



Floor Cleaning Robot

Bharti Gond¹, Naushad Khan², Payal Kumari³, Rahul Chaudhary^{4*}, Anil Kumar Chaudhary⁵

^{1,2,3,4}ECE, Buddha Institute of Technology, Gorakhpur, 273209(UP)

⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering, Buddha Institute of Technology, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT :

The Floor Cleaning Robot presented in this paper is an autonomous, cost-effective solution designed to automate household and industrial floor maintenance. The system integrates an Arduino Uno microcontroller as the central processing unit, coordinating inputs from three ultrasonic sensors (HC-SR04) for obstacle detection and an IR sensor for precise object recognition. Movement is achieved through four DC motors controlled by an L298N motor driver, enabling omnidirectional navigation. A high-speed vacuum compressor is employed for debris collection, powered by a 12V rechargeable battery that ensures portability and extended operational time.

The robot's primary innovation lies in its sensor fusion strategy: ultrasonic sensors map the environment to avoid obstacles (e.g., walls, furniture), while the IR sensor detects smaller objects (e.g., toys, cables) to refine navigation. The Arduino processes real-time data to execute dynamic path-planning algorithms, ensuring efficient coverage of cleaning areas. Experimental trials demonstrated a 92% success rate in obstacle avoidance and 85% debris collection efficiency in cluttered environments.

Designed for affordability and scalability, this project addresses the limitations of manual cleaning and high-cost commercial robots. Key contributions include a modular hardware design for easy upgrades and open-source software for community-driven improvements. The results validate the robot's potential as a practical, energy-efficient alternative for domestic and light industrial applications.

Introduction

The demand for automation in household and industrial cleaning has surged in recent years, driven by the need for efficiency, reduced labor costs, and consistent hygiene standards. Traditional manual cleaning methods are labor-intensive, time-consuming, and often inconsistent, while commercial robotic solutions like the *Roomba* remain prohibitively expensive for many users. This gap in affordability and adaptability motivates the development of low-cost, intelligent floor cleaning robots that balance performance, energy efficiency, and accessibility.

This paper introduces an autonomous Floor Cleaning Robot designed to address these challenges. The robot leverages an Arduino Uno microcontroller as its computational core, integrating three ultrasonic sensors (HC-SR04) for obstacle detection, an IR sensor for precise object recognition, and a high-speed vacuum compressor for debris collection. Four DC motors, controlled by an L298N motor driver, enable omnidirectional movement, while a 12V rechargeable battery ensures portability and sustained operation.

Key Innovations and Objectives

1. **Cost-Effective Design:** By utilizing open-source hardware (Arduino) and off-the-shelf components, the system reduces production costs by over 60% compared to commercial counterparts.
2. **Sensor Fusion:** Combining ultrasonic sensors (for mid-range obstacle avoidance) and an IR sensor (for detecting small objects like cables or dust clusters) enhances navigation reliability in cluttered environments.
3. **Modularity:** The vacuum system and motorized brushes can be upgraded independently, allowing customization for different surfaces (e.g., carpets, tiles).
4. **Energy Efficiency:** The 12V battery powers all subsystems, with voltage regulators ensuring stable operation for up to 2 hours.

Problem Statement:

The development of autonomous floor cleaning robots faces several challenges, which this project aims to address:

1. **Human Effort and Time Consumption:**
 - Manual cleaning requires repetitive labor and is inefficient for large or cluttered spaces.
 - Solution: The robot automates sweeping and vacuuming, reducing human intervention.
2. **High Cost of Commercial Robots:**
 - Industrial robots (e.g., *Roomba*) are expensive (\$200–\$1,000), limiting accessibility.
 - Solution: This project uses low-cost components (total cost <\$100) without compromising core functionality.

3. Unreliable Navigation in Dynamic Environments:

- Many robots struggle with obstacle detection (e.g., transparent surfaces, small objects).
- Solution: Fusion of ultrasonic and IR sensors improves accuracy in detecting diverse obstacles.

4. Power Management for Sustained Operation:

- High-speed vacuums and motors drain batteries quickly.
- Solution: A 12V battery with voltage regulators balances power distribution for 2+ hours of runtime.

5. Lack of Modularity in Existing Designs:

- Commercial robots often have fixed hardware, limiting upgrades.
- Solution: Modular design allows independent upgrades to sensors, motors, or vacuum systems.

Literature Review:

Prior research in autonomous cleaning robotics informs the design and implementation of this project:

1. Sensor-Based Navigation

- **Ultrasonic Sensors:**
Studies by Smith et al. (2020) demonstrated that ultrasonic sensors (HC-SR04) are effective for mid-range (2–400 cm) obstacle detection but struggle with sound-absorbing materials.
- **IR Sensors:**
Gupta and Lee (2021) highlighted IR sensors' superiority in detecting small, low-reflectivity objects (e.g., black cables) within 30 cm, complementing ultrasonic sensors.
- **Sensor Fusion:**
Kumar et al. (2019) proposed combining ultrasonic and IR sensors to reduce false positives, a strategy adopted in this project.

2. Motor Control and Movement

- **L298N Motor Driver:**
Patel (2019) validated the L298N's reliability in bidirectional DC motor control for robotic applications, supporting its use here for four-wheel omnidirectional movement.
- **Arduino-Based Control:**
Research by Arduino LLC (2022) emphasized the Uno's versatility in real-time sensor data processing and PWM signal generation for motor speed regulation.

3. Vacuum Systems and Debris Collection

- **High-Speed Compressors:**
Zhang (2021) found that compressors with >10,000 RPM fans achieve 80% debris collection efficiency on hard floors, inspiring the vacuum design here.
- **Energy Consumption:**
A study by Kim et al. (2020) warned that vacuum systems consume 40–60% of total robot power, necessitating efficient battery management.

4. Power Solutions

- **12V Battery Systems:**
Rahman et al. (2022) demonstrated that 12V batteries paired with LM7805 voltage regulators can sustainably power Arduino-based robots for 2–3 hours.

Proposed Solution / Methodology

Prior research in autonomous cleaning robotics informs the design and implementation of this project:

1. Sensor-Based Navigation

- **Ultrasonic Sensors:**
Studies by Smith et al. (2020) demonstrated that ultrasonic sensors (HC-SR04) are effective for mid-range (2–400 cm) obstacle detection but struggle with sound-absorbing materials.

- **IR Sensors:**
Gupta and Lee (2021) highlighted IR sensors' superiority in detecting small, low-reflectivity objects (e.g., black cables) within 30 cm, complementing ultrasonic sensors.
- **Sensor Fusion:**
Kumar et al. (2019) proposed combining ultrasonic and IR sensors to reduce false positives, a strategy adopted in this project.

2. Motor Control and Movement

- **L298N Motor Driver:**
Patel (2019) validated the L298N's reliability in bidirectional DC motor control for robotic applications, supporting its use here for four-wheel omnidirectional movement.
- **Arduino-Based Control:**
Research by Arduino LLC (2022) emphasized the Uno's versatility in real-time sensor data processing and PWM signal generation for motor speed regulation.

3. Vacuum Systems and Debris Collection

- **High-Speed Compressors:**
Zhang (2021) found that compressors with >10,000 RPM fans achieve 80% debris collection efficiency on hard floors, inspiring the vacuum design here.
- **Energy Consumption:**
A study by Kim et al. (2020) warned that vacuum systems consume 40–60% of total robot power, necessitating efficient battery management.

4. Power Solutions

- **12V Battery Systems:**
Rahman et al. (2022) demonstrated that 12V batteries paired with LM7805 voltage regulators can sustainably power Arduino-based robots for 2–3 hours.

Implementation

1 Hardware Assembly

The implementation of the integrated health monitoring and fall detection system involved several key steps:

1. **Component Connection:**
 - The MPU6050 and MAX30100 sensors were connected to the Arduino Uno using the I2C communication protocol. The SDA and SCL pins of both sensors were connected to the corresponding pins on the Arduino.
 - The GSM SIM900A and GPS Module were interfaced with the Arduino through the serial pins (TX and RX).
 - The I2C display was connected to the Arduino using the same I2C bus as the MPU-6050 and MAX30100.
 - The LED indicator and buzzer were connected to digital output pins on the Arduino for alert notifications.
2. **Power Supply Setup:**
 - A 12V battery was used to power the entire system. An LM7805 voltage regulator was employed to step down the voltage to 5V for the Arduino and sensors, ensuring stable operation.
3. **Enclosure Design:**
 - All components were housed in a lightweight, portable enclosure to facilitate ease of use for elderly individuals. The design included openings for the display, buttons, and access to the sensors.

2 Software Development

1. **Programming Environment:**
 - The Arduino IDE was used for coding the microcontroller. Libraries such as Wire.h for I2C communication, TinyGPS++.h for GPS data handling, and SoftwareSerial.h for GSM communication were utilized.
2. **Algorithm Implementation:**
 - The fall detection algorithm was programmed to monitor the MPU-6050 data continuously. If the acceleration exceeded the defined threshold, the system would check for a static state to confirm a fall.
 - The health monitoring algorithm was implemented to read heart rate and SpO₂ data from the MAX30100 and trigger alerts if the readings fell outside the normal range.

3. Testing and Debugging:

- The system was tested in various scenarios to ensure accurate fall detection and reliable health monitoring. Debugging was performed to resolve any issues related to sensor readings and communication.

3 System Testing

The integrated system was subjected to rigorous testing to evaluate its performance in real-world scenarios. Simulated falls were conducted to assess the accuracy of the fall detection algorithm, while health monitoring was validated against standard medical devices.

Results and Analysis:

This section presents the outcomes of the floor cleaning robot's performance based on various tests conducted during the implementation phase. The results are analyzed to evaluate the effectiveness of the robot in terms of obstacle avoidance, cleaning efficiency, and battery performance.

1. Obstacle Avoidance Performance

A. Testing Methodology

- The robot was tested in a controlled environment with various obstacles, including furniture, walls, and small objects.
- The success rate of obstacle avoidance was measured by tracking the number of successful navigations without collisions over a series of trials.

B. Results

- Total Trials: 50
- Successful Navigations: 46
- Success Rate: 92%
- Collisions: 4 (resulting from sensor misreading due to reflective surfaces)

C. Analysis

The high success rate indicates that the combination of ultrasonic and IR sensors effectively detects and avoids obstacles. The few collisions were attributed to reflective surfaces that confused the ultrasonic sensors. Adjustments to sensor placement and calibration could further enhance performance.

2. Cleaning Efficiency

A. Testing Methodology

- The robot was tested in a 10m² area with various types of debris, including flour, rice, and small paper scraps.
- Cleaning efficiency was measured by weighing the debris collected after each run and comparing it to the initial amount placed in the test area.

B. Results

- Initial Debris Weight: 100 grams (mixed debris)
- Collected Debris Weight: 85 grams
- Cleaning Efficiency: 85%

C. Analysis

The robot demonstrated an 85% cleaning efficiency, effectively collecting a significant portion of the debris. The vacuum system's performance was satisfactory, although some debris was missed due to the robot's movement patterns and speed. Future iterations could improve suction power or adjust the cleaning path algorithm for better coverage.

3. Battery Performance

A. Testing Methodology

- Battery life was tested by running the robot continuously until the battery was depleted. The robot was operated under typical cleaning conditions, including obstacle avoidance and vacuum activation.

B. Results

- Total Runtime: 2 hours and 15 minutes
- Battery Voltage at Start: 12.6V
- Battery Voltage at End: 10.5V

C. Analysis

The robot's battery life exceeded the initial estimate of 2 hours, demonstrating effective power management strategies. The use of voltage regulators helped maintain stable power supply to the Arduino and motors. However, the voltage drop indicates that the battery may need recharging after extended use, especially when the vacuum is activated frequently.

4. Overall Performance Evaluation

A. Summary of Key Metrics

- Obstacle Avoidance Success Rate: 92%
- Cleaning Efficiency: 85%
- Battery Life: 2 hours and 15 minutes

B. Comparative Analysis

When compared to existing commercial cleaning robots, this prototype offers a competitive performance at a significantly lower cost. While commercial robots often range from \$200 to \$1,000, this robot was built for under \$100, making it accessible for a wider audience.

5. Limitations and Future Work

A. Identified Limitations

- Sensor Limitations: Occasional misreading by ultrasonic sensors in bright environments.
- Cleaning Path: The randomized coverage algorithm may not be optimal for all room layouts, leading to missed spots.
- Debris Types: Larger debris items were not effectively collected, indicating a need for a more robust vacuum system.

B. Proposed Improvements

- Enhanced Sensor Calibration: Implementing more sophisticated algorithms to filter out false readings.
- Path Planning Algorithms: Developing a more systematic coverage algorithm, such as a grid-based approach, to ensure thorough cleaning.
- Upgraded Vacuum System: Exploring higher suction power options or additional brushes to improve debris collection efficiency.

Conclusion:

The development and implementation of the Floor Cleaning Robot have successfully demonstrated the feasibility of creating an autonomous, cost-effective cleaning solution using readily available components. This project addressed key challenges in the realm of household and light industrial cleaning, including the high costs associated with commercial robots, the inefficiencies of manual cleaning, and the complexities of navigating dynamic environments.

The robot's design, which integrates an Arduino Uno microcontroller, L298N motor driver, ultrasonic sensors, and an IR sensor, has proven effective in achieving a 92% success rate in obstacle avoidance and an 85% cleaning efficiency in controlled tests. The use of a 12V battery has allowed for a runtime of over 2 hours, showcasing effective power management strategies that ensure sustained operation during cleaning tasks.

Despite these successes, the project also identified several limitations, including occasional sensor miss readings and the need for improved cleaning algorithms. These challenges present opportunities for future enhancements, such as refining sensor calibration, implementing more sophisticated path-planning algorithms, and upgrading the vacuum system for better debris collection.

Overall, this project not only contributes to the field of service robotics by providing a low-cost alternative to existing solutions but also lays the groundwork for further research and development in autonomous cleaning technologies. Future iterations of the robot could incorporate advanced features such as machine learning for improved navigation and adaptability, making it an even more versatile tool for maintaining cleanliness in various environments.

In conclusion, the Floor Cleaning Robot represents a significant step toward making robotic cleaning accessible and efficient, with the potential to transform how we approach everyday cleaning tasks. The insights gained from this project will inform ongoing efforts to enhance robotic capabilities and expand their applications in both domestic and commercial settings.

Acknowledgements:

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who contributed to the successful completion of this project. First and foremost, I would like to thank my advisor, Mr. Permendra Kumar Verma, for their invaluable guidance, support, and encouragement throughout the research and development process. Their expertise in robotics and electronics was instrumental in shaping the direction of this project.

I would also like to acknowledge Buddha Institute of Technology, Gorakhpur for providing the necessary resources and facilities that enabled me to conduct this research. Special thanks to my peers and colleagues in the Electronics & Communication Engineering for their constructive feedback and collaboration during the testing phases.

Additionally, I am grateful to my family and friends for their unwavering support and understanding during the long hours spent working on this project. Their encouragement kept me motivated and focused.

Finally, I would like to thank the open-source community for providing access to valuable resources, libraries, and documentation that facilitated the development of the robot.

REFERENCES:

1. Smith, J., & Johnson, L. (2020). *Sensor Fusion in Robotics: A Comprehensive Review*. IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 36(4), 1234-1245.
2. Gupta, R., & Lee, T. (2021). "Comparative Analysis of Ultrasonic and Infrared Sensors for Object Detection in Mobile Robots." *Journal of Robotics and Automation*, 15(2), 45-58.
3. Patel, R. (2019). "Applications of L298N Motor Driver in Robotics." *International Journal of Embedded Systems*, 10(3), 215-220.
4. Zhang, Y. (2021). "High-Speed Vacuum Systems for Robotic Applications." *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 134, 103-112.
5. Kim, S., & Park, J. (2020). "Energy Management in Autonomous Cleaning Robots." *Journal of Energy Efficiency*, 13(1), 67-78.
6. Arduino LLC. (2022). "Arduino Uno Documentation." [Online]. Available: <https://www.arduino.cc/en/Reference/ArduinoUno>
7. Rahman, A., & Khan, M. (2022). "Power Solutions for Mobile Robots: A Review." *Journal of Robotics Research*, 39(5), 789-802.
8. Kumar, A., & Singh, P. (2019). "Enhancing Navigation in Autonomous Robots Using Sensor Fusion Techniques." *International Journal of Robotics Research*, 38(7), 1023-1035.