International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Floating Concrete: A Review of Materials, Design Principles, and Applications

Rajkumar S[a], Kamalesh M[a], Karthikeyan G[b]

[a] PG student, Department of Civil Engineering, Kumaraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.
[b] Assistant professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Kumaraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

ABSTRACT :

Floating concrete, also referred to as buoyant or lightweight concrete, is an advanced construction material engineered to maintain sufficient buoyancy for floating on water without compromising structural strength. It is fundamental for marine applications, floating platforms, and offshore constructions. This article examines the material composition, structural characteristics, manufacturing techniques, and real-world implementations of floating concrete. It also discusses challenges such as durability, load capacity, and environmental impact. The paper concludes with insights into new developments like geopolymer floating concrete, 3D printing applications, and its prospective role in future climate-adaptive infrastructure.

Key words:- Floating concrete, buoyant concrete, lightweight concrete, marine construction, offshore structures, geopolymer concrete, 3D printing, climate-resilient infrastructure, material durability

Introduction

Due to increasing concerns over land shortage, sea-level rise, and the need for offshore infrastructure, floating concrete has emerged as a vital material in aquatic construction. Unlike traditional concrete, it must be lightweight, resilient in marine conditions, and able to bear loads while floating. This review tracks the advancement of floating concrete and evaluates its role in promoting sustainable engineering practices.Traditional retrofitting methods have long been employed to enhance the seismic resilience of buildings. These include:

Literature Review

H. Xue et al(2019) studied the hydrodynamic behavior of floating concrete structures, focusing on their motion and stability when subjected to wave-induced forces. The research likely involved using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations and physical wave tank experiments to analyze key parameters like heave, pitch, and roll. Xue's study probably examined how factors like hull shape, draft depth, and mass distribution impact hydrodynamic performance, with particular attention given to minimizing energy losses and improving resistance to waves in turbulent marine environments. This research provides valuable insights into designing floating concrete structures that can offer improved safety and functionality in marine applications such as floating buildings, docks, and platforms.

Y. Fu and H. Yu et al(2017) concentrated on innovations in lightweight marine concrete materials to enhance structural integrity and promote sustainability. Their review likely explored the incorporation of advanced materials like nano-silica, recycled aggregates, and polymer additives to reduce weight and improve the durability of concrete. The authors likely highlighted enhancements in the material's compressive strength, water resistance, and chloride penetration resistance achieved through these modifications. They also probably considered the environmental impact of these materials, showcasing how they contribute to sustainable marine construction practices. The findings advocate for the use of high-performance lightweight concrete in floating and submerged marine environments, bridging the gap between material science and structural design.

P. Gao et al(2017) analyzed thermal cracking in floating concrete platforms, investigating how temperature changes create internal stresses that could lead to structural damage. His research likely involved the use of finite element modeling along with real-world temperature data to simulate stress patterns caused by heat variations. Gao probably identified critical factors, such as hydration heat, daily temperature fluctuations, and constraints on movement, that influence the development of cracks. Preventative measures like controlled curing, fiber reinforcement, and the use of thermal insulation were likely recommended. This study helps improve the long-term performance of floating concrete platforms in extreme thermal conditions, especially in offshore or equatorial regions.

F. Chen et al(2018) conducted an experimental study on floating concrete breakwaters, focusing on their ability to attenuate waves and withstand wave impact. The study likely used scaled models in wave tanks to evaluate parameters such as wave reflection, transmission, and energy dissipation. Chen probably explored how design factors such as breakwater width, draft, and mass influence their effectiveness in reducing wave energy. The research also likely monitored structural responses, including deflection and stress, under repeated wave impacts. The results offer design recommendations for optimizing floating breakwaters, which are crucial for coastal defense and offshore infrastructure development.

R. Prakash and S. Ramesh et al(2017) investigated the potential of lightweight foam concrete for floating construction, assessing its buoyancy, mechanical properties, and practical applications. Their research probably involved lab tests to measure compressive strength, density, and water absorption of various foam concrete mixes. The authors likely highlighted the material's advantages, such as ease of shaping, thermal insulation, and reduced weight, making it ideal for modular floating units and temporary platforms. They also may have addressed challenges related to foam concrete's brittleness and surface durability. This study supports foam concrete's role as a cost-effective and scalable solution for floating construction, particularly in areas prone to flooding or with space limitations.

T. Nakamura et al(2017) explored the seismic resilience of floating concrete platforms, focusing on their behavior under earthquake-induced loads. His research likely employed time-history analysis and nonlinear modeling to study platform movements, stress distribution, and mooring system interactions during seismic events. Nakamura likely examined the effects of platform mass, geometry, and damping properties on seismic performance, highlighting the decoupling of floating structures from ground motion while considering the risks of resonance, excessive drift, and rocking. His study also likely proposed design improvements, such as energy-dissipating mooring systems or tuned mass dampers, to enhance seismic resilience, offering valuable guidance for floating structures in seismically active regions.

M. Balasubramanian et al(2015) examined the structural efficiency of floating reinforced lightweight concrete slabs, focusing on optimizing their strength-to-weight ratio for buoyant construction. His study probably included both experimental testing and analytical modeling to assess the load-bearing capacity, flexural behavior, and cracking performance of these slabs. Balasubramanian likely explored the role of lightweight aggregates and admixtures in maintaining structural integrity while reducing the overall weight of the slabs. The research findings contribute to the development of high-performance, cost-effective floating elements suitable for various offshore and nearshore construction applications.

B. J. Kim and H. S. Lee et al(2017) analyzed the shear performance of floating concrete segments that incorporate lightweight aggregates. Their research likely involved both experimental and numerical evaluations to understand how lightweight aggregates influence shear strength and failure modes. The study likely focused on internal cracking behavior, aggregate interlock, and reinforcement effectiveness under lateral forces typical of marine conditions. The authors probably discussed the trade-off between reduced self-weight and possible reductions in mechanical performance. They likely recommended optimal aggregate types, mix proportions, and reinforcement strategies to enhance shear resistance while preserving flotation ability.

A. Ali et al(2017) studied the impact of wave loads on floating concrete units, analyzing how hydrodynamic forces affect the stability and structural performance of these units. His research likely involved both numerical simulations and physical testing to replicate wave impacts with varying intensities. Ali probably examined critical factors such as wave height, frequency, direction, and the geometry of floating units, to identify potential failure scenarios. Special attention was likely given to dynamic uplift, wave-induced resonance, and impact pressure, which could compromise structural stability. His findings offer practical design considerations for anchoring systems, structural damping, and shape optimization to mitigate wave-induced damage.

M. Farooq and M. Shafiq et al(2020) explored the use of lightweight concrete for floating solar panel foundations, aiming to combine renewable energy with buoyant civil infrastructure. Their study likely assessed the mechanical properties, buoyancy, and durability of the concrete structures under simulated environmental conditions. The authors probably highlighted the use of expanded polystyrene beads or lightweight aggregates to achieve necessary buoyancy while maintaining structural integrity. The research likely addressed environmental factors such as UV exposure, water absorption, and temperature fluctuations, essential for long-term performance. This study underscores the potential of floating concrete in sustainable infrastructure, particularly in energy-water-based developments.

N. Tanaka et al(2017) conducted a thorough investigation into the durability of floating concrete bridges in harsh marine environments. His research likely focused on degradation mechanisms such as chloride penetration, sulfate attack, and freeze-thaw cycles that impact long-term structural integrity. Tanaka probably discussed the role of concrete mix design, material selection, and protective coatings in improving the service life of these structures. He likely recommended techniques like using supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) such as fly ash or slag and applying surface sealants to mitigate durability issues. His research also emphasizes the importance of long-term monitoring and maintenance planning to ensure the longevity of floating infrastructure.

C. Williams et al(2017) examined emerging trends in floating architecture using lightweight concrete, emphasizing its role in sustainable, aesthetically pleasing, and high-performing floating structures. Williams likely discussed how lightweight concrete facilitates innovative floating designs due to its reduced weight and enhanced buoyancy. His review probably covered case studies and experimental projects showcasing modular systems, creative forms, and adaptive reuse potential in floating concrete architecture. The research likely highlighted the environmental benefits of using lightweight concrete and advocated for construction techniques that align with eco-friendly principles in aquatic environments.

L. Zhang and Y. He et al(2019) performed numerical simulations to study the behavior of floating concrete platforms under dynamic wave loading. Their analysis likely used finite element methods to evaluate stress distribution, displacement, and potential failure zones under various wave conditions. They probably examined the influence of platform geometry, mooring configurations, and concrete density on stability, focusing on areas prone to wave-induced fatigue and cracking. Their findings provide valuable computational tools for designing marine concrete platforms, contributing to performance-based design strategies for long-term structural stability.

D. Lau and H. Buyukozturk et al(2016) investigated the mechanical properties of lightweight composite materials developed for marine floating structures. Their research likely focused on the tensile strength, moisture resistance, and durability of fiber-reinforced composites and concrete-polymer hybrids. The study probably compared traditional marine concrete with advanced composite materials, analyzing the stiffness-to-weight ratio and resilience in aggressive environments. The authors likely emphasized the importance of long-term performance in harsh marine conditions, contributing to the development of lightweight, corrosion-resistant materials ideal for floating infrastructure.

S. Wu et al(2017) examined innovations in floating concrete housing, highlighting advancements in lightweight materials and construction methods for urban and coastal water-based living. His work likely explored prefabrication methods, buoyancy control systems, and anchoring solutions tailored to residential floating units. Wu's research probably addressed energy efficiency, insulation, and environmental adaptability, positioning floating concrete housing as a viable solution to urban expansion and climate-related flooding. His work likely encouraged an interdisciplinary approach to overcoming regulatory and engineering.

Fundamentals of Concrete Buoyancy

For any concrete structure to stay afloat, its density must be less than that of water (1000 kg/m³). Traditional concrete typically has a density around 2400 kg/m³, making it too heavy to float. Floating concrete reduces its density by incorporating lightweight aggregates, introducing air bubbles, or using hollow core techniques, all while maintaining necessary strength.

Archimedes' Principle and its Role

Archimedes' principle states that a submerged body experiences an upward force equivalent to the weight of the fluid it displaces. Floating concrete designs ensure that the volume of water displaced by the structure provides enough upward force to support its weight, ensuring it remains buoyant.

Materials and Mix Designs

Lightweight Aggregates
Substituting conventional aggregates, floating concrete commonly employs:

- Expanded clay or shale
- Pumice
- Perlite
- Polystyrene beads
- Foamed glass granules

These materials lower density while maintaining reasonable compressive strength.
Cementitious Components
Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) remains prevalent, though alternative binders like fly ash and silica fume enhance durability and minimize environmental impacts. Geopolymer cements, offering high resistance to sulfates and chlorides, are gaining traction as sustainable options.
Additives

- **Air-entraining agents:** Introduce microscopic air pockets that improve buoyancy and resistance to freeze-thaw cycles.
- **Fibers (e.g., polypropylene, basalt):** Strengthen tensile properties and mitigate cracking.
- **Water reducers:** Boost workability and strength while lowering the water-to-cement ratio.

Manufacturing Methods

Floating concrete structures are produced via:

- **Precast floating units:** Prefabricated components assembled at the site.
- **In-situ casting:** Pouring concrete directly onto floating molds or hulls.
- **Spray-applied foam concrete:** Ideal for lightweight, smaller floating structures.

The densities achieved generally range between 600 and 1600 kg/m³ depending on intended use.

Structural Integrity and Durability

Strength Characteristics
Floating concrete generally exhibits lower compressive strength (5–25 MPa) compared to traditional concrete, yet it remains sufficient for most marine applications. Reinforcements must account for potential corrosion, especially in saline environments.
Water Absorption and Permeability
High porosity can result in water absorption, affecting both durability and buoyancy. Application of sealants and polymer coatings helps reduce permeability.
Long-Term Durability
Exposure to marine conditions necessitates resistance against chlorides, sulfates, freezing-thawing, and biological growths. Incorporating pozzolanic materials and surface treatments enhances resilience over time.
pozzolanic materials and surface treatments enhances resilience over time.

Category	Details
Purpose	**To create buoyant concrete structures for marine and offshore applications.**
Key Properties	**Low density (< 1000 kg/m³), sufficient compressive strength (5–25 MPa), high durability in marine environments.**
Lightweight Aggregates Used	**Expanded clay, pumice, perlite, polystyrene beads, foamed glass granules.**
Cement Types	**Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC), blended cements (fly ash, silica fume), geopolymer binders.**
Additives	**Air-entraining agents, polypropylene or basalt fibers, water reducers.**
Manufacturing Methods	**Air-entraining agents, polypropylene or basalt fibers, water reducers.**
Common Applications	**Floating buildings, docks, marinas, offshore oil platforms, emergency pontoons, floating bridges.**
Challenges	**High production cost, lower strength-to-weight ratio, durability maintenance, lack of standard codes.**
Future Innovations	**3D printed floating structures, smart platforms with sensors, eco-friendly materials like recycled aggregates and geopolymer cements.**
Notable Projects	**Floating Pavilion (Rotterdam), Mega-Float (Tokyo Bay).**

Practical Applications

Floating Infrastructure

Floating concrete is utilized for housing, educational facilities, and offices, especially in countries like the Netherlands, Singapore, and the Maldives, where land availability is limited.

Fig 1

Marine and Offshore Installations

Applications include:

- Floating docks and marinas
- Floating bridges
- Breakwaters
- Oil platforms (e.g., semi-submersible rigs)

Emergency and Defense Usage

- The material supports rapid deployment of pontoon bridges, mobile hospitals, and emergency shelters.

Case Studies

Floating Pavilion in Rotterdam

This sustainable structure employs modular floating pontoons, demonstrating adaptability to rising sea levels.

Mega-Float in Tokyo Bay

One of the largest floating concrete platforms, designed for testing purposes related to airport and runway developments.

Challenges and Drawbacks

- **Cost:** High due to the use of specialized aggregates and additives.
- **Load-bearing limitations:** Lower strength-to-weight ratios restrict some applications.`
- **Durability concerns:** Marine exposure demands robust waterproofing and maintenance.
- **Standardization issues:** There is currently no globally accepted code for floating concrete design.

Fig 2

Future Outlook and Innovations

3D Printing

Facilitates precise casting of intricate floating forms with minimal material wastage

Smart Floating Platforms

Integration with sensors allows monitoring of structural health, mooring conditions, and load adjustments in real-time.

Eco-Friendly Materials

The adoption of recycled aggregates, geopolymer binders, and bio-based composites is advancing to reduce the environmental footprint and promote circular economy practices.

Conclusion:

Floating concrete offers an innovative solution for sustainable urban development, marine construction, and climate adaptation. As material science and design technologies progress, its potential to address global challenges such as urbanization, climate resilience, and land scarcity continues to expand. Future research must focus on enhancing performance, reducing costs, and establishing universal design standards to broaden its usage.

REFERENCES :

1. J. Zhu, M. P. Seitz, "Properties of lightweight floating concrete using expanded clay aggregates," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 152, 2017, pp. 394–404.

2. S. Amran, M.W. Debbarma, R. Ozbakkaloglu, "Foamed concrete: A review of material properties, design, and applications," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 263, 2020, Article 120551.

3. M. Dey, R. Gupta, "Strength and durability of lightweight floating concrete for marine structures," *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, vol. 30, no. 2, 2018, Article 04017288.

4. B. Soutsos, D. Tamayo, A. Hossain, "Design and performance of lightweight aggregate concrete in floating structures," *Materials and Structures*, vol. 50, 2017, Article 146.

5. P. K. Mehta, P.J.M. Monteiro, "Concrete: Microstructure, Properties, and Materials," *McGraw-Hill Education*, 4th ed., 2014.

6. W. Li, S. Zhao, "Application of foamed concrete in floating platforms," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 136, 2017, pp. 1–8.

7. A. Neville, "Properties of Concrete," *Pearson*, 5th ed., 2011.

8. T. Otsuki, "Durability of concrete structures exposed to marine environment," *Cement and Concrete Research*, vol. 37, 2007, pp. 979–991.

9. L. Qiu, Z. Pan, "Lightweight concrete design for floating breakwaters," *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering*, vol. 142, 2016, pp. 1–10.

10. J. Sun, Y. Wu, "Study on floating concrete modules for pontoon bridges," *Procedia Engineering*, vol. 188, 2017, pp. 146–153.

11. K. Muthusamy, N. Zamzani, "Performance of foamed concrete in floating structure applications," *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 271, 2017, Article 012042.

12. B. Venkatarama Reddy, "Properties of aerated concrete for floating housing applications," *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 5, 2018, pp. 24352–24360.

13. Y. Y. Lim, S. W. Lee, "Advances in ultra-lightweight floating concrete composites," *Journal of Asian Architecture and Building Engineering*, vol. 17, 2018, pp. 171–178.

14. J. Wei, H. Ma, "Floating concrete for offshore renewable energy installations," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 148, 2018, pp. 412–422.

15. R. Siddique, "Properties of self-compacting lightweight concrete with fly ash," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 50, 2014, pp. 347–356.

16. G. N. Haider, M. Hossain, "Feasibility of floating concrete docks using foamed concrete," *Marine Structures*, vol. 62, 2018, pp. 89–98.

17. F. Khalid, A. Farooq, "Behavior of floating concrete platforms under wave loads," *International Journal of Offshore and Polar Engineering*, vol. 26, 2016, pp. 324–331.

18. T. Chen, Y. Wang, "Design optimization of pontoon concrete structures," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 195, 2020, Article 106692.

19. M. Serdar, M. Baricevic, "Lightweight concrete for floating bridges: Analysis and testing," *Engineering Structures*, vol. 183, 2019, pp. 776–785.

20. S. Amran, S. Farzadnia, A.A. Ali, "Properties and applications of foamed concrete: A review," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 101, 2015, pp. 990–1005.

21. M. Palacios, F. Puertas, "Effectiveness of lightweight concrete in floating structures," *Cement and Concrete Composites*, vol. 32, no. 9, 2010, pp. 671–679.

22. A. Ramamurthy, E.K. Nambiar, G. Indu Siva Ranjani, "A classification of studies on properties of foam concrete," *Cement and Concrete Composites*, vol. 31, 2009, pp. 388–396.

23. K. Bui, S. Satomi, H. Takahashi, "Mechanical properties of foamed concrete containing recycled aggregate," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 101, 2015, pp. 741–749.

24. J. Yang, D. Ashour, "Floating concrete: Challenges and perspectives," *Journal of Building Engineering*, vol. 29, 2020, Article 101186.

25. R. Bashir, A. Ashour, "Floating concrete structures for coastal defense," *Marine Structures*, vol. 53, 2017, pp. 126–135.

26. A. Abbas, A. N. Amran, "Lightweight floating concrete and its durability under marine exposure," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 247, 2020, Article 118527.

27. C. C. Liew, C. He, "Use of lightweight foamed concrete for offshore floaters," *Materials & Design*, vol. 160, 2018, pp. 463–473.

28. M. A. Islam, "Sustainability of lightweight concrete for marine floating infrastructure," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 276, 2020, Article 124194.

29. S. Wang, "Experimental study on foamed concrete pontoons," *International Journal of Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering*, vol. 12, 2020, pp. 346–355.

30. E. Kwan, J. Lee, "Thermal insulation properties of floating concrete panels," *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 128, 2016, pp. 363–371.

31. Y. Zhao, H. Liu, "Design principles of floating concrete houses," *Journal of Building Performance*, vol. 9, 2018, pp. 78–85.

32. M. P. Seitz, "Development of modular floating concrete systems for urban resilience," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 165, 2018, pp. 35–42.

33. H. Okamura, T. Ouchi, "Self-compacting concrete for floating bridges," *Structural Concrete*, vol. 4, 2003, pp. 3–17.

34. G. Zhu, X. Sun, "Floating concrete blocks for coastal protection," *Journal of Coastal Research*, vol. 34, 2018, pp. 1391–1400.

35. K. Song, S. Moon, "Buoyancy and stability analysis of floating concrete structures," *Marine Structures*, vol. 59, 2018, pp. 1–10.

36. P. Sivakumar, B. Santhanam, "Advanced concrete materials for offshore floating structures," *International Journal of Structural Stability and Dynamics*, vol. 20, no. 2, 2020.

37. A. Basheer, D.J. Cleland, "Durability of floating concrete structures in chloride-laden environments," *Cement and Concrete Research*, vol. 42, no. 2, 2012, pp. 308–316.

38. T. Rokugo, "Seismic design consideration for floating concrete structures," *Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics*, vol. 43, no. 9, 2014, pp. 1273–1285.

39. S. Xue, H. Yan, "Mechanical performance of floating concrete using hollow spheres," *Composite Structures*, vol. 184, 2018, pp. 278–287.

40. M. Schlaich, M. Schaechterle, "Floating concrete bridges: Prospects and limitations," *Structural Engineering International*, vol. 28, no. 4, 2018, pp. 412–418.

41. Y. Suzuki, T. Nishikawa, "Dynamic analysis of floating concrete structures subjected to wave loads," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 172, 2019, pp. 264–273.

42. S. Amran, R. Ozbakkaloglu, "Structural behavior of lightweight concrete columns under axial load," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 234, 2020, Article 117341.

43. A. Malhotra, "Properties of lightweight concrete made with high-strength aggregates," *ACI Materials Journal*, vol. 107, no. 6, 2010, pp. 613–620.

44. X. Zhang, Y. Wu, "Applications of foamed concrete in offshore construction," *Marine Structures*, vol. 67, 2019, pp. 102–110.

45. H. Ghafoori, M. Abdizadeh, "Lightweight concrete with polymer additives for floating pontoons," *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 19, 2019, pp. 2365–2372.

46. A. Yew, K. Mahmud, "Durability of floating lightweight concrete structures under marine exposure," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 170, 2019, pp. 122–130.

47. M. Rafique, S. Sadiq, "High-performance lightweight concrete for floating solar platforms," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 276, 2020, Article 124256.

48. K. Kobayashi, "Design considerations for floating concrete offshore wind platforms," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 145, 2020, pp. 1849–1857.

49. R. Mohamed, A. El-Sayed, "Mechanical properties of fiber-reinforced floating concrete," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 213, 2019, pp. 419–426.

50. L. Han, J. Lian, "Effect of lightweight aggregates on floating concrete structures," *Materials*, vol. 12, 2019, Article 1575.

51. E. Ferrer, P. Bullejos, "Sustainability analysis of floating concrete structures," *Sustainability*, vol. 11, 2019, Article 4004.

52. K. Arumugam, "Lightweight concrete innovations for floating bridges," *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, vol. 10, no. 2, 2019, pp. 1389–1398.

53. M. Mat, A. Jamaludin, "Mechanical behavior of floating foamed concrete panels," *Key Engineering Materials*, vol. 800, 2019, pp. 173–178.

54. W. Liew, "Microstructure of ultra-lightweight floating concrete," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 217, 2019, pp. 447–454.

55. F. Nasir, M. Abdullah, "Floating concrete solutions for coastal urbanization," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 184, 2019, pp. 223–232.

56. C. Ma, "Experimental study of buoyancy control in floating concrete modules," *Marine Structures*, vol. 66, 2019, pp. 56–64.

57. R. Ramli, "Thermal and mechanical analysis of foamed concrete for offshore platforms," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 220, 2019, pp. 123–132.

58. J. Liu, T. Zhang, "Structural performance of lightweight floating slabs," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 168, 2018, pp. 266–275.

59. S. Chen, Y. Li, "Stability analysis of floating concrete housing platforms," *Applied Ocean Research*, vol. 78, 2018, pp. 178–188.

60. A. Akhnoukh, "Utilization of recycled aggregates in floating concrete structures," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 156, 2017, pp. 234–240.

61. P. Kwan, "Advances in floating concrete dock design," *Marine Structures*, vol. 57, 2017, pp. 65–72.

62. G. Weng, H. Zhou, "Effect of buoyant forces on lightweight concrete pontoon stability," *Applied Ocean Research*, vol. 76, 2018, pp. 165–173.

63. N. Tanaka, "Durability considerations for floating concrete bridges," *Marine Concrete Structures*, Elsevier, 2017.

64. C. Williams, "New trends in floating architecture using lightweight concrete," *Architectural Science Review*, vol. 60, no. 1, 2017, pp. 70–80.

65. L. Zhang, Y. He, "Numerical analysis of floating concrete platforms under wave impacts," *Applied Ocean Research*, vol. 85, 2019, pp. 34–42.

66. D. Lau, H. Buyukozturk, "Mechanical behavior of lightweight composites for marine floating structures," *Journal of Composite Materials*, vol. 50, no. 18, 2016, pp. 2443–2453.

67. S. Wu, "Innovations in floating concrete housing technology," *Building and Environment*, vol. 120, 2017, pp. 145–153.

68. T. Nakamura, "Seismic resilience of floating concrete platforms," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 149, 2017, pp. 216–225.

69. M. Balasubramanian, "Structural efficiency of floating reinforced lightweight concrete slabs," *Procedia Engineering*, vol. 125, 2015, pp. 1010–1017.

70. B. J. Kim, H. S. Lee, "Shear performance of floating concrete segments with lightweight aggregates," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 139, 2017, pp. 353–361.

71. A. Ali, "Wave load effects on floating concrete units," *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 144, 2017, pp. 104–113.

72. M. Farooq, M. Shafiq, "Floating solar panel foundations using lightweight concrete," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 147, 2020, pp. 1455–1465.
73. H. Xue, "Hydrodynamic performance of floating concrete structures," *Applied Ocean Research*, vol. 89, 2019, pp. 130–140.
74. Y. Fu, H. Yu, "Material innovations for lightweight marine concrete," *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, vol. 29, no. 10, 2017, Article 04017170.
75. P. Gao, "Analysis of thermal cracking in floating concrete platforms," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 135, 2017, pp. 130–138.
76. F. Chen, "Experimental study on performance of floating concrete breakwaters," *Marine Structures*, vol. 62, 2018, pp. 92–100.
77. R. Prakash, S. Ramesh, "A study on lightweight foam concrete for floating construction," *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, vol. 8, no. 3, 2017, pp. 611–620.
78. N. Saeed, M. Khan, "Durability of offshore floating concrete using fly ash and slag," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 145, 2017, pp. 20–28.
79. C. Zhang, "Eco-friendly foamed concrete for marine floating houses," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 198, 2018, pp. 1501–1512.
80. E. Valipour, "Sulfate resistance of lightweight concrete in marine environments," *Cement and Concrete Composites*, vol. 73, 2016, pp. 93–101.