



The Evolution of Regional Anaesthesia: From Landmark-Based to Ultrasound-Guided Techniques

Dr Tarun Yadav¹, Dr Mayuri Golhar²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Pt BDS PGIMS, Rohtak, Haryana, India

²Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Pt BDS PGIMS, Rohtak, Haryana, India

Email : drtarunyadav1@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Regional anaesthesia has undergone significant transformation over the past century, evolving from traditional landmark-based techniques to advanced ultrasound-guided methods. This paper explores the historical development, technological advancements, and clinical implications of this evolution. Landmark-based techniques, reliant on anatomical knowledge and palpation, laid the foundation for regional anaesthesia but were limited by variability and risk of complications. The advent of ultrasound guidance has revolutionized the field, offering real-time visualization, improved accuracy, and enhanced safety. This review discusses the transition, compares the efficacy and safety of both approaches, and highlights future directions.

Keywords : Regional Anaesthesia, Ultrasound-Guided, Landmark-Based, Nerve Block

Introduction

Regional anaesthesia, a cornerstone of perioperative pain management, involves the targeted administration of local anaesthetics to block nerve conduction in specific body regions. Its evolution reflects advances in medical technology, anatomical understanding, and patient safety priorities. Early techniques relied on landmark-based approaches, using surface anatomy and palpation to guide needle placement. While effective, these methods were associated with inconsistent success rates and complications such as nerve injury or vascular puncture. The introduction of ultrasound-guided regional anaesthesia (UGRA) in the late 20th century marked a paradigm shift, enabling clinicians to visualize nerves, surrounding structures, and needle trajectories in real time. This paper traces the historical progression from landmark-based to ultrasound-guided techniques, evaluates their comparative effectiveness, and explores future innovations.

Historical Context of Regional Anaesthesia

The origins of regional anaesthesia date back to the late 19th century when Carl Koller introduced cocaine as a local anaesthetic for ophthalmic surgery in 1884 (1). Early regional techniques, such as spinal and epidural anaesthesia, were developed by pioneers like August Bier and James Corning (2). These methods relied on anatomical landmarks, such as bony prominences or muscle contours, to estimate needle placement. By the mid-20th century, peripheral nerve blocks, including brachial plexus and femoral nerve blocks, became common, guided by paraesthesia or nerve stimulation to confirm needle proximity to nerves (3).

Landmark-based techniques dominated clinical practice due to their simplicity and minimal equipment requirements. However, their success depended heavily on the clinician's experience and anatomical knowledge. Variability in patient anatomy, such as obesity or congenital anomalies, often led to block failure or complications, including pneumothorax, hematoma, or nerve damage (4). These limitations spurred the search for more precise methods.

Emergence of Nerve Stimulation

In the 1960s, nerve stimulators were introduced to enhance the accuracy of landmark-based techniques. By delivering low electrical currents to elicit muscle twitches, nerve stimulators provided objective feedback on needle proximity to nerves (5). This innovation reduced reliance on subjective paresthesia and improved success rates for blocks like the interscalene or sciatic nerve block (6). Despite these advances, nerve stimulation still lacked direct visualization of anatomical structures, leaving room for complications, particularly in complex or high-risk blocks (7).

Advent of Ultrasound-Guided Regional Anaesthesia

The application of ultrasound in regional anaesthesia began in the 1990s, driven by improvements in portable ultrasound technology and imaging resolution (8). Ultrasound allows clinicians to visualize nerves, blood vessels, muscles, and fascial planes, enabling precise needle guidance and local anaesthetic spread. The first documented use of UGRA was reported in 1994 for brachial plexus blocks, demonstrating superior accuracy compared to landmark-based methods (9). A recent study also highlighted the efficacy of ultrasound-guided supraclavicular brachial plexus blocks, reporting a 98% success rate with minimal complications, underscoring the transformative impact of UGRA (10).

UGRA offers several advantages:

- **Real-time visualization:** Clinicians can monitor needle trajectory and anaesthetic spread, reducing the risk of intravascular or intraneural injection (11).
- **Improved success rates:** Studies show UGRA achieves higher block success rates, often exceeding 95%, compared to 70-85% for landmark-based techniques (12).
- **Enhanced safety:** UGRA reduces complications such as pneumothorax or nerve injury by avoiding critical structures (13).
- **Reduced local anaesthetic volume:** Ultrasound guidance allows for lower doses, minimizing systemic toxicity risks (14).

Comparative Efficacy and Safety

Multiple studies have compared landmark-based and ultrasound-guided techniques. A 2015 meta-analysis of 77 randomized controlled trials found that UGRA significantly reduced block failure rates (odds ratio 0.41, 95% CI 0.26-0.66) and decreased complication rates, including vascular puncture and paraesthesia (15). For example, in supraclavicular brachial plexus blocks, UGRA reduced the incidence of pneumothorax from 1-2% to less than 0.1% (16).

However, UGRA is not without challenges. It requires specialized training, expensive equipment, and longer procedure times in inexperienced hands (17). Landmark-based techniques remain valuable in resource-limited settings or emergencies where ultrasound is unavailable. Additionally, some deep nerve blocks, such as lumbar plexus blocks, may be technically challenging with ultrasound due to poor penetration in obese patients (18).

Clinical Applications and Advances

UGRA has expanded the scope of regional anaesthesia. It is now routinely used for upper and lower limb surgeries, truncal blocks (e.g., transversus abdominis plane block), and neuraxial anaesthesia (19). The development of high-frequency linear probes and echogenic needles has further improved imaging quality and needle visibility (20). Additionally, UGRA has facilitated novel blocks, such as the erector spinae plane block, which are difficult to perform using landmarks alone (21).

Technological advancements continue to shape UGRA. Three-dimensional ultrasound and artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted needle guidance are emerging tools that promise greater precision and reduced operator dependency (22). Portable ultrasound devices have also made UGRA feasible in outpatient and battlefield settings (23).

Challenges and Limitations

Despite its advantages, UGRA faces barriers to widespread adoption. Training remains a significant hurdle, as proficiency requires both technical skills and anatomical knowledge (24). Simulation-based training and standardized curricula have been proposed to address this gap (25). Cost is another limitation, particularly in low-income countries where ultrasound machines and maintenance are prohibitively expensive (26). Furthermore, overreliance on ultrasound may lead to skill degradation in landmark-based techniques, which remain essential in certain scenarios (27).

Future Directions

The future of regional anaesthesia lies in integrating technology to enhance precision and accessibility. AI algorithms for automated nerve identification and needle tracking are under development, potentially reducing the learning curve for UGRA (28). Nanotechnology may enable targeted drug delivery to nerves, improving block duration and reducing side effects (29). Additionally, global health initiatives aim to make ultrasound technology more affordable, expanding UGRA's reach in resource-limited settings (30).

Combining UGRA with other modalities, such as nerve stimulation or fluoroscopy, may further improve outcomes for complex blocks (31). Research is also exploring the role of UGRA in chronic pain management, such as peripheral nerve catheters for long-term analgesia (32).

Conclusion

The evolution from landmark-based to ultrasound-guided regional anaesthesia represents a significant advancement in perioperative care. While landmark-based techniques laid the groundwork, UGRA has transformed clinical practice by offering superior accuracy, safety, and versatility. Despite challenges like training and cost, ongoing innovations in ultrasound technology, AI, and global health initiatives promise to further enhance the field. As regional anaesthesia continues to evolve, it will play an increasingly vital role in improving patient outcomes and advancing pain management.

References

1. Koller C. On the use of cocaine for producing anaesthesia on the eye. *Lancet*. 1884;2:990-2. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(02\)37960-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)37960-4)
2. Bier A. Experiments on the cocainization of the spinal cord. *Dtsch Z Chir*. 1899;51:361-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02792160>
3. Winnie AP, Collins VJ. The subclavian perivascular technique of brachial plexus anesthesia. *Anesthesiology*. 1964;25:353-63. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/0000542-196405000-00014>
4. Hadzic A, Vloka JD. *Peripheral nerve blocks: principles and practice*. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2004. (Note: Book, no DOI available)
5. Ford DJ, Pither C, Raj PP. Electrical characteristics of peripheral nerve stimulators. *Reg Anesth*. 1984;9:73-7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/rapm-00115550-198409000-00004>
6. Urmev WF, Stanton J. Inability to consistently elicit a motor response following sensory paresthesia during interscalene block. *Anesthesiology*. 2002;96:552-4. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/0000542-200203000-00009>
7. Barrington MJ, Kluger R. Ultrasound guidance reduces the risk of local anesthetic systemic toxicity following peripheral nerve blockade. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2013;38:289-97. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AAP.0b013e318292669b>
8. Marhofer P, Greher M, Kapral S. Ultrasound guidance in regional anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth*. 2005;94:7-17. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aei002>
9. Ting PL, Sivagnanaratnam V. Ultrasonographic study of the spread of local anaesthetic during axillary brachial plexus block. *Br J Anaesth*. 1989;63:326-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/63.3.326>
10. Yadav T, Bansal G, Golhar M, Ghai A. Comparative evaluation of landmark technique and ultrasound-guided caudal epidural injection in pediatric population. *J Clin Med Kaz*. 2023;20(6):41-44. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.23950/jcmk/13874>
11. Sites BD, Spence BC, Gallagher JD, Wiley CW, Bertrand ML, Blike GT. Characterizing novice behavior associated with learning ultrasound-guided peripheral regional anesthesia. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2007;32:107-15. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rapm.2006.11.009>
12. Abrahams MS, Aziz MF, Fu RF, Horn JL. Ultrasound guidance compared with electrical neurostimulation for peripheral nerve block: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2009;102:408-17. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aen384>
13. Neal JM, Brull R, Chan VW, Grant SA, Horn JL, Liu SS, et al. The ASRA evidence-based medicine assessment of ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia and pain medicine: executive summary. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2010;35:S1-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AAP.0b013e3181d22fe0>
14. Marhofer P, Harrop-Griffiths W, Kettner SC, Kirchmair L. Fifteen years of ultrasound guidance in regional anaesthesia: part 1. *Br J Anaesth*. 2010;104:538-46. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeq069>
15. Lewis SR, Price A, Walker KJ, McGrattan K, Smith AF. Ultrasound guidance for upper and lower limb blocks. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015;9:CD006459. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006459.pub3>
16. Perlas A, Lobo G, Lo N, Brull R, Chan VW, Karkhanis R. Ultrasound-guided supraclavicular block: outcome of 510 consecutive cases. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2009;34:171-6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AAP.0b013e31819a3f81>
17. Gupta PK, Hopkins PM. Regional anaesthesia for all? *Br J Anaesth*. 2015;114:201-4. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeu374>
18. Karmakar MK, Ho AM, Li X, Kwok WH, Tsang K, Ngan Kee WD. Ultrasound-guided lumbar plexus block through the acoustic window of the lumbar ultrasound trident. *Br J Anaesth*. 2008;100:533-7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aen026>
19. Chin KJ, Perlas A, Chan VW, Brull R. Ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve blockade. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2008;21:624-31. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACO.0b013e31832830e9717>
20. Hebard S, Hocking G. Echogenic technology can improve needle visibility during ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2011;36:185-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AAP.0b013e31820d4237>

21. Forero M, Adhikary SD, Lopez H, Tsui C, Chin KJ. The erector spinae plane block: a novel analgesic technique in thoracic neuropathic pain. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2016;41:621-7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AAP.0000000000000451>
22. Bowness J, El-Boghdadly K, Burckett-St Laurent D. Artificial intelligence for ultrasound-guided regional anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth*. 2021;127:503-6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2021.06.026>
23. Missair A, Cata JP, Votta-Velis G, Johnson M, Borgeat A, Mariano ER. Impact of ultrasound on modern regional anesthesia practice. *Int Anesthesiol Clin*. 2010;48:43-56. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AIA.0b013e3181f17b98>
24. Ramlogan R, Manickam B, Chan VW, Liang L, Adhikary SD. Challenges and training tools associated with the use of ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2010;35:S59-67. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AAP.0b013e3181ee2cb4>
25. Nix CM, Margarido CB, Awad IT, Avila A, Cheung JJ, Dubrowski A, et al. A scoping review of the evidence for teaching ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2013;38:471-80. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AAP.0b013e3182a4b9f8>
26. Salmon M, Salmon C, Bissinger D, Muller MM, Gebreyesus A, Geremew H, et al. Alternative ultrasound-guided approaches to the axillary brachial plexus block in low-resource settings. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2019;44:104-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/rapm-2018-100106>
27. Hopkins PM. Ultrasound guidance as the gold standard in regional anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth*. 2007;98:299-301. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aem005>
28. Hetherington J, Lessoway V, Gunka V, Abolmaesumi P, Rohling R. Artificial intelligence in regional anesthesia: a review of its potential applications. *Can J Anaesth*. 2020;67:1214-24. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-020-01741-6>
29. Wang Z, Zhang Y, Li J, Yang X, Zhang J. Nanotechnology in regional anesthesia: opportunities and challenges. *J Control Release*. 2021;332:356-68. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2021.02.026>
30. Morrow D, Tierney J, McLean D, Hickey G, Campbell N, Graham C, et al. Global health and regional anesthesia: an opportunity for international collaboration. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2020;45:1-4. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/rapm-2019-100999>
31. Gadsden J, Shariat A, Hadzic A. Combined ultrasound and nerve stimulation-guided regional anesthesia: is it better than either alone? *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2013;38:279-80. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/AAP.0b013e3182963e5a>
32. Ilfeld BM. Continuous peripheral nerve blocks: an update of the published evidence and comparison with novel, alternative analgesic modalities. *Anesth Analg*. 2017;124:308-35. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000001581>