WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

TESTING AND ANALYSIS OF GO-KART

Prof. H. V. Sondawale[1],Aman A. Shahare[2]

[1] Mechanical engineering KDK College of Engineering Nagpur, India
Haridas.sondawale@kdkce.edu.in
[2] Mechanical engineering KDK College of Engineering Nagpur, India
amanshahare7@gmail.com

ABSTRACT :

This research investigates the enhancement of go-kart performance, safety, and operational efficiency through detailed experimentation. Critical factors such as acceleration, braking response, cornering ability, and durability are assessed to refine design and functionality. The approach integrates static and dynamic evaluations to ensure structural robustness and deliver practical performance insights. Tools like GPS-based tracking and thermal monitoring support data gathering, while stress analysis confirms reliability across diverse conditions. Findings reveal that improved weight balance and suspension adjustments elevate stability and maneuverability, optimized weight shift boosts braking performance, and engine calibration enhances fuel economy and speed. The study emphasizes the value of iterative testing in go-kart refinement. Future investigations could explore advanced aerodynamic profiles and electric propulsion systems for further advancements.

Keywords: Go-Kart Evaluation, Performance Improvement, Dynamics Analysis, Braking Optimization, Structural Resilience, Longevity Testing

1. INTRODUCTION

Since their inception, go-karts have transformed from basic recreational vehicles into sophisticated platforms integral to motorsports, leisure driving, and academic engineering pursuits. Contemporary designs incorporate cutting-edge materials, efficient drivetrains, and meticulous engineering to elevate both safety and performance. Today, go-karts serve as vital instruments in professional racing, driver skill development, and automotive research. Thorough testing is fundamental to advancing their capabilities, enabling engineers to scrutinize aspects like powertrain effectiveness, braking precision, mass distribution, and airflow dynamics. Such evaluations pinpoint vulnerabilities, sharpen handling, and bolster longevity. Real-world trials yield essential data for component optimization, cost reduction, and enhanced safety. This study seeks to methodically examine and elevate go-kart performance through structured experimental techniques, focusing on speed, braking efficacy, turning stability, and endurance across varied scenarios. By employing dynamic load tests and telemetry-driven data acquisition, performance bottlenecks are identified and addressed. The outcomes aim to benefit both racing and commercial go-kart applications, enhancing efficiency and safety standards. The research also explores mechanical systems, aerodynamics, and protective features, laying a foundation for future innovations like electric drivetrains and autonomous functionalities.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Sivakumar et al. (2021) explored go-kart structural strength and drivetrain efficiency, underscoring the role of lightweight, sturdy chassis materials in boosting velocity and steadiness. They noted that seamless power delivery markedly enhances acceleration, while balanced mass allocation improves control and agility.

2. Sharma & Gupta (2020) evaluated electric versus combustion-powered go-karts, highlighting electric models' superior torque, energy savings, and eco-friendliness. Combustion variants, however, excelled in peak speed and stamina, making them preferable for high-speed races, while electric karts suited sustainable and indoor settings.

3. Patel et al. (2019) studied chassis architecture's influence on stability, finding that well-crafted frames better mitigate vibrations, enhancing driver comfort. Strategic weight positioning was shown to minimize steering issues, with material choices like aluminum or steel affecting durability and output.

4. Kumar & Karthik (2018) tested aerodynamic enhancements, demonstrating that streamlined bodywork reduces drag and boosts efficiency. Features like spoilers increased downforce, improving grip and steadiness, while also aiding fuel economy and speed.

5. Singh & Mehta (2017) optimized braking mechanisms, stressing balanced force distribution for control during rapid maneuvers. Upgraded calipers and discs shortened stopping distances, and enhanced heat management reduced brake wear during extended races.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Go-Kart Specifications

Specification	Details
Engine Type	125cc 4-stroke petrol engine
Chassis Design	Tubular frame, AISI 4130 steel
Weight	~90 kg (combustion) /~110 kg (electric)
Tire Specifications	Slick racing tires, front: 10x4.5-5, rear: 11x6.0-5
Braking System	Hydraulic disc brakes (rear)
Transmission	Chain drive with centrifugal clutch (combustion) /Direct drive (electric)
Seating & Safety	Bucket seat, 4-point harness, roll bar

3.2 Performance Testing

Key metrics included:

- **Speed**: Peak velocity under optimal conditions, gauged via GPS or wheel sensors on a 500m straight track.
- **Acceleration**: Time to reach 0-60 km/h, tracked with a GPS accelerometer during full-throttle starts.
- **Braking**: Deceleration effectiveness from 50 km/h, measured by stopping distance and G-forces.
- **Efficiency**: Fuel or energy use over a set distance, calculated for cost-effectiveness.
- **Handling**: Cornering precision and stability, assessed via slalom tests with gyroscopes and accelerometers.
- **Load Impact**: Performance shifts with driver weights, analyzing speed, braking, and control variations.

3.3 Experimental Setup

To properly assess the Go-Kart’s performance, a variety of tools, sensors, and techniques were employed. Initially, speed and acceleration were measured with a GPS speedometer and accelerometer, which recorded the kart's acceleration. Wheel speed sensors were also added to validate the GPS data. To assess braking, a brake force sensor captured how hard the driver applied the brakes, while a decelerometer recorded the kart's stopping rate. A laser distance sensor measured the exact stopping distance. For handling and stability, a gyroscope measured the kart's tilt or sway when turning, while a steering angle sensor compared the driver's input to the kart's movement. For fuel efficiency in petrol karts, a fuel flow meter tracked fuel consumption, while electric karts used a battery management system to monitor energy usage. The tests were conducted on a 500-meter asphalt track, including both straight and curved sections to evaluate different driving conditions. The track was dry, and the temperature was maintained at 25°C to ensure consistent results. Safety protocols involved helmets, protective gear, and an emergency stop button to halt the kart in case of an emergency. Data analysis was carried out using MATLAB and Simulink to process the test results and identify trends. SolidWorks was utilized to design and test the kart's chassis strength before manufacturing, while ANSYS Fluent helped analyze aerodynamics and reduce air resistance. The testing process began with preparing the kart, including checking tire conditions, fuel or battery levels, and calibrating the sensors. A warm-up lap ensured everything was working correctly before the main tests. The main session measured speed, acceleration, braking, and handling, while fuel and battery efficiency were tested over a 5-kilometer drive. Finally, all the collected data was analyzed using MATLAB and Excel, allowing engineers to assess the kart's performance and suggest improvements.

3.4 Testing Procedure

1. **Speed & Acceleration**: Recorded time to 60 km/h and max speed on a 500m stretch, averaged over three runs.
2. **Braking**: Measured stopping distance and deceleration from 50 km/h across three trials.
3. **Efficiency**: Tracked fuel (gasoline) or energy (electric) use over 5 km at 30 km/h, averaged from three runs.
4. **Handling**: Assessed stability and steering through a slalom course at 20, 30, and 40 km/h.
5. **Load Testing**: Compared performance with drivers weighing 57 kg and 62 kg across key metrics.

6. **Data Collection & Analysis :** All test results were recorded using a data logger. The data was then analyzed using MATLAB and Excel to create performance graphs and identify trends. The best and worst results were studied to suggest improvements for the Go-Kart's design.

4. DESIGH AND CALCULATION

The data collected from speed, acceleration, braking, fuel efficiency, and handling test.

4.1 Speed and Acceleration Test Result

Test Run	0-60 km/h Time (s)	Top Speed (km/h)
1	4.8	78
2	4.9	80
3	4.7	79
Average	**4.8**	**79**

The kart averaged 4.8 seconds to 60 km/h and a top speed of 79 km/h, reflecting strong acceleration and expected velocity.

4.2 Braking Efficiency Test Result

Test Run	Initial Speed (km/h)	Stopping Distance (m)	Deceleration (m/s^2)
1	50	8.5	7.2
2	50	8.3	7.5
3	50	8.4	7.3
Average	**50**	**8.4**	**7.3**

Stopping averaged 8.4m with a 7.3 m/s^2 deceleration, indicating reliable brakes with potential for refinement.

4.3 Fuel Efficiency Test Result

Test Run	Distance Covered (km)	Fuel Used (L)	Efficiency (km/L)
1	5	0.25	20
2	5	0.26	19.2
3	5	0.24	20.8
Average	**5**	**0.25**	**20**

The kart achieved 20 km/L, a decent baseline improvable through tuning.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The go-kart evaluation offered key insights into its performance in terms of speed, handling, and resilience. Speed tests showed a peak of 45 mph on flat ground, ideal for casual use, though performance dipped on slopes, hinting at insufficient engine strength. Boosting power or tweaking the gear ratio could enhance uphill results. Handling assessments revealed solid control in tight corners with little skid, yet high-speed understeering suggested less-than-ideal turning sharpness, potentially impacting racing success. Steering and weight adjustments could improve agility. A two-hour durability run highlighted tolerable tire wear but engine overheating, pointing to a need for better cooling, possibly via improved airflow or a radiator upgrade. The kart's frame held strong, confirming its robustness. With stronger propulsion, refined steering, and enhanced cooling, the go-kart could excel for both leisure and competitive drivers.

6. CONCLUSION

The testing process yielded critical insights into go-kart optimization, improving speed, handling, and safety. Future iterations could refine ergonomics and material use for superior outcomes.

REFERENCES

[1] Lal K, *Design and analysis of go-karts*, Int. J. Sci. Eng. Res., 7(4), 2016.

[2] Pattanshett SV, *Go-kart chassis design*, Int. J. Mech. Ind. Tech., 4(1), 150-164, 2016.

[3] Chow H Y, *Go-kart construction insights*, Univ. of Malaysia, 2016.

[4] Michell S et al., *Go-kart vehicle design*, Int. J. Sci. Eng. Tech. Res., 6(3), 2017.

[5] Hajare K et al., *Chassis analysis*, Int. J. Eng. Tech. Mgmt. Appl. Sci., 4(2).

[6] Nath A et al., *Go-kart fabrication*, Int. J. Innov. Res. Sci. Eng. Tech., 4(9), 2015.

[7] Kelkar K et al., *Static chassis analysis*, Int. J. Res. Advent Tech., 2017.