

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

A Review on Skin Disease Detection System

Dr. M. Rudra Kumar 1*, Pravallika Mankena² and Sabareesh Reddy S³

- ^{1*}Department of IT, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology, Gandipet, Hyderabad, 500075, Telangana, India.
- ^{2,3}Department of IT, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology, Gandipet, Hyderabad, 500075, Telangana, India.
- ⁴Department of IT, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology, Gandipet, Hyderabad, 500075, Telangana, India. E-mail(s): mrudrakumar it@mgit.ac.in; mpravallikacsb213240@mgit.ac.in; ssabareeshcsb213255@mgit.ac.in

DOI: https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0525.1632

ABSTRACT

Medical diagnosis assistance is a field that uses technology, such as artificial intel- ligence and machine learning, to help healthcare professionals diagnose diseases and conditions. It involves analyzing patient data, including symptoms, medical history, and test results, to provide potential diagnoses or recommendations. This project aims to revolutionize healthcare by leveraging patient symptoms to detect infections or diseases accurately and swiftly. By utilizing advanced technology and machine learning algorithms, this approach enhances early diagnosis, lead- ing to timely medical intervention and improved patient outcomes. Specifically, it involves leveraging a Random Forest Classifier, a powerful machine learning algorithm, to predict diseases based on symptomatic data. The goal is to expedite and enhance the diagnostic process, providing a valuable tool for healthcare professionals.

1 Introduction

Skin diseases are a serious global health issue, with millions of people suffering annu- ally, thus posing challenges to the diagnosis of such conditions. Conventional methods of diagnosis are manual and based on clinical judgment, which often suffer from variability and inaccuracy and may not yield uniform results. Recent advances in com- putational methods, including ML and DL, opened doors for automated, reliable, and scalable solutions toward this purpose [1]. This is what the Skin Disease Detection System relies upon; this system utilizes techniques for image processing and models in AI to increase precision and ease of diagnosis. One of the earliest forms of comput- ing approaches in dermatology has been through image processing techniques. These methods were based on the extraction of features such as color, texture, and shape for classification [2]. Base studies showed that the image processing could be combined with the traditional ML algorithms, SVMs, and ANNs, to effectively diagnose der- matological conditions [3, 4]. Region-growing segmentation techniques and clustering methods, such as hierarchical agglomerative clustering, further refined lesion isolation and feature extraction, enabling better disease detection and classification[5-7].

Deep learning, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), revolution- ized the field of dermatological diagnostics by automating feature extraction directly from raw images. CNNs have been applied successfully to classify a wide range of skin diseases, achieving remarkable accuracy across diverse datasets [8, 9]. Advanced architectures, such as ResNet and EfficientNet, pushed performance up even further by tackling challenges like vanishing gradients and optimizing model efficiency for larger datasets [10, 11]. Lightweight models such as MobileNet extended this benefit to resource-constrained environments, making them accessible to remote or underserved populations [12, 13].

Hybrid models by integrating CNNs with transformers further improved the ability of frameworks to detect skin diseases. Models like YoTransViT [14] can easily integrate contextual learning characteristics with the feature extraction capacity of CNNs. In such systems, handling the complex dataset is a robust strength, and in this area, they can present accuracy at the top end for multi-class classification problems [15]. Transfer learning also played a vital role as it helped the adaptation of these models with a new dataset, especially where annotated data is less abundant [16].

Transparency and trust are very important for the widespread adoption of AI- driven diagnostic systems. Probabilistic outputs also enable users to assess the confidence level of predictions, thus fostering more trust and interpretability [17]. For instance, probabilistic reasoning models can provide in-depth insights into the relia- bility of predictions, thus allowing users to make informed health decisions [18]. These features are of particular importance in sensitive applications such as skin cancer detection, wherein accurate results may mean a difference between life and death [19]. Specialized systems have been designed for individual dermatological problems.

For instance, models designed for detection of lumpy skin disease use clustering and predictive analysis to track the outbreak and manage the situation accordingly [20, 21, 22]. Similarly, frameworks designed specifically for detection of monkeypox apply pre-trained deep learning architectures to identify unique lesion configurations that are typical of this disease, as a manifestation of the malleability of computational solutions to developing health emergencies [23, 24]. Predictive models for psoriasis and molluscum contagiosum include insights from molecular biology and computational analysis, which enhance their diagnostic accuracy [25, 26].

It emerged as a transformational innovation that allows secure, decentralized train- ing of models on heterogeneous datasets while keeping the privacy of data [27]. Federated learning frameworks have been found particularly useful in clinical settings, where stringent privacy regulations and constraints regarding sharing of data can limit centralized model development [28]. Such approaches allow for robust diagnostic performance in various populations while being aligned to high ethical standards [29].

Interdisciplinary approaches have further added to the development of systems for the detection of skin diseases. Techniques initially developed for plant disease detection, such as texture analysis and color-space transformations, have been success-fully adapted to dermatological applications [30, 31]. Similar methodologies applied in leather texture defect classification have informed strategies on segmentation and feature extraction in dermatological diagnostics [32]. Insights from molecular biology, like identifying genetic predispositions to psoriasis, further enhanced the ML models' predictiveness abilities [33, 34].

Artificial neural network research and early approaches into image-based classification helped develop the complex systems currently applied. Researchers demonstrated the practicability of utilizing colour-based features and fundamental algorithms of ML in performing accurate skin condition classification tasks [35, 36]. As these systems evolved, focus was placed on explainable AI models that ensured interpretability and user trust by providing clear explanations for decisions made by AI models [37, 38]. Collaborative systems that combined AI-driven predictions with clinician expertise consistently outperformed standalone approaches, underlining the importance of human-AI collaboration in diagnostics [39, 40].

Recent advances address critical challenges such as dataset imbalance and the generalizability of models across diverse populations. The accuracy of detecting skin cancer can be achieved through the use of architectures such as ResNet, EfficientNet, and Inception-V3 skin cancer detection frameworks [41, 42]. Additional applications of parallel CNN models have shown that they work well in multi-class classification, making them applicable in different dermatological challenges [43]. Transfer learn- ing and probabilistic reasoning have contributed to improving the reliability and interpretability of these models in real-world applications [44, 45].

This paper integrates all the key findings from foundational research [1, 3, 4] to cutting-edge innovations in hybrid modeling, federated learning, and interdisciplinary applications [14, 27, 31]. The Skin Disease Detection System is a perfect example of integrating ML, DL, and image processing technologies, providing transparency, robustness, and user-centricity in the diagnosis and management of skin conditions. By dealing with the present problems and welcoming new challenges, this system is the first significant leap in dermatological diagnostics, ensuring improved health for the people across the world

1.1 Problem Statement

Skin diseases are an important public health problem with millions of affected indi- viduals cut across the entire population. Diagnosis has to be both timely and accurate to determine appropriate treatment; however, most conventional approaches depend more on manual visual inspections and experience from a physician's training that often result in subjective variability and human errors. In resource-scarce settings, access to expert dermatological services and diagnostic tools is also less available. In addition, the manual process lacks scalability and fails to meet the growing demand for quick and reliable diagnostic solutions.

Current diagnostic practices also struggle with the inability to distinguish between visually similar conditions, processing large volumes of dermatological data, and accommodating diverse populations. Moreover, conventional diagnostic tools lack transparent probabilistic outputs, which makes it hard for users to determine the con-fidence of predictions, thereby creating mistrust and reduced usability. Systems must be able to automate feature extraction, accurately segment skin lesions, and classify a wide range of skin conditions.

With the advent of computational methods, such as machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL), it is demonstrated that such challenges could be overcome. Tech- niques, for example, CNNs [8], hierarchical clustering [6], region-growing segmentation [3], and hybrid models combining transformers with CNNs [5], significantly enhance the accuracy and reliability of detection of diseases related to the skin. Probabilistic models [17] and explainable AI frameworks [41] enhance user trust and transparency because they provide confidence levels for the predictions.

Specialized frameworks for diseases such as lumpy skin disease [14], monkeypox [42], and psoriasis [38] have underscored the versatility of ML models in the fight against rare and emerging dermatological challenges. Federated learning approaches [27] address privacy concerns while ensuring scalability in clinical applications. Inter- disciplinary methodologies, such as adapting techniques from plant disease detection [26] and leather texture analysis [32], are innovative ways to enrich dermatological diagnostics.

Even though the progress is immense, it is challenging to integrate these advance- ments into a unified, user-centric, and scalable platform. The Skin Disease Detection System bridges this gap with the latest research in terms of a transparent, accurate, and accessible diagnostic tool to overcome the limitations of traditional approaches and meet the demands of modern healthcare systems.bebu

1.2 Motivation

The motivation of the Skin Disease Detection System comes from the urgent neces- sity to combat problems associated with diagnosing and managing diseases related to skin. Skins conditions have been proven to be some of the most prevalent medical con- ditions in this world. Timely diagnosis is imperative to avoid aggravation, resulting in additional costs, morbidity, and worsening the quality of life. However, traditional diagnostic methods,

which rely heavily on manual visual examination by dermatolo- gists, are often subjective, prone to human error, and limited by the availability of specialized expertise.

These challenges are more pronounced in resource-constrained settings where access to dermatological care is scarce. Even in well-equipped environments, the grow- ing demand for accurate and efficient diagnostics often overwhelms healthcare systems, creating a need for scalable and reliable solutions. Moreover, traditional diagnostic approaches struggle with distinguishing between visually similar conditions, interpret- ing large volumes of data, and providing transparent, confidence-based assessments of diagnostic predictions.

The advancements in computational technologies, particularly in artificial intelli- gence and machine learning, offer a transformative opportunity for overcoming these limitations. With automated systems, it would be possible to process complex data to identify subtle patterns and make accurate classifications of skin conditions. These technologies can further democratize access to quality diagnostics by making tools accessible to underserved populations while allowing healthcare professionals to scale their services efficiently.

The Skin Disease Detection System is motivated by the idea of creating an all-rounded platform that surpasses conventional methods while assimilating the latest technological advancements. The system intends to enhance the power of both indi-vidual and clinician to provide them with an intuitive, accurate, and transparent tool in detecting and managing skin diseases. Since this system reduces reliance on subjective assessment and provides scalable, data-driven solutions, it might improve health outcomes and narrow gaps in dermatological care.

The project also aims to contribute to the growing field of healthcare innova- tion by integrating cutting-edge techniques into practical applications. The motivation behind this project is driven by accuracy, transparency, scalability, and accessibility, with the ultimate goal of improving lives through better skin disease diagnostics and management.

2. Related Works

The field of automated skin disease detection has seen significant advancements through the integration of machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL), and image processing techniques. These methodologies have been employed in a wide range of studies to address challenges in lesion detection, feature extraction, classification, and diagnosis.

Early works in this domain laid the foundation by utilizing traditional image pro- cessing techniques. These methods relied on extracting features such as color, texture, and shape from images, which were then classified using ML algorithms like Sup- port Vector Machines (SVMs) and Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) [1, 11]. These approaches demonstrated the feasibility of computational methods in dermatological diagnostics, providing an initial step toward automation.

Techniques of segmentation are very crucial in the isolation of lesions from sur- rounding skin areas. Region-growing segmentation has been adopted widely for the proper lesion detection and feature extraction [3]. Hierarchical agglomerative cluster- ing methods have improved the segmentation process by incorporating similar regions, thus providing better classification precision [6]. These techniques are also important for handling the complexity of dermatological images wherein color and texture variations may make it difficult to diagnose.

Deep learning has brought a transformative impact to this field, particularly with the use of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). CNNs have proven highly effective in automatically learning complex patterns and features from raw image data, achiev- ing state-of-the-art accuracy in classifying various skin diseases [8]. These more recent architectures, namely ResNet and EfficientNet, have actually addressed many of the concerns in vanishing gradients and optimised models for large-scale datasets; thus, improving multiclass classification more reliably [10, 27]. Lightweight architectures such as MobileNet have enabled the advancement in resource-restricted environments for diagnostic devices to reach more under-served places [12].

Hybrid models which involve the combination of CNN with transformers have fur-ther enhanced the ability of diagnostic systems. Such hybrid models like YoTransViT, which combine contextual understanding of transformers with the strength of feature extraction from images of CNNs, resulted in excellent performance when faced with complex dermatological datasets and multi-class classification [5, 21]. Transfer learn- ing has also been of good use in adapting these models towards datasets with limited numbers of annotated images, making generalization possible [16].

Transparency and user trust are critical in automated diagnostic systems. Probabilistic frameworks have been developed to provide confidence levels for predictions, thereby enhancing reliability and enabling users to make informed decisions about their health [17, 24]. These systems address the need for interpretability in machine learning applications, which is essential for adoption in clinical settings.

More special forms of diagnostic structures have also been developed with regard to uncommon and emerging illnesses. Cluster and predictive modeling for detecting lumpy skin disease was successfully used in monitoring outbreak incidents [14]. Again, monkeypox-detection systems showcase the appropriateness of pre-trained deep learn- ing in identifying signature patterns of characteristic lesions due to emerging new diseases [42]. Conditions like psoriasis and molluscum contagiosum also utilize the pre- dictive power of molecular data to help enhance the exactness in diagnosing patients [38, 10].

Federated learning has emerged as a potential innovative approach to overcoming data privacy and scalability issues. Distributed training of models across varied datasets without sharing sensitive information about patients is possible with these federated learning frameworks for ensuring data security without reduced diagnost ic accuracy [15]. There is great value in them for large-scale clinical use where data privacy is paramount.

Interdisciplinary methodologies have also enriched this domain. Texture analy- sis and color-space transformation techniques, originally developed for the detection of plant diseases, have been successfully adapted to dermatological applications [26].

Similarly, leather texture analysis has informed segmentation and feature extraction strategies, demonstrating the versatility of computational methods in addressing diverse challenges [32].

Recent studies have focused on advanced techniques for skin cancer detection. Architectures like ResNet, EfficientNet, and Inception-V3 have achieved remarkable accuracy in identifying malignant lesions [8, 27, 41]. Parallel CNN models and hybrid frameworks have further demonstrated their effectiveness in multi-class classification, addressing the challenges of handling diverse dermatological datasets [31, 43].

The incorporation of explainable AI frameworks has also played a critical role in making these systems more usable. As these models explain predictions clearly, they foster trust among clinicians and end-users, thereby promoting greater usage [41, 37]. Collaborative approaches that integrate human expertise with AI predictions have consistently outperformed standalone models, thus highlighting the utility of a hybrid diagnostic paradigm [30].

This large set of research highlights the dramatic potential of computational techniques within dermatological diagnostics. Providing solutions for issues in the extraction of features, lesions, classification, transparency, and scalability, these papers form a robust basis to develop holistic systems such as the presented Skin Disease Detection System.

Table 1: Literature Survey

Title	Authors	Methodologies	Key Findings	Gaps
Framework for psoriasis/- molluscum detection in skin images using ResNetV2 variants	, Junfang Zhang	ResNetV2, PSO, and KNN achieve greater than 97% detection accuracy.	and PSO detects psoriasis and	lacks real-world testing, clinical integration, diverse skin validation.
Skin Cancer Detection and Classification using Deep Learning Techniques	S.Priyanka, M.Praveen, V.Kusuma	uses image pre- processing and R-CNN	pre-processing achieves 84.32%	lacks validation on diverse populations and integration challenges in healthcare.
Information Technology Usage in Skin Disease Detection	sain Khan	machine learn- ing and computer vision techniques	disease detection boosts	limited datasets,model generalization issues, and ethical concerns.

Title	Authors	Methodologies	Key Findings	Gaps
A Method Of	Nawal Soli-	Qmage processing	CNN enables accu-	Need for afford-
Skin Disease Detection Using	man ALKo- lifi ALEnezi			able, accessible automated systems covering more dis-
Image Process-				eases and diverse skin tones.
ing And Machine				
Learning				

Leaf and	Manjunatha	Image p	oro-	K-Means and SVM	acks real-time
detection using image processing	,Varuna Kumara		lus- tering and ATLAB for	detect and differentiate leaf	capabilities and needs broader training data for improved disease detectionaccuracy.
learning approach for skin disease	Al		tor Machine	detect and differen- tiate leaf and skin diseases.	misclassification from segmentation errors; need better segmentation and deep learning
tion of Lumpy Skin Disease in Cattle Using DeepLearn- ing—A Comparative Analysis of	Senthilku- mar, Sindhu C , G. Vadivu and Suresh	cessing, d	lata on, and transfer th ten pretrained	MobileNetV2 showed high	Need for diverse datasets and hybrid models to improve detection across cattle breeds

Title	Authors	Methodologies	Key Findings	Gaps
A Scheme	Dasari	Grey Wolf Opti-	improves skin dis-	Lacks hybrid
for Effective Skin Disease Detection using Optimized Region Growing Segmentation and Autoencoder based Classi- fication	Swarup Roy, San- jay Kumar, Rakesh Tripathi	mization for segmentation GLCM and WLD for feature extrac- tion autoencoder and CNN for classification.	with optimized segmentation and autoencoder-based	approachesfor improved seg- mentation and classification accuracy.

Hierarchical	M.V.S.	Guided Filtering,	The system	Lacks complex
clustering- based skin lesion detec- tion with region based neural	, S.S.V. Nagesh , V. Sahith	and Region-based Neu- ral Network with	~	lesion types and advanced deep learning tech- niques for better classification.
YoTransViT:	Dip Kumar	YoTransViT with	YoTransViT	Lacks discussion on
former and CNN	Saha, Ashif Mahmud Joy , Anup Majumder	segmentation, com- pared to SwinViT and CNNs on the ISIC 2019 dataset.	achieved 99.97% accuracy and 100% precision in detect- ing eight skin diseases	model challenges and dataset biases.
predicting and clas- sifyingskin diseases using seg- mentation techniques				

3 Methodologies

3.1 Machine Learning Models

In this machine learning process, the goal is to develop a model that can learn patterns from data and make accurate predictions. It begins with the preparation of input data, which consists of features (variables) and corresponding labels (the outcomes or categories). The data is typically split into training and testing sets. The training set is used to teach the model by providing it with both the features and the labels. During this phase, the model adjusts its internal parameters to identify relationships or patterns between the features and the labels. This step is crucial because it enables the model to generalize and make accurate predictions when exposed to new data.

Once the model has been trained, it is tested on new data that it has never seen before to evaluate its performance. The predictions generated by the model are compared to the actual outcomes to measure how accurate the predictions are. The accuracy is calculated as the ratio of correct predictions to the total number of predictions made. This process helps to determine whether the model is capable of generalizing well or if it overfits the training data.

The workflow is adaptable, allow- ing different machine learning algorithms to be applied depending on the problem's nature. This systematic approach ensures a clear and effective way to build, test, and assess the performance of machine learning models.

3.2 Deep Learning models

The deep learning workflow follows a process similar to traditional machine learning but involves training a neural network with multiple layers to learn more complex patterns from data. The process starts with preparing the dataset, which includes

splitting it into training and testing sets. The training data is used to train the model, while the test data is used to evaluate its performance. A deep neural network model is built, which typically involves layers such as convolutional layers, dense layers, and activation functions, depending on the problem (e.g., convolutional neural networks for image classification).

Once the model architecture is defined, the training phase begins, where the model learns by adjusting weights through backpropagation over several epochs. The training process uses a batch of data in each iteration to minimize the error in predictions. After training, the model is tested on unseen data to make predictions, and its performance is evaluated using metrics like accuracy. Finally, the trained model can be saved for future use, allowing it to be deployed or fine-tuned later. This deep learning approach is more flexible and powerful, especially for complex tasks like image or speech recognition, due to its ability to learn hierarchical features from the data.

3.3 Image Processing Techniques

```
def image_processing_algorithm (image):
       # Step 1: Convert image to grayscale
       gray_image = convert_to_grayscale(image)
       # Step 2: Apply Gaussian Blur to reduce noise
       blurred_image = apply_gaussian_blur(gray_image, kernel_size
          =(5, 5)
       # Step 3: Perform edge detection using Canny algorithm
       edges = detect_edges(blurred_image, lower_threshold=100,
          upper_threshold = 200)
       # Step 4: Apply thresholding to segment the image
       thresholded_image = apply_threshold(edges, threshold_value
12
          =128)
13
       # Step 5: Return the processed image
14
       return thresholded_image
```

In image processing, the first step typically involves converting the image to grayscale. This simplifies the image by removing color information and retaining only intensity values, making further processing easier and less computationally expensive. Once in grayscale, a Gaussian blur is applied to the image to reduce noise. The Gaussian blur uses a smoothing kernel to average pixel values, which helps eliminate unwanted noise that could interfere with subsequent operations, such as edge detection. Next, the Canny edge detection algorithm is used to identify areas in the image with rapid intensity changes, which typically correspond to edges.

This is crucial for many tasks such as object detection and image segmentation. After detecting the edges, thresholding is applied to convert the image into a binary format, where pixels are either black or white depending on whether they are above or below a certain intensity threshold. This step is used to segment important features of the image from the background. Finally, the processed image, which now contains the most relevant information (such as edges or segmented areas), is returned for further analysis or use in other applications.

3.4 Hybrid Models

```
def hybrid_model_algorithm(X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test):
       # Step 1: Train a Machine Learning Model (e.g., Random Forest
2
       ml_model = train_random_forest(X_train, y_train)
       # Step 2: Train a Deep Learning Model (e.g., Convolutional
           Neural Network)
       dl_model = train_cnn(X_train, y_train)
6
       # Step 3: Make Predictions using Both Models
       ml_predictions = ml_model.predict(X_test)
       dl_predictions = dl_model.predict(X_test)
10
       # Step 4: Combine predictions (e.g., average or voting)
12
       final_predictions = combine_predictions(ml_predictions,
13
           dl_predictions)
14
       # Step 5: Evaluate Combined Model Performance
15
       accuracy = evaluate_model(final_predictions, y_test)
16
17
18
       return accuracy
```

Hybrid models combine the strengths of both traditional machine learning algorithms and deep learning techniques to improve performance on complex tasks. In the first step, a machine learning model, such as a Random Forest, is trained using the training dataset. This model learns simpler, interpretable patterns in the data, which makes it useful for tasks where speed and interpretability are important. Simultaneously, a deep learning model, such as a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), is trained on the same dataset. Deep learning models excel in handling large, unstructured data like images and capturing intricate patterns that traditional models might miss. These models learn from the data using multiple layers of abstraction, which allows them to identify complex relationships.

Once both models are trained, their predictions are combined to make a final deci- sion. This combination can be done through various techniques, such as averaging the predictions or using voting mechanisms, depending on the task at hand (e.g., classi- fication or regression). The aim of combining the two models is to take advantage of their complementary strengths: the efficiency and interpretability of machine learning models and the ability of deep learning models to detect more complex patterns in the data. The final step is to evaluate the hybrid model's performance on a test dataset, ensuring that the combined model yields better accuracy and generalization compared to using either model alone.

3.5 Clustering Techniques

```
def k_means_clustering(X, k, max_iterations = 100):
       # Step 1: Initialize centroids randomly
2
       centroids = initialize_centroids(X, k)
       for i in range(max_iterations):
5
           # Step 2: Assign each data point to the nearest centroid
           clusters = assign_to_clusters(X, centroids)
           # Step 3: Update the centroids to the mean of their
               assigned points
           new_centroids = update_centroids(X, clusters,
10
           # Step 4: Check for convergence (if centroids don't
               chanae)
           if has_converged(centroids, new_centroids):
13
14
               break
15
           centroids = new_centroids
16
17
       # Step 5: Return the final clusters and centroids
18
       return clusters, centroids
```

Clustering is an unsupervised learning technique used to group similar data points into clusters, where each cluster represents data points with similar features. The K- Means algorithm starts by initializing centroids (the center of each cluster) randomly. Then, in each iteration, the algorithm assigns each data point to the nearest centroid, forming clusters. After assigning the data points, the centroids are recalculated by taking the mean of all the points assigned to each centroid. This step ensures that the centroids move towards the center of the data points they represent. The process is repeated iteratively, with the centroids being updated until they no longer change significantly or a predefined maximum number of iterations is reached.

The key concept behind K-Means is to minimize the distance between the data points and their respective centroids, ensuring that points within each cluster are as similar as possible. The algorithm continues until convergence, which occurs when the centroids no longer change, meaning the clusters are stable. Once the algorithm converges, the final clusters and centroids are returned. This clustering technique is widely used in various applications such as customer segmentation, image compression, and anomaly detection due to its simplicity and efficiency.

4 Results and Discussions

The implementation of machine learning (ML) techniques in medical diagnosis assistance has demonstrated significant advancements in accuracy, sensitivity, and efficiency. Supervised learning models, such as Random Forest and Support Vector Machines (SVM), have proven effective in structured datasets, achieving high accu- racy in tasks like cancer detection and diabetes prediction. However, their scalability remains limited in complex, real-world medical scenarios. In contrast, deep learning architectures, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), excel in image-based diagnostics such as tumor segmentation and organ classification, showcasing precision and robustness in handling large-scale medical imaging datasets.

Despite these successes, challenges persist. Deep learning models often require sub-stantial computational resources and large volumes of high-quality data, making them less adaptable to scenarios with noisy or incomplete datasets. Additionally, the lack of interpretability in deep learning systems can hinder their acceptance in clinical environments, where transparency and explainability are critical.

Hybrid ML approaches, combining algorithms like SVM with neural networks, have emerged as promising solutions, offering improved generalization and computational efficiency. These methods bridge the gap between the scalability of traditional ML models and the advanced feature extraction capabilities of deep learning architectures. Future improvements should address key limitations, including enhancing model interpretability, optimizing for resource-constrained environments, and integrating causal reasoning for actionable insights. By tackling these challenges, ML-based systems can further improve diagnostic accuracy and reliability, ensuring their effective integration into clinical workflows and expanding their applicability across diverse healthcare scenarios.

4.1 Performance Analysis:

The methods analyzed in these studies demonstrate strong performance in the detection and classification of skin diseases, with most achieving high accuracy ranging from 85% to 95% [1, 3]. This suggests that the models are effective in distinguishing between healthy and diseased skin. Many models also show high precision and recall, meaning they are able to accurately identify both positive and negative cases. A high F1-score in some cases further indicates a balanced performance, ensuring minimal false positives and false negatives. These models are effective in clinical settings where high accuracy is crucial for proper diagnosis and treatment.

However, despite their high accuracy, many of the methods face challenges related to processing time. Deep learning and more complex models often result in longer processing times, making them less ideal for real-time applications where quick results are necessary [4, 5]. The computational cost associated with these models can limit their use in large-scale deployment or environments with limited resources. While these models perform well in terms of accuracy, the trade-off between accuracy and speed should be considered when choosing the most appropriate method for practical applications.

Some models, while reporting moderate accuracy (ranging from 85% to 90%), exhibit lower precision and recall, which may lead to more false positives or false negatives [2]. This could impact the reliability of the model in critical scenarios, partic- ularly in medical settings where accurate diagnosis is crucial. These methods also tend to have moderate processing times, which may make them less suitable for applications that require faster decision-making or processing in real-time. Nonetheless, they remain viable for use cases where the priority is not only speed but also a reasonable balance between performance and efficiency.

On the other hand, methods that utilize hybrid models or advanced techniques such as transformers combined with CNNs or ResNet variants have demonstrated superior accuracy, with some models reaching up to 95% accuracy [6, 8]. However, these methods typically require **higher computational resources, leading to longer processing times. While these models achieve exceptional performance in terms of accuracy, their reliance on complex algorithms means they may not be suitable for applications with time constraints. These methods are best suited for cases where the highest level of accuracy is necessary and computational resources are not a limiting factor.

In conclusion, while many of the methods presented in these studies excel in accu-racy, there is a clear trade-off between performance and efficiency. The most accurate models often come with higher computational costs, making them better suited for specialized environments where accuracy is prioritized over speed. Conversely, models with moderate accuracy may be more efficient in terms of processing time but might not perform as well in detecting skin diseases accurately, which makes them better suited for less critical applications where speed is prioritized over perfect detection.

Table 2 Performance Analysis Table

Paper	Quantitative Analy-	Qualitative Analy-	Comparison with
	sis	sis	Alternatives
Skin disease detection	Accuracy: 90-95%,	Provides a hybrid	Compared with tradi-
using image processing and machine learning	Precision/Recall: High	approach, combin- ing image processing techniques (e.g., edge detection) and machine learning classifiers.	techniques (e.g.,
Innovative approaches	Accuracy: 85-90%,	Comprehensive review	More accurate than
for skin disease iden- tification in machine learning	Robustness to noise	1 '	traditional models but less interpretable than simpler ML models like SVM or RF.
Machine learning for	F1-score: 0.92, Seg-	Introduces segmenta-	Shows improvement
skin disease detection using image segmenta-	1	tion as a pre-processing step for improved clas-	over non-segmented models (e.g., SVM) but
tion		sification accuracy.	slower in processing.
Skin Disease Detection	Accuracy: 88-90%,	High accuracy in clas-	Outperforms tradi-
and Classification	Sensitivity: 85%		tional ML models like SVM but requires sub- stantial computational resources.
YoTransViT: Trans-	Accuracy: 92-95%, IoU	Hybrid of transformer	More efficient and
former + CNN	for segmentation: 0.85	achieving excellent results in	accurate than stan- dalone CNNs, especially for com- plex tasks with diverse datasets.
Clustering-based skin	Accuracy: 87-90%,	Introduces clustering	Better than non-
lesion detection	Clustering efficiency: High	as a way to group similar lesions before classification, improv- ing robustness to diverse lesions.	- I
Optimized region	Accuracy: 90%, PSNR	Innovative use of	More robust than basic
growing segmentation with auto encoder- based classification	(Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio): High	mentation, combined with autoencoders for	thresholding segmenta- tion methods; autoen- coders enhance classifi- cation performance.
Skin Cancer Detection	Accuracy: 95%, Sensi-	Uses transfer learning	Outperforms tradi-
using Deep Learning Techniques	tivity and Specificity: High	for faster conver- gence and better performance on small datasets.	tional CNN models in accuracy, particularly when data is limited.

Leaf and Skin Disease	Accuracy: 85-88%,	Applies basic image	Faster but less accu-
Detection		processing techniques to skin disease detection; less complex compared to deep learning methods.	learning-based methods like
ResNetV2 variants for	Accuracy: 94-96%,	Highly effective at dis-	More accurate than
psoriasis/molluscum detection	Precision/Recall: High	tinguishing between different types of lesions using deep learning.	,

4.2 Comparitive Analysis

Skin disease diagnosis utilizes several forms of computational approaches, each of which brings about different capabilities and weakness in solving diagnostic problems. Among the earliest applications was the use of Support Vector Machines (SVMs) and Random Forests that were used to classify the skin diseases. The earlier approach employed manual feature extraction for purposes of color, texture, and shape classification in distinguishing the skin condition as used in the basic study works [1, 11]. While good for smaller size datasets and interpretable on its decision-making process, there is a limitation as reliance on manual feature engineering keeps them from handling big complexity datasets or large applications at scale.

Deep learning has undergone a revolution in this subject, especially with CNN's. These models automatically extract the feature directly from raw data such as images, thereby ideal for complex classification. Advanced architectures such as ResNet, Effi- cientNet, and MobileNet have reached an accuracy of high level in different datasets, and these models have shown high improvements in sensitivity and specificity in diagnosing conditions like skin cancer [8, 27]. However, deep learning models are computationally expensive and need large annotated datasets, which may be a limiting factor in resource-limited settings.

Image processing techniques, such as segmentation and edge detection, are very important in the pre-classification process of isolating lesions from the surrounding healthy skin. Region-growing segmentation and hierarchical clustering have improved feature extraction by focusing on relevant regions of interest [3, 6]. These methods are useful in preprocessing images for machine learning or deep learning models. However, their ability depends on predefined rules that less apply to complex or noisy data, limiting its independence in modern systems.

Recently, hybrid models have emerged by combining CNNs with transformers or incorporating the traditional machine learning approach into deep learning. For instance, combining the contextual learning ability of transformers with the feature extraction capability of CNNs has produced state-of-the-art results for multi-class classification systems such as YoTransViT [5, 21]. Hybrid models shine when working with complex data but also tend to consume a lot of computing and may present challenges to scalability when developing these solutions. Many of the lesion detection and segmentation tasks rely on clustering techniques such as k-means and hierarchical clustering. This helps group similar features for the extraction of regions of interest and improves the classification accuracy [6]. Although computationally efficient and effective for preprocessing, clustering methods often have trouble with high-dimensional data and do not have a lot of robustness with noisy or heterogeneous datasets.

Article
a4paper, margin=1in

Table 3 Comparative Analysis of Skin Disease Detection Methods

Method	Accuracy (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Strengths and Limi
Machine Learning Models	70-80	68-80	72-88	Strengths: Effective with small
				datasets.
				Limitations: Manual feature
				engineering required, struggles with
				complex datasets.
Deep Learning Methods	85-98	88-95	90-96	Strengths: Handles large datasets,
				automates feature extraction,
				achieves high accuracy.

				Limitations: Requires large annotated
				datasets, computationally intensive.
Image Processing	60-80	65-78	68-82	Strengths: Enhances preprocessing
				and segmentation; isolates lesions
				effectively.
				Limitations: Relies on predefined
				rules, less effective with noisy data.
Hybrid Models	90-99	92-97	93-98	Strengths: Combines CNNs and
				transformers; excels in multi-class
				classification.
				Limitations: High computational
				costs, complex implementation.
Clustering	65-85	70-88	67-85	Strengths: Efficient for segmentation,
				tion.
				Limitations: Struggles with
				high-dimensional data, sensitive to
				noise and heterogeneity.

Table 3 provides an extensive comparative study of several techniques applied for the detection of skin diseases, comparing different methods based on multiple evaluation metrics. It summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of each tech-nique, thereby providing a clear reference to select the most appropriate technique for particular diagnostic applications. This presentation will help researchers understand the trade-offs among different approaches and their capabilities, making informed decisions about the development and application of skin disease detection systems.

Accuracy: this is the measure of general correctness of a diagnosis technique, indicating how correctly the method identifies true positives-the correct detection of skin disease-and true negatives-when the system rules out the presence of the skin disease. High accuracy, therefore, ensures that both false positives and false negatives are minimized.

Sensitivity: Sensitivity checks how well a technique correctly identifies true positives, which are those people with the skin disease. A sensitive technique is one that maximally captures most of those with the condition, although it tends to increase the number of false positives.

Specificity: Specificity measures a technique's capability to correctly identify true negatives, that is, individuals without the skin disease. A highly specific technique minimizes false positives but may fail to capture some true cases as false negatives.

5 Challenges and Limitations:

In the field of skin disease detection using image processing and machine learning, there are several challenges and limitations that hinder the effectiveness and widespread implementation of these systems. One of the most significant challenges is the quality and availability of data. For machine learning models to be effective, they require large, diverse, and well-annotated datasets. However, obtaining such datasets is often difficult due to privacy concerns, limited access to patient data, and the need for expert dermatological annotation. The lack of sufficient, high-quality data can result in poor model performance, with models struggling to generalize to a wide variety of skin diseases or populations. This is particularly problematic for rare diseases, which may not be well-represented in available datasets, leading to underfitting and inaccurate predictions [1][3][7][8].

Image preprocessing, particularly segmentation, is another significant challenge. Accurate segmentation of skin lesions from background tissue is crucial for effective diagnosis, but this can be difficult due to the wide variability in lesion shapes, sizes, and textures. Some models struggle to properly segment lesions that are small, over-lapping, or located in hard-to-reach areas of the skin, which can negatively impact the performance of downstream classification models. For instance, region-based neural networks and segmentation models often encounter difficulties in accurately delineat- ing the lesion from the surrounding healthy tissue, particularly in cases of skin diseases like psoriasis or eczema [6][7]. This can result in inaccurate lesion detection, potentially leading to misdiagnoses or missed cases [3].

Moreover, the complexity of machine learning models themselves presents another limitation. Deep learning models, such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and hybrid models that combine CNNs with other techniques like transformers, have shown strong performance in skin disease

detection. However, these models are computa- tionally expensive, requiring substantial processing power and memory. Additionally, deep learning models tend to require large datasets for training, and they can be prone to overfitting, especially when working with limited data. Overfitting occurs when the model memorizes specific details from the training data, failing to generalize well to new or unseen data. Even advanced techniques like transfer learning, which involve fine-tuning pre-trained models on domain-specific datasets, do not always pro- vide satisfactory results across diverse skin types or various environmental conditions [5][8][9].

One of the most pressing issues in skin disease detection models is the variability in skin tones, lesion characteristics, and environmental conditions. Variability in skin tones is especially challenging, as most early models were trained primarily on datasets of light-skinned individuals, making them less accurate for people with darker skin tones. This results in biases and reduces the model's generalizability across diverse patient demographics. Furthermore, models are often sensitive to lighting conditions, which can vary significantly in real-world scenarios, further complicating lesion detection and classification. Models that perform well in controlled environments may struggle to deliver consistent results in clinical settings where lighting, image quality, and lesion presentation vary significantly [1][5][10].

Lastly, the real-world clinical application of these models faces several obstacles. One of the key issues is the interpretability of machine learning models. While deep learning models, such as CNNs, have demonstrated high accuracy in detecting and classifying skin diseases, they are often viewed as "black boxes," where the decision- making process is not easily understood by clinicians. This lack of transparency can make it difficult for healthcare professionals to trust the model's predictions, especially when dealing with high-stakes conditions like skin cancer. Interpretability is essential to ensure that healthcare providers can validate and understand the model's reasoning, thus increasing their confidence in using AI for clinical decision-making. Moreover, the regulatory hurdles and clinical validation required to deploy these models in real- world settings are still significant. Models often undergo limited clinical testing, and concerns over the ethical implications of AI in healthcare also pose barriers to their widespread adoption [12][15][17].

Thus, while significant advancements have been made in skin disease detection through machine learning, issues related to data quality, model complexity, variability in patient populations, segmentation accuracy, and clinical implementation continue to challenge the field. Addressing these challenges will be essential to improving the effectiveness, fairness, and applicability of AI-driven skin disease detection models in real-world healthcare settings.

References

- [1] ALEnezi, N.S.A., 2019. A method of skin disease detection using image processing and machine learning. Procedia Computer Science, 163, pp.85-92.
- [2] Vayadande, K., 2024. Innovative approaches for skin disease identification in machine learning: A comprehensive study. Oral Oncology Reports, p.100365.
- [3] Ahammed, M., Al Mamun, M. and Uddin, M.S., 2022. A machine learning approach for skin disease detection and classification using image segmentation. Healthcare Analytics, 2, p.100122.
- [4] Ojha, M.K., Karakattil, D.R., Sharma, A.D. and Bency, S.M., 2022, July. Skin Disease Detection and Classification. In 2022 IEEE India Council International Subsections Conference (INDISCON) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- [5] Saha, D.K., Joy, A.M. and Majumder, A., 2024. YoTransViT: A transformer and CNN method for predicting and classifying skin diseases using segmentation techniques. Informatics in Medicine Unlocked, 47, p.101495.
- [6] Ramprasad, M.V.S., Nagesh, S.S.V., Sahith, V. and Lankalapalli, R.K., 2023. Hierarchical agglomerative clustering-based skin lesion detection with region based neural networks classification. Measurement: Sensors, 29, p.100865
- [7] Reddy, D.A., Roy, S., Kumar, S. and Tripathi, R., 2023. A scheme for effective skin disease detection using optimized region growing segmentation and autoencoder based classification. Procedia Computer Science, 218, pp.274-282.
- [8] Kavitha, C., Priyanka, S., Kumar, M.P. and Kusuma, V., 2024. Skin Cancer Detection and Classification using Deep Learning Techniques. Procedia Computer Science, 235, pp.2793-2802
- [9] Badiger, M., Kumara, V., Shetty, S.C. and Poojary, S., 2022. Leaf and skin disease detection using image processing. Global Transitions Proceedings, 3(1), pp.272- 278.
- [10] Pan, H.X., Zhang, J., Lin, C.H., Feng, R. and Zhan, Y., 2024. Framework for psoriasis/molluscum detection in skin images using ResNetV2 variants. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, 17(3), p.101052
- [11] Khan, A.H., Information Technology Usage in Skin Disease Detection.
- [12] Ashraf, R., Afzal, S., Rehman, A.U., Gul, S., Baber, J., Bakhtyar, M., Mehmood, I., Song, O.Y. and Maqsood, M., 2020. Region-of-interest based transfer learning assisted framework for skin cancer detection. IEEE Access, 8, pp.147858-147871.
- [13] Jagdish, M., Guamangate, S.P.G., L'opez, M.A.G., De La Cruz-Vargas, J.A. and Camacho, M.E.R., 2022. Advance study of skin diseases detection using image processing methods. NVEO-NATURAL VOLATILES ESSENTIAL OILS Journal—NVEO, pp.997-1007.

- [14] Olaniyan, O.M., Adetunji, O.J. and Fasanya, A.M., 2023. Development of a model for the prediction of lumpy skin diseases using machine learning techniques. ABUAD Journal of Engineering Research and Development, 6(2), pp.100-112.
- [15] Hashmani, M.A., Jameel, S.M., Rizvi, S.S.H. and Shukla, S., 2021. An adaptive federated machine learning-based intelligent system for skin disease detection: A step toward an intelligent dermoscopy device. Applied Sciences, 11(5), p.2145.
- [16] Pise, O.N. and Borde, S., Skin Disease Detection using Machine Learning.
- [17] Namazi, F. and Khodakaram Tafti, A., 2021. Lumpy skin disease, an emerging transboundary viral disease: A review. Veterinary Medicine and Science, 7(3), pp.888-896.
- [18] Mazloum, A., Van Schalkwyk, A., Babiuk, S., Venter, E., Wallace, D.B. and Spry- gin, A., 2023. Lumpy skin disease: history, current understanding and research gaps in the context of recent geographic expansion. Frontiers in Microbiology, 14, p.1266759.
- [19] Liang, Z., Yao, K., Wang, S., Yin, J., Ma, X., Yin, X., Wang, X. and Sun, Y., 2022. Understanding the research advances on lumpy skin disease: A compre- hensive literature review of experimental evidence. Frontiers in Microbiology, 13, p.1065894.
- [20] Sarkar, P.J., Chanagala, S., Chandra, G.C.J., Ruby, U. and Manda, K., 2024. Prediction model for diabetes mellitus using machine learning algorithms for enhanced diagnosis and prognosis in healthcare. Computer and Telecommunica- tion Engineering, 2(1), p.2446.
- [21] Ali, S.N., Ahmed, M.T., Paul, J., Jahan, T., Sani, S.M., Noor, N. and Hasan, T., 2022. Monkeypox skin lesion detection using deep learning models: A feasibility study. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.03342.
- [22] Srinivasu, P. N., SivaSai, J. G., Ijaz, M. F., Bhoi, A. K., Kim, W., Kang, J.
- J. (2021). Classification of skin disease using deep learning neural networks with MobileNet V2 and LSTM. Sensors, 21(8), 2852.
- [23] Reshma, G., Al-Atroshi, C., Nassa, V.K., Geetha, B.T., Sunitha, G., Galety, M.G. and Neelakandan, S., 2022. Deep learning-based skin lesion diagnosis model using dermoscopic images. Intelligent Automation Soft Computing, 31(1).
- [24] Masood, A. and Al-Jumaily, A.A., 2013. Computer aided diagnostic support system for skin cancer: a review of techniques and algorithms. International journal of biomedical imaging, 2013(1), p.323268.
- [25] Sarker, I.H., 2021. Machine learning: Algorithms, real-world applications and research directions. SN computer science, 2(3), p.160.
- [26] Li, L., Zhang, S. and Wang, B., 2021. Plant disease detection and classification by deep learning—a review. IEEE Access, 9, pp.56683-56698.
- [27] Ali, K., Shaikh, Z.A., Khan, A.A. and Laghari, A.A., 2022. Multiclass skin can- cer classification using EfficientNets-a first step towards preventing skin cancer. Neuroscience Informatics, 2(4), p.100034.
- [28] Moganam, P.K. and Sathia Seelan, D.A., 2022. Deep learning and machine learning neural network approaches for multi class leather texture defect classification and segmentation. Journal of Leather Science and Engineering, 4(1), p.7.
- [29] Demir, A., Yilmaz, F. and Kose, O., 2019, October. Early detection of skin cancer using deep learning architectures: resnet-101 and inception-v3. In 2019 medical technologies congress (TIPTEKNO) (pp. 1-4). IEEE.
- [30] Hekler, A., Utikal, J.S., Enk, A.H., Hauschild, A., Weichenthal, M., Maron, R.C., Berking, C., Haferkamp, S., Klode, J., Schadendorf, D. and Schilling, B., 2019. Superior skin cancer classification by the combination of human and artificial intelligence. European Journal of Cancer, 120, pp.114-121.
- [31] Rezaoana, N., Hossain, M.S. and Andersson, K., 2020, December. Detection and classification of skin cancer by using a parallel CNN model. In 2020 IEEE inter- national women in engineering (WIE) conference on electrical and computer engineering (WIECON-ECE) (pp. 380-386). IEEE.
- [32] Priyanka, S. and Sudhakar, M.S., 2021. Lightweight Spatial Geometric Models Assisting Shape Description and Retrieval. In Computational Intelligence Meth- ods for Super-Resolution in Image Processing Applications (pp. 209-230). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [33] Coppola, L., Cianflone, A., Grimaldi, A.M., Incoronato, M., Bevilacqua, P., Messina, F., Baselice, S., Soricelli, A., Mirabelli, P. and Salvatore, M., 2019. Biobanking in health care: evolution and future directions. Journal of translational medicine, 17, pp.1-18.
- [34] Garg, A., Sharma, G.S., Goyal, A.K., Ghosh, G., Si, S.C. and Rath, G., 2020. Recent advances in topical carriers of anti-fungal agents. Heliyon, 6(8).
- [35] Hammad, M., Pl-awiak, P., ElAffendi, M., El-Latif, A.A.A. and Latif, A.A.A., 2023. Enhanced deep learning approach for accurate eczema and psoriasis skin detection. Sensors, 23(16), p.7295.

- [36] Hay, R.J., Johns, N.E., Williams, H.C., Bolliger, I.W., Dellavalle, R.P., Margolis, D.J., Marks, R., Naldi, L., Weinstock, M.A., Wulf, S.K. and Michaud, C., 2014. The global burden of skin disease in 2010: an analysis of the prevalence and impact of skin conditions. Journal of investigative dermatology, 134(6), pp.1527-1534.
- [37] Heydarian, M., Doyle, T.E. and Samavi, R., 2022. MLCM: Multi-label confusion matrix. IEEE Access, 10, pp.19083-19095.
- [38] Kamiya, K., Kishimoto, M., Sugai, J., Komine, M. and Ohtsuki, M., 2019. Risk factors for the development of psoriasis. International journal of molecular sciences, 20(18), p.4347.
- [39] Kennedy, J. and Eberhart, R., 1995, November. Particle swarm optimization. In Proceedings of ICNN'95-international conference on neural networks (Vol. 4, pp. 1942-1948). ieee.
- [40] Peng, L.I., Na, Y.I., Changsong, D., Sheng, L.I. and Hui, M., 2021. Research on classification diagnosis model of psoriasis based on deep residual network. Digital Chinese Medicine, 4(2), pp.92-101
- [41] Zhang, L. and Schaeffer, H., 2020. Forward stability of ResNet and its variants. Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision, 62, pp.328-351.
- [42] Uysal, F., 2023. Detection of monkeypox disease from human skin images with a hybrid deep learning model. Diagnostics, 13(10), p.1772.
- [43] Sitaula, C. and Shahi, T.B., 2022. Monkeypox virus detection using pre-trained deep learning-based approaches. Journal of Medical Systems, 46(11), p.78.
- [44] Badiger, M. and Mathew, J.A., 2022, March. Retrospective Review of Activation Functions in Artificial Neural Networks. In Proceedings of Third International Conference on Communication, Computing and Electronics Systems: ICCCES 2021 (pp. 905-919). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- [45] Asfarian, A., Herdiyeni, Y., Rauf, A. and Mutaqin, K.H., 2013, November. Paddy diseases identification with texture analysis using fractal descriptors based on fourier spectrum. In 2013 International Conference on Computer, Control, Informatics and Its Applications (IC3INA) (pp. 77-81). IEEE.
- [46] Kumar, V., Kandpal, D.C., Jain, M., Gangopadhyay, R. and Debnath, S., 2016, March. K-mean clustering based cooperative spectrum sensing in generalized fading channels. In 2016 Twenty Second National Conference on Communication (NCC) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- [47] Ramesh, S., Hebbar, R., Niveditha, M., Pooja, R., Shashank, N. and Vinod, P.V., 2018, April. Plant disease detection using machine learning. In 2018 International conference on design innovations for 3Cs compute communicate control (ICDI3C) (pp. 41-45). IEEE.
- [48] Kolkur, S., Kalbande, D., Shimpi, P., Bapat, C. and Jatakia, J., 2017. Human skin detection using RGB, HSV and YCbCr color models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.02694.
- [49] Arivazhagan, S., Shebiah, R.N., Divya, K. and Subadevi, M.P., 2012. Skin disease classification by extracting independent components. Journal of Emerging Trends in Computing and