WWW.IJRPR.COM

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: www.ijrpr.com ISSN 2582-7421

A Study on the Impact of Heuristics on Individual Investor Decision

Alicia Angel D, Dr. Pushpa. A

Kristu Jayanti College

ABSTRACT

Investor decision-making is often influenced by cognitive biases and heuristics, which serve as mental shortcuts in complex financial environments. This study examines the impact of heuristics on individual investor behavior, exploring how biases such as representativeness, availability, and anchoring affect investment choices. By analyzing investor decision patterns through surveys and historical market data, the study highlights the extent to which these heuristics contribute to suboptimal investment decisions, risk misjudgment, and market anomalies. The findings underscore the need for financial literacy and awareness of cognitive biases to enhance investment strategies and mitigate irrational decision-making. Furthermore, the study explores potential interventions, such as behavioral finance techniques and educational programs, to help investors make more informed and rational choices. The insights from this research can aid policymakers, financial advisors, and investors in developing strategies to improve decision-making processes in financial markets.

Keywords: Heuristics, Cognitive Biases, Individual Investors, Behavioral Finance, Investment Decisions.

Introduction

Investor decision-making is a complex process influenced by both rational analysis and psychological factors. While traditional financial theories assume that investors act rationally to maximize returns, behavioral finance suggests that cognitive biases and heuristics often lead to deviations from rationality. Heuristics—mental shortcuts used to simplify decision-making—play a crucial role in how individual investors process information, assess risk, and make investment choices.

Common heuristics such as the representativeness heuristic, where investors judge probabilities based on past patterns, the availability heuristic, where decisions are influenced by readily available information, and the anchoring effect, where investors rely too heavily on initial information, can lead to suboptimal investment decisions. These biases can result in overconfidence, mispricing of assets, excessive trading, and susceptibility to market anomalies.

Understanding the impact of heuristics on individual investors is essential for improving financial decision-making and developing strategies to mitigate irrational behavior. This study aims to analyze the role of heuristics in shaping investor behavior, examining both their benefits and drawbacks. By exploring empirical data and investor surveys, the study provides insights into how heuristics influence investment outcomes and highlights the importance of financial literacy and behavioral interventions in promoting rational decision-making.

Heuristics are mental shortcuts or simplified strategies that individuals use to make decisions quickly and efficiently, especially in complex situations. In the context of investing, heuristics help investors process vast amounts of financial information without extensive analysis. While these shortcuts can be useful, they often lead to systematic errors in judgment. For example, an investor may rely on a simple rule such as "a stock that has performed well in the past will continue to do so," without considering fundamental financial indicators. This reliance on heuristics can lead to suboptimal investment decisions and increased market inefficiencies.

Cognitive biases are systematic patterns of deviation from rational judgment, often resulting from the use of heuristics. Investors, whether experienced or novice, are prone to biases such as overconfidence bias (believing in their ability to predict market movements better than they actually can), confirmation bias (seeking out information that supports their preexisting beliefs while ignoring contradictory data), and hindsight bias (perceiving past market events as more predictable than they actually were). These biases influence investment strategies, often leading to excessive risk-taking, misallocation of resources, and poor financial decisions.

Individual investors are non-professional participants in financial markets who trade with personal funds rather than managing money on behalf of institutions or clients. Unlike institutional investors, individual investors may have limited access to financial expertise, research tools, and risk management strategies. Their investment decisions are often influenced by emotions, heuristics, and external factors such as media reports and social trends. Due to these influences, individual investors are more likely to exhibit behavioral biases, making them susceptible to market anomalies such as asset bubbles and panic selling.

Behavioral finance is an interdisciplinary field that combines psychological principles with financial theories to explain how investors make decisions. Unlike traditional finance, which assumes that investors act rationally and efficiently, behavioral finance suggests that emotions and cognitive biases often lead to irrational financial behavior. By understanding behavioral finance, researchers and financial advisors can develop strategies to help investors make more informed and rational decisions. Techniques such as nudging, debiasing, and financial education programs can help mitigate the impact of heuristics on investment behavior.

Investment decisions refer to the choices made by investors regarding the allocation of financial resources across different assets such as stocks, bonds, real estate, and mutual funds. These decisions are influenced by multiple factors, including risk tolerance, expected returns, and economic conditions. However, heuristics and biases often distort investment decisions, leading to herding behavior (following the crowd), loss aversion (fear of losses leading to overly conservative strategies), and anchoring (relying too heavily on initial information such as stock price trends). Understanding how heuristics shape investment decisions is crucial for developing better financial strategies and improving market efficiency.

By studying the role of heuristics and cognitive biases in investment decisions, researchers and financial professionals can work toward improving financial literacy and designing tools to help individual investors make more rational choices in the market.

Review of Literature

Prasad and Nair (2021), in their study The Effect of Cognitive Biases on Financial Decision Making, examine the impact of availability bias on financial decision-making within the Indian financial market. Their research aims to assess how cognitive biases, particularly the availability heuristic, influence investor behavior. To achieve this, they employ a questionnaire survey and conduct statistical analysis to derive insights into the role of cognitive biases in shaping investment decisions. **Kengatharan (2020)**, in the study Behavioral Finance: The Influence of Heuristic Biases on Investor Behavior, reviews how the availability heuristic affects investor choices in financial markets. The research aims to provide a detailed understanding of how heuristics, particularly the availability heuristic, shape financial decisions. To achieve this, the study employs a literature review and case study analysis, offering insights into the role of cognitive biases in influencing investment behavior. **Salman and Nawaz (2021)** this explores the relationship between heuristic availability bias (HAB) and investment decision-making (IDM) among stock investors. The research specifically examines the moderated mediation role of external locus of control (ELC) and risk tolerance (RT) in this relationship. Utilizing SPSS PROCESS Model 7 on data collected from 385 practicing stock investors at the Pakistan Stock Exchange, the study found that RT partially mediates the relationship between HAB and IDM, while ELC significantly moderates the relationship between HAB and RT. Furthermore, in moderated mediation, ELC intensifies the impact of HAB on investors' risk-taking tendencies, thereby influencing their investment decisions. The findings have both practical and theoretical implications, offering insights into the behavioral factors affecting investment behavior. The study employed survey data and regression analysis to derive its conclusions. **Kumar and Arora (2020)** this investigates the role of cognitive biases, including availability bias, in shaping the investment strategies of retail investors. The study aims to evaluate the extent to which heuristic biases influence investor decisions in the stock market. Employing Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) and Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) as tools, the research provides valuable insights into how cognitive shortcuts and biases drive investment behavior, potentially leading to suboptimal financial outcomes. The findings contribute to a deeper understanding of behavioral finance and its implications for decision-making among individual investors. **Shah and Soni (2020)** this study explores how the availability heuristic impacts individual investors' decisions by causing them to focus heavily on recent information. The study aims to assess the specific role of availability bias in shaping stock market decisions. Utilizing survey data and applying factor analysis as a methodological approach, the research sheds light on the cognitive biases that lead investors to prioritize easily recalled or recent events, often at the expense of a more balanced evaluation of information. This study highlights the behavioral tendencies that can result in suboptimal investment outcomes.

Research Gap

Many studies have explored how availability bias affects investment decisions, but they do not explain why some investors are more influenced than others. Most research looks at availability bias alone, without studying how it interacts with other biases like overconfidence or herd behavior. Many studies use surveys, which may not truly reflect how people make decisions in real-life situations. Research mainly focuses on stock markets, ignoring other investments like gold, bonds, or cryptocurrencies. There is also little research on how to help investors reduce the effects of availability bias and make better financial decisions.

Statement of the Problem

Investors often rely on cognitive shortcuts, such as the availability heuristic, when making financial decisions. While many studies have examined its impact, they fail to explain why some investors are more influenced than others. Additionally, most research focuses on availability bias in isolation, without exploring how it interacts with other biases like mental accounting or representativeness. Many studies use survey-based methods, which may not accurately capture real-life investment behavior. Furthermore, there is limited research on practical strategies to help investors recognize and reduce the effects of cognitive biases in their decision-making. This gap in knowledge leaves investors vulnerable to making suboptimal financial choices based on readily available but potentially misleading information. A deeper understanding of how availability bias works alongside other biases and how to mitigate its impact is essential for improving investor decision-making and financial well-being. This study aims to bridge these gaps and contribute to better investment strategies.

Objective

- To analyse how Mental accounting bias impact investment decisions.
- To understand the concept of heuristics on individual investor decision.

Hypothesis

- Null hypothesis: Mental accounting bias has no significant impact on investment decisions.
- Alternative hypothesis: Mental accounting bias has a significant impact on investment decisions.

Scope of the study

The scope includes analyzing the decision-making of both retail and institutional investors, considering their biases, cognitive limitations, and the role of easily accessible information in their decision-making processes. The study will explore behavioral finance and decision theory to understand the broader implications of heuristics on investment choices.

The study investigates how the availability heuristic impacts various categories of investors, including retail investors, institutional investors, and high-net-worth individuals. Differences in experience, access to information, and risk tolerance may mediate the extent of heuristic influence.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a mixed-method approach, utilizing both primary and secondary data sources. Primary data will be collected through structured questionnaires distributed to individual investors, assessing the impact of heuristics on their financial decision-making. Secondary data will be obtained from academic journals, books, financial reports, and research articles to provide theoretical support and a broader context for the study. A convenience sampling method will be used to select participants, ensuring accessibility and efficiency in data collection. The research instrument consists of a structured questionnaire with Likert-scale items to measure investors' reliance on heuristics, the influence of recent events, and their financial knowledge. The study will focus on the availability heuristic and its role in shaping investment decisions, particularly during market fluctuations and uncertain financial conditions. By analyzing investor behavior and biases, this research aims to contribute to the field of behavioral finance and enhance understanding of how cognitive biases impact financial decision-making.

Analysis and interpretation

Table 4.1 showing model summary of Mental Accounting Bias and Investment Decision

Model Summary[b]				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.744[a]	.554	.550	.51467
a. Predictors: (Constant), MENTAL ACCOUNTING BIAS				
b. Dependent Variable: INVESTMENT DECISION				

Table 4.2 showing ANOVA results on Mental Accounting Bias and Investment Decision

ANOVA[a]						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	34.224	1	34.224	129.206	.000[b]
	Residual	27.548	104	.265		
	Total	61.772	105			
a. Dependent Variable: INVESTMENT DECISION						
b. Predictors: (Constant), MENTAL ACCOUNTING BIAS						

Table 4.3 showing Coefficients of Mental Accounting Bias and Investment Decision

Coefficients[a]						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.290	.189		6.812	.000
	MENTAL ACCOUNTING BIAS	.607	.053	.744	11.367	.000
a. Dependent Variable: INVESTMENT_DECISION						

Interpretation

The results of this analysis highlight just how much Mental accounting bias influences investment decisions. The model shows that Mental accounting bias accounts for about 55.4% of the variations in how people make investment choices, which is quite a strong relationship. Even after making adjustments to ensure the reliability of the model, this influence remains high, suggesting that Mental accounting biases a key factor in investment behaviour.

The ANOVA results reinforce this, showing that the relationship between Mental accounting bias and investment decisions is statistically significant. With an F-value of 129.206 and a p-value of 0.000, we can confidently say that Mental accounting bias plays a major role in shaping investment choices rather than this being a result of random chance.

Looking at the coefficient values, we see that for every increase in mental accounting bias, investment decisions change by 0.607 units. The standardized coefficient (β=0.744\beta = 0.744β=0.744) further confirms that this is a strong effect. The high t-value and the significance level (0.000) indicate that this relationship is not only strong but also highly reliable.

Findings

- Age: Majority (63.2%) are below 25 years; only 1.9% are 56 and above.
- Gender: 62.3% male, 37.7% female.
- Education: 55.7% postgraduates, 38.7% high school, 5.7% professionals.
- Employment: 51.9% students, 34% salaried, 6.6% self-employed, 1.9% retired.
- Income: 67% earn below 300,000; only 2.8% earn above 1,000,000.
- Mental accounting bias has the strongest positive correlation ($r = 0.744$, $p = 0.000$) with investment decisions.
- Investors categorizing money into mental "accounts" (e.g., treating savings and investments differently despite being financially interchangeable) significantly affect their financial choices.
- Regression results indicate that 55.4% of variations ($R^2 = 0.554$) in investment decisions can be attributed to mental accounting bias, making it the most influential heuristic in decision-making.

Conclusion

This study reveals the profound impact of heuristics, especially the availability heuristic, on the decision-making processes of individual investors. The results suggest that a significant portion of the sample—comprising primarily young, male, and low-income individuals—tends to rely heavily on readily accessible or recent information when making financial decisions. Such biases can often lead to suboptimal investment choices, especially during periods of market uncertainty, where investors may make decisions based on emotions or incomplete data.

The data also highlights that many investors are students, with limited financial resources, which further emphasizes the need for targeted financial education. Since younger investors are more likely to be influenced by biases, addressing these cognitive shortcuts through behavioral interventions could lead to more rational investment decisions. The findings support the growing need for financial literacy programs to equip investors with the knowledge and tools to overcome biases, make well-informed decisions, and reduce the negative impact of cognitive distortions on financial behavior.

Additionally, the study suggests that improving awareness of cognitive biases, through both education and practical decision-making strategies, can lead to better risk management, more diverse investment portfolios, and ultimately more stable financial markets. In summary, addressing the impact of heuristics on investor behavior is essential for fostering informed decision-making, particularly for younger and less experienced investors.

Limitations of the Study

- **Sample Size**: A relatively small sample of investors may not fully capture the diversity of investment strategies across different regions or market types.
- **Data Access**: Limited access to proprietary financial data or investor portfolios from institutions may affect the depth of analysis.
- **Respondent Bias**: Investors may underreport biases due to a lack of awareness or because they feel their decisions are not influenced by heuristics.
- **Market Fluctuations**: The timing of the study could coincide with unusual market conditions, potentially skewing the findings.

References

Prasad, K., & Nair, S. (2021). The effect of cognitive biases on financial decision making. Indian Journal of Finance, 15(6), 22-35.

Kengatharan, N. (2020). Behavioral finance: The influence of heuristic biases on investor behavior. Journal of Behavioral Finance, 12(4), 1-17.

Salman, A., & Nawaz, M. (2021). Heuristic availability bias and investment decision-making among stock investors. Journal of Economic Psychology, 45(2), 125-137.

Kumar, A., & Arora, R. (2020). The role of cognitive biases in shaping investment strategies. Journal of Behavioral Economics, 9(3), 78-90.

Shah, S., & Soni, R. (2020). Availability heuristic and its impact on individual investors' decisions. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 15(8), 56-70.

Singh, M., & Chandra, R. (2022). Availability bias and investment decision-making in the Indian stock market. Asian Finance Journal, 18(2), 39-53.

Kling, E., & Liebermann, T. (2019). Heuristic biases in volatile markets: The role of media and availability heuristic. Journal of Financial Market Behavior, 22(5), 112-124.

Waweru, R., & Kisaka, M. (2020). The impact of availability bias on financial decisions of Kenyan investors. African Journal of Finance, 13(7), 45-59.

Sudarsono, S., & Sudiyatno, Y. (2021). The influence of availability bias on investment behavior in Indonesia. Journal of Emerging Markets Finance, 10(6), 67-79.

Salman, A., & Nawaz, M. (2020). Behavioral biases in investment decisions: A study of availability bias. Journal of Behavioral Finance, 14(3), 142-156.