International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

Effectiveness of Wearable Technology in Enhancing Patient Engagement and Managing Cardiovascular Disease: A Review

Augusta Rebecca James Ravichandran[1]*, Priyanka Malaiyappan, MPH[2]

[1]Medical Practitioner, Abraham's Homoeopathy Healthcare Tamil Nadu, India Email: draugustarebecca@gmail.com
[2]Medical Officer, Manam Healthcare Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India, Email: drpriyamalaiy@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Wearable technology has emerged as a transformative tool in healthcare, particularly in managing cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and enhancing patient engagement. Wearables are expected to become essential components of cardiovascular care, offering a scalable, patient centric model that aligns with the goals of precision and preventive medicine. This narrative review explores the growing role of wearable technology in the prevention, diagnosis, and rehabilitation of cardiovascular disease. It highlights key areas such as the accuracy of wearable devices in monitoring heart-related metrics, their influence on improving patient adherence and self-management, and the use of artificial intelligence for real time diagnostic support and also addresses ongoing challenges, including concerns about data privacy, regulatory barriers, and unequal access to these technologies across different populations. The review highlights the potential of wearables to decentralize care, improve remote monitoring, and personalize treatment while calling for standardized validation frameworks and equitable access to maximize their clinical utility.

Keywords: Wearable technology, cardiovascular disease, patient engagement, remote monitoring, artificial intelligence, digital health

1. Introduction

The global burden of cardiovascular disease (CVD) has escalated into a significant public health challenge, accounting for nearly 18 million deaths annually. While pharmacological therapies and procedural interventions remain cornerstones of CVD management, emerging digital technologies, particularly wearable devices, are reshaping how clinicians and patients approach cardiovascular health. Wearable technologies, including smartwatches, fitness trackers, biosensor patches, and smartphone integrated monitors, offer a paradigm shift in care supporting proactive health monitoring, early disease detection, and enhanced patient engagement. The COVID-19 pandemic catalysed a dramatic shift toward remote health monitoring and digital therapeutics, spotlighting wearables as viable tools for decentralized care. Simultaneously, advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), cloud computing, and biosensor engineering have enhanced the accuracy and utility of wearable technologies, making them increasingly integral to both clinical practice and personal health management [1–3].Wearables facilitate real time tracking of vital cardiovascular parameters such as heart rate, rhythm, blood pressure, and physical activity levels. More importantly, they empower individuals to actively participate in their health journey, contributing to behavioural change, improved adherence, and sustained engagement with care plans [4, 5]. However, despite the promise these technologies offer, questions about accuracy, accessibility, regulatory alignment, and equitable integration persist [6–8].This review synthesizes the evidence from recent studies to explore the effectiveness of wearable technology in enhancing patient engagement and managing cardiovascular disease. Through a thematic lens, we investigate the technological capabilities of wearables, their clinical applications in cardiovascular care, the psychological and behavioural dimensions of engagement, and the limitations that must be addressed for widespread adoption.

1.1 Technological foundations: from sensors to smart systems

The functionality and effectiveness of wearable devices in Cardiovascular care are rooted in engineering innovation. Most wearables rely on photoplethysmography (PPG), electrocardiography (ECG), accelerometers, and gyroscopes to capture physiological data. Although originally designed for consumer use, these technologies have increasingly been incorporated into clinical grade devices due to advancement in miniaturization and signal fidelity [4, 6] Several studies have evaluated the accuracy of commercially available wrist worn devices in comparison to ECG telemetry and chest strap monitors. Findings indicate that while heart rate monitoring tends to be consistent across various physical activities, significant discrepancies are observed in measurements of energy expenditure and during high intensity exercise. These inconsistencies highlight the ongoing need for standardization and validation across devices [9, 10]. More recent evaluations of devices such as the Apple Watch 7 and Galaxy Watch 4 have demonstrated strong accuracy during cardiopulmonary exercise testing, though minor deviations are still noted at peak exertion levels [11]. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) has significantly expanded the capabilities of wearable technologies. AI enhances the processing of raw biosensor data, transforming it into meaningful clinical insights that support more personalized and timely interventions [1, 3]. When coupled with cloud based platforms and mobile applications, these systems are capable of providing closed loop feedback, facilitating real time behaviour modification and therapeutic adjustments particularly valuable in the management of chronic diseases [12, 13]. However, several technical challenges persist. Although diagnostic functionalities are progressing rapidly, the development of wearable systems capable of delivering therapeutic interventions in a closed loop manner remains in its infancy, especially in the context of cardiovascular disease (CVD) management [13]. Additionally, the lack of seamless interoperability between wearable devices and electronic health record (EHR) systems continues to obstruct efficient integration into clinical workflows [14]. Altogether, the technological underpinnings of wearable devices from sensor innovation to smart system integration have significantly advanced their role in cardiovascular disease management. Core sensing technologies like PPG, ECG, accelerometers, and gyroscopes have evolved in both accuracy and clinical relevance, supported by engineering refinements and AI driven analytics. These developments enable the transformation of raw physiological data into actionable health insights, fostering more personalized and responsive care. Despite this progress, technical limitations such as inconsistent energy expenditure readings, limited therapeutic capabilities, and poor interoperability with existing health IT infrastructures remain key barriers. Addressing these challenges will be essential for realizing the full clinical potential of wearable technologies in cardiovascular care.

2. Wearables as catalysts for patient engagement and behavioural change

One of the most transformative roles of wearable technology in cardiovascular care lies in its ability to enhance patient engagement and facilitate behavioural change. Unlike traditional models of episodic care, wearable devices provide continuous feedback loops that allow individuals to monitor, interpret, and respond to their physiological data in real time. This real-time interaction fosters greater self-awareness and personal accountability, aligning with the core principles of patient centred care. Within this framework, individuals are viewed not merely as passive recipients of medical services but as active co-producers of their own health outcomes. The capacity of wearable technology to empower users is primarily mediated through mechanisms such as self-monitoring and biofeedback. These functions support informed health-related decision making and reinforce a sense of autonomy in managing chronic conditions [15]. The effectiveness of wearable technology in clinical and community settings is found to be closely linked to the support provided by healthcare professionals, along with early investments in educating users particularly in developing skills related to health data interpretation and literacy [15]. Strategic public health initiatives have already begun to incorporate wearable technology into broader frameworks for preventive care and individualized patient engagement [15]. A broad synthesis of systematic reviews has demonstrated that wearable activity trackers contribute to measurable increases in physical activity across varied demographic groups. On average, individuals using such devices are observed to take approximately 1,800 additional steps per day and engage in around 40 extra minutes of walking [16]. These behavioural modifications correlate with moderate improvements in physical health metrics, including body composition, blood pressure regulation, and glycaemic control [16]. Psychological benefits such as enhanced quality of life and heightened motivation have been associated with sustained behavioural change supported by wearable use. Digital health interventions that incorporate behavioural prompts in conjunction with wearable tracking tend to yield superior outcomes compared to interventions using devices in isolation. For instance, greater levels of user engagement and physical activity are reported when personalized motivational messages are delivered alongside wearable monitoring tools [17]. This indicates that physiological data must be contextualized with motivational cues and clinical guidance in order to catalyse actionable behavioural responses. From a psychological standpoint, wearable devices serve to bridge the often cited “intention action” gap. Through the provision of immediate feedback and the reinforcement of positive behaviours, these technologies enhance the likelihood of behaviour modification. However, evidence from long-term trials suggests that wearable devices alone may not be sufficient to sustain behavioural change over extended periods. In one study, participants who used wearable monitors for weight loss achieved less favourable outcomes when compared to those enrolled in structured behavioural programs that did not include wearable devices [15]. This suggests that the greatest efficacy of wearables is realized when they are integrated into comprehensive interventions that include behavioural coaching and lifestyle counselling. In cardiac rehabilitation settings, the use of wearable physical activity monitors in combination with structured exercise prescriptions and lifestyle guidance has been associated with significant improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness [18]. Individuals in such programs also report increased participation in moderate to vigorous physical activity. Nonetheless, technical difficulties and motivational decline are frequently cited reasons for participant dropout, highlighting the importance of designing user-friendly interfaces and ensuring continuous support to maintain long term engagement [18]. Notably, disparities in the adoption and consistent use of wearable technologies have been documented. Individuals who are younger, possess higher levels of education, and belong to higher income brackets are more likely to engage regularly with wearable devices [19, 20]. This pattern underscores the potential for wearable technology to inadvertently widen existing health inequities. To counteract this risk, inclusive implementation strategies such as device subsidies, community-based educational programs, and culturally tailored interventions must be prioritized to promote equitable access and utilization. The integration of wearable devices with digital medication tracking systems and healthcare provider feedback loops has been shown to offer substantial clinical benefits. Improved medication adherence, enhanced patient provider communication, and better control of health indicators such as blood pressure have been observed when wearable technologies are used in conjunction with comprehensive care protocols [12]. These advancements suggest that wearable technologies are not only valuable tools for monitoring physiological parameters but also potent instruments for fostering patient engagement, improving adherence to prescribed treatments, and enabling more personalized care. The integration of wearable devices into broader healthcare ecosystems, through seamless interaction with other digital health tools and healthcare providers, holds significant promise for optimizing cardiovascular disease management. However, challenges related to data privacy, device interoperability, and long term engagement must be addressed to fully realize the potential of wearables in transforming healthcare delivery. As research progresses, it is crucial to explore not only the technical innovations but also the socio economic and behavioural factors that influence the adoption and sustained use of these technologies. By doing so, wearable devices can evolve from simple monitoring tools to comprehensive, patient centered solutions that contribute to better health outcomes and reduce the burden of cardiovascular disease worldwide.

2.1 Psychological Impacts and Health Empowerment through Wearables

Beyond the physiological and behavioural dimensions, wearable technology exerts a profound influence on the psychological well-being of individuals managing cardiovascular and other chronic conditions. A central concept in this regard is health empowerment, which refers to the process through which individuals gain increased control, confidence, and autonomy over their health related decisions and actions. Wearable devices contribute to this process by making previously abstract health indicators such as heart rate, blood pressure, sleep quality, or activity level visible, measurable, and modifiable in real time. Regular engagement with wearable technology has been associated with increased self-efficacy the belief in one's ability to influence health outcomes through personal effort. By consistently tracking physiological data and observing the outcomes of daily behaviours, individuals are enabled to make more informed choices, thereby reinforcing a sense of competence in managing their condition [4]. In chronic disease contexts, including cardiovascular disease, this increased self-efficacy is linked to better disease management outcomes and greater adherence to prescribed health behaviours. The continuous nature of physiological monitoring offered by wearable devices has been shown to provide emotional reassurance. Feelings of safety and reduced anxiety are frequently reported among individuals who are aware that their vital signs are being monitored throughout the day, even in the absence of direct clinical supervision [4]. This sense of being "digitally supported" may mitigate stress, improve emotional regulation, and foster adherence to medical recommendations. Wearables also serve as digital mirrors, offering reflective insights into the user's lifestyle and habits. By presenting objective data on movement, rest, and other health metrics, these devices allow individuals to identify patterns and correlations between behaviour and health outcomes. This self-reflective capacity facilitates a deeper understanding of the impact of personal choices, promoting intentional behavioural change and a stronger sense of ownership over one's health. Psychological benefits associated with wearable use are further observed in structured cardiac rehabilitation programs, where participants report improvements in mood, reduced depressive symptoms, and enhanced perceptions of wellbeing when wearable monitoring is incorporated into treatment plans [18]. These findings suggest that wearables not only support physical recovery but also play a vital role in improving emotional resilience and mental health. Moreover, individuals who feel psychologically empowered through the use of wearable technology are more likely to engage in shared decision making with healthcare professionals.

2.2 Role of Gamification and Social Connectivity in Engagement

To sustain long term motivation and adherence to health related behaviours, many wearable platforms have incorporated gamification strategies. These strategies introduce game like elements such as point scoring, badges, progress tracking, milestone achievements, and leaderboards into health monitoring interfaces. By transforming routine health tasks into interactive and rewarding experiences, gamification enhances the user's engagement with the device and, consequently, with their own health goals. It has been observed that gamified wearables are particularly effective in promoting behavioural adherence among younger individuals and those who are newly introduced to physical activity interventions [17]. By framing health improvement as a series of achievable challenges, these features provide immediate reinforcement and satisfaction, which help reduce attrition and promote habit formation. Social comparison elements, such as community based challenges, peer to peer competitions, and leaderboards, are often integrated into wearable ecosystems to foster a sense of accountability and group belonging. When users are able to view their performance in relation to others, especially within defined social groups, they are more likely to increase their efforts in order to meet or surpass peer benchmarks [17]. This dynamic encourages consistent engagement and can lead to sustained improvements in physical activity and lifestyle behaviours. Further evidence indicates that wearable platforms that link health tracking with extrinsic rewards such as milestone celebrations, goal streaks, or app based incentives can enhance adherence in clinical populations. For example, individuals recovering from cardiac events have demonstrated greater commitment to physical rehabilitation programs when motivational feedback and digital recognition are provided through their wearables [21]. These reward mechanisms serve to transform abstract, long term health goals into emotionally resonant micro-achievements, which are perceived as attainable and meaningful. The role of social connectivity in wearable-supported interventions also contributes significantly to improved outcomes. Wearable platforms that allow users to share their progress with peers, caregivers, or health professionals create additional layers of accountability and emotional support. This feature is especially beneficial for older adults or individuals living in socially isolated conditions, who may lack structured support systems in their daily environments. When social sharing is facilitated, users are more likely to remain engaged with the device and adhere to health-related routines. However, while gamification and social connectivity offer clear benefits, caution must be exercised in their implementation. Overemphasis on competitive ranking or quantitative metrics can lead to unintended psychological consequences. Users with perfectionist tendencies, performance anxiety, or prior negative health experiences may experience stress, frustration, or disengagement if unable to meet perceived expectations. In such cases, the gamified experience may shift from motivating to discouraging. Therefore, it is essential that gamification features are designed with sensitivity to user diversity and psychological wellbeing. Personalization options, such as the ability to adjust the visibility of performance data or to receive encouragement over competition, should be made available. Moreover, healthcare providers who recommend wearable supported interventions should remain mindful of individual psychological profiles when selecting and guiding patients in the use of gamified health technologies. In summary, gamification and social connectivity serve as powerful tools for enhancing user engagement with wearable technology. When implemented thoughtfully, these features can support behaviour change, improve emotional wellbeing, and strengthen adherence to long term health goals. However, their success depends on appropriate customization, psychological insight, and integration within supportive healthcare frameworks.

3. Clinical applications in cardiovascular disease management

Wearable technology has transitioned from simple fitness trackers to advanced tools with clinical significance, particularly in the prevention, diagnosis, management, and rehabilitation of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). The increasing prevalence of conditions such as hypertension, heart failure, arrhythmias, and ischemic heart disease has intensified the demand for continuous, non-invasive physiological monitoring. Through real-time data acquisition, wearable devices are capable of detecting early signs of deterioration, thereby enabling timely interventions and supporting the development of individualized care pathways.

3.1 Arrhythmia Detection and Monitoring

One of the most established clinical applications of wearable technology lies in the detection and continuous monitoring of atrial fibrillation (AF). Devices equipped with photoplethysmography (PPG) and electrocardiogram (ECG) functionalities have demonstrated high diagnostic accuracy in identifying irregular cardiac rhythms. It has been shown that irregular pulse notifications generated by smartwatches possess a high positive predictive value for AF, indicating their feasibility for large scale, population level screening efforts [22]. Furthermore, high levels of sensitivity and specificity have been documented in numerous investigations evaluating smartwatch-based AF detection across diverse populations [23]. An ECG integrated smartwatch accessory has also demonstrated robust performance in arrhythmia detection, with reported sensitivity and specificity values indicating strong agreement with physician interpreted standard ECGs. Notably, when these recordings were reviewed by electrophysiologists, the accuracy reached near perfect sensitivity, highlighting the clinical utility of wearable ECG and PPG tools in outpatient monitoring and early arrhythmia intervention programs [24]. In summary, wearable technologies that incorporate PPG and ECG have shown significant promise in detecting and continuously monitoring arrhythmias, particularly atrial fibrillation. Their ability to offer accurate, real time data with high sensitivity and specificity makes them effective tools for both individual patient management and large-scale screening efforts. As these devices advance, their potential for integration into clinical practice could greatly enhance early arrhythmia detection and enable timely interventions, ultimately improving patient outcomes.

3.2 Hypertension Monitoring and Digital Therapeutics

Wearable blood pressure monitoring devices have broadened the scope of hypertension management by facilitating ambulatory and home-based assessments. These technologies range from traditional inflatable cuff systems to cuffless sensors and AI integrated patches capable of continuous blood pressure tracking. When validated against standardized protocols, these wearables have been shown to support early detection, enable remote monitoring, and inform personalized treatment adjustments for hypertensive patients [25]. Digital therapeutic systems that integrate wearable data with mobile health applications and personalized lifestyle recommendations have been explored. Although statistically significant reductions in blood pressure were not observed in the overall study population, subgroup analyses suggested notable benefits among younger, drug naive individuals. This finding indicates the potential of such digital interventions in carefully selected patient groups [26]. Modest improvements in systolic and diastolic blood pressure have been reported across multiple trials involving wearable use; however, the heterogeneity in methodologies and populations studied limits broad applicability [27]. In summary, wearable blood pressure monitoring devices and digital therapeutic systems offer promising potential for hypertension management, particularly in facilitating early detection, remote monitoring, and personalized treatment. Despite some challenges in demonstrating statistically significant improvements in blood pressure across broad populations, subgroup analyses suggest that these technologies may provide particular benefits for younger, drug naive individuals. The observed modest improvements in systolic and diastolic blood pressure across various trials highlight the potential of these interventions, though further research is needed to establish their efficacy and applicability in diverse patient populations.

3.3 Heart Failure Management and Predictive Analytics

The use of wearable devices in heart failure (HF) management has gained momentum due to their potential in reducing hospital readmissions and improving long term outcomes. Post discharge monitoring using consumer grade devices has allowed for the continuous assessment of physical activity, sleep patterns, and heart rate, with such data contributing to machine learning algorithms that outperform conventional risk scores in predicting clinical deterioration [28]. Despite these promising findings, concerns persist regarding the regulatory status and clinical validation of many commercially available devices. Most wearables remain unapproved for clinical use, which restricts their integration into standard care pathways. Nevertheless, the potential for wearable biosensors, when combined with advanced data analytics, to detect subclinical changes in physiological status has been recognized, offering the possibility of earlier interventions and reduced healthcare burden [29, 30]

3.4 Cardiac Rehabilitation: From Clinics to Homes

Home based cardiac rehabilitation (HBCR), enabled by wearable technology, presents a viable alternative to traditional, facility based rehabilitation programs, particularly for individuals facing logistical or mobility challenges. Higher rates of adherence, program uptake, and completion have been observed in mobile health supported rehabilitation interventions, with comparable improvements in physical function and emotional wellbeing to those achieved in conventional settings [31]. Improvements in exercise capacity have also been reported through wearable supported remote rehabilitation programs. However, it is emphasized that such initiatives require robust risk stratification, competent remote nursing, and coordinated multidisciplinary care to ensure safety and efficacy [32]. The integration of wearable activity monitors has been associated with enhanced adherence during the maintenance phases of cardiac rehabilitation, reinforcing their role in sustaining long term lifestyle changes [18]. While many existing interventions emphasize physical activity monitoring, there remains a need for more comprehensive programs that also address dietary habits, smoking cessation, glycaemic control, and mental health. The expansion of digital cardiac rehabilitation into these domains is essential to fully realize the potential of wearables in secondary prevention and chronic disease management [33]. Taken together, wearable enabled home based cardiac rehabilitation offers a promising complement to conventional facility-based programs, especially for individuals with barriers to in person care. The observed improvements in adherence, functional capacity, and emotional wellbeing highlight the potential of mobile health interventions to deliver comparable outcomes remotely. However, the success of such programs depends on appropriate patient selection, skilled remote supervision, and integrated care coordination. To maximize the impact of wearables in long-term cardiac care, future initiatives must broaden their focus beyond physical activity to include holistic support for lifestyle modifications, encompassing nutrition, mental health, and chronic disease management.

4. Implementation challenges and ethical considerations in cardiovascular care

Despite their transformative potential, wearable technologies in cardiovascular care face several significant implementation challenges. Concerns related to data accuracy, health equity, privacy, regulatory oversight, and systemic integration present substantial barriers to their safe, effective, and equitable adoption. These challenges must be addressed comprehensively to ensure that wearable innovations contribute meaningfully to improved health outcomes without exacerbating existing disparities.

4.1 Data Accuracy, Reliability, and Clinical Validation

A consistent limitation in the clinical deployment of wearable technologies is the variable accuracy and reliability of the physiological data they generate. Although heart rate measurements from wrist-worn devices are generally within acceptable ranges during rest, considerable inaccuracies have been documented during intense physical activity or when used by individuals with specific physiological characteristics, such as darker skin tones, elevated body mass index, or varying gait patterns [9]. The wrist based monitors have been found to be less reliable than chest strap alternatives in cardiac rehabilitation settings, particularly when high precision is required [10]. Such discrepancies raise critical concerns regarding the clinical appropriateness of these devices, especially when treatment decisions are based on the data they produce. For instance, it has been observed that even premium commercial wearables display reduced heart rate accuracy during high exertion, which can potentially misinform therapeutic interventions [11]. These findings underscore the necessity of standardized testing protocols, independent validation studies, and clear distinctions between consumer grade and clinical grade devices in both regulatory guidelines and clinical use [34]. Overall, while wearable technologies present promising avenues for real time physiological monitoring, persistent concerns about data accuracy and reliability pose substantial challenges to their clinical adoption. Measurement inconsistencies across varying activity levels and user characteristics underscore the need for caution when interpreting wearable-generated data in healthcare settings. The distinction between consumer grade and clinical grade devices must be clearly defined through standardized validation protocols and regulatory oversight. Strengthening these aspects is essential to ensure that wearable technology can be reliably integrated into evidence based clinical practice.

4.2 Digital Divide and Health Equity

The potential benefits of wearable technologies are often compromised by unequal access and adoption, particularly among socially disadvantaged groups. Lower usage rates have been consistently observed among older adults, individuals from low income backgrounds, and those with limited educational attainment [19, 20]. Barriers such as device cost, technological illiteracy, and scepticism toward digital health platforms contribute to the widening of the digital divide in cardiovascular care. This inequity is concerning, as populations that stand to gain the most from proactive health monitoring such as older individuals or those living in remote regions are often the least likely to use these tools. Without targeted interventions, wearable technology may inadvertently reinforce existing disparities in cardiovascular health outcomes. To mitigate these effects, it has been proposed that public health agencies subsidize wearable devices, provide culturally and linguistically appropriate training programs, and integrate wearables into existing community health infrastructures [5, 35]. In essence, while wearable technologies hold significant promise for advancing cardiovascular care, their uneven adoption risks exacerbating existing health disparities. Populations that could benefit most from continuous monitoring such as older adults, low-income individuals, and those in remote areas often face substantial barriers to access and use. Addressing these challenges requires proactive, equity-focused strategies, including subsidies, education, and integration within community health frameworks. Without such measures, the digital divide may continue to undermine the inclusive potential of wearable health innovations.

4.3 Privacy, Surveillance, and Ethical Oversight

As wearable technologies collect increasing volumes of sensitive health data, concerns about privacy, informed consent, and potential misuse have become increasingly relevant. There is growing apprehension that the integration of wearables into healthcare may shift responsibility from clinicians to patients, thereby altering traditional roles and potentially undermining comprehensive care delivery [8]. Over reliance on algorithmic data may also fail to capture psychological, emotional, and social dimensions of health, which are essential in managing chronic cardiovascular conditions. Many wearable platforms operate through smartphone applications and cloud-based storage systems that may not adhere to clinical standards for data security. The possibility of unauthorized data access, as well as the use of aggregated health data for non-medical purposes such as marketing or surveillance, raises ethical questions about transparency and trust [35]. Additionally, the lack of clarity regarding data ownership and sharing practices contributes to user hesitancy and resistance, particularly among marginalized populations. Ethical implementation requires the establishment of robust data governance frameworks. It is imperative that informed consent be explicitly obtained, data be anonymized where possible, and sharing mechanisms be opt in rather than automatic. Furthermore, inclusive design strategies that involve diverse community stakeholders in the development process are essential to ensure that wearable technologies advance public health interests equitably and ethically.

4.4 Regulatory, Legal, and Systemic Barriers

Regulatory hurdles remain a central impediment to the widespread clinical integration of wearable devices. The pace of technological innovation often outstrips the capacity of regulatory agencies to review and approve new products, resulting in delays that hinder both market entry and clinical application [7]. While CE-marking in Europe and FDA clearance in the United States represent gold standards for device approval, many wearables are released into consumer markets without having undergone rigorous evaluation, leading to uncertainty regarding their clinical validity. Beyond initial approval, additional systemic challenges persist. Insurance payers are frequently hesitant to reimburse for wearable-based interventions in the absence of robust cost effectiveness data. The lack of standardized billing codes for remote physiological monitoring creates further disincentives for adoption in healthcare settings. Moreover, the integration of wearable generated data into electronic health records (EHRs) is hindered by poor interoperability and data harmonization across platforms [20, 36]. To facilitate smoother integration, structured implementation frameworks have been proposed. These emphasize key principles such as accessibility, benefit-risk assessment, contextual validation, and secure data handling components that together form a blueprint for responsible clinical uptake [6]. Additionally, adaptive regulatory models that evolve in parallel with technology could support timely device approval while ensuring patient safety.

4.5 Legal Accountability and Liability in Wearable Driven Decisions

As wearable data increasingly inform medical decision making, questions of legal responsibility have emerged. When a healthcare provider acts upon information derived from a wearable device, uncertainty exists regarding accountability in cases where the data prove inaccurate or lead to adverse outcomes. This ambiguity is particularly critical as wearables transition from wellness tools to clinical instruments used in diagnosis, medication adjustment, or emergency care interventions. Existing malpractice and liability frameworks are often insufficient to address the complex interdependencies among manufacturers, users, and healthcare providers. In cross border telehealth scenarios, where devices approved in one country are used in another, legal accountability becomes even more complex [8]. The potential for harm resulting from algorithmic errors or user misinterpretation of wearable feedback necessitates clearer delineation of roles and responsibilities. To address these concerns, updated regulatory policies are needed to establish thresholds for clinical reliability and assign shared accountability among stakeholders. Collaborative efforts involving legal scholars, engineers, healthcare professionals, and policymakers are essential to develop comprehensive governance models that protect users while supporting clinical innovation.

4.6 Environmental Sustainability and E-Waste from Health Wearables

An emerging yet underrecognized challenge is the environmental impact of wearable health technologies. Rapid product cycles, short operational lifespans, and limited repairability contribute to growing volumes of electronic waste (e-waste). Most wearables contain lithium-ion batteries, non-recyclable polymers, and rare earth metals materials that pose environmental hazards and carry substantial ecological costs. As global adoption accelerates, the cumulative burden of discarded health wearables is expected to rise significantly. Sustainable design principles are seldom prioritized in current product development cycles, with manufacturers often emphasizing performance and aesthetic features over ecological responsibility [5]. In addition, frequent software updates and shifting platform compatibilities compel users to replace functional devices prematurely, exacerbating environmental degradation and increasing consumer costs. Addressing this issue requires a concerted shift toward eco-conscious innovation. The development of modular, repairable, and recyclable devices should be incentivized, and policy mechanisms such as device buyback programs, recycling initiatives, and sustainability labelling must be introduced. Environmental sustainability should be recognized not only as an operational consideration but as an ethical imperative within the broader framework of health technology implementation. Future research should systematically assess the life cycle impact of wearables and identify strategies for reducing their environmental footprint while maintaining clinical utility.

5. Future directions and emerging innovations in wearable cardiovascular care

The landscape of wearable technology in cardiovascular care remains dynamic and rapidly evolving. Emerging innovations are anticipated to address existing limitations while opening new avenues in disease prevention, monitoring, and management. These advancements are primarily driven by interdisciplinary collaboration across biomedical engineering, artificial intelligence (AI), data science, and behavioural psychology. Looking ahead, future wearables are expected to become more intelligent, personalized, therapeutic, and integrated into broader health systems.

5.1 Integration of Artificial Intelligence and Predictive Analytics

The incorporation of AI and machine learning into wearable platforms represents a transformative shift in digital health. AI facilitates real time analysis of complex datasets generated by biosensors, enabling early detection of physiological abnormalities, individualized risk stratification, and the delivery of automated alerts to both users and healthcare providers. AI enhanced systems have been shown to optimize clinical decision-making, particularly in the interpretation of cardiovascular data streams [37]. These systems contribute to improved patient engagement by delivering personalized feedback, promoting therapeutic adherence, and streamlining care coordination [3]. Both retrospective learning, based on historical clinical outcomes, and prospective monitoring are leveraged to predict adverse events such as arrhythmias, decompensated heart failure, and hypertensive episodes. When combined with machine learning models, data from wearable devices have been demonstrated to enhance the accuracy of predicting clinical deterioration more effectively than traditional indicators [28]. These insights support the development of early warning systems integrated with clinical dashboards, enabling preventive intervention and potentially reducing hospital readmissions.

5.2 The Rise of Digital Biomarkers

Digital biomarkers, which are quantifiable physiological and behavioural data derived from digital devices, are reshaping the assessment and management of cardiovascular risk. These biomarkers support a predictive, preventive, and personalized (3PM) healthcare approach that aligns with the principles of precision medicine [38]. Metrics such as heart rate variability, gait speed, sleep quality, physical activity levels, and even voice based emotional indicators offer valuable insights into health status over time. These biomarkers are dynamic, evolving in response to behavioural changes, medication adherence, and environmental factors. Their application extends beyond clinical monitoring to include integration into clinical trials, insurance risk models, and public health strategies, with their adoption expected to increase substantially in the coming years.

5.3 Therapeutic Wearables and Closed Loop Systems

While current wearable devices predominantly serve diagnostic or monitoring functions, future innovations are expected to include therapeutic capabilities that directly intervene in disease management. Closed loop systems, which utilize real time physiological data to administer automated interventions, are under development [13]. These systems hold potential for the treatment of conditions such as arrhythmias, resistant hypertension, and chronic heart failure. Examples include smart patches that deliver neuromodulation, wearable pumps that adjust medication dosages based on biosensor input, and garments equipped with biofeedback modules. Such technologies represent progress toward autonomous and decentralized models of healthcare delivery.

5.4 Ambient and Seamless Wearables

Advancements in sensor miniaturization, flexible electronics, and sustainable power solutions are facilitating the development of wearables that are more discreet, comfortable, and seamlessly embedded into daily life. Devices such as smart textiles, skin-adherent patches, and implantable sensors allow for continuous data collection without interrupting normal activities or inducing user fatigue. Wearables integrated into common accessories and clothing items have been proposed to support long term adherence and encourage naturalistic health monitoring [5]. This ambient approach to health tracking emphasizes early detection of physiological decline, even before clinical symptoms are observed. Moreover, patch based sensors employing advanced materials and AI enhanced algorithms are under development to support continuous blood pressure monitoring with minimal user involvement [25]. These technologies aim to enhance data fidelity and usability while increasing the clinical utility of wearable devices.

5.5 Interoperability and Health System Integration

The full potential of wearable technologies can only be realized through effective integration into existing healthcare infrastructures. Challenges related to interoperability, data overload, and workflow disruption have been identified as barriers to the incorporation of wearable data into electronic health records (EHRs) [14]. Efforts are being made to develop standardized data formats, API based integration platforms, and AI assisted triage systems that improve clinical efficiency and reduce provider burden. Moreover, the establishment of adaptable regulatory pathways, robust evaluation frameworks, and supportive reimbursement strategies is essential for widespread adoption [6]. These efforts necessitate collaboration among clinicians, developers, policymakers, and patients to ensure that wearable technologies are implemented in a sustainable and effective manner.

5.6 Personalization, Inclusivity, and Global Scale Up

The success of wearable cardiovascular technologies depends on their ability to accommodate the diverse needs of global populations. Inclusive design practices must be prioritized to ensure that devices are affordable, culturally appropriate, and usable across varying literacy levels [19, 35]. Personalization features such as language options, adaptive feedback, and customizable interfaces are critical for enhancing user engagement and promoting adherence. In addition, scalable implementation strategies, including collaborations with national health programs, mobile health initiatives in resource limited settings, and public private partnerships, are vital for closing health equity gaps. Wearable technologies that are accessible, interoperable, and secure have the potential to contribute significantly to global cardiovascular health objectives.

Conclusion

Wearable technologies are redefining cardiovascular care by enabling continuous monitoring, personalized feedback, and enhanced patient engagement. These tools empower individuals to take charge of their health while supporting clinicians in early detection, risk assessment, and treatment optimization. Integrated with behavioural strategies and AI driven analytics, wearables contribute to improved outcomes across various cardiovascular conditions. However, challenges such as data reliability, equitable access, regulatory approval, and system integration remain. Addressing these issues through inclusive design, ethical governance, and interdisciplinary collaboration is essential. As healthcare shifts toward prevention and personalization, wearables are poised to become central to modern cardiovascular management bridging clinical care and daily life to deliver more responsive, value based outcomes.

Funding

This research did not receive any financial support from funding agencies.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Bajwa J, Munir U, Nori A, et al. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: transforming the practice of medicine. Future Healthc J 2021; 8: e188–e194.

[2] Gray R, Indraratna P, Lovell N, et al. Digital health technology in the prevention of heart failure and coronary artery disease. Cardiovasc Digit Health J 2022; 3: S9–S16.

[3] Al Kuwaiti A, Nazer K, Al-Reedy A, et al. A Review of the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare. J Pers Med 2023; 13: 951.

[4] Hughes A, Shandhi MMH, Master H, et al. Wearable Devices in Cardiovascular Medicine. Circ Res 2023; 132: 652–670.

[5] Jafleh EA, Alnaqbi FA, Almaeeni HA, et al. The Role of Wearable Devices in Chronic Disease Monitoring and Patient Care: A Comprehensive Review. Cureus. Epub ahead of print 8 September 2024. DOI: 10.7759/cureus.68921.

[6] Bayoumy K, Gaber M, Elshafeey A, et al. Smart wearable devices in cardiovascular care: where we are and how to move forward. Nat Rev Cardiol 2021; 18: 581–599.

[7] Brönneke JB, Müller J, Mouratis K, et al. Regulatory, Legal, and Market Aspects of Smart Wearables for Cardiac Monitoring. Sensors 2021; 21: 4937.

[8] Capulli E, Druda Y, Palmese F, et al. Ethical and legal implications of health monitoring wearable devices: A scoping review. Soc Sci Med 2025; 370: 117685.

[9] Shcherbina A, Mattsson C, Waggott D, et al. Accuracy in Wrist-Worn, Sensor-Based Measurements of Heart Rate and Energy Expenditure in a Diverse Cohort. J Pers Med 2017; 7: 3.

[10] Etiwy M, Akhrass Z, Gillinov L, et al. Accuracy of wearable heart rate monitors in cardiac rehabilitation. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther 2019; 9: 262–271.

[11] Kim C, Song JH, Kim SH. Validation of Wearable Digital Devices for Heart Rate Measurement During Exercise Test in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease. Ann Rehabil Med 2023; 47: 261–271.

[12] Frias J, Virdi N, Raja P, et al. Effectiveness of Digital Medicines to Improve Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Uncontrolled Hypertension and Type 2 Diabetes: Prospective, Open-Label, Cluster-Randomized Pilot Clinical Trial. J Med Internet Res 2017; 19: e246.

[13] Gui H, Liu J. Latest Progresses in Developing Wearable Monitoring and Therapy Systems for Managing Chronic Diseases.

[14] Dinh-Le C, Chuang R, Chokshi S, et al. Wearable Health Technology and Electronic Health Record Integration: Scoping Review and Future Directions. JMIR MHealth UHealth 2019; 7: e12861.

[15] Jakicic JM, Davis KK, Rogers RJ, et al. Effect of Wearable Technology Combined With a Lifestyle Intervention on Long-term Weight Loss: The IDEA Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2016; 316: 1161.

[16] Ferguson T, Olds T, Curtis R, et al. Effectiveness of wearable activity trackers to increase physical activity and improve health: a systematic review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Lancet Digit Health 2022; 4: e615–e626.

[17] Martin SS, Feldman DI, Blumenthal RS, et al. mActive: A Randomized Clinical Trial of an Automated mHealth Intervention for Physical Activity Promotion. J Am Heart Assoc 2015; 4: e002239.

[18] Hannan AL, Harders MP, Hing W, et al. Impact of wearable physical activity monitoring devices with exercise prescription or advice in the maintenance phase of cardiac rehabilitation: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil 2019; 11: 14.

[19] Dagher L, Nedunchezhian S, El Hajjar AH, et al. A cardiovascular clinic patients' survey to assess challenges and opportunities of digital health adoption during the COVID-19 pandemic. Cardiovasc Digit Health J 2022; 3: 31–39.

[20] Dhingra LS, Aminorroaya A, Oikonomou EK, et al. Use of Wearable Devices in Individuals With or at Risk for Cardiovascular Disease in the US, 2019 to 2020. JAMA Netw Open 2023; 6: e2316634.

[21] Marvel FA, Spaulding EM, Lee MA, et al. Digital Health Intervention in Acute Myocardial Infarction. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes; 14. Epub ahead of print July 2021. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.121.007741.

[22] Perez MV, Mahaffey KW, Hedlin H, et al. Large-Scale Assessment of a Smartwatch to Identify Atrial Fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 1909–1917.

[23] Nazarian S, Lam K, Darzi A, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of Smartwatches for the Detection of Cardiac Arrhythmia: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res 2021; 23: e28974.

[24] Bumgarner JM, Lambert CT, Hussein AA, et al. Smartwatch Algorithm for Automated Detection of Atrial Fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018; 71: 2381–2388.

[25] Sinou N, Sinou N, Koutroulakis S, et al. The Role of Wearable Devices in Blood Pressure Monitoring and Hypertension Management: A Systematic Review. Cureus. Epub ahead of print 3 December 2024. DOI: 10.7759/cureus.75050.

[26] Kario K, Nomura A, Kato A, et al. Digital therapeutics for essential hypertension using a smartphone application: A randomized, open-label, multicenter pilot study. J Clin Hypertens 2021; 23: 923–934.

[27] Mohrag M, Mojiri ME, Hakami MS, et al. The Impact of Wearable Technologies on Blood Pressure Control in Hypertensive Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cureus. Epub ahead of print 10 October 2024. DOI: 10.7759/cureus.71220.

[28] Li D, Vaidya J, Wang M, et al. Predicting Clinical Deterioration of Outpatients Using Multimodal Data Collected by Wearables. Epub ahead of print 1 June 2018. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1803.04456.

[29] Leclercq C, Witt H, Hindricks G, et al. Wearables, telemedicine, and artificial intelligence in arrhythmias and heart failure: Proceedings of the European Society of Cardiology Cardiovascular Round Table. EP Eur 2022; 24: 1372–1383.

[30] Scholte NTB, Van Ravensberg AnnemiekE, Shakoor A, et al. A scoping review on advancements in noninvasive wearable technology for heart failure management. Npj Digit Med 2024; 7: 279.

[31] Varnfield M, Karunanithi M, Lee C-K, et al. Smartphone-based home care model improved use of cardiac rehabilitation in postmyocardial infarction patients: results from a randomised controlled trial. Heart 2014; 100: 1770–1779.

[32] Nakayama A, Ishii N, Mantani M, et al. Remote Cardiac Rehabilitation With Wearable Devices. Korean Circ J 2023; 53: 727.

[33] Wongvibulsin S, Habeos EE, Huynh PP, et al. Digital Health Interventions for Cardiac Rehabilitation: Systematic Literature Review. J Med Internet Res 2021; 23: e18773.

[34] Williams GJ, Al-Baraikan A, Rademakers FE, et al. Wearable technology and the cardiovascular system: the future of patient assessment. Lancet Digit Health 2023; 5: e467–e476.

[35] Domaradzka A, Biesaga M, Roszczynska-Kurasinska M, et al. Challenges and Future Directions for Integrating Healthcare Wearable Sensors into Smart Cities and Communities. Epub ahead of print 2024. DOI: 10.24251/HICSS.2024.279.

[36] Lu L, Zhang J, Xie Y, et al. Wearable Health Devices in Health Care: Narrative Systematic Review. JMIR MHealth UHealth 2020; 8: e18907.

[37] Maleki Varnosfaderani S, Forouzanfar M. The Role of AI in Hospitals and Clinics: Transforming Healthcare in the 21st Century. Bioengineering 2024; 11: 337.

[38] Smokovski I, Steinle N, Behnke A, et al. Digital biomarkers: 3PM approach revolutionizing chronic disease management — EPMA 2024 position. EPMA J 2024; 15: 149–162.