International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Usage of Sustainable Materials in Concrete: A Review

***Ramkumar Bav*[1]**

[1] Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, GMR Institute of Technology, Rajam, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:

Concrete remains the cornerstone of modern construction, but its primary component, ordinary Portland cement (OPC), is a significant contributor to global carbon dioxide emissions. With growing environmental concerns and sustainability mandates, incorporating sustainable materials into concrete has become a pressing necessity. This review explores a wide array of sustainable alternatives—including industrial by-products, agricultural waste, recycled aggregates, and natural fibers—highlighting their roles in reducing the environmental impact of concrete. Comparative performance data and material behavior are examined to showcase the feasibility of these alternatives. While challenges such as variability and lack of standardization remain, the continued development and adoption of sustainable concrete technologies are vital to creating environmentally responsible infrastructure.

Keywords: Sustainable concrete, supplementary cementitious materials, recycled aggregates, agricultural ashes, natural fibers, eco-friendly construction

1. Introduction

Concrete is the most commonly used construction material globally due to its durability, workability, and cost-effectiveness. However, its major binder component, OPC, is associated with high energy consumption and substantial CO2 emissions. According to the International Energy Agency, cement production contributes about 8% of global CO2 emissions. As sustainable development becomes increasingly critical, there is an urgent need to find eco-friendly alternatives to conventional concrete ingredients.

In response to this challenge, researchers have focused on incorporating supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs), recycled aggregates, agricultural residues, and natural fibers into concrete mixtures. These materials not only lower the carbon footprint but often enhance durability and performance characteristics. With the advent of green construction standards and carbon neutrality goals, the demand for such materials is expected to rise. This review presents a comprehensive overview of these sustainable materials, their performance metrics, and associated environmental benefits. Tables 1 to 4 offer comparative insights into the performance of these materials in different contexts.

2. Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs)

SCMs are widely accepted as partial replacements for OPC, offering environmental and performance benefits. The most commonly used SCMs include fly ash, ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS), and silica fume. Their inclusion in concrete can reduce cement consumption significantly, thus decreasing energy use and carbon emissions.

2.1 Fly Ash

Fly ash, a by-product of coal combustion, is rich in aluminosilicates and enhances long-term strength and durability. It also improves the workability and pumpability of concrete. Studies show that replacing 30% of cement with fly ash can reduce CO2 emissions by 25% while maintaining comparable compressive strength. It also delays the setting time, which is beneficial for mass concreting applications.

2.2 Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBFS)

GGBFS, derived from steel production, contributes to improved sulfate resistance, reduced permeability, and extended durability. Concrete with 50% GGBFS shows improved resistance to chloride penetration compared to conventional mixes, making it suitable for marine and wastewater infrastructure. Its latent hydraulic nature activates in alkaline environments, forming additional calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H).

2.3 Silica Fume

Silica fume is a by-product of silicon metal production and is characterized by ultrafine particles. It significantly enhances bond strength and impermeability, making it suitable for high-performance concrete applications. The addition of 10% silica fume can increase the compressive strength of concrete to as high as 45 MPa.

Table 1 below compares the compressive strength and CO2 emission reductions of different SCMs:

Table 1: Effect of SCMs on 28-Day Compressive Strength

Mix Type	% Replacement	Compressive Strength (MPa)	% CO2 Reduction
OPC Only	0%	40	0%
Fly Ash	30%	38	25%
GGBFS	50%	42	40%
Silica Fume	10%	45	10%

3. Agricultural Waste Ashes

Agricultural by-products offer a sustainable solution for cement replacement. These ashes are typically rich in reactive silica and exhibit pozzolanic behavior, reacting with calcium hydroxide in the cement to form additional C-S-H, thereby enhancing the strength and durability of concrete.

3.1 Rice Husk Ash (RHA)

RHA can replace up to 15–20% of cement. It improves durability and reduces permeability. Its high silica content makes it suitable for reactive pozzolanic reactions. Furthermore, RHA is abundantly available in rice-producing countries, thus promoting regional circular economies.

3.2 Sugarcane Bagasse Ash (SCBA)

SCBA is particularly useful in tropical countries where sugarcane is a major crop. It enhances long-term strength and reduces thermal cracking. However, due to its variability in composition, preprocessing and controlled burning are essential.
Table 2 presents the properties of RHA and SCBA and their impact on concrete.

Table 2: Properties of Agricultural Ashes Used in Concrete

Material	Pozzolanic Activity Index (%)	Optimum Replacement	Workability	Durability
RHA	85	15–20%	Medium	High
SCBA	75	10–15%	Medium-Low	Medium

4. Recycled Aggregates

Recycled concrete aggregate (RCA) is obtained from demolition waste and offers environmental benefits by reducing landfill usage and raw material consumption. RCA also supports the principles of a circular economy in construction.

4.1 Characteristics and Performance

RCA may contain adhered mortar, which can reduce compressive strength and increase water absorption. Proper treatment methods such as pre-soaking and mechanical cleaning can enhance RCA quality. When used in low- to medium-strength applications, RCA performs adequately.
Table 3 compares RCA with natural aggregate in terms of density, strength, and absorption.

Table 3: Comparison of RCA and Natural Aggregate

Property	Natural Aggregate	Recycled Aggregate
Density (kg/m3)	2700	2400
Water Absorption	0.8%	4.5%
Strength (MPa)	40	35

5. Plastic and Glass Waste

The use of plastic and glass waste in concrete is gaining momentum due to growing concerns over solid waste management.

5.1 Plastic Waste

Plastic fibers increase ductility and impact resistance but may reduce compressive strength if not properly optimized. Ideal applications include paving blocks and lightweight panels. Shredded plastic also acts as a filler material, contributing to waste volume reduction.

5.2 Glass Powder

Finely ground glass acts as a pozzolanic material and can replace up to 30% of OPC. It improves durability and aesthetics but may cause alkali-silica reaction (ASR) if not used carefully. Pre-treatment or the use of low-alkali cement mitigates this risk.

6. Natural Fibers

Natural fibers like jute, coir, and hemp are biodegradable and improve crack resistance and toughness. Their tensile strength and modulus vary, which can influence the structural behavior of concrete.

Table 4 summarizes the mechanical and physical properties of selected natural fibers.

Table 4: Properties of Selected Natural Fibers in Concrete

Fiber Type	Tensile Strength (MPa)	Water Absorption (%)	Durability
Jute	400–800	High	Low
Coir	220	Medium	Medium
Hemp	550	Medium	Medium

7. Challenges and Limitations

- Despite the benefits of sustainable concrete, several barriers limit its widespread adoption:
- Material variability: Especially in agricultural ashes and recycled aggregates, requiring rigorous quality control.
- Lack of standardization: Few codes and guidelines address the use of non-traditional materials.
- Processing costs: Some materials, such as silica fume and RHA, require grinding or calcination, adding to cost.
- Acceptance issues: Engineers and clients often resist the adoption of non-conventional materials without extensive performance data.

8. Future Directions

To accelerate the adoption of sustainable concrete, the following areas need attention:

- Hybrid blends: Combining multiple SCMs or fibers for synergistic effects.
- Life cycle assessment (LCA): More LCA studies are needed to quantify long-term environmental benefits.
- Advanced technologies: Nanomaterials and bio-additives can further enhance performance.
- Standardization efforts: National and international standards must include provisions for these materials.

9. Conclusion

The incorporation of sustainable materials in concrete presents an effective strategy to reduce environmental impact while maintaining or improving performance. The use of SCMs, recycled aggregates, agricultural ashes, and natural fibers can significantly reduce carbon emissions and conserve natural resources. Tables 1–4 illustrate the performance metrics and comparative advantages of these materials. While technical and logistical challenges remain, innovations in processing, testing, and regulation can help mainstream these alternatives. Sustainable concrete is not just a research trend but a foundational element of future infrastructure development.

REFERENCES:

1. Scrivener, K. L., John, V. M., & Gartner, E. M. (2018). Eco-efficient cements: Potential economically viable solutions for a low-CO2 cement-based materials industry. Cement and Concrete Research, 114, 2–26.
2. Naik, T. R., & Moriconi, G. (2006). Environmental-friendly durable concrete made with recycled materials. Sustainable Development of Cement, Concrete and Concrete Structures.
3. Thomas, M. (2007). Optimizing the use of fly ash in concrete. Portland Cement Association.
4. Habeeb, G. A., & Fayyadh, M. M. (2009). Rice husk ash concrete. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 3(3), 1616–1622.
5. Cordeiro, G. C., Toledo Filho, R. D., & Fairbairn, E. M. R. (2009). Use of ultra-fine SCBA in concrete. ACI Materials Journal, 106(5), 485–492.

6. Poon, C. S., Shui, Z. H., & Lam, L. (2004). Effect of ITZ on strength of concrete with RCA. Construction and Building Materials, 18(6), 461–468.
7. Saikia, N., & de Brito, J. (2012). Use of plastic waste in cement mortar. Construction and Building Materials, 34, 385–401.
8. Shaikh, F. U. A. (2014). Drying shrinkage in fly ash-slag geopolymer composites. Construction and Building Materials, 64, 120–130.
9. Al-Oraimi, S. K., & Seibi, A. C. (1995). Mechanical properties of concrete with natural fibres. Cement and Concrete Composites, 17(4), 229–237.
10. Siddique, R. (2008). Waste materials and by-products in concrete. Springer Science & Business Media.