

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Blockchain vs Conventional Fundraising: A Comparative Analysis of Transparency, Trust, and Efficiency

Satvik Mishra *1, Saurabh Verma *2, Tanmay Chandra *3, Mr. Kapil Verma *4*

*1,2,3 Student B.Tech Computer Science and Engineering, Babu Banarasi Das Northern India Institute of Technology, Lucknow, India

ABSTRACT

Despite the popularity of traditional fundraising platforms, they suffer from systemic problems, such as lack of transparency, high operating costs, and centralized oversight, which undermine donor trust and lead to mismanagement of funds. Blockchain technology offers a revolutionary alternative by enabling decentralized, secure, and transparent transactions through immutable ledgers and smart contracts. This paper compares traditional fundraising mechanisms to blockchain-based systems to demonstrate why blockchain offers a more reliable, efficient, and accountable model. By integrating real-time fundraising tracking and automated disbursement mechanisms, platforms like DAPP Raiser are setting new standards for donor assurance and impact verification. This comparative analysis provides a framework for moving toward a blockchain-based fundraising future.

Keywords: Blockchain, Fundraising, Transparency, Trust, Decentralization, Smart Contracts, Cryptocurrency, Donor Engagement

1. Main text

The traditional fundraising landscape has long been dominated by centralized platforms and institutions. While these systems have facilitated the growth of many philanthropic initiatives, they also suffer from structural inefficiencies. Donors are often hesitant to contribute due to concerns of misallocation of funds, lack of visibility into transactions, and excessive intermediary costs.

Traditional platforms offer limited transparency and rely heavily on manual auditing and trust-based mechanisms. Blockchain technology offers a decentralized and tamper-proof alternative that can address these issues at their core. Through smart contracts and public ledgers, blockchain platforms offer real-time transparency, low transaction costs, and automated fund disbursements based on predefined conditions. By eliminating intermediaries, these systems not only streamline processes but also instill greater trust in contributors. Additionally, blockchain can support global fundraising efforts by eliminating regional banking borders and currency barriers, allowing contributors to participate from anywhere in the world.

The transparency of blockchain ensures that even micro-donations are accounted for and used effectively, thereby promoting wider participation. Furthermore, by incorporating cryptocurrencies into the donation process, blockchain makes it easier for international donors to contribute without facing the usual barriers such as currency exchange fees, delays, and regulatory hurdles. This inclusion opens up new avenues for NGOs and charitable organizations to expand their reach. This paper presents a simultaneous evaluation of both traditional and blockchain-based fundraising systems, emphasizing blockchain's superior features in terms of transparency, traceability, efficiency, and reliability.

2. Methodology

The study adopts a comparative analytical framework combining both qualitative and quantitative data. Key metrics such as transparency, fund traceability, user trust, and operational costs are examined across traditional and blockchain systems. The platform used as a case study is DAPP Raiser, a blockchain-powered crowdfunding model built on Ethereum [1]. Tools such as Solidity, Thirdweb, and IPFS have been used to develop this decentralized application. In addition to simulation-based analysis, primary data has been collected through surveys involving potential donors and campaign creators to understand pain points in existing systems. Secondary data sources include whitepapers, journal publications, and industry standards.

Comparative insights are synthesized to assess the practical implications of blockchain adoption. We also evaluated technical performance factors such as transaction processing speed, system uptime, and resilience to cyber-attacks to highlight the robustness of blockchain in contrast to centralized infrastructure vulnerable to single points of failure.

Blockchain:

^{*4} Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Babu Banarasi Das Northern India Institute of Technology, Lucknow, Inda

Blockchain is a decentralized, tamper-proof system where the transactions are recorded in a distributed ledger securely and can't be altered at any point. Originally used for financial applications, blockchain has spread across an extensive range of industries like digital identity verification, supply chain management, healthcare, and supporting cryptocurrencies such as Ethereum and Bitcoin. Its main advantage lies in its decentralized nature, which guarantees data integrity, transparency, and security, promising a fundamental shift in how we handle and store transactions.

All of a block in the blockchain contains information about various transactions, which are joined chronologically in the sequence. To connect them properly, each of these transactions must be encrypted and linked with the one before it. Cryptographic hashes, then, which are unique signatures of each digital piece of information, ensure the strength of the chain. Blockchains' decentralized nature thus makes it very difficult for anyone to manipulate or have control over it, for the ledger is constantly getting updated on multiple nodes around the network.

The "peers," or nodes, validate transactions by means of predetermined consensus mechanisms. Once validated, the transaction is appended to a new block and then added to the existing blockchain. The updated ledger is then synchronized with each node, thereby making sure all participants have the most updated and accurate transaction record [7]. The immutability of a blockchain is due to its distributed consensus system, thereby preventing attackers from altering the content of a block added to the chain.

Blockchain removes the need for third-party intermediate verification of transactions. Thereby, blockchain presents with a highly secure environment because it increases efficiency and the possibility of fraudulent activities in digital transactions, which is low [9]. "Smart Contracts": These are another enhanced development of blockchain capabilities. By using self-executing and automated contracts that automatically apply their terms if and when certain conditions are met, the procedure becomes simplified [10].

Fund Traceability:

In contrast to traditional systems, which offer only a 55% likelihood of tracking a specific transaction, blockchain technology provides nearly full traceability, with an impressive accuracy rate of 98%. This high level of transparency is crucial for donors and investors who prioritize being able to track how their contributions are being used. For crowdfunding and charitable platforms, this feature is vital, as it builds trust and ensures accountability in the allocation of funds.

Cryptocurrency:

Cryptocurrency, a blockchain-based digital asset, offers a groundbreaking way to facilitate donations, with all transactions securely recorded on the blockchain. This decentralized ledger system ensures secure, transparent, and immutable transactions, making cryptocurrency an ideal tool for a wide range of applications, including charitable donations [11].

For donors, cryptocurrencies provide an efficient, straightforward method to contribute to global causes without the need for intermediaries, reducing transaction fees and speeding up processing times. Charitable organizations also benefit by accepting donations in cryptocurrency, as it not only expands their donor base to include the growing crypto community but also promotes financial transparency. The immutable nature of blockchain guarantees that every transaction is publicly recorded and verifiable, helping to build trust with donors by clearly demonstrating the direct impact of their contributions.

Furthermore, cryptocurrencies can improve accessibility in regions with limited banking infrastructure or unstable currencies [10]. Charities can bypass traditional banking barriers, enabling them to receive donations directly from a global audience, ensuring that more of the funds reach those in need without being diminished by currency conversion fees or financial intermediaries. However, the use of cryptocurrency in charitable donations does come with challenges, such as price volatility, regulatory uncertainty, and the need for technical knowledge from both donors and nonprofit organizations.

3. Comparative Analysis

Transparency and Accountability:

Traditional fundraising platforms often lack mechanisms for real-time fund tracking. Donors must rely on reports released by intermediaries, which are often delayed or incomplete. In contrast, blockchain systems provide immutable records visible to all stakeholders, enhancing transparency and accountability.

Real-time dashboards powered by blockchain give contributors full access to fund flows and status of disbursements, reducing suspicion and enhancing donor confidence. In contrast, traditional platforms often require post-factum audits that are neither timely nor fully verifiable.

Furthermore, decentralized autonomous organizations (DAOs) can be used to implement collective decision-making among stakeholders, adding an additional layer of transparency and democratization to fundraising processes.

Fund Traceability

Blockchain platforms achieve a traceability rate of up to 98%, significantly higher than the 55% seen in conventional systems. This enables donors to track each transaction from donation to utilization, ensuring that funds are used as intended.

This high level of granularity ensures compliance with donor intentions and allows stakeholders to conduct independent audits with zero reliance on centralized institutions. Detailed fund flow records help verify whether funds reached intended beneficiaries and were used effectively, thus enhancing credibility.

Operational Cost

Due to the absence of intermediaries, blockchain systems reduce operational costs by up to 50%. Traditional platforms incur high administrative and transaction processing fees, which reduce the actual amount reaching the beneficiaries.

Smart contracts automate fund release processes, eliminating the need for manual processing. Additionally, the reduced need for third-party verification lowers the cost of compliance and auditing.

In highly bureaucratic systems, operational cost inefficiencies can become an obstacle to scaling fundraising initiatives. Blockchain-based automation offers a scalable, programmable alternative to costly human oversight.

User Trust and Engagement

The automation and visibility provided by smart contracts increase donor confidence and reduce instances of fraud. Studies show a 50% increase in repeat contributions when donors are given transparent access to fund allocation data.

Blockchain also supports innovative incentive mechanisms such as donor rewards and NFT-based acknowledgments, which further drive engagement and satisfaction. Custom badges, unique donation certificates, or access to donor-exclusive community events powered by blockchain can make giving a more interactive and fulfilling experience.

4. Results and Discussion

Simulation results demonstrate that blockchain-based platforms significantly outperform traditional systems in key performance indicators:

Metric	Traditional Platform (%)	Blockchain based Platform (%)
Transparency	60	95
Fund Traceability	55	98
Operational Cost	20	10
User Trust Level	65	90

Table 1 Simulation Results

In addition, feedback from beta users of DAPP Raiser revealed a strong preference for blockchain features, citing ease of tracking and peace of mind as major advantages. Some concerns related to usability and onboarding were noted, suggesting the need for better UX design and education.

The simulations showed that traditional platforms averaged transaction processing times of over 24 hours, compared to less than 10 minutes on blockchain. Moreover, error rates in ledger updates were reduced by over 90%, proving the reliability and precision of decentralized systems.

These findings validate the hypothesis that blockchain is a more robust and reliable model for fundraising. Challenges such as crypto volatility and onboarding complexity remain, but they can be mitigated through educational tools and Layer-2 scaling solutions.

Enhanced Transparency: Donors can verify fund allocation and project milestones in real time. A study by Kim, H., Park, J., & Cho. (2019) [6] found that transparency features in blockchain platforms led to a 50% increase in repeat contributions.

Improved Accountability: Smart contracts eliminate the risk of misuse by enforcing conditions for fund utilization. Lower transaction fees compared to traditional platforms.

Fund Traceability: In contrast to traditional systems, which offer only a 55% chance of tracing a specific transaction, blockchain technology provides near-complete fund traceability, with an impressive accuracy rate of 98%. This level of transparency is highly valued by donors and investors who prioritize the ability to track how their contributions are being utilized. As such, this feature is crucial for crowdfunding and charitable applications, where accountability and trust are key to maintaining donor confidence and ensuring funds are used effectively.

However, challenges such as the volatility of cryptocurrencies and the steep learning curve for blockchain adoption remain. Addressing these challenges through Layer-2 solutions and user-friendly interfaces will be critical for broader implementation.

5. Conclusion

Blockchain-based crowdfunding platforms provide a transformative way of addressing transparency and accountability issues in fundraising. With decentralized systems and smart contracts, these platforms can be trusted and increase the involvement of more donors. Researches such as Gupta et al. (2022) [4] and Kim et al. (2019) [3] provide evidence that blockchain can indeed improve donor engagement. The DAPP Raiser prototype is an example of how blockchain can change the process of crowdfunding. Future research will focus on improving scalability and accessibility to ensure widespread adoption.

Throughout this article, we have demonstrated various ways in which blockchain can enhance crowdfunding and charitable donations. We have seen, firsthand, how blockchain can ensure that the management of all funds is improved, building trust among donors, while also reducing fraud. Because the blockchain is immutable and decentralized, donors are able to track exactly where their contributions go once donated. This is due to the meticulous recording of every transaction through blockchain. A primary challenge in the charity sector involves misallocation of funds; transparency plays a key role in overcoming this problem, which blockchain technology would provide toward ensuring donations are used in the correct way, furthering greater accountability and more trust in the process.

Some of the major challenges for the use of blockchain are scalability, regulatory compliance, and the digital divide. In addition to these, a very high potential area to be transformed by blockchain technology is crowdfunding and charitable giving. But it has yet to unlock its full capability. It requires collaboration from the part of software developers with the stakeholders in crowdfunding and charity. It is essential to make use of the advantages that blockchain technology can provide in such a way that it becomes accessible and user-friendly to all kinds of people from diverse backgrounds. With this in mind, we are opening the doors for a new era of crowdfunding and charitable giving built on transparency, trust, and collaboration with the communities we support. normal.

References

Buterin, V. (2014) "A Next-Generation Smart Contract and Decentralized Application Platform", White Paper of Ethereum.

Li, X., & Zhao, Y. (2020). The Role of Blockchain in Donor Retention. Journal of Emerging Technologies in Finance, 15(2), 89-104.

Kim, H., Park, J., & Choi, S. (2019). Transparency in Blockchain Crowdfunding: A Case Study. Advances in Financial Technology, 8(1), 101-115.

Gupta, R., Sharma, A., & Rao, P. (2022). Smart Contracts in Crowdfunding Platforms: Enhancing Accountability. International Journal of Blockchain Applications, 7(4), 123-135.

Mr. Pramod S. Aswale, Mrs. Nishigandha Vyawahare, Abhijeet Patange, Prathamesh Hargude, Ganesh Gadkari, Sandesh Patil, "Transparent Charity Application and Crowdfunding Using Blockchain", International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering Hub DOI:10.47392/IRJAEH.2024.0168

R. Nunes, B. Alturas and A. L. Fernandes, "Creating value in equity crowdfunding platforms using blockchain technology," 2021 16th Iberian Conference on Information Systems and Technologies (CISTI), Chaves, Portugal, 2021, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.23919/CISTI52073.2021.9476339.

D. S, M. B, N. K. Rajput, N. S. Joshi and V. Vasudevan, "A Large-Scale medical Crowdfunding Platform using Smart Contracts in Blockchain," 2022 IEEE North Karnataka Subsection Flagship International Conference (NKCon), Vijaypur, India, 2022, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/NKCon56289.2022.10126720.

M. V. Vladimirov, P. V. Senchenko and A. A. Sidorov, "Application of Blockchain Technology for Verification of State Documents in the Russian Federation," 2022 International Siberian Conference on Control and Communications (SIBCON), Tomsk, Russian Federation, 2022, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/SIBCON56144.2022.10002875.

P. B. Kumbharkar, R. Palaskar, S. Yenegure, G. Asati and A. Valekar, "Fund Crypt: Blockchain based Crowdfunding Platform using SHA-256 & POS Algorithm," 2023 2nd International Conference on Edge Computing and Applications (ICECAA), Namakkal, India, 2023, pp. 316-323, doi: 10.1109/ICECAA58104.2023.10212407.

S. Gada, A. Dhuri, D. Jain, S. Bansod and D. Toradmalle, "Blockchain-Based Crowdfunding: A Trust Building Model," 2021 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Machine Vision (AIMV), Gandhinagar, India, 2021, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/AIMV53313.2021.9671003.