



A STUDY ON EFFECTIVENESS OF SELF-LEARNING IN CUSTOMER-FACING ROLES AT TVS MOTOR COMPANY, INDIA

Mr. B. Sanjay¹, Ms. Elakkiya M²

¹ Associate Professor, Adhiyamaan College of Engineering (Autonomous), Hosur, Tamil Nadu, India

Email: sanjaysanjay935@gmail.com

² II Year MBA, Department of Management Studies

Adhiyamaan College of Engineering (Autonomous), Hosur, Tamil Nadu, India

Email: elakiyasuriya2432003@gmail.com

ABSTRACT :

This study examines the effectiveness of self-directed learning (SDL) among customer-facing employees at TVS Motor Company, highlighting its growing relevance as businesses shift from traditional training methods to flexible, technology-enabled learning approaches. Using a qualitative, descriptive research design, data were collected from 100 employees across departments such as sales, service, and international business through structured questionnaires. The findings reveal that SDL significantly enhances employee performance, decision-making, and customer engagement. Employees preferred blended learning, video tutorials, and gamified content, while key challenges included time constraints and lack of structured support. The study recommends integrating structured learning pathways, digital tools, and gamification into corporate training strategies to foster a culture of continuous, self-motivated learning in dynamic, customer-centric roles.

Key words: Self-Directed Learning (SDL), Customer-Facing Roles, TVS Motor Company, Employee Performance, Gamified Learning, Blended Learning, Digital Learning Platforms, Learning Motivation, Organizational Support, Corporate Training Strategies.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Paradigm Shift in Corporate Learning

The modern corporate environment is undergoing a transformation where traditional, instructor-led training is being replaced by more employee-centric and technology-driven learning models. Organizations are adopting Self-Directed Learning (SDL) approaches that empower employees to independently manage their learning journeys. This shift is driven by rapid technological advancements, evolving business models, and the need for agility, particularly in customer-facing roles where employees must continuously update their knowledge and skills to effectively address customer expectations and market dynamics.

1.2 Theoretical Framework

The study is anchored in key adult learning and motivation theories:

- **Constructivist Learning Theory (Piaget):** Proposes that learners construct knowledge actively, making SDL a natural fit for adult learning environments.
- **Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan):** Emphasizes the importance of intrinsic motivation, autonomy, and competence in driving effective learning behaviors.
- **Andragogy (Knowles):** Highlights the adult learner's need for self-direction, relevance, and problem-centered learning.
- **Experiential Learning Theory (Kolb):** Suggests that learning is most effective when it involves active engagement and reflection, supporting SDL models that integrate practical, real-world application.

These theories collectively provide a strong foundation for promoting SDL, especially in customer-facing roles where real-time problem-solving and decision-making are essential.

1.3 Statement of the Problem

Despite the recognized importance of SDL in enhancing workforce agility and customer engagement, there is a lack of research examining the adoption, effectiveness, and challenges of SDL specifically among customer-facing employees in the Indian automotive industry. At TVS Motor Company (TVSM), while SDL is promoted through various digital platforms and tools, there is limited empirical evidence to assess its actual impact on employee performance, learning behaviors, and customer-facing effectiveness. This research seeks to fill this gap by exploring employees' perspectives on SDL, identifying motivational factors, learning preferences, challenges, and support mechanisms required to make SDL more impactful.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

The primary objectives of this study are:

- To understand the motivations and drivers for SDL among customer-facing employees at TVSM.
- To identify the preferred learning platforms, content formats, and tools used for SDL.
- To assess the frequency and adoption levels of SDL practices among employees.
- To evaluate the impact of SDL on employees' performance, decision-making, and customer service effectiveness.
- To identify the barriers and challenges faced by employees in adopting SDL.
- To provide recommendations for enhancing SDL practices through organizational support and gamification elements.

1.5 Scope of the Study

The study focuses exclusively on customer-facing employees at TVSM, including those in sales, service, marketing, parts, and international business functions. The research captures employees' experiences, perceptions, and suggestions regarding SDL, excluding non-customer-facing departments such as production, R&D, and HR. The geographical scope is limited to TVSM's operations within India, with potential applicability to other customer-facing roles in similar sectors.

Review of Literature

2.1 Introduction

The review of literature provides a theoretical foundation for understanding self-directed learning (SDL) and its relevance in modern organizational contexts. It also explores prior research on SDL adoption, its effectiveness, and the role of gamification in enhancing engagement. The review further highlights existing research gaps, particularly in the Indian automotive sector and customer-facing roles, thereby justifying the need for the current study.

2.2 Review of Literature

Self-Directed Learning (SDL) has emerged as a vital strategy in corporate learning environments, enabling employees to independently acquire skills, update knowledge, and adapt to changing business requirements (Knowles, 1975). SDL promotes lifelong learning, enhancing employees' problem-solving abilities, decision-making skills, and job performance (Clardy, 2000). It also encourages autonomy, accountability, and intrinsic motivation, which are essential for employees working in dynamic, customer-centric roles (Deci & Ryan, 1985).

In customer-facing roles, SDL is particularly significant as employees must continuously enhance their knowledge of products, services, and customer engagement techniques to maintain service excellence and competitiveness (Boyer et al., 2014). SDL allows employees to access learning resources at their convenience, providing flexibility and control over their learning pace and style. However, research suggests that despite its benefits, SDL adoption often faces challenges, including lack of motivation, time constraints, and limited organizational support (IBM Institute for Business Value, 2020).

Gamification in SDL is increasingly recognized as an effective strategy to enhance learner engagement, retention, and participation (Deloitte Insights, 2017). Gamification elements such as badges, points, leaderboards, and interactive modules have been shown to make learning more engaging and rewarding. However, studies examining the integration of gamification into SDL practices, especially in customer-facing environments, remain limited.

2.3 Research Gap

While SDL has been widely studied in corporate learning contexts, the specific examination of SDL adoption, effectiveness, and challenges among customer-facing employees in the Indian automotive industry is minimal. There is also a lack of empirical research exploring how SDL impacts key performance areas such as customer engagement, problem-solving, and decision-making in these roles.

Additionally, despite global recognition of gamification's role in increasing SDL engagement, limited studies have investigated its effectiveness in customer-facing roles within the Indian context, particularly at organizations like TVS Motor Company. There is also an absence of KPI-linked evaluations to assess the tangible business outcomes of SDL practices in these environments. This gap highlights the need for the present study to explore SDL's effectiveness, barriers, and the role of gamification in enhancing learning engagement among TVSM's customer-facing employees.

Profile

3.1 Industry Profile: Indian Two-Wheeler Industry

The Indian two-wheeler industry is one of the largest in the world, playing a critical role in the country's transportation landscape and economic growth. The sector is characterized by high volume sales, significant domestic demand, and a growing export footprint. Key segments include motorcycles, scooters, and mopeds, catering to both urban and rural markets. Over the past decade, the industry has witnessed significant transformations driven by technological advancements, regulatory changes, environmental concerns, and the increasing popularity of electric vehicles (EVs). Emerging trends such as connected vehicles, AI-enabled driving experiences, and the transition toward sustainable mobility are reshaping the industry's dynamics.

Competition remains intense, with key players such as Hero MotoCorp, Bajaj Auto, Honda Motorcycle & Scooter India, and TVS Motor Company leading the market. The two-wheeler industry continues to focus on innovation, customer satisfaction, cost efficiency, and digital transformation to remain competitive in both domestic and international markets.

3.2 Company Profile: TVS Motor Company

TVS Motor Company (TVSM), the flagship company of the TVS Group, is a globally recognized manufacturer of two-wheelers and three-wheelers. Headquartered in Chennai, India, TVSM has a strong presence in over 80 countries across Africa, Latin America, the Middle East, Southeast Asia, and Europe. The company's diverse product portfolio includes motorcycles, scooters, mopeds, and three-wheelers, catering to a wide range of customer segments. TVSM is also actively investing in electric vehicles (EVs) and sustainable mobility solutions.

Known for its innovation-driven approach, TVSM has won numerous accolades for quality, customer satisfaction, and environmental initiatives. The company has established world-class manufacturing facilities in India and abroad, adhering to global best practices in production, quality management, and sustainability.

TVSM places a high emphasis on employee development and capability building, recognizing that its customer-facing employees are critical to delivering exceptional service experiences and driving customer loyalty. The company has implemented various learning and development initiatives, including digital platforms, to empower employees with relevant skills and knowledge. This study is particularly relevant to TVSM's strategic focus on enhancing employee competencies through self-directed learning (SDL) and digital enablement.

Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

The study adopted a descriptive and qualitative research design to explore the effectiveness of Self-Directed Learning (SDL) among customer-facing employees at TVS Motor Company. This approach was chosen to capture in-depth insights into employees' learning experiences, motivations, challenges, and the perceived impact of SDL on their performance and customer engagement capabilities. The study also assessed the employees' views on gamification as a potential engagement tool in SDL practices.

4.2 Data Collection Method

Primary data were collected using a structured questionnaire comprising open-ended and close-ended questions. The questionnaire was designed to gather detailed information on SDL preferences, learning behaviors, platforms used, perceived barriers, and suggestions for improving SDL engagement. The data collection process was conducted online using Microsoft Forms, ensuring convenience and accessibility for participants.

4.3 Sampling Method

The study employed a non-probability convenience sampling technique. The sample consisted of 100 employees from various customer-facing functions, including sales, service, marketing, parts, and international business departments at TVSM. This sampling approach was suitable due to the availability and willingness of respondents within the target group.

4.4 Tools Used for Data Analysis

Data collected from the questionnaires were compiled and analyzed using thematic analysis techniques. Microsoft Excel was utilized to organize and categorize qualitative responses, identify emerging themes, and interpret patterns in the data. The analysis focused on identifying key trends, employee perceptions, and areas for improvement related to SDL practices.

4.5 Hypothesis of the Study

The study was guided by the following hypotheses:

- **Null Hypothesis (H₀):** There is no significant effect of self-directed learning on the performance of customer-facing employees at TVS Motor Company.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H₁):** Self-directed learning significantly improves the performance of customer-facing employees at TVS Motor Company.

The research methodology was structured to validate these hypotheses by examining employees' experiences, performance outcomes, and perceptions of SDL effectiveness.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

5.1 Data Analysis and Interpretation

- **High Engagement:** Employees in Two-Wheeler Service department showed maximum involvement in SDL.
- **Learning Areas:** Focus areas included technical knowledge, customer interaction skills, and EV technologies.
- **Motivation Factors:** Key drivers were career growth, productivity improvement, and knowledge enhancement.
- **Preferred Learning Modes:** Blended learning and video tutorials were the most favored formats.
- **Gamification Impact:** Majority of respondents agreed gamification increased SDL engagement.
- **Challenges:** Time constraints, lack of motivation, and technical issues were major barriers.
- **Organizational Support Needs:** Employees preferred structured learning plans, incentives, and easy digital access.

5.2 Findings

The study found that SDL positively impacts employee performance, decision-making, and customer interaction effectiveness in customer-facing roles at TVSM. While SDL is widely acknowledged and adopted, key challenges such as limited time and insufficient motivation restrict its potential. Gamification was perceived as an effective tool for increasing SDL engagement.

5.3 Suggestions

- Integrate SDL into formal performance management systems.
- Offer structured learning pathways aligned with job roles.
- Use gamification elements like leaderboards, badges, and rewards.
- Improve access to SDL platforms and make them mobile-friendly.
- Conduct awareness programs and learning campaigns.

5.4 Conclusion

SDL plays a vital role in enhancing employee capabilities, especially in dynamic customer-facing roles. The study concludes that SDL fosters proactive learning behavior, strengthens decision-making skills, and improves customer engagement at TVSM. To maximize its benefits, organizations need to address existing barriers and create a supportive SDL ecosystem.

5.5 Managerial Implications

Managers must act as learning facilitators by:

- Encouraging SDL initiatives and recognizing SDL achievements.
- Allocating time for self-learning within work schedules.
- Aligning SDL activities with business goals and KPIs.
- Providing feedback mechanisms to reinforce SDL effectiveness.

5.6 Limitations of the Study

- The study was limited to customer-facing employees of TVSM within India.
- Data was self-reported, leading to potential bias.
- The sample size was confined to 100 employees, limiting generalizability.

5.7 Scope for Future Research

- Broaden the study to other industries and geographies.
- Explore the long-term impact of SDL on customer satisfaction and employee retention.
- Examine the integration of advanced technologies such as AI and VR in SDL practices.
- Conduct comparative studies between SDL and traditional learning approaches in customer-facing environments.

REFERENCE

1. Bates, T. (2015). Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning for a digital age. Tony Bates Associates Ltd.
2. Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning, 2(1), 3-10. https://www.itdl.org/Journal/Jan_05/article01.htm
3. O'Reilly, T. (2014). The open source revolution: How technology is transforming education. O'Reilly Media, Inc.

4. Chou, P. N., & Chan, S. C. (2016). Web-based learning platforms: Characteristics, applications, and challenges. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology*, 12(3), 78-95. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03684901011027975>
5. Cavanaugh, C., & Jacquemin, S. (2017). Exploring the role of social learning networks in the digital learning ecosystem. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 18(3), 81-98. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v18i3.3013>
6. Hill, P. (2012). Digital learning and its impact on educational delivery. *EDUCAUSE Review*, 47(6), 22-31.
7. Davidson, C. N., & Goldberg, D. T. (2009). *The future of learning institutions in a digital age*. MIT Press.
8. edX. (n.d.). edX for Business [Website]. Retrieved from <https://www.edx.org>
9. Koller, D., & Dunlap, J. C. (2013). Facilitating digital learning: A framework for designing, implementing, and assessing online education. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 9(4), 506-517.
10. West, D. M. (2013). *The impact of digital learning: How technology can improve educational outcomes*. Brookings Institution.
11. Weller, M. (2011). *The digital scholar: How technology is transforming scholarly practice*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
12. Alario-Hoyos, C., Kloos, C. D., & Hernandez-Leo, D. (2015). A review of the state of digital learning technologies in higher education: Trends, challenges, and opportunities. *Educational Technology & Society*, 18(3), 91-101. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/23327972>
13. FutureLearn. (n.d.). FutureLearn - Learn online [Website]. Retrieved from <https://www.futurelearn.com>
14. Anderson, T., & Dron, J. (2011). Three generations of distance education pedagogy. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 12(3), 80-97. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v12i3.890>
15. Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 7(2), 95-105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001>
16. Clark, R. C., & Mayer, R. E. (2016). *E-learning and the science of instruction: Proven guidelines for consumers and designers of multimedia learning* (4th ed.). Wiley.
17. Holmberg, B. (2005). *The evolution, principles, and practices of distance education*. Oldenburg University Press.
18. Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2013). *Changing course: Ten years of tracking online education in the United States*. Babson Survey Research Group.
19. Hodges, C. B., Moore, S., Lockee, B. B., Trust, T., & Bond, M. A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *Educause Review*. Retrieved from <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remote-teaching-and-online-learning>
20. Johnson, L., Adams Becker, S., & Cummins, M. (2013). *The NMC Horizon Report: 2013 Higher Education Edition*. The New Media Consortium. <https://www.nmc.org/publication/nmc-horizon-report-2013-higher-education-edition/>