A STUDY OF HRMS IMPACT ON EMPLOYEES EXPERIENCE IN PUBLIC SECTOR

Raspinder Kaur, Riya Singh, Pragya

0231mba084@niet.co.in , *0231mba159@niet.co.in* , 0231mba274@niet.co.in

ABSTRACT :

In recent years, HRMS has emerged as a significant tool in streamlining human resource functions and enhancing the overall experience of an employee. The study impacts the public sector's experiences that have come with implementing HRMS. It seeks to explore how digital transformation through HRMS impacts critical human resource processes involved in recruitment, payroll, performance appraisal, leave management, and employee engagement. An elaborate mixed-methods approach was adopted-quantitative surveys complemented by qualitative interviews-for a holistic understanding of employees' perspectives. While findings reveal that HRMS significantly enhances the efficiency, transparency, and accessibility of Human Resource services among many other advantages, challenges as system adaptability and technical training for users plus data privacy concerns still loom large. This paper thus establishes that satisfactory implementation of HRMS within public sector organizations will foster satisfaction among employees and enhance operational efficiency provided there is proper training on adequate systems support over time.

Keywords: Human Resource Management System (HRMS), Employee Experience, Public Sector, Digital Transformation, HR Technology, Employee Satisfaction, Organizational Efficiency

Introduction

In this fast-changing scenario of human resource management, the role of technology has increasingly emerged as a key determinant in shaping organizational effectiveness and the satisfaction of employees. Human Resource Management Systems, or HRMS, represent all-encompassing technological solutions that seek to automate and simplify recruitment processes, payroll management, attendance tracking, performance evaluations, and leave scheduling. The systems significantly enhance precision while lessening the administrative workload and facilitating informed choices based on data.

Public sector organizations have traditionally been characterized by bureaucracy and manual processes; however, these entities are now increasingly adopting HRMS to enhance service delivery and internal operations. The digital transformation of the HR function seeks to close the gap between archaic practices and contemporary expectations from a technology-driven workforce. Through the use of HRMS, public sector organizations hope to achieve greater transparency, foster consistency in operations, and develop a more vibrant workplace for employees.

Nonetheless, argue the researchers, HRMS can be effective but this is not enough, it is not the end of efforts on improvement. The other aspect that one has to notice is how well given software fits the people who are using it– in other words, its usability. Factors like usability, frequency of use of a particular application, system accessibility, ease of navigation and satisfaction with specific HR functions affect employees' general interaction with the system.

This study attempts to assess the actual impact that HRMS has on the experiences of workers within public-sector organizations. Specifically, it seeks to evaluate employees' knowledge and use of HRMS, analyze its effectiveness concerning essential human resource functions, and ascertain the degree of satisfaction among employees. Through primary research, this study provides relevant data on how digital tools such as HRMS shape the employee experience, minimize manual interventions, and create a more efficient and transparent working environment.

Objectives of the Study

- To assess the level of awareness and usage of HRMS among employees in public sector organizations.
- To evaluate the impact of HRMS on various HR functions such as recruitment, payroll, leave management, and performance appraisal.
- To analyze employee satisfaction and experience with the implementation of HRMS.

Literature Review

Armstrong (2020) defines HRMS as a software solution that automates the core HR activities, enhancing the efficiency and transparency of organizational processes. As businesses and government entities increasingly recognize the need for digital transformation, HRMS is seen as a tool for improving operational efficiency, ensuring compliance, and enhancing employee satisfaction (Dessler, 2021). In public sector organizations, where manual systems often lead to inefficiency and errors, HRMS has been adopted as a necessary step toward modernization and better employee service delivery.

Gupta (2020) and Tripathi (2019) argue that HRMS has the potential to revolutionize HR functions in public sector organizations. The traditional HR practices, which often rely heavily on paperwork and manual processing, are time-consuming and prone to human error. The introduction of HRMS streamlines processes like payroll management and leave applications, reducing administrative overhead and errors (Sharma, 2020). By automating these tasks, public sector organizations can focus on more strategic HR functions, such as talent management and employee engagement.

Kavanagh and Johnson (2017) highlight that HRMS enhances employee experience by providing a user-friendly platform that allows employees to manage their HR tasks with ease, from leave requests to performance appraisals. In public sector organizations, where employees often deal with slow processes, HRMS provides faster access to information, making employees feel more empowered and satisfied with their work environment.

The literature suggests that the employee experience with HRMS largely depends on how the system is designed and implemented. Mehta and Bhatia (2019) emphasize that a well-implemented HRMS not only improves operational efficiency but also enhances the overall employee experience by reducing dependency on manual systems. According to their study, employees in the public sector appreciate HRMS because it simplifies various administrative tasks, allowing them to focus more on their core responsibilities.

However, while HRMS generally improves operational efficiency, some studies also highlight areas where it could be improved. Thite (2013) notes that despite the positive impact of HRMS on administrative functions, certain features like performance appraisal systems still need further customization to better align with employee expectations. In the public sector, where job roles and performance evaluation systems can be complex, HRMS may require additional adaptations to ensure it meets the diverse needs of employees.

Despite its potential, the implementation of HRMS in public sector organizations is not without challenges. Dessler (2021) mentions that resistance to change is a significant barrier when introducing HRMS. Employees who are accustomed to traditional systems may be reluctant to adopt new technologies. Additionally, lack of proper training and technical support can lead to poor utilization of the system. Gupta (2020) suggests that public sector organizations must invest in adequate training and user support to ensure smooth adoption and maximize the benefits of HRMS.

Moreover, while HRMS offers automation and ease of access, it also presents concerns related to data privacy and security. According to Rao (2018), HRMS platforms in public sector organizations must adhere to strict data protection regulations to safeguard sensitive employee information.

Research Methodology

1. Research Design

The research follows **a** *descriptive design,* which aims to systematically describe the awareness, usage, effectiveness, and satisfaction levels associated with the implementation of HRMS in public sector organizations. Descriptive research is best suited for this study as it helps in understanding the current status and perceptions of employees regarding HRMS.

2. Nature of Research

This study adopts a *quantitative approach* based on primary data collection through a structured questionnaire. The quantitative method allows for statistical analysis and interpretation of employee responses in measurable terms, which supports drawing meaningful conclusions.

3. Sources of Data

- *Primary Data:* The primary data was collected through a well-structured questionnaire distributed among employees working in various public sector organizations. The questionnaire was designed in alignment with the study's objectives, focusing on awareness, usage, impact on HR functions, and satisfaction with HRMS.
- *Secondary Data:* Relevant literature, articles, journals, and online sources were reviewed to gain a theoretical understanding of HRMS, its benefits, and challenges in the public sector context.

4. Sample Design

- *Population:* The population for this study includes employees currently working in public sector organizations.
- *Sample Size:* A total of *100 respondents* were selected for this study.
- *Sampling Technique:* A *convenience sampling* technique was used to collect responses from employees who were accessible and willing to participate in the survey.

5. Research Instrument

A structured *questionnaire* was used as the primary research instrument. The questionnaire consisted of both close-ended and multiple-choice questions, divided into four main sections:

- Section A: Demographic Information
- Section B: Awareness and Usage of HRMS
- Section C: Impact of HRMS on HR Functions
- Section D: Employee Satisfaction and Experience

6. Data Analysis Technique

The collected data was tabulated and analyzed using simple statistical tools such as:

- *Percentage Analysis*: Used to understand the distribution of responses across different categories.
- *Tabular Presentation*: Each question was represented using a three-column table (Particulars, No. of Respondents, Percentage) followed by interpretation.

This methodological framework ensured a focused approach to collect, analyze, and interpret employee perspectives on HRMS usage and its impact on their work experience in public sector organizations.

6.0 Data Analysis and Interpretation

Section A: Demographic Information

Age Group

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
18–25	20	20%
26–35	35	35%
36–45	25	25%
46 and above	20	20%

Interpretation: The majority of respondents (35%) belong to the 26–35 age group, indicating a younger workforce is more engaged with HRMS tools in the public sector.

Gender

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Male	58	58%
Female	42	42%

Interpretation: The respondent pool includes slightly more male employees (58%) compared to females (42%), showing a balanced gender distribution in the sample.

Years of Service

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Less than 2 years	12	12%
2–5 years	33	33%
6–10 years	28	28%
More than 10 years	27	27%

Interpretation: Most respondents have between 2–5 years of service (33%), followed closely by those with 6–10 years (28%), showing a relatively experienced workforce.

Section B: Awareness and Usage of HRMS

Are you aware of the HRMS implemented in your organization?

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Yes	92	92%
No	8	8%

Interpretation: A high percentage (92%) of respondents are aware of HRMS in their organization, suggesting good communication or exposure to the system.

How often do you use the HRMS platform?

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Daily	30	30%
Weekly	40	40%

Monthly	15	15%
Rarely	10	10%
Never	5	5%

Interpretation: Most employees (70%) use the HRMS on a regular basis (daily or weekly), reflecting good adoption of the platform.

*Which HRMS features do you commonly use? **(Multiple responses allowed)***

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Leave Management	80	80%
Attendance/Payroll	70	70%
Recruitment Portal	40	40%
Performance Appraisal	50	50%
Others	10	10%

Interpretation: Leave management (80%) and payroll (70%) are the most widely used features, showing where HRMS has the highest utility in the public sector.

Section C: Impact on HR Functions

Recruitment

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
1 (Very Poor)	5	5%
2 (Poor)	10	10%
3 (Neutral)	25	25%
4 (Good)	35	35%
5 (Excellent)	25	25%

Interpretation: 60% of respondents rated HRMS effectiveness in recruitment as good to excellent (4 or 5), indicating strong support for automated hiring processes.

Payroll Processing

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
1 (Very Poor)	2	2%
2 (Poor)	5	5%
3 (Neutral)	18	18%
4 (Good)	40	40%
5 (Excellent)	35	35%

Interpretation: A combined 75% of employees find payroll processing through HRMS to be very effective (ratings 4 and 5), highlighting its success in reducing manual errors.

Leave Management

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
1 (Very Poor)	1	1%
2 (Poor)	4	4%
3 (Neutral)	15	15%
4 (Good)	45	45%
5 (Excellent)	35	35%

Interpretation: 80% of employees rate leave management positively (ratings 4 and 5), indicating HRMS provides significant ease in managing time-off.

Performance Appraisal

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
1 (Very Poor)	6	6%
2 (Poor)	12	12%
3 (Neutral)	30	30%
4 (Good)	32	32%
5 (Excellent)	20	20%

Interpretation: While 52% rated performance appraisal via HRMS positively, the 30% neutral rating shows that there may be room for improvement in this module.

Section D: Employee Satisfaction and Experience

Do you find the HRMS interface user-friendly?

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Yes	68	68%
No	15	15%
Neutral	17	17%

Interpretation: 68% of respondents find the interface user-friendly, but 32% express either dissatisfaction or neutrality, suggesting a need for better UX/UI design.

Has the HRMS reduced your dependency on manual HR processes?

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly Agree	38	38%
Agree	40	40%
Neutral	10	10%
Disagree	8	8%
Strongly Disagree	4	4%

Interpretation: A strong majority (78%) agree that HRMS has reduced dependency on manual processes, indicating successful digital integration.

How satisfied are you with the overall experience of using HRMS in your organization?

Particular	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Very Satisfied	32	32%
Satisfied	40	40%
Neutral	15	15%
Dissatisfied	10	10%
Very Dissatisfied	3	3%

Interpretation: 72% of employees are satisfied or very satisfied with their HRMS experience, reflecting general approval, though a small percentage are still not content.

Findings

1. Awareness and Usage of HRMS among Employees in Public Sector

- A significant majority (92%) of employees are *aware* of the HRMS implemented in their respective public sector organizations, indicating successful communication and implementation strategies.
- Most respondents (70%) use HRMS either *daily or weekly*, suggesting good adoption and reliance on the system for regular HR-related activities.
- *Leave Management (80%)* and *Payroll Processing (70%)* emerged as the most frequently used HRMS features, indicating these modules are crucial to daily operations.
- The *Recruitment Portal (40%)* and *Performance Appraisal (50%)* features were less frequently used, which may indicate either limited access or less engagement from employees in these specific modules.

2. Impact of HRMS on Various HR Functions

- In the *Recruitment* function, 60% of respondents rated the system as effective (rating 4 or 5), showing moderate confidence in HRMS's role in digitizing hiring processes.
- *Payroll Processing* received a very positive response, with 75% of respondents rating it as effective, confirming HRMS's ability to streamline salary-related operations.
- *Leave Management* was highly rated by 80% of respondents, reflecting that digital systems significantly enhance efficiency and convenience in applying and approving leaves.
- The *Performance Appraisal* system received slightly lower satisfaction, with only 52% rating it as effective. This suggests that while HRMS contributes positively, there may be room for improvement in the appraisal process, possibly due to unclear evaluation parameters or system complexity.

3. Employee Satisfaction and Experience with HRMS

- 68% of employees found the *HRMS interface user-friendly*, although 32% indicated either dissatisfaction or indifference, suggesting a need for UI/UX improvements or better user training.
- 78% of respondents agreed that HRMS has *reduced their dependency on manual processes*, indicating successful digital transformation in HR operations.
- Overall satisfaction with HRMS is high, with *72%* of employees either satisfied or very satisfied with their experience using HRMS, pointing to a generally positive perception and comfort with the technology.

Conclusion

The present study aimed to explore the impact of Human Resource Management Systems (HRMS) on employees' experiences within public sector organizations. In recent years, the integration of digital technologies in HR processes has been increasingly recognized as a catalyst for improving organizational efficiency, transparency, and employee satisfaction. Through primary research, the study assessed employee awareness and usage patterns of HRMS, evaluated its effectiveness across key HR functions, and examined employee satisfaction with the system.

The findings reveal that HRMS implementation in public sector organizations has reached a considerable level of awareness and adoption among employees. Most employees reported using the HRMS platform frequently, primarily for essential functions such as leave management and payroll processing. This highlights the operational reliance on HRMS and its role in streamlining routine administrative tasks. However, it was also observed that certain modules like recruitment and performance appraisal were less utilized or perceived as less effective, pointing toward possible functional or accessibility limitations.

Further, the effectiveness of HRMS in improving core HR operations was generally rated positively, especially in the areas of payroll and leave management. This reflects the system's capacity to reduce processing time, increase accuracy, and minimize manual intervention. Despite this, moderate satisfaction with modules like performance appraisal suggests a need for further enhancement in those areas, potentially through customization or better training.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Armstrong, M. (2020). *Armstrong's Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice* (15th ed.). Kogan Page.
2. Dessler, G. (2021). *Human Resource Management* (16th ed.). Pearson Education.
3. Tripathi, P. C. (2019). *Human Resource Development*. Sultan Chand & Sons.
4. Gupta, C. B. (2020). *Human Resource Management*. Sultan Chand & Sons.
5. Rao, P. S. (2018). *Human Resource Management: Text and Cases*. Himalaya Publishing House.
6. Kavanagh, M. J., & Johnson, R. D. (2017). *Human Resource Information Systems: Basics, Applications, and Future Directions* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
7. Thite, M. (2013). *e-HR: Digital Approaches, Directions & Applications*. Routledge.
8. Sharma, R. (2020). "Impact of HRMS on Employee Productivity in Government Organizations." *International Journal of Management Studies*, 7(3), 45-52.
9. Mehta, R. & Bhatia, K. (2019). "Role of HRMS in Enhancing Employee Engagement." *Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Development*, 7(2), 89-95.
10. Government of India. (2023). *HRMS Portal – Guidelines and User Manuals*. Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions. Retrieved from: https://www.persmin.gov.in
11. India.gov.in. (2023). *E-Governance Initiatives in HR Management*. Retrieved from: https://www.india.gov.in
12. ResearchGate.net. (2023). *Studies and Papers on HRMS Adoption in India*. Retrieved from: https://www.researchgate.net