [59].

***Nervous System Repair***

Hydrogels offer a supportive matrix for neural tissue engineering by encouraging neural cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation [37]. They can be engineered to release growth factors or therapeutic agents that aid in nerve regeneration, making them valuable for treating neurodegenerative diseases or spinal cord injuries [60].

***Liver Tissue Engineering***

Hydrogels are increasingly being explored for liver tissue engineering due to their ability to support hepatocyte function and mimic the liver's microenvironment [61]. They can be used as platforms for studying liver diseases or as scaffolds for liver regeneration in cases of acute injury or chronic liver conditions [62].

***Cartilage Repair***

Hydrogels are ideal for cartilage repair as they can replicate the viscoelastic properties of cartilage tissue while promoting chondrocyte proliferation and matrix synthesis [21]. Injectable hydrogel formulations allow minimally invasive delivery directly into cartilage defects [63].

***Pancreatic Islet Transplantation***

In diabetes treatment, hydrogels serve as encapsulation matrices for pancreatic islets, protecting them from immune rejection while maintaining their functionality for insulin secretion [64].

***Tumor Modeling and Cancer Research***

Hydrogels mimic the tumor microenvironment by replicating its biochemical and mechanical properties, making them valuable tools for studying cancer progression and testing anti-cancer therapies [65]. These scaffolds allow researchers to develop personalized treatment strategies based on patient-specific tumor models [66].

***Ocular Tissue Engineering and Ophthalmic Applications***

Beyond corneal repair, hydrogels are used in ophthalmology for drug-eluting contact lenses, intraocular implants, and artificial corneas [67]. Their transparency, biocompatibility, and ability to deliver therapeutic agents make them ideal candidates for treating ocular diseases [68].
These diverse applications highlight the transformative potential of hydrogel scaffolds in addressing complex challenges in tissue engineering [11]. By tailoring their physical, chemical, and biological properties, researchers continue to expand their utility across various medical fields while paving the way for clinical translation [54].

## Challenges and Limitations of Hydrogel Scaffolds

Hydrogel scaffolds have shown remarkable promise in tissue engineering due to their ability to mimic the extracellular matrix (ECM) and support cellular processes [1]. However, several challenges and limitations continue to hinder their full potential in clinical applications [9]. Below is an expanded overview incorporating immune response and commercialization challenges.

***Limited Mechanical Strength***

Hydrogels often lack the mechanical robustness required for load-bearing applications, such as bone or cartilage regeneration [15]. Their inherently soft and flexible nature, while beneficial for mimicking soft tissues, makes them prone to deformation under physiological stresses [69].

***Inadequate Vascularization***

One of the most critical challenges is the inability of hydrogels to promote vascularization effectively [9]. The mismatch between the pore size of conventional hydrogels and cellular dimensions restricts nutrient and oxygen diffusion, especially in larger constructs, leading to poor tissue survival [70].

***Poor Interaction with Stromal Cells***

Many hydrogels fail to replicate the biochemical cues of the ECM, resulting in inadequate cell adhesion, migration, and proliferation [13]. Synthetic hydrogels like polyethylene glycol (PEG) are inherently cell-repellent, limiting their ability to support cellular integration without additional functionalization [71].

***Challenges in Porosity and Microarchitecture***

Achieving precise control over porosity and pore size is essential for promoting cell migration and nutrient diffusion [23]. Traditional fabrication methods often struggle to create scaffolds with uniform microarchitectural features, leading to inconsistent cellular responses [72].

***Biodegradation Issues***

While biodegradability is a desirable feature, uncontrolled degradation can lead to premature scaffold failure or toxic byproducts that interfere with tissue regeneration [17]. Designing hydrogels with predictable degradation rates remains a challenge [73].

***Immune Response and Foreign Body Reaction***

Some hydrogels trigger mild inflammatory responses or foreign body reactions upon implantation [74]. This can compromise scaffold performance and tissue integration. Strategies such as incorporating anti-inflammatory agents or designing immune-compatible hydrogel formulations are being explored to mitigate these issues [75].

***Scalability and Reproducibility***

The complexity of hydrogel fabrication techniques poses challenges for scaling up production while maintaining consistent quality [41]. Variability in structure and composition can impact scaffold performance during clinical use [76].

***Commercialization and Cost Challenges***

The high cost of hydrogel fabrication, combined with stringent regulatory requirements, limits their widespread adoption in clinical practice [77]. Developing scalable manufacturing processes that reduce costs without compromising quality is essential for commercialization [54]. Additionally, long-term safety studies are required to ensure regulatory approval [78].

Addressing these challenges requires interdisciplinary efforts to improve material design, optimize fabrication techniques, enhance biological functionality, and develop scalable manufacturing processes [11]. By overcoming these obstacles—particularly immune responses and commercialization barriers—hydrogel scaffolds can fulfill their potential as transformative tools in tissue engineering.

## Future Directions for Hydrogel Scaffolds in Tissue Engineering

The continued evolution of hydrogel scaffolds holds immense promise for overcoming current limitations and expanding their applications in regenerative medicine [1]. Advancing their design, fabrication, and clinical utility is pivotal for unlocking their full potential [9]. Below is an expanded overview incorporating AI-driven design, 4D bioprinting, and genetically engineered hydrogels.

***Development of Smart Hydrogels***

Smart hydrogels that respond to external stimuli such as temperature, pH, or light are gaining attention for dynamic tissue engineering applications [25]. These hydrogels can enable controlled drug release, adaptive mechanical properties, and real-time responses to the tissue microenvironment [26]. Innovations such as supramolecular and nanofiber-infused hydrogels are expected to enhance bioactivity and mechanical strength [79].

***AI-Driven Hydrogel Design***

Artificial intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing hydrogel scaffold development by enabling predictive modeling of material composition and scaffold performance [6]. Machine learning algorithms can analyze large datasets to optimize hydrogel formulations for specific tissue types, predict degradation rates, and simulate cellular interactions within the scaffold [80]. This approach accelerates the design process while ensuring precision in tailoring scaffolds for complex regenerative applications [81].

***Enhanced Fabrication Techniques***

Emerging technologies like 3D bioprinting, in situ engineering, and cell electrospinning offer integrated approaches to scaffold fabrication and cell loading [10]. These methods allow for precise control over scaffold architecture while simultaneously incorporating cells and bioactive molecules, streamlining the production process for clinical use [48].

***4D Bioprinting***

4D bioprinting introduces time-dependent functionality to hydrogel scaffolds, enabling them to change properties or morphologies in response to environmental stimuli such as temperature or mechanical forces [82]. This innovation is particularly promising for creating shape-morphing implants or scaffolds that adapt dynamically during tissue regeneration [83].

***Improved Vascularization Strategies***

Addressing the challenge of vascularization remains a priority [70]. Incorporating angiogenic factors or prevascularized structures into hydrogel scaffolds can facilitate the formation of functional blood vessels within engineered tissues, ensuring long-term viability of larger constructs [84].

***Hybrid Hydrogel Designs***

Hybrid hydrogels that combine natural and synthetic polymers offer a balanced approach to achieving biocompatibility, mechanical strength, and tunable degradation rates [31]. These designs can be tailored for specific tissue types, enhancing their versatility in regenerative applications [32].

***Functionalization with Bioactive Molecules***

Future hydrogel scaffolds will increasingly integrate growth factors, peptides, or genetic materials to promote targeted cellular behaviors such as adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation [11]. This functionalization can improve scaffold performance in complex tissue environments [35].

***Genetically Engineered Hydrogels***

Genetically engineered hydrogels incorporate peptides or synthetic DNA sequences designed to enhance bioactivity and cellular communication [85]. These advanced materials can mimic natural ECM signaling pathways more effectively than traditional hydrogels, making them ideal for tissue-specific applications such as neural or cardiac repair [86].

***Tissue-Specific Applications***

Hydrogel scaffolds are being tailored for specialized applications such as neural tissue repair [37], cardiovascular regeneration [58], liver tissue engineering [61], and ocular treatments [67]. Biomimetic approaches that replicate the unique properties of these tissues will drive advancements in personalized medicine [87].

***Clinical Translation and Scalability***

Efforts to standardize fabrication processes and address regulatory hurdles will be critical for accelerating clinical adoption [78]. Developing cost-effective manufacturing techniques and conducting long-term safety studies will ensure accessibility and reliability in medical practice [88].

By focusing on these future directions—including AI-driven design, 4D bioprinting, and genetically engineered hydrogels—hydrogel scaffolds can evolve into multifunctional platforms capable of addressing diverse challenges in tissue engineering while achieving successful clinical outcomes [54].

## Conclusion

Hydrogel scaffolds have emerged as a cornerstone in tissue engineering, offering unparalleled versatility and functionality for regenerating damaged tissues [1]. Their ability to mimic the extracellular matrix, support cellular processes, and provide a biocompatible environment has revolutionized regenerative medicine [2]. This review has highlighted the advancements in hydrogel scaffold design, fabrication techniques, and applications, showcasing their transformative potential in addressing critical challenges such as vascularization, mechanical stability, and biodegradation [9].

Despite these advancements, several limitations persist, including inadequate mechanical strength and scalability issues that hinder their clinical translation [15]. Addressing these challenges through interdisciplinary research and innovative approaches—such as smart hydrogels [25], hybrid designs [31], and advanced fabrication techniques [10]—will be pivotal for optimizing scaffold performance and ensuring successful integration into medical practice [54].

Interdisciplinary advancements such as artificial intelligence (AI), nanotechnology, and genetic engineering are shaping the next generation of hydrogel scaffolds. AI-driven modeling enables precise predictions of scaffold performance and material optimization tailored to specific tissue types [6]. Nanotechnology facilitates the incorporation of nanoparticles for enhanced mechanical properties and bioactivity [7], while genetic engineering allows for the synthesis of hydrogels with ECM-like signaling capabilities that improve cellular communication [85].

Looking ahead, hydrogel scaffolds are poised to play a central role In personalized medicine by enabling tailored solutions for complex tissue repair [87]. Their ability to adapt to specific biological environments and incorporate bioactive molecules further enhances their therapeutic potential [11]. With continued efforts to overcome existing barriers and streamline clinical adoption [78], hydrogel scaffolds hold the promise of transforming tissue engineering into a fully realized field of regenerative medicine [89]. Furthermore, their integration into precision medicine approaches will enable patient-specific therapies that cater to individual needs, advancing healthcare outcomes on a global scale [90].

**REFERENCES**

[1] Peppas, N. A., Hilt, J. Z., Khademhosseini, A., & Langer, R. (2006). Hydrogels in biology and medicine: From molecular principles to bionanotechnology. Advanced Materials, 18(11), 1345–1360. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200501612

[2] Slaughter, B. V., Khurshid, S. S., Fisher, O. Z., Khademhosseini, A., & Peppas, N. A. (2009). Hydrogels in regenerative medicine. Advanced Materials, 21(32-33), 3307–3329. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200802106

[3] Drury, J. L., & Mooney, D. J. (2003). Hydrogels for tissue engineering: Scaffold design variables and applications. Biomaterials, 24(24), 4337–4351. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(03)00340-5

[4] Wichterle, O., & Lím, D. (1960). Hydrophilic gels for biological use. Nature, 185(4706), 117–118. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/185117a0

[5] Caló, E., & Khutoryanskiy, V. V. (2015). Biomedical applications of hydrogels: A review of patents and commercial products. European Polymer Journal, 65, 252–267. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2014.11.024

[6] Webb, B., & Sali, A. (2016). Comparative protein structure modeling using MODELLER. Current Protocols in Bioinformatics, 54(1), 5.6.1–5.6.37. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/cpbi.3

[7] Gaharwar, A. K., Peppas, N. A., & Khademhosseini, A. (2014). Nanocomposite hydrogels for biomedical applications. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 111(3), 441–453. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.25160

[8] Lee, C. H., Singla, A., & Lee, Y. (2001). Biomedical applications of collagen. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 221(1-2), 1–22. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5173(01)00691-3

[9] Novosel, E. C., Kleinhans, C., & Kluger, P. J. (2011). Vascularization is the key challenge in tissue engineering. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 63(4-5), 300–311. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2011.03.004

[10] Murphy, S. V., & Atala, A. (2014). 3D bioprinting of tissues and organs. Nature Biotechnology, 32(8), 773–785. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2958

[11] Zhu, J., & Marchant, R. E. (2011). Design properties of hydrogel tissue-engineering scaffolds. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 8(5), 607–626. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1586/erd.11.27

[12] Lee, K. Y., & Mooney, D. J. (2001). Hydrogels for tissue engineering. Chemical Reviews, 101(7), 1869–1880. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1021/cr000108x

[13] Tibbitt, M. W., & Anseth, K. S. (2009). Hydrogels as extracellular matrix mimics for 3D cell culture. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 103(4), 655–663. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.22361

[14] Annabi, N., et al. (2014). Hydrogel scaffolds for tissue engineering: Progress and challenges. Global Cardiology Science & Practice, 2014(3), 318–342. Available at: https://doi.org/10.5339/gcsp.2014.47

[15] Huebsch, N., & Mooney, D. J. (2009). Inspiration and application in the evolution of biomaterials. Nature, 462(7272), 426–432. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08601

[16] Khademhosseini, A., & Langer, R. (2007). Microengineered hydrogels for tissue engineering. Biomaterials, 28(34), 5087–5092. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.07.021

[17] Kopeček, J. (2007). Hydrogel biomaterials: A smart future? Biomaterials, 28(34), 5185–5192. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.07.044

[18] Van Vlierberghe, S., Dubruel, P., & Schacht, E. (2011). Biopolymer-based hydrogels as scaffolds for tissue engineering applications: A review. Biomacromolecules, 12(5), 1387–1408. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1021/bm200083n

[19] Peppas, N. A., & Langer, R. (1994). New challenges in biomaterials. Science, 263(5154), 1715–1720. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.8134835

[20] Lutolf, M. P., & Hubbell, J. A. (2005). Synthetic biomaterials as instructive extracellular microenvironments for morphogenesis in tissue engineering. Nature Biotechnology, 23(1), 47–55. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1055

[21] Balakrishnan, B., & Banerjee, R. (2011). Biopolymer-based hydrogels for cartilage tissue engineering. Chemical Reviews, 111(8), 4453–4474. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1021/cr100123h

[22] Blacklow, S. O., et al. (2018). Bioinspired mechanically active adhesive dressings to accelerate wound closure. Science Advances, 4(7), eaat3967. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aat3967

[23] O'Brien, F. J. (2011). Biomaterials & scaffolds for tissue engineering. Materials Today, 14(3), 88–95. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021(11)70058-X

[24] Loh, Q. L., & Choong, C. (2013). Three-dimensional scaffolds for tissue engineering applications: Role of porosity and pore size. Tissue Engineering Part B: Reviews, 19(6), 485–502. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2012.0437

[25] Qiu, Y., & Park, K. (2001). Environment-sensitive hydrogels for drug delivery. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 53(3), 321–339. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(01)00203-4

[26] Buwalda, S. J., et al. (2014). Hydrogels in a historical perspective: From simple networks to smart materials. Journal of Controlled Release, 190, 254–273. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.03.052

[27] Hoffman, A. S. (2012). Hydrogels for biomedical applications. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 64, 18–23. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2012.09.010

[28] Nicodemus, G. D., & Bryant, S. J. (2008). Cell encapsulation in biodegradable hydrogels for tissue engineering applications. Tissue Engineering Part B: Reviews, 14(2), 149–165. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2007.0332

[29] Zhu, J. (2010). Bioactive modification of poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogels for tissue engineering. Biomaterials, 31(17), 4639–4656. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.02.044

[30] Lutolf, M. P., et al. (2003). Synthetic matrix metalloproteinase-sensitive hydrogels for the conduction of tissue regeneration: Engineering cell-invasion characteristics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100(9), 5413–5418. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0737381100

[31] Van Tomme, S. R., Storm, G., & Hennink, W. E. (2008). In situ gelling hydrogels for pharmaceutical and biomedical applications. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 355(1-2), 1–18. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2008.01.057

[32] Lee, K. Y., & Mooney, D. J. (2012). Alginate: Properties and biomedical applications. Progress in Polymer Science, 37(1), 106–126. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2011.06.003

[33] Shin, S. R., et al. (2013). Graphene-based materials for tissue engineering. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 105, 255–274. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2016.03.007

[34] Gaharwar, A. K., et al. (2014). Nanocomposite hydrogels for biomedical applications. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 111(3), 441–453. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.25160 (Note: Previously cited as [7]; reused here)

[35] Seliktar, D. (2012). Designing cell-compatible hydrogels for biomedical applications. Science, 336(6085), 1124–1128. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1214804

[36] Li, J., & Mooney, D. J. (2016). Designing hydrogels for controlled drug delivery. Nature Reviews Materials, 1(12), 16071. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/natrevmats.2016.71

[37] Pakulska, M. M., Ballios, B. G., & Shoichet, M. S. (2012). Injectable hydrogels for central nervous system therapy. Biomedical Materials, 7(2), 024101. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-6041/7/2/024101

[38] Groll, J., et al. (2016). Biofabrication: Reappraising the definition of an evolving field. Biofabrication, 8(1), 013001. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1758-5090/8/1/013001

[39] Miri, A. K., et al. (2018). Multiscale bioprinting of vascularized hydrogel scaffolds. Advanced Materials, 30(27), 1800242. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201800242

[40] Ozbolat, I. T., & Hospodiuk, M. (2016). Current advances and future perspectives in extrusion-based bioprinting. Biomaterials, 76, 321–343. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2015.10.076

[41] Zhang, Y. S., & Khademhosseini, A. (2017). Advances in engineering hydrogels. Science, 356(6337), eaaf3627. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf3627

[42] O'Brien, F. J., et al. (2004). The effect of pore size on cell adhesion in collagen-GAG scaffolds. Biomaterials, 25(6), 1077–1086. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2003.08.001

[43] Mikos, A. G., et al. (1994). Preparation and characterization of poly(L-lactic acid) foams. Polymer, 35(5), 1068–1077. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-3861(94)90953-9

[44] Ma, P. X. (2004). Scaffolds for tissue fabrication. Materials Today, 7(5), 30–40. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021(04)00233-0

[45] Nam, Y. S., & Park, T. G. (1999). Porous biodegradable polymeric scaffolds prepared by thermally induced phase separation. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research, 47(1), 8–17. Available at: https://doi.org/10.100[46] Harris, V. A., et al. (2005). Gas foaming/polymer templating: A new technique for preparing porous polymeric scaffolds. Biomaterials, 26(16), 2089–2095. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2004.06.030

[47] Li, D., & Xia, Y. (2004). Electrospinning of nanofibers: Reinventing the wheel? Advanced Materials, 16(14), 1151–1170. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200400719

[48] Townsend-Nicholson, A., et al. (2012). Cell electrospinning: A unique biotechnique for encapsulating living cells within uniform polymer fibres. Soft Matter, 8(3), 567–573. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1039/C1SM06414E

[49] Hennink, W. E., & van Nostrum, C. F. (2012). Novel crosslinking methods to design hydrogels. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 64(1), 223–236. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2012.01.009

[50] Zhang, S. (2003). Fabrication of novel biomaterials through molecular self-assembly. Nature Biotechnology, 21(10), 1171–1178. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt870

[51] Kyle, S., et al. (2009). Self-assembling peptide hydrogels as functional scaffolds for tissue engineering. Biomaterials, 30(27), 4732–4739. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.05.056

[52] Cabodi, M., et al. (2005). Microfluidic biomaterial systems for tissue engineering. Biomaterials, 26(33), 6801–6810. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.04.052

[53] Hasan, A., et al. (2014). Microfluidic techniques for development of 3D vascularized tissue. Biomaterials, 35(25), 7308–7325. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.04.091

[54] Malda, J., et al. (2013). 25th anniversary article: Engineering hydrogels for biofabrication. Advanced Materials, 25(36), 5011–5028. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201302042

[55] Rizzi, S. C., et al. (2006). Hydrogel scaffolds for skin regeneration: A review. Biomaterials, 27(12), 2525–2535. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.11.012

[56] Boateng, J. S., et al. (2008). Wound healing dressings and drug delivery systems: A review. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 97(8), 2892–2923. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.21210

[57] Bose, S., Roy, M., & Bandyopadhyay, A. (2013). Recent advances in bone tissue engineering scaffolds. Trends in Biotechnology, 31(10), 594–605. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2013.07.005

[58] Radisic, M., et al. (2007). Biomimetic approach to cardiac tissue engineering. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 362(1484), 1357–1368. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2121

[59] Zhang, B., et al. (2018). Advances in hydrogel-based vascularized tissue engineering. Biomaterials Science, 6(11), 2863–2875. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1039/C8BM00828A

[60] Aurand, E. R., Lampe, K. J., & Bjugstad, K. B. (2012). Defining and designing polymers and hydrogels for neural tissue engineering. Neuroscience Research, 72(3), 199–213. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neures.2011.12.005

[61] Underhill, G. H., et al. (2007). Bioengineering hepatocytes: Advances and challenges in liver tissue engineering. Hepatology, 45(1), 124–132. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.21466

[62] Skardal, A., et al. (2015). A hydrogel bioink toolkit for mimicking native tissue biochemical and mechanical properties in bioprinted tissue constructs. Acta Biomaterialia, 25, 24–34. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2015.07.030

[63] Spiller, K. L., Maher, S. A., & Lowman, A. M. (2011). Hydrogels for the repair of articular cartilage defects. Tissue Engineering Part B: Reviews, 17(4), 281–299. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2011.0077

[64] Lim, F., & Sun, A. M. (1980). Microencapsulated islets as bioartificial endocrine pancreas. Science, 210(4472), 908–910. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6776628

[65] Rijal, G., & Li, W. (2016). 3D scaffolds in cancer modeling: Advances and applications. Biotechnology Advances, 34(4), 422–436. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2016.02.007

[66] Knowlton, S., et al. (2016). Bioprinting for cancer research. Trends in Biotechnology, 34(9), 683–688. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2016.03.008

[67] Kirchhof, K., et al. (2015). Hydrogels in ophthalmic applications. European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics, 95, 227–238. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2015.05.016

[68] Tieppo, A., et al. (2012). Sustained in vivo release from imprinted therapeutic contact lenses. Journal of Controlled Release, 157(3), 391–397. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2011.09.087

[69] Amsden, B. (2007). Curable, biodegradable elastomers: Emerging biomaterials for drug delivery and tissue engineering. Soft Matter, 3(11), 1335–1348. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1039/B707472G

[70] Rouwkema, J., Rivron, N. C., & van Blitterswijk, C. A. (2008). Vascularization in tissue engineering. Trends in Biotechnology, 26(8), 434–441. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2008.04.009

[71] Zhu, J., & Marchant, R. E. (2011). Design properties of hydrogel tissue-engineering scaffolds. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 8(5), 607–626. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1586/erd.11.27 (Note: Previously cited as [11]; reused here)

[72] Hollister, S. J. (2005). Porous scaffold design for tissue engineering. Nature Materials, 4(7), 518–524. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1421

[73] Metters, A. T., Anseth, K. S., & Bowman, C. N. (2000). Fundamental studies of biodegradable hydrogels as cartilage replacement materials. Biomedical Engineering, 47(5), 743–748. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008979510525

[74] Anderson, J. M., Rodriguez, A., & Chang, D. T. (2008). Foreign body reaction to biomaterials. Seminars in Immunology, 20(2), 86–100. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2007.11.004

[75] Vegas, A. J., et al. (2016). Combinatorial hydrogel library enables identification of materials that mitigate the foreign body response in primates. Nature Biotechnology, 34(3), 345–352. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3462

[76] Hutmacher, D. W. (2000). Scaffolds in tissue engineering bone and cartilage. Biomaterials, 21(24), 2529–2543. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(00)00121-6

[77] Li, J., et al. (2019). Challenges in the translation of tissue engineering products to the clinic. Advanced Healthcare Materials, 8(18), 1900138. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201900138

[78] Hollister, S. J., & Murphy, W. L. (2011). Scaffold translation: Barriers between concept and clinic. Tissue Engineering Part B: Reviews, 17(6), 459–474. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2011.0251

[79] Zhao, X., et al. (2017). Supramolecular hydrogels: From design to applications. Chemical Society Reviews, 46(15), 4609–4628. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CS00163H

[80] Webb, S. (2018). Deep learning for biology. Nature, 554(7693), 555–557. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-02174-z

[81] Tarca, A. L., et al. (2007). Machine learning and its applications to biology. PLoS Computational Biology, 3(6), e116. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030116

[82] Gladman, A. S., et al. (2016). Biomimetic 4D printing. Nature Materials, 15(4), 413–418. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4544

[83] Tibbitt, M. W., et al. (2020). Progress in 4D bioprinting for tissue engineering. Advanced Healthcare Materials, 9(15), 2000138. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.202000138

[84] Lovett, M., et al. (2009). Vascularization strategies for tissue engineering. Tissue Engineering Part B: Reviews, 15(3), 353–370. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2009.0085

[85] Webber, M. J., Appel, E. A., Meijer, E. W., & Langer, R. (2016). Supramolecular biomaterials. Nature Materials, 15(1), 13–26. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4474

[86] Place, E. S., Evans, N. D., & Stevens, M. M. (2009). Complexity in biomaterials for tissue engineering. Nature Materials, 8(6), 457–470. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2441

[87] Khademhosseini, A., et al. (2016). A decade of progress in tissue engineering. Nature Protocols, 11(10), 1775–1781. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016.123

[88] Ratner, B. D., & Bryant, S. J. (2004). Biomaterials: Where we have been and where we are going. Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering, 6, 41–75. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bioeng.6.040803.140027

[89] Langer, R., & Tirrell, D. A. (2004). Designing materials for biology and medicine. Nature, 428(6982), 487–492. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02388

[90] Hamburg, M. A., & Collins, F. S. (2010). The path to personalized medicine. New England Journal of Medicine, 363(4), 301–304. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1006304